California Department of Transportation's

APPLICATION FOR HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) FUNDS

| | | - Cycle 4 - | | | | |
|----------|------------------------|---|-----|--|--|--|
| | | Submitted By | , | | | |
| | Agency: | OAKLAND | *. | | | |
| | Арр | lication Ranked #: 2 Out of : 4 | | | | |
| | | Project Location | | | | |
| | In the City Avenue. | of Oakland at the intersection of Bancroft Avenue and 94th | | | | |
| | Project Description | | | | | |
| | | n of traffic signal and ADA-compliant curb ramp at the n to improve safety for all mode of travel. | | | | |
| | | Project Countermeasures | | | | |
| Counterm | easure Type | Countermeasure Name | CM# | | | |
| Co | ontrol | Install new traffic signal | 1 | | | |
| | | Project's Total Benefit / Cost Ratio | | | | |
| , | · | 3.364 | | | | |
| | | Caltrans District | | | | |

04

Contents

| Cover Page | 1 |
|---|-----------|
| Contents | 2 |
| Project Information | |
| Basic Project Information | 3 |
| Narrative Questions | 4 |
| Project Cost Estimate | 5 |
| Implementation Schedule | . 6 |
| Benefit / Cost Calculation Result | . 7 |
| Applicant Data Verification and Signature | 8 |
| Attachments | |
| Vincinity Map | |
| Project map showing existing and proposed condition | s |
| Collision diagram | |
| Collision summary report/list | (|
| Detailed Engineer's Estimate | |
| Warrant studies | |
| Additional Narration, Documentation, Letters of Suppo | ort, etc. |

04-City of Oakland-02 Page 2

Basic Project Information

| Date | 12/09/2010 | Caltrans District | 04 | MPO | MTC |
|--|--|--|--|--|--------------|
| Agency | OAKLAND | | | Locode | 5012 |
| Total numb | per of applications | being submitted by your | agency | 4 | |
| Rank of thi | s project (each proje | ect application must have a dif | ferent rank) | 2 | |
| Contact P | Person Informat | ion | | | |
| Position/Tit | tle of Contact Pers | on Transportation Eng | ineer | | |
| Name | Si Lau | | | | |
| Email | slau@oakland | | | | • |
| Telephone | (510) 238-6105 | | | | • |
| Address | 250 Frank H. Og | awa Plaza, Suite 4344 | | | |
| County | ALAMEDA | City | | Zip | 94612 |
| Project In | formation | | | | |
| Project Loc - Be Brief - See Instru | | In the City of Oakland Avenue. | at the intersection | of Bancroft Aver | nue and 94th |
| , | | | | | |
| Project Des - Be Brief - See Instr | | Installation of traffic sig intersection to improve | | | at the |
| - Be Brief - See Instr | | | safety for all mode | | at the |
| - Be Brief - See Instr | ructions | intersection to improve 14-Urban Other Pr | safety for all mode | e of travel. | |
| - Be Brief - See Instr | ructions Classification | intersection to improve 14-Urban Other Pr | safety for all mode | e of travel. | 35 |
| - Be Brief - See Instr | ructions Classification | intersection to improve 14-Urban Other Pr Major Street | safety for all mode | e of travel. Posted Speed [(Required) | 35 |
| - Be Brief - See Instr Functional C | ructions Classification erage Daily Traffic | intersection to improve 14-Urban Other Pr Major Street Minor Street | incipal Arterial 24000 2002 | e of travel. Posted Speed [](Required)](For Intersection | 35 |
| - Be Brief - See Instr | ructions Classification erage Daily Traffic | intersection to improve 14-Urban Other Pr Major Street Minor Street Year Collected y on "Intersection" or "Ro | incipal Arterial 24000 2002 | e of travel. Posted Speed [](Required)](For Intersection | 35 |
| - Be Brief - See Instr Functional Current Ave Is the project Number of | ructions Classification erage Daily Traffic ct focused primarily | 14-Urban Other Pr Major Street Minor Street Year Collected y on "Intersection" or "Ro | incipal Arterial 24000 2002 adway" Improvement | e of travel. Posted Speed [](Required)](For Intersection | 35 |
| - Be Brief - See Instr Functional C Current Ave Is the project Number of Work on t | ructions Classification erage Daily Traffic ct focused primarily Intersections the State Highwa | 14-Urban Other Pr Major Street Minor Street Year Collected y on "Intersection" or "Ro | incipal Arterial 24000 2002 adway" Improvement of Roadway miles | e of travel. Posted Speed [](Required)](For Intersection | 35 |
| - Be Brief - See Instr Functional 6 Current Ave Is the project Number of Work on t Does the pr | ructions Classification erage Daily Traffic ct focused primarily Intersections the State Highwa | intersection to improve 14-Urban Other Pr Major Street Minor Street Year Collected on "Intersection" or "Ro Number ay System | incipal Arterial 24000 2002 adway" Improvement of Roadway miles | e of travel. Posted Speed [](Required)](For Intersection ent Intersection N/A | 35 |
| - Be Brief - See Instr Functional Current Ave Is the project Number of Work on t Does the profit of Yes, is the second seco | ructions Classification erage Daily Traffic ct focused primarily Intersections the State Highwa roject include impr | intersection to improve 14-Urban Other Pr Major Street Minor Street Year Collected y on "Intersection" or "Ro 1 Number ay System ovements on the State F | incipal Arterial 24000 2002 adway" Improvement of Roadway miles Highway System? | e of travel. Posted Speed [](Required)](For Intersection ent [Intersection] N/A | 35 |

adfasdfasdfasdfsd Page 3

Narrative Questions

These narrative questions are intended to provide additional project details for the reviewers and project files. These questions will be used in the scoring of projects that do not make the initial funding cut based fully on their Benefit/Cost Ratios.

1. Identification and Demonstration of Need

Describe how was the problem identified. Provide information showing the agency identified the project based on a data-driven, comprehensive safety evaluation of their roadway infrastructure and crash data. Given that other problems may exist within the applicant's jurisdiction, explain why this problem was chosen to compete for federal safety funds. Provide some background information about the problem: How long has the problem existed? Have other countermeasures been deployed? Describe the primary cause(s) of the collisions that have occurred at the location. Are there patterns in the crash types? Attach and reference any collision data, traffic data, community surveys, reports, plans, pictures, etc. to illustrate the problem.

Bancroft Ave is a major arterial running north and south with one vehicular lane and a bike lane in each direction. The wide median on Bancroft Avenue makes it hard for driver and pedestrian to observe the right-of-way assignment. There is no traffic control along Bancroft Avenue between 90th Avenue and 98th Avenue which total to 2150 feet long. The intersection with 94th Avenue is in the middle of this stretch of road. It is heavily used by both vehicles and pedestrians.

Statewide Intergrated Traffic Record System (SWITRS) was used to identify high collision locations, and Bancroft was found to be one of the corridors with high incidents. After further reviewed of the collision data, it was found that the intersection of Bancroft Avenue and 94th Avenue has a significant number of collisions. There have been fifty-four recorded collisions at the intersection of Bancroft Avenue and 94th Avenue within a ten-year period (July 1st, 1999 to June 30th, 2009) with twenty-two injuries and one fatality. Due to the high number of injuries and fatality collision, this intersection was selected to compete for federal safety.

The collision problem has existed for a long time. Traffic calming has implemented attempting to reduce collisions. Majority of the collisions were right-angle collisions. Right-of-way assignment seems to be the issue when vehicle from 94th Avenue are making their way through the wide median

2. Potential for Proposed Improvement to Correct or Improve the Problem

Describe how the proposed solution will improve the traffic safety at or near the project site. Clearly demonstrate the connection between the problem and the proposed solution. What other countermeasures were considered? Does the proposed solution provide safety benefits for all modes of travel? Does the countermeasure reduce speed? Increase visibility? Reduce collision severity? Reduce the occurrence of specific crash types? Enhance safety for persons with disabilities? Explain why the proposed solution is the preferred alternative.

A traffic signal and ADA-compliant curb ramps are proposed at the intersection of Bancroft Avenue and 93rd Avenue. The traffic signal will assign right-of-way at the intersection which as a result should reduce right-of-way related collisions and the ADA-compliant curb ramp will provide easier access to disable persons.

The proposed improvement will benefit all modes of travel. For motorist, the proposed traffic signal will provide clear right-of-way assignment to vehicles. Driver from the minor street would not need to worry about who has the right-of-way when they just pass the wide median. For bicycle, the proposed traffic signal will include bicycle detection where bicycles will be detected and be served with green time to cross the intersection. For pedestrian, the proposed improvements will definitely benefit from the project. In addition, to the installation of ADA-compliant curb ramp, the traffic signal will be installed with audible pedestrian push button which has a locator tune. Visually impaired person can easily locate where to cross and will receive direction as to when to cross the intersection. The traffic signal will also give right-of-way to pedestrian to cross the street.

The proposed improvements can also act as a speed calming measure. With no traffic control on Bancroft Avenue between 90th Avenue and 98th Avenue, the proposed traffic signal/control can act as a speed breaker. Traffic on Bancroft Avenue will have to stop at the traffic signal when the traffic signal is red on Bancroft Avenue.

3. Potential for Timely Implementation of Project

Describe the time frame to implement the project (This timeframe and follow-up discussion must match the "Implementation Schedule" section of the application). Identify any potential barriers to a timely implementation. Are there likely environmental issues that could delay the project? Are there seasonal considerations for the construction period? Are all construction improvements within existing public rights of way? Have other local, regional or state funds been targeted for the project that have not yet been secured? Is there community support for, or opposition to the project?

There are no issues or concerns that may impact the delivery of the project. Once the City is awarded is with the project, the City will try to obtain the authorization to start the preliminary design within a six-month period. We will then expeditiously working with Caltrans to complete all required environmental studies and obtain the NEPA clearance. The City is foreseeing minimal environmental impact by this project since it is at an intersection, and the project is not proposing and major/lane changes to the intersection. All work will be within City's right-of-way, and no time is needed for right-of-way acquisition. The design will be done by City staff to reduce the time need to hire a consultant to do the design work.

If this project is selected to be funded by HSIP federal funds, local match is available to fund this project.

This project is supported by the community, and the City does not foresee any opposition to the project since it will improve the safety of the intersection.

04-City of Oakland-02

Project Cost Estimate

| Project Costs | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Preliminary Engineering Costs (Preliminary Engineering costs should not exceed 25% of Cons | struction Item costs) |
| Environmental | \$ 10,000 |
| PS&E | \$ 77,500 |
| PE Subtotal | \$ 87,500 |
| Right of Way Costs (Right of Way costs should not exceed 10% of Construction Ite | m costs) |
| Engineering | \$ - |
| Appraisal and Acquisition | \$ - |
| Utilities | \$ - |
| ROW Subtotal | \$ - |
| Construction Costs | |
| Construction Engineering (Construction Engineering costs should not exceed 15% or | \$ 52,500 f Construction Item costs) |
| Construction Items (The cost for the "Construction Items" must match the Detail | \$ 350,000 ail Engineer's Estimate) |
| CON Subtotal | \$ 402,500 |
| Project Cost Subtotal | \$ 490,000 |
| Contingencies | \$ 49,000 |
| Maximum of 10% of Project Costs Subtotal) | |
| Total Project Cost | \$539,000 |
| Federal Funds Requested | \$485,100 |
| (Federal Funds must not exceed \$900,000 or 90% of Total Proj | ect Cost, whichever is less) |
| | |

Implementation Schedule

| This schedule is based on the assumption that the proposed project is amended into the FTIP on | M/1//11/11 |
|--|--|
| The Local Agency is expected to deliver the project per Caltrans Local Assistance HSIP Gethe project will be "flagged" in the program's delivery report. | |
| Request Authorization to Proceed with Preliminary Engineering | (PE) |
| If the PE phase for the project is already complete, check this box | |
| Time for agency to internally staff project and request PE authorization | 4.0 Months |
| Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve PE Auth | 1.5 Months |
| Proposed PE Authorization Date: | 11/16/2011 |
| Estimated Durations for elements of the PE delivery phase Will external consultants be required to complete the PE phase of this project? Additional time allocated to the Delivery Process for hiring PE consultant(s) Time to prepare environmental studies request Time to complete CEQA NEPA studies/approvals * Time to complete the Right of Way Acquisition (federal process) Time to complete final PS&E documentation Other: Expected Completion Date for the PE Phase: * See PES Form in the Local Assistance Procedures Manual for typical studies and permits Request Authorization to Proceed with Construction (CON) Time for agency to request CON authorization | Months 4.0 Months 4.0 Months Months 10.0 Months Months 5/16/2013 |
| Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve CON Auth | 1.5 Months |
| Proposed CON Authorization Date: | 11/16/2013 |
| Estimated Durations for elements of the CON delivery phase Time included for the Agency's workload-leveling or Construction-Window needs | 3.0 Months |
| Time to award contract with CON contractor (using the federal process) Including: Board/Council approval, Advertise, Award, Execute, Mobilize | 8.0 Months |
| Time to complete Construction | 6.0 Months |
| Time included for closing the CON contract | 2.0 Months |
| Other: | Months |
| Expected Completion Date for the CON Phase: | 6/16/2015 |
| Complete the Project Close-out Process | |
| Time to complete the Project Close-out Process | 3.5 Months |
| Time for Caltrans and FHWA to process and approve Project Clost-out | 1.5 Months |
| Expected Completion Date for the Project Close Out: | 11/16/2015 |

04-City of Oakland-02

Benefit / Cost Ratio Result

1. Summary of Project Countermeasures

| Project Type | Countermeasure | Crash Type | CRF | Life |
|--------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----|------|
| Control | ntrol Install new traffic signal | | | 20 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

2. Crash Data Time Period

| From | 7/1/1999 | То | 6/30/2009 | Years | 10.00 |
|------|----------|----|-----------|-------|-------|

3. Details of Each Countermeasures

A. Countermeasure #1: Install new traffic signal

a) Crash Data Summary

| Crash Type | Fatal | SI | Injury | MI | PDO | Total |
|---------------|-------|----|--------|----|-----|-------|
| All | 1 | | . 22 | | 31 | 54 |
| Night | | | | | | |
| Ped & Bike | | | | | | |
| Animal | | | | | | |
| Emerg Vehicle | | | | | | |

b) Result

| Benefit (Annual) | \$133,432 |
|------------------|-------------|
| Benefit (Life) | \$1,813,391 |
| | |

| % of Total Cost | 100 |
|-----------------|-----------|
| Cost | \$539,000 |

| B/C Ratio | 3.364 |
|-----------|-------|

B. Countermeasure #2:

a) Crash Data Summary

| Crash Type | Fatal | SI | Injury | MI | PDO | Total |
|---------------|-------|----|--------|----|-----|-------|
| All | | | | | | |
| Night | | | | | | |
| Ped & Bike | | | | | | |
| Animal | | | | | | |
| Emerg Vehicle | | | | | | |

b) Result

| Benefit (Annual) | |
|------------------|--|
| Benefit (Life) | |

| % of Total Cost | , | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Cost | | |

| B/C Ratio | |
|-----------|--|

C. Countermeasure #3:

a) Crash Data Summary

| a, c. acr. Data cammar, | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|----|--------|----|-----|-------|
| Crash Type | Fatal | SI | Injury | MI | PDO | Total |
| All | | | | | | |
| Night | | | | | | |
| Ped & Bike | | | | | | |
| Animal | | | | | | |
| Emerg Vehicle | | | | | | |

b) Result

| Benefit (Annual) | |
|------------------|--|
| Benefit (Life) | |

| % of Total Cost | |
|-----------------|--|
| Cost | |

| B/C Ratio | |
|-----------|--|
| 2,01,000 | |

4. Total Benefit:

\$1,813,391

5. Total Project Cost:

\$539,000

6. Project's Total B/C Ratio:

3.364

Applicant Data Verification and Signature

All HSIP applications (hard-copies only) must be signed by a registered engineer or the Agency's Transportation Manager in responsible charge of their Traffic Engineering section. By signing and submitting this application, the engineer/manager is attesting to:

- 1. All data in the application is accurate.
- 2. All likely project costs are included in the Total Project Cost.
- 3. Each countermeasure included represents a minimum of 20% of the Total Project Cost
- 4. All crash data is accurately shown in the application and applied to countermeasures using generally accepted traffic engineering principles.
- 5. The agency understands the Project Delivery Requirements for the HSIP Program and is prepared to deliver the Project with these requirements.

| Agency Official Name | Wladimir Wlassowsky | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Engr. License # or Title | C 40013 | |
| Signature | LIK . | |
| Date | 12/09/10 | |

Application Attachments

Attachments to be included in Application

| Included | Not Included | |
|----------|-----------------|--|
| © | | Vicinity map |
| • | | Project map showing existing and proposed conditions |
| © | | Collision diagram |
| © | | Collision summary report/list |
| • | | Detailed Engineer's Estimate |
| © | | Warrant studies (required when applicable to proposed improvement) |
| O | © | Letter of Support from Caltrans |
| © | 0 | Additional Narration, Documentation, Photographs, Letters of Support, etc. |