California Department of Transportation's

APPLICATION FOR HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) FUNDS

·		- Cycle 4 -		
		Submitted By	.	
	Agency:	OAKLAND		
	App	olication Ranked #: 3 Out of : 4		
	÷.	Project Location	·	
	1	ational Boulevard between 77th and 81st Avenues, 87th and nues, and at 83rd, 84th, 95th, 96th, 98th, and Auseon Avenues.		
		Project Description		
	Install signal on International BI at 78th and at 83rd Av. Traffic signal modification at International BI/90th Av. Install ladder markings on crosswalks along most intersections on International BI.			
	Law Carlestonia. 1977 a 1756 a 2000 (174 2000) 1970 PT 1970	Project Countermeasures		
Counterm	easure Type	Countermeasure Name	CM#	
INTERSECTI	ON (Ped & Bike)	Install pedestrian crossing	1	
Co	ntrol	Install new traffic signal	2	

Project's Total Benefit / Cost Ratio

4.238

Caltrans District

04

Contents

Cover Page	1
Contents	2
Project Information	
Basic Project Information	3
Narrative Questions	4
Project Cost Estimate	5
Implementation Schedule	6
Benefit / Cost Calculation Result	· 7
Applicant Data Verification and Signature	8
Attachments	
Vincinity Map	
Project map showing existing and proposed conditions	
Collision diagram	
Collision summary report/list	-··
Detailed Engineer's Estimate	
Warrant studies	
Additional Narration, Documentation, Letters of Support, etc.	

04-OAKLAND-03 Page 2

Basic Project Information

Date	12/10/2010	Caltrans Distr	rict 04	MPO	MTC
Agency	OAKLAND			Locode	5012
Total numb	er of applications	being submitted b	y your agency	4	
Rank of this	s project (each proje	ct application must ha	ve a different rank)	3	
Contact P	erson Informati	on		N.	
Position/Tit	le of Contact Pers	on Transportatio	n Engineer		
Name	Si Lau				
Email	slau@oaklandne	t.com			
Telephone	(510) 238-6105				
Address	250 Frank H. Og	awa Plaza, Suite #	/ 4344		
County	ALAMEDA	City	OAKLAND	Zip	94612
Project In	formation				
Project Location - Be Brief - See Instructions Project Description - Be Brief		93rd Avenues, an Avenues. Install signal on I	Boulevard between 77 nd at 83rd, 84th, 95th, nternational Bl at 78th aternational Bl/90th Av.	96th, 98th, and Au	seon
- See Instructions Functional Classification Current Average Daily Traffic		14-Urban Otl Major Street	n most intersections on her Principal Arterial 26500	Posted Speed [30
		Minor Street	The second section of the section of th	(For Intersection	Projects)
		Year Collected	d 2002		
• •	et focused primarily ntersections	on "Intersection"	or "Roadway" Improver Number of Roadway mil		
Number of I		on "Intersection" o	or "Roadway" Improvei		
Number of I	ntersections he State Highwa	on "Intersection" of 20 ay System	or "Roadway" Improvei	es N/A	
Number of I Work on the Does the pr	ntersections he State Highwa	on "Intersection" of 20 ay System	or "Roadway" Improver Number of Roadway mil State Highway System	es N/A	
Work on the Does the proof of Yes, is the second of the proof of the proof of the the second of the	ntersections he State Highwa oject include impre his a joint-funded	ay System ovements on the Sproject with Caltra	or "Roadway" Improver Number of Roadway mil State Highway System	es N/A ? No	

adfasdfasdfasdfsd

Narrative Questions

These narrative questions are intended to provide additional project details for the reviewers and project files. These questions will be used in the scoring of projects that do not make the initial funding cut based fully on their Benefit/Cost Ratios.

1. Identification and Demonstration of Need

Describe how was the problem identified. Provide information showing the agency identified the project based on a data-driven, comprehensive safety evaluation of their roadway infrastructure and crash data. Given that other problems may exist within the applicant's jurisdiction, explain why this problem was chosen to compete for federal safety funds. Provide some background information about the problem: How long has the problem existed? Have other countermeasures been deployed? Describe the primary cause(s) of the collisions that have occurred at the location. Are there patterns in the crash types? Attach and reference any collision data, traffic data, community surveys, reports, plans, pictures, etc. to illustrate the problem.

International Boulevard a tate Route (RT185). It is a major arterial running north and south with two vehicular lane in each direction. The wide median and high speed on International makes it hard for driver and pedestrian to observe the right-of-way assignment. There is no traffic control along International between 73rd Avenue and 82nd Avenue which amount to 2586 feet distance. The intersection with 78th Avenue is in the middle of this stretch of road. The intersection with 83 Avenue is on a curvature. The combination effect of high speed, lack of control and curvature makes it diifcult for pedestrians, bicycle and vehicles to cross international Boulevard within this corridor.

Statewide Integrated Traffic Record System (SWITRS) was used to identify high collision locations, and International Boulevard was found to be one of the corridors with high incidents. After further review of the collision data, it was found that it will benefit road users significantly to install traffic signal at the two intersections of International / 78th and International / 83rd Avenue. Enhanced pedestrian crosswalks are also proposed at many unsignalized intersections between 77rd Avenue and 82 Avenue. The State has supported this proposal as contained in the letter of support. has a significant number of collisions. There have been seventy-four recorded collisions at the intersection of International and 78th Avenue, and International and 83rd Avenue with 35 injuries and two fatalities within a ten-year period (July 1st, 1999 to June 30th, 2009) with thirty-five, with five pedestrian and four bicycle collisions. Due to the high number of injuries and fatality collision, this intersection were selected to compete for federal safety.

The collision problem has existed for a long time. Traffic calming has implemented attempting to reduce collisions. Majority of the collisions were related to Right-of-way assignment curvature and high speed.

04-OAKLAND-03 Page 4-1

2. Potential for Proposed Improvement to Correct or Improve the Problem

Describe how the proposed solution will improve the traffic safety at or near the project site. Clearly demonstrate the connection between the problem and the proposed solution. What other countermeasures were considered? Does the proposed solution provide safety benefits for all modes of travel? Does the countermeasure reduce speed? Increase visibility? Reduce collision severity? Reduce the occurrence of specific crash types? Enhance safety for persons with disabilities? Explain why the proposed solution is the preferred alternative.

Traffic signals and ADA-compliant curb ramps are proposed at the intersections of International and 78th Avenue, and International and 83rd Avenue. The traffic signal will assign right-of-way at the intersection and this should reduce right-of-way related collisions for pedestrians and cross-street traffic, and the ADA-compliant curb ramp will provide easier access to disable persons.

The proposed improvement will benefit all modes of travel. For motorist, the proposed traffic signals will provide clear right-of-way assignment to vehicles. Driver from the minor street would not need to worry about who has the right-of-way when they just pass the wide median. For bicycle, the proposed traffic signal will include bicycle detection where bicycles will be detected and be served with green time to cross the intersection. For pedestrian, the proposed improvements will definitely benefit from the project. In addition, to the installation of ADA-compliant curb ramp, the traffic signal will be installed with audible pedestrian push button which has a locator tune. Visually impaired person can easily locate where to cross and will receive direction as to when to cross the intersection. The traffic signal will also give right-of-way to pedestrian to cross the street.

The proposed improvements can also act as a speed calming measure. With no traffic control on International and 78th Avenue, and International and 83rd Avenue, the proposed traffic signal/control can act as a speed breaker. Traffic on International Boulevard will have to stop at the traffic signal when the traffic signal is red on International.

04-OAKLAND-03 Page 4-2

3. Potential for Timely Implementation of Project

Describe the time frame to implement the project (This timeframe and follow-up discussion must match the "Implementation Schedule" section of the application). Identify any potential barriers to a timely implementation. Are there likely environmental issues that could delay the project? Are there seasonal considerations for the construction period? Are all construction improvements within existing public rights of way? Have other local, regional or state funds been targeted for the project that have not yet been secured? Is there community support for, or opposition to the project?

There are no issues or concerns that may impact the delivery of the project. Once the City is awarded is with the project, the City will try to obtain the authorization to start the preliminary design within a six-month period. We will then expeditiously working with Caltrans to complete all required environmental studies and obtain the NEPA clearance. The City is foreseeing minimal environmental impact by this project since it is at an intersection, and the project is not proposing and major/lane changes to the intersection. All work will be within City's right-of-way, and no time is needed for right-of-way acquisition. The design will be done by City staff to reduce the time need to hire a consultant to do the design work.

If this project is selected to be funded by HSIP federal funds, local match is available to fund this project.

This project is supported by the community, and the City does not foresee any opposition to the project since it will improve the safety of the intersection.

04-OAKLAND-03 Page 4-3

Project Cost Estimate

Project Costs	,			
Preliminary Engineering Costs (Preliminary Engineering costs should not exceed 25% of	Construction Item costs)			
Environmental	\$ 20,000			
PS&E	\$ 136,375			
PE Subtotal	\$ 156,375			
Right of Way Costs (Right of Way costs should not exceed 10% of Construction)	on Item costs)			
Engineering	\$ -			
Appraisal and Acquisition	\$ -			
Utilities	\$ -			
ROW Subtotal	\$ -			
Construction Costs				
Construction Engineering (Construction Engineering costs should not exceed 18	\$ 93,825 d 15% of Construction Item costs)			
Construction Items (The cost for the "Construction Items" must match the	\$ 625,500 Detail Engineer's Estimate)			
CON Subtotal	\$ 719,325			
Project Cost Subtotal	\$ 875,700			
ontingencies	\$ 87,570			
aximum of 10% of Project Costs Subtotal)	·			
otal Project Cost	\$963,270			
ederal Funds Requested	\$866,900			
(Federal Funds must not exceed \$900,000 or 90% of Total	l Project Cost, whichever is less)			
ocal or other funds	\$96,370			

Implementation Schedule

This schedule is based on the assumption that the proposed project is amended into the FTIP on:	6/1//1111
The Local Agency is expected to deliver the project per Caltrans Local Assistance HSIP G	have the second management of the second management of the second management of
the project will be "flagged" in the program's delivery report.	
Request Authorization to Proceed with Preliminary Engineering	(PE)
If the PE phase for the project is already complete, check this box	
Time for agency to internally staff project and request PE authorization	4.0 Months
Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve PE Auth	1.5 Months
Proposed PE Authorization Date:	11/16/2011
Estimated Durations for elements of the PE delivery phase	
Will external consultants be required to complete the PE phase of this project?	
Additional time allocated to the Delivery Process for hiring PE consultant(s)	Months
Time to prepare environmental studies request	4.0 Months
Time to complete CEQA NEPA studies/approvals *	4.0 Months
Time to complete the Right of Way Acquisition (federal process)	Months
Time to complete final PS&E documentation	10.0 Months
Other:	Months
Expected Completion Date for the PE Phase:	5/16/2013
* See PES Form in the Local Assistance Procedures Manual for typical studies and permits	
Request Authorization to Proceed with Construction (CON)	
Time for agency to request CON authorization	4.5 Months
Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve CON Auth	1.5 Months
Proposed CON Authorization Date:	11/16/2013
Estimated Durations for elements of the CON delivery phase	
Time included for the Agency's workload-leveling or Construction-Window needs	3.0 Months
Time to award contract with CON contractor (using the federal process) Including: Board/Council approval, Advertise, Award, Execute, Mobilize	8.0 Months
Time to complete Construction	6.0 Months
Time included for closing the CON contract	2.0 Months
Other:	Months
Expected Completion Date for the CON Phase:	6/16/2015
Complete the Project Close-out Process	
Time to complete the Project Close-out Process	3.5 Months
Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve Project Clost-out	1.5 Months
Expected Completion Date for the Project Close Out:	11/16/2015

04-OAKLAND-03

Benefit / Cost Ratio Result

1. Summary of Project Countermeasures

Project Type	Countermeasure	Crash Type	CRF	Life
INTERSECTION (Ped & Bike)	Install pedestrian crossing	Ped & Bike	10	10
Control	Install new traffic signal	All	25	20
				_

2. Crash Data Time Period

From	7/1/1999	То	6/30/2009	Years	10.00
1			0.00		

3. Details of Each Countermeasures

A. Countermeasure #1: Install pedestrian crossing

a) Crash Data Summary

	Crash Type	Fatal	SI	Injury	MI	PDO	Total
	All					,	
	Night						
ſ	Ped & Bike	2		38		4	44
	Animal						
Į	Emerg Vehicle			*	·		

b) Result

Benefit (Annual)	\$102,232
Benefit (Life)	\$829,193
% of Total Cost	20
Cost	\$102,655

B/C Ratio	4.304

B. Countermeasure #2: Install new traffic signal

a) Crash Data Summary

Crash Type	Fatal	SI	Injury	Mi	PDO	Total
All	2		24		45	71
Night						
Ped & Bike						
Animal						
Emerg Vehicle			,			

b) Result

Benefit (Annual)	\$239,388
Benefit (Life)	\$3,253,354

% of Total Cost	80
Cost	\$770,621

B/C Ratio	4.222
-----------	-------

C. Countermeasure #3:

a) Crash Data Summary

		-				
Crash Type	Fatal	SI	Injury	MI	PDO	Total
All		,				
Night	-					
Ped & Bike						
Animal	,					
Emerg Vehicle						

b) Result

Benefit (Annual)	
Benefit (Life)	

% of Total Cost	
Cost	

	B/C Ratio	•
--	-----------	---

4. Total Benefit:

\$4,082,547

5. Total Project Cost:

\$963,276

6. Project's Total B/C Ratio:

4.238

Applicant Data Verification and Signature

All HSIP applications (hard-copies only) must be signed by a registered engineer or the Agency's Transportation Manager in responsible charge of their Traffic Engineering section. By signing and submitting this application, the engineer/manager is attesting to:

- 1. All data in the application is accurate.
- 2. All likely project costs are included in the Total Project Cost.
- 3. Each countermeasure included represents a minimum of 20% of the Total Project Cost
- 4. All crash data is accurately shown in the application and applied to countermeasures using generally accepted traffic engineering principles.
- 5. The agency understands the Project Delivery Requirements for the HSIP Program and is prepared to deliver the Project with these requirements.

Agency Official Name	Ade Oliwasogo
Engr. License # or Title	C 55964
Signature	Al-Parasaso
Date	December 9th, 2010

Application Attachments

Attachments to be included in Application

included	Not Included	
ledown		Vicinity map
		Project map showing existing and proposed conditions
⊙		Collision diagram
<u>©</u>		Collision summary report/list
•		Detailed Engineer's Estimate
<u>•</u>		Warrant studies (required when applicable to proposed improvement)
	•	Letter of Support from Caltrans
•	0	Additional Narration, Documentation, Photographs, Letters of Support, etc.