From:	linda harris
To:	Klein, Heather
Cc:	Neighbors for Safety in Hiller Highlands List; Donna Karch; Nadine Prah
Subject:	Bentley traffic cones
Date:	Wednesday, January 23, 2013 6:30:59 PM

Dear Heather:

The DKS report has included the qualifier on the flares without giving any data. This language, like other phrases in the report, seems to be part of a template rather than any indication of actual observation.

I frequently drive through the loading zone and have seen flares without power but have never seen one flying. I think the issue is that they are expensive to maintain, not that they are unsafe.

Can we please have a clarification from DKS about their observations of the flares flying?

When the traffic lanes were created neighbors had concerns about the extra lanes because the roadway is not wide enough to be striped. Cones were too big and slowed traffic when they moved. Dilineators like on Ashby were problematic for neighbors because they would have been permanently installed on domes in the road and the uprights break off adding to the city's overtaxed maintenance schedule. They are unslightly for a residential neighborhood.

The Memorial Garden is important to neighbors. Adding permanent traffic elements along Hiller Drive would detract from viewing and using the garden. The delineators will not reduce Bentley's traffic which is the real problem. And they are likely to create more center line crossings. NSHH and Hiller Associations want to be part of the discussion about any modification to the current use of flares on Hiller.

Thank you,

Linda Harris for NSHH

Sent from my iPhone