APPLICATION FOR CYCLE 6 HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP)

APPLICATION SUMMARY

This summary page is filled out automatically once the application is completed.

After the application is finalized, please save this PDF form using the exact "Application ID" (shown below) as the file name.

Important: Review and follow <u>the Application Instructions</u> step-by-step as you complete the application. Completing an application without referencing to the instructions will result in an incomplete application or an application with fatal flaws that will be excluded from the ranking and selection process.

Application	(D: 04	l-Oakland-3	
	Submit	ted By (Agency): Oakland	
Caltrans District	Appl	lication Number	Out of
04		3	3
	Proj	ject Location	
reet @ Jackson			
reet @ Madison reet @ Oak reet @ Madison reet @ Madison rt pedestal mounted traffic si	gnals to mast arm mou	ect Description nted and improve the size of v	ehicular signal indications to improve si
reet @ Madison reet @ Oak reet @ Madison reet @ Madison rt pedestal mounted traffic si rty, overall intersection safety	gnals to mast arm mou	nted and improve the size of vecy for signalized intersections	in this neighborhood.
reet @ Madison reet @ Oak reet @ Madison ret pedestal mounted traffic si ity, overall intersection safety	gnals to mast arm mou	nted and improve the size of v	in this neighborhood.
reet @ Madison reet @ Oak reet @ Madison ert pedestal mounted traffic si	gnals to mast arm mou	nted and improve the size of vecy for signalized intersections	in this neighborhood.

			I. B	asic Pro	ject Inform	ation		
Date Ju	ıl 18, 2013		Ca	ltrans Distri	ict 04		МРО	MTC
Agency	Oakland		Со	unty Alan	neda County			
Total num	nber of application	ons being su	bmitted by your	agency	3			
Application	on Number (each	n application	must have a uni	que numbe	er) 3			
Contac	t Person Info	rmation						
Name (<i>La</i>	ast, First):	Da	rian Avelino					
Position/	Title of Contact P	erson Tra	nsportation Engi	ineer				
Email:	ddavelino@oakl	andnet.com	1	Гelephone:	(510) 238-6602	2		Extension:
Address:	250 Frank C)gawa Plaza,	4th Floor 4344					
City:	Oakland			Zip Code:	CA 94612		(Ente	er only a 5-digit number.)
Project	Information							
-See Instr Project D	Description (limited to 250 cl		vehicular signa	adison ak adison tal mounted I indication		ınal visibility	, overall	d and improve the size of intersection safety and provide
Functio	onal Classification	Minor Arte	erial		(For Function	nal Classi	fication and CRS Maps,
	ap ID (e.g. 08E14)				\	/isit <u>http://w</u>	ww.dot.	ca.gov/hq/tsip/hseb/crs_maps/)
Urban/	'Rural Area	Urban		(Vi	isit <u>http://earth.</u>	dot.ca.gov/)		
High-Ri	isk-Rural-Roads (HR3) Eligibili	ty No					
If this p	oroject is not HR3	3 eligible, wh	at is the approxi	mate total	cost percentage	that is HR3	eligible?	0 %
Work o	on the State H	ighway Sy	stem					
			improvements on the next page; If y					
	Is this a joint	-funded pro	ject with Caltrans	5?				
			this box to conf The letter shoul				trans - Di	istrict Traffic is attached to the
		application.		dence shou	ld indicate that	Caltrans d	oes not s	rict Traffic is attached to the see issues that would

		1000	/=·		
Non-	Infra	structur	e (NI)	Elements	ŝ

Does the project include NI Elements? No	
If yes, NI Activity Worksheet and NI Cost Estimate are required attachments. For more information on for NI elements of HSIP applications, see the <u>HSIP NI webpage</u> .	the requirements and guidance
What are the primary type(s) of non-infrastructure included? (Check all that apply. Skip if project does	not include NI Elements.)
Bicycle and pedestrian safety education (K-12 students) Enforcement (school zones)	
☐ Bicycle and pedestrian safety education (adults) ☐ Other Enforcement (please de	scribe below)
Other safety education (please describe below)	
Emergency Medical System	
Additional Information	
1. Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? Systemic	
The primary type of the "systemic" improvements: Add/Upgrade/Modify/Romove Traffic Signal	
2. Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project add (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, see: http://www.dot.ca.gov/SHSP/)	dress primarily?
7: Improve Intersection and Interchange Safety for Roadway Users	
3. How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project <u>first</u> identified?	
Jurisdiction-wide safety analysis	
4. What is the primarily mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project?	
Motorized users	
5. Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel	80 %
6. Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to non-motorized travel	20 %
7. Is the project focused primarily on "Intersection" or "Roadway" improvement?	
Intersection	
Number of Intersections 5	
8. Posted Speed Limit (mph) 35	*
9. Average Daily Traffic (See Instructions) ADT (Major Road) ADT (Minor Road) Year Collected 14,163 13,002 2003	
[See III SI MENOTIS]	

II. Narrative Questions (See Instructions)

These narrative questions are intended to provide additional project details for the application reviewers and project files. Application reviewers will use the information in their "fatal flaw" assessment of the applications, including:

- 1) The project scope is eligible for HSIP and/or HR3 funding;
- 2) The countermeasures used in the B/C ratio calculation are appropriately applied based on the scope of the project;
- 3) The crash data used in the B/C ratio calculation is appropriately applied based on the scope of the project and countermeasures used;
- 4) The costs included in the application represent the likely total project cost necessary to fully construct the proposed scope. If the proposed project is a piece of a larger construction project, the entire scope of the larger project must be identified and included in the B/C ratio calculation;
- 5) The application data and attachments are reasonable and meet generally accepted traffic engineering and transportation safety principles.

If significant inconsistencies or errors are found in the application information, the Caltrans reviewers may conclude that the application includes one or more "fatal flaws" and the application will be dropped from further funding considerations. The applicant will not be notified of Caltrans findings until after the selection process is complete.

1. Overall Identification of Need

Describe how the agency identified the project as one of its top safety priorities. Was a data-driven, safety evaluation of their entire roadway network completed? Do the proposed project locations represent some of the agency's highest crash concentrations? (limited to 5,000 characters)

Corridors and areas of higher concentration accidents in the City of Oakland were identified with appropr B/C ratios were then calculated and the higher BC ratio projects were selected.	riated countermeasures.

2. Potential for Proposed Improvements to Correct the Problem

Describe the primary causes of the collisions that have occurred within the project limits. Are there patterns in the crash types? Clearly demonstrate the connection between the problem and the proposed countermeasures utilized in the Benefit/Cost Ratio calculations. Depending on the nature of the project, explain why the agency choose to pursue "Spot location(s)" or Systemic" improvements. If the proposed project include Non-Infrastructure (NI) elements, also describe how the NI elements will complement in improving the safety within the project limits. (limited to 5,000 characters)

Note: Safety improvements that do not have countermeasures and crash reduction factors identified in the TIMS B/C Calculator can be included in the project scope; they just won't be added to the project's B/C ratio shown in the application.

Within this project boundary there are two pedestrian fatalities and one motorcycle fatality, each at a different intersection. Since pedestal mounted signals are throughout this area, converting to mast arm mounted signals at the five proposed locations and increase the size of the signal heads will provide visible consistency for drivers in this area. Therefore, our approach to the selection of intersections was systematic.

3. Crash Data Evaluation

Explain how the influence areas for each separate countermeasure were established. Describe how the limits of the crash data were established for each countermeasure to ensure only appropriate crashes were included in the Collision Summary Report(s), Collision Diagram(s) and B/C calculations. (limited to 5,000 characters)

There is only one countermeasure being implemented for this project. Since the chosen countermeasure of converting pedestal mounted signal heads to mast arms is the countermeasure, and all parties are benefited, all crash data is considered eligible from thintersections.	ie

4. Prior attempts to address the Safety Issue

If appropriate, list all other projects/countermeasures that have been (or are being) deployed at this location. <u>Applicants must identify</u> all prior federal HSIP, HR3 or Safe Routes To School (SRTS) funds approved within or directly adjacent to the propose projects limits within the last 10 years. (limited to 5,000 characters)

Note: HSIP funding cannot be used to construct the same general type of countermeasures within the same limits within 10 years to ensure agencies do not apply the same Crash Reduction Factors to the same crashes.

re have been no p	orior attempts for HSIP fu	inds for the included	intersections.	

5. Total project costs

Describe the process used to establish the total cost for the project. Confirm contingencies for reasonably expected costs, including drainage, environmental, traffic, etc, are included. For a large project where the HSIP funding is only a small portion of the overall project scope and costs, the total project cost must still be included in the application and its B/C ratio calculation. (limited to 5,000 characters)

Project costs were determined from the most recent traffic signal projects within the City of Oakland. Costs for conduit, trenching, intersection striping, traffic control, mobilization, design, construction management were all included.

III. Project Cost Estimate (See Instructions)

All project costs must be accounted for on this form, even if substantial elements of the overall project are to be funded by other sources.

Do not enter in shaded fields (calculated - read only). Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars. Once all costs and the desired HSIP/ Total ratios are entered, click "Check Cost Estimate" to perform validation. If errors are detected, they will appear below the button. Click it to check again each time when the costs have been revised.

Phase		Total Cost	HSIP/Total (%)	HSIP Funds	Local/Other Funds
Preliminary	Environmental	\$0	0 (%	\$0	\$0
Engineering	PS&E	\$74,000	78.7	\$58,200	\$15,800
	PE Subtotal	\$74,000		\$58,200	\$15,800
	Agency does NOT requ	uest HSIP funds for PE Phas	se (automatically checked	if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).	
Right of Way	Right of Way Engineering		(%	\$0	\$0
,	Appraisals, Acquisitions & Utilities		(%	\$0	\$0
	ROW Subtotal			\$0	\$0
Construction Engineering	Construction Engineering	\$90,000	78.7	\$70,800	\$19,200
& Construction	Construction	\$606,200	78.7	\$477,000	\$129,200
	CON Subtotal	\$696,200		\$547,800	\$148,400
Non - Infrastructure (NI)	NI Elements	\$0	0 (%	\$0	\$0
	Total Cost	\$770,200	79	\$606,000	\$164,200

Click to Check Cost Estimate (See Notes in Instructions)

No errors have been found in the cost estimate.

IV. Implementation Schedule (See Instructions)

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per Caltrans Local Assistance <u>safety program delivery requirements</u>. In order for the milestones to be calculated correctly, all fields needs to be filled in. For steps that are not applicable, enter "0".

Target Date for the Project's Amendment into the FTIP:	01/01/2014	
Time for agency to internally staff project and request PE authorization	2 Month(s)	
Typical Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve PE authorization	2 Month(s)	
Proposed PE Authorization Date:	05/02/2014	(PE Authorization Delivery Milestone)
Will external consultants be required to complete the PE phase of this project?	Yes	
Additional time needed to the Delivery Process for hiring PE consultant(s) 6	Month(s) (0 - 6)	
Time to prepare environmental studies request	0 Month(s)	
Time to complete CEQA/NEPA studies/approvals	0 Month(s)	
See PES Form in the LAPM for Typical studies and permits		
Time to complete the Right of Way Acquisition (federal process)	0 Month(s)	
Plan on 18 months minimum for federal process including a condemnation		
Time to complete final PS&E documentation	9 Month(s)	
Other	1 Month(s)	
	With the second	
Expected Completion Date for the PE Phase:	08/31/2015	
Time for agency to request CON authorization	08/31/2015 2 Month(s)	
Time for agency to request CON authorization	2 Month(s)	(CON Authorization Delivery Milestone)
Time for agency to request CON authorization Typical Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve CON Auth	2 Month(s) 3 Month(s)	
Time for agency to request CON authorization Typical Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve CON Authorization Date:	2 Month(s) 3 Month(s) 01/30/2016	
Time for agency to request CON authorization Typical Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve CON Auth Proposed CON Authorization Date: Time included for the agency's workload-leveling or construction-window needs Time to award contract with CON contractor (following the federal process,	2 Month(s) 3 Month(s) 01/30/2016 0 Month(s)	
Time for agency to request CON authorization Typical Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve CON Auth Proposed CON Authorization Date: Time included for the agency's workload-leveling or construction-window needs Time to award contract with CON contractor (following the federal process, including Board/Council approval, advertise, award, execute and mobilize)	2 Month(s) 3 Month(s) 01/30/2016 0 Month(s) 9 Month(s)	
Time for agency to request CON authorization Typical Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve CON Auth Proposed CON Authorization Date: Time included for the agency's workload-leveling or construction-window needs Time to award contract with CON contractor (following the federal process, including Board/Council approval, advertise, award, execute and mobilize) Time to complete construction	2 Month(s) 3 Month(s) 01/30/2016 0 Month(s) 9 Month(s) 6 Month(s)	
Time for agency to request CON authorization Typical Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve CON Auth Proposed CON Authorization Date: Time included for the agency's workload-leveling or construction-window needs Time to award contract with CON contractor (following the federal process, including Board/Council approval, advertise, award, execute and mobilize) Time to complete construction Time included for closing the CON contract	2 Month(s) 3 Month(s) 01/30/2016 0 Month(s) 9 Month(s) 6 Month(s) 2 Month(s)	
Time for agency to request CON authorization Typical Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve CON Auth Proposed CON Authorization Date: Time included for the agency's workload-leveling or construction-window needs Time to award contract with CON contractor (following the federal process, including Board/Council approval, advertise, award, execute and mobilize) Time to complete construction Time included for closing the CON contract Other	2 Month(s) 3 Month(s) 01/30/2016 0 Month(s) 9 Month(s) 6 Month(s) 2 Month(s) 0 Month(s)	
Time for agency to request CON authorization Typical Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve CON Auth Proposed CON Authorization Date: Time included for the agency's workload-leveling or construction-window needs Time to award contract with CON contractor (following the federal process, including Board/Council approval, advertise, award, execute and mobilize) Time to complete construction Time included for closing the CON contract Other Expected Completion Date for the CON Phase:	2 Month(s) 3 Month(s) 01/30/2016 0 Month(s) 9 Month(s) 6 Month(s) 2 Month(s) 0 Month(s) 0 Month(s)	

V. Countermeasures, Crash Data and Benefit/Cost Ratio (See Instructions)

In the process of completing this application, the Local Agency is required to utilize the Benefit/Cost Ratio Calculation Tool that is included in the Safe Transportation research and Education Center (SafeTREC) Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS) web site. This web site can be assessed at http://tims.berkeley.edu/

The final output summary page from TIMS must be included as part of the official application (both electronically and hard copy). The hard copy page must be included in the application as one of the attachments.

In order to facilitate the electronic collection and tracking of this data, Caltrans is requiring agencies to manually enter some of the key "input data" and "output data" used in their final TIMS B/C Ratio. <u>NOTE: If any of the values inputted on this sheet do not match the values from the TIMS B/C Ratio Output Summary sheet, THE APPLICATION WILL BE REJECTED.</u> **Be Careful and confirm the numbers!**

TIMS Application ID: 04-Oakland-3	(This ID is genera TIMS Application	ted by this form. ID must match this ID.)	
Version (from TIMS) : 1 Crash Dat Total Project Cost: \$770,200 (This must match the	a Period: from 01/01/2002 total project cost in Section III.)	2 to 01/01/2012	
Cou	Intermeasure Informatio	n	
Number of countermeasures utilized: 1	rmeasure		
#1: S7: Convert signal to mast arm (from pedestal-	mounted)		
#2:			
#3:			
	B/C Ratio Calculation		
Expected Benefit (L	ife) Expected Cost	Resulting B/C	
Countermeasure #1 \$9,549,950	\$770,200	12.40	
Countermeasure #2		0.00	
Countermeasure #3		0.00	
Project's Total (Overall) \$9,549,950	\$770,200	12.40	

VII. Application Data Verification and Signature (See Instructions)

Part A. Engineer's Signature and Stamp

Chapter 7; Article 3; Section 6735 of the Professional Engineer's Act of the State of California requires engineering calculation(s) or report(s) be either prepared by or under the responsible charge of a licensed civil engineer. Since this HSIP application defines the scope of work of a future construction project and requires complex engineering principles and calculations which are based on the best data available at the time of the application, the application must be signed and stamped by a registered civil or traffic engineer.

By signing and stamping this HSIP application, the engineer is attesting to this application's technical information and engineering data upon which local agency's recommendations, conclusions, and decisions are made and upon which statewide funding will be determined, including:

- 1. All likely project costs associated with the project scope of work are included in the Total Project Cost;
- 2. Each countermeasure included represents a minimum of 15% of the construction costs and is applied consistently with Appendix B of the Local Roadway Safety Manual;
- 3. All crash data is: 1) accurately shown in collision diagram(s) and collision summary report(s) attached to this application; and 2) applied to countermeasures using generally accepted traffic engineering principles; and
- 4. When applicable, all traffic warrant studies have been prepared per the CA-MUTCD.

Name (Last, First):	Avelino, Darian
Title: Transpo	rtation Engineer
Engineer License N	Number C48767
Signature*:	A (00 10/10)
Date: Jul 26, 2	013

Part B. Transportation Manager's Signature

To ensure the application's quality and the agency's commitment to deliver the safety project in an expedited manner, the application must be signed by the Agency's Transportation/Traffic Engineering Manager.

By signing this application, the manager is attesting to:

- 1. All data in the application is accurate and represents the total scope of the planned project;
- 2. The agency understands the Project Delivery Requirements for the HSIP Program and is prepared to deliver the project with these requirements; and
- 3. The agency understands if Caltrans staff determine that any of the above requirements are not met, or data is inaccurate, or the application fails to meet the program guidelines and application instructions, the application will be rejected and will not be eligible to receive federal safety funding. Due to time constraints in the evaluation process, applicants will not be notified until after the selection process is complete. Refer to Application Form Instructions for more information.

Transportation Manager:

Name (Last, First): Wlassowsky, Wlad

Title: Principal Civil Engineer

Signature*:

Date: Jul 26, 2013

Engineer's Stamp*:

* Note: The signatures and the engineer's stamp are only expected on the two hard copies of the application. The electronic copy of this PDF form must be saved in the original format (NOT a scanned copy) so the application data can be extracted.

VI. Application Attachments (See Instructions)

Check all attachments included in this application.

∇icinity map /Location map (Required)
Project map showing existing and proposed conditions (Required)
☑ Pictures of Existing Condition (Required)
Collision diagram(s) (Required)
Collision summary report / list (Required)
☑ TIMS B/C output summary sheet (Required)
☑ Detailed Engineer's Estimate (Required)
Warrant studies (Required when applicable)
Letter of Support from Caltrans (Required when applicable)
Non-Infrastructure (NI) Activity Worksheet and NI Cost Estimate (Required when applicable)
Additional parration documentation letters of support etc (optional)