

2016 Countywide Transportation Plan Project, Plan and Program Information Form

This funding application is a Google workbook, which the Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC) has shared with you. If you haven't used this program before, you should find that it functions very similarly to MS Excel. You have permission to View this file only. Please take the following steps so you can fill in the application blanks and share it with colleagues:

1. Sign In or Sign Up.

Sign In with any address that has been registered with Google (not necessarily a gmail address). If you have no such address, then click on the Sign Up link. You can Sign Up with any email address.

2. Make a copy of the file.

Once you are Signed In to Google, you will have permission to make a copy of the file by clicking on File, then Make a Copy and entering a unique filename according to the following convention:

Alameda CTP_JURISDICTION NAME_1-2 WORD PROJECT NAME

3. Complete the application.

You are now owner of the new file. This gives you permission to edit all yellow shaded cells.

4. Share the file with your colleagues.

If you would like to share the file with others, you may click on the blue Share button in the upper right corner of the screen and enter their email addresses. Indicate if you would like to grant editing, commenting or just viewing privileges. Invitees will receive an email that contains a link to the file, which can be opened on any computer. Any reviewer (regardless of privileges) can comment using the Comments button, which is to the left of the Share button.

The file has seven numbered sections, one per worksheet tab, which run along the bottom of the file (see list below). Click on these tabs to navigate through the sections of the application. Each worksheet has been protected so that only the yellow-shaded input cells can be selected and edited. Cells shaded pink auto-fill from information entered elsewhere in the application. Many cells contain drop-down menus. To activate a menu, select the cell and click on the arrow displayed. Please note that Google saves edits continuously; there is no need to manually save the file.

Please note the following:

- + Sponsors of large expansion projects may be asked to provide additional information to enable MTC to model the project.
- + Alameda CTC does not require governing body resolutions to approve funding requests; however, within two months of funding approval, Project Sponsors must submit a resolution authorizing acceptance of the recommended funding award.
- + Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

After your agency has completed the application, please share it with CTP@alamedactc.org by clicking on the Share button, and granting Viewing privileges. The file must be received by Alameda CTC by Friday, July 31, 2015 at 5:00 pm. No late applications will be accepted.

Email CTPTechSupport@alamedactc.org for application technical support.
Email CTPAppContent @alamedactc.org for questions about the content of the application.

Section 1: General Information

Section 2: Need and Benefits

Section 3: Readiness and Maintenance

Section 4: Milestone Schedule

Section 5: Cost and Funding (use the Cost Estimation Guide)

Section 6: Plans and Studies

Section 7: Additional Information and Attachments

SECTION 1 | GENERAL INFORMATION

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

A. Project/Plan/Program Ir	nformation			
1. Existing RTP ID number, if appli				
2. Title	City-Wide Pedestrian Ma	aster Plan Implementation		
		of the project/plan/program, IOT Implement Bus Rapid Trai Ss.		
3. Sponsor agency			City of 0	Oakland Oakland
		3a. If other, specify		
4. Implementing agency			City of 0	Dakland
		4a. If other, specify		
			O'' C	211
5. Operating agency			City of C	Oakland
		5a. If other, specify		
6. Brief description	Pedestrian Master Plan.	ent city-wide capital invest A separate application is f rell as programmatic eleme	iled for the SR2S program	ty as articulated in the n containing multimodal
	implement BRT from City A response is limited to 300 o	of work. Describe what the p to City B. The project will op characters, including spaces.		
7a. General location	City-Wide			
7b. Limits (to/from), if applicable				
7c. Length (miles), if applicable				
8. Planning Area				North
	North planning area	Central planning area	South planning area	East planning area
9a. If in a PDA, which one?	Oakland—Coliseum BAR	T Station Area		
9b. If in more than one PDA, pleas	se select from columns at	ove and indicate below a	ny additional PDAs.	
		don Square, West Oakland enter, MacArthur Transit V		, Fruitvale and Dimond
10. Does the jurisdiction in which policy?	the project/plan/program	n is located have an adop	ted Complete Streets	Yes
11. Certification date of the Housi	ng Element for the jurisd	iction in which the project	t/plan/program is locate	d
			Month	Year
			Mar	2015
12a. Mode/Category				Pedestrian
12b. If multiple or other categorie	s, list them			
		Projects (capital / infrastructure)	Plans	Programs / Operations (non-capital projects)

SECTION 1 | GENERAL INFORMATION

5. Email

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries i applicant for resubmittal.	n shaded cells) will be de	emed nonresponsive, an	d will be returned to the			
13a. Project/plan/program Type	Pedestrian		Pedestrian			
13b. If other or multiple types, list them						
B. Contact information for sponsor agency						
1. Name	Christina Blackston					
2. Title	Pedestrian Program Fellow					
3a. Sponsor Agency	City of Oakland					
3b. If other agency, specify						
4. Phone	(510) 238-6657					

cblackston@oaklandnet.com

SECTION 2 | **NEED AND BENEFITS**

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

A. Need for and Benefits of Project / Plan / Program

1. Describe the need for the project/plan/program. Your response is limited to 750 characters, including spaces.

Oakland's Pedestrian Master Plan, scheduled for adoption in April 2016, will define a five-year implementation plan for pedestrian-related investments. It will include programs related to education, safety, and enforcement, but recommendations will mostly consist of infrastructure projects targeted towards increasing pedestrian safety at high-collision intersections and corridors. From 2008 - 2013 Oakland has averaged 267 pedestrian-vehicle collisions per year, with 9.7% resulting in fatal or severe injury. In order to encourage walking as an alternative transportation mode, Oakland must invest in creating safe streets.

2. Describe the benefits the project/plan/program will provide and how it addresses the stated need. Your response is limited to 750 characters, including spaces.

Developing walking as a safe, convenient, and joyful mode of transportation has a myriad of benefits for local jurisdictions. These benefits accrue to public health, injury prevention, healthy lifestyles, air quality, climate change, energy efficiency, energy independence, quality of life, and urban revitalization. Walking is a mode that all residents can enjoy at no cost, making it the most socially equitable mode. Everyone is a pedestrian at some point in his or her day. Increasing safety and walkability will provide myriad benefits to all users of Oakland's transportation system.

B. Connectivity, Access, Land Use, and Community Livability

1a. Will the project/plan/program enhance multimodal and/or intermodal connectivity?

Yes

1b. Will this project/plan/program complement existing transportation services?

Yes

1c. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1a-b, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

Throughout the AC Transit network, 95% of riders report walking to their first bus stop. Across all BART stations in Oakland, 12-70% of home-based trips use walking to access the BART station. Of the non home-based trips reported, 13-93% of station trips were made via walking.

2a. Are there activity centers within 1 mile of the project/plan/program (e.g. central business districts and major employment centers)?

Yes

2b. Will the project/plan/program connect two or more jurisdictions?

Yes

2c. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 2a or 2b, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

Improvements will be made in and around Oakland's central business district, as well as nine pedestrian commercial corridors identified by the Pedestrian Master Plan. Improved pedestrian safety will help to connect Oakland to the neighboring jurisdictions of Berkeley, Piedmont and San Leandro by making walking a more viable mode.

3a. Are any disadvantaged or otherwise vulnerable populations served or otherwise benefitted by the project/plan/program (e.g. transit dependent populations, communities of concern, minority, low-income, elderly, disabled populations)?

Yes

3b. Will the project/plan/program have any negative impacts on disadvantaged or otherwise vulnerable populations?

Nο

3c. Will this project/plan/program directly benefit these populations?

Yes

3d. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 3a-c, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

Disadvantaged populations are more reliant on active transportation modes, such as walking, because there is no cost barrier to entry. Additionally, many of the projects will specifically be in East Oakland neighborhoods that are traditionally more disadvanataged.

SECTION 2 | **NEED AND BENEFITS**

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonrespon	sive, and will be
returned to the applicant for resubmittal.	

4a. Will this project/plan/program improve bicycle access?

..

4b. Will this project/plan/program improve pedestrian access?

No Yes

4c. Will this project/plan/program improve transit access?

Yes

4d. Will this project/plan/program improve safe routes to schools?

Yes

4e. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 4a-d, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

4b: This project is focused on improving pedestrian safety and access 4c: Access to transit will be improved by creating a safer and more pleasant walking environment. 4d: See Oakland's separate Safe Routes to Schools application.

5a. Is there demonstrated demand for the project/program/plan (e.g. community support, documented priority, ridership trends)?

Yes

5b. If "yes," explain, including the degree to which the project/plan/program addresses this demand and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

Through the survey being administered for the Pedestrian Master Plan, the community is in the process of identifying specific improvements, which will then be incorporated into the five-year implementation plan. There will also be attendance at community meetings to make communities aware of the Plan and for the City to receive feedback on types of improvements desired.

C. State of Good Repair

1. Will the project/plan/program correct a deteriorating condition?

No

2. Will the project/plan/program address past deferred maintenance?

No

3. Will the project/plan/program replace capital assets that have exceeded their useful life?

No

4. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1-3, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

D. Technology and Innovation

1. Will the project/plan/program incorporate innovative or non-traditional design treatments or service elements?

No

2. Will the project/plan/program promote innovative vehicle technology or ITS coordination?

No

3. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1 or 2, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

E. Environmental Benefits

1. Will the project/plan/program promote modal shifts that encourage less dependence on motorized transportation and thus a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions?

Yes

2. Will the project/plan/program reduce Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), including freight or heavy vehicles?

Yes

3. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1 or 2, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

By making walking a safer and more pleasant mode, residents and visitors will be encouraged to replace motorized vehicle trips with walk trips, thus reducing greenhouse gas emissions and VMT. The first two minutes of operation of a motor vehicle engine leads to especially high emissions, meaning that replacing shorter vehicle trips with walk trips could mean significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions.

F. Capacity and Congestion

SECTION 2 | **NEED AND BENEFITS**

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

1. Will the project/plan/program reduce motor vehicle congestion and/or delay?

2. Will the project/plan/program reduce public transit travel time and/or delay?

3. Will the project/plan/program reduce crowding on public transit?

4. Will the project/plan/program increase the capacity of the transportation system?

5. Will the project/plan/program increase efficiency of the transportation system?

6. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1-5, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

By encouraging walk trips rather than vehicle trips, there will be fewer vehicles on the road and therefore less congestion. By creating a safe walking environment, residents and visitors will be encouraged to use walking in place of public transit. Increasing the viability of using walking as a trip mode will relieve pressure on the capacity of the current transportation system. By encouraging walk trips over vehicle trips, the efficiency of the transportation system, which includes sidewalks and pedestrian pathways, can be increased.

G. Safety Improvements

1. Will the project/plan/program increase public safety by reducing collision risk for one or more modes?

Yes

2. Will the project/plan/program incorporate countermeasures to address conflicts and/or collisions?

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

3. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1 or 2, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

Yes, the physical improvements implemented will specifically be countermeasures to address safety at high-collision intersections and corridors, based on an analysis of 2008 - 2013 SWITRS data for pedestrian-vehicle collisions. Currently, 21 miles of streets and 9 stand-alone intersections have been identified as being the most in need of pedestrian safety investments. Because collisions are relatively rare occurences, the Plan will also identify corridors and intersections that have similar characteristics to those that have seen high amounts of collisions, in order to introduce preventative countermeasures.

H. Economic Growth

1. Will the project/plan/program promote economic growth, connectivity to jobs, or short- and/or long-term job creation?

Yes

2. If "yes," explain, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

Increasing the amount of walking, specifically in retail corridors, has the ability to drive economic growth. See Tab 7 for the Temescal Shopper Survey which demonstrates that, over the course of a month, pedestrians spent the most money in the Temescal district because of frequent visits to the businesses along Telegraph Avenue.

SECTION 3 | **READINESS AND MAINTENANCE**

Only applicants seeking funding for capital projects need to complete this page.

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

A. Detailed Project Information

1.	Expanded	project	description	or scope	(1.500	characters	or	less)

The City-Wide Pedestrian Master Plan Implementation and Capital Investments Program will make infrastructure improvements along the currently identified 21 miles of high-injury corridors and at 9 standalone intersections. In order to achieve these safety investments within a five-year timeframe, six corridors and two standalone intersections per year will be treated with the recommended countermeasures. The Plan will identify additional intersections and corridors with similar characteristics in order to preventatively introduce safety countermeasures to them. Recommended countermeasures will be documented in the safety chapter of the Pedestrian Master Plan, but each location will require site specific project development and delivery. The overall program will require a minimum of 3 FTEs to operate and manage projects. This includes one project manager and two engineers, totaling \$750,000 per year in additional staffing needs to carry out the implementation.

B. Initial Project Developmen	t			
1. Has initial project development be	een completed?			No
2a. Document type				
2b. If other, specify.			'	
3a. Document title				
3b. Approval date				
C. Project Delivery (current p	hase, environmental, right-of-	way, design)		
CURRENT STATUS				
1a. Current project development ph	ase			
1b. Status (% complete)				
ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE				
2a. Indicate which document type redates.	equired for CEQA and NEPA and approv	val date for each. If not yet app	oved, provide e	stimated
2b. CEQA Document Type		Approval da	te	
2c. Please explain if not applicable.	Project specific decision, usually will b	e an exemption		
2d. NEPA Document Type		Approval da	te	
2e. Please explain if not applicable.	Project specific decision			
3a. Are there any issues that might co	omplicate the environmental clearance	e process?		
3b. If yes, please explain.	Project specific			

SECTION 3 | READINESS AND MAINTENANCE

4. When was the plan adopted?

Only applicants seeking funding for capital projects need to complete this page. REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal. 4a. Are all of the required rights-of-way secured for the project/program, including for easements and utilities? No 4b. If no, describe any new right-of-way, permits or easements required and when they will be acquired. Year of Location Current owner acquisition Project specific - TBD 4c. Are there other right-of-way issues that may affect the project? No 4d. If yes, please explain. **DESIGN** 5a. Are detailed designs complete? Nο 5b. If yes, what stage has been completed? **OTHER** 6. Below, describe any potential challenges/risks to project delivery (i.e., to the project scope, cost or schedule). Projects are developed by location, type and/or phase. Individual locations or corridors may present special circumstances. D. Project Sustainability 1a. Agency responsible for sustaining and maintaining the project beyond project completion? **Applicant** 1b. If other, please specify. 2. What maintenance agreements are needed, with whom, and are they in place? Please indicate if applicant will maintain the project. With whom In place? Agreement MOAs, if necessary Adjacent/overlapping jurisdictions Is no maintenance agreement needed because applicant will maintain the project? 3a. What is the expected lifespan (in years) of this project, once implemented or constructed? 3b. If documentation exists of this projected lifespan, indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7. E. Contained in a Plan Yes 1. Is the project called for in an adopted plan? 2. If yes, what is the name of the plan? 2016 Pedestrian Master Plan 3. What body adopted the plan?

City Council

After 2015

SECTION 3 | **READINESS AND MAINTENANCE**

Only applicants seeking funding for capital projects need to complete this page.

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

F. Support

1. Has applicable governing body indicated support for the project/plan/program?

Yes

Yes

2. Have partners provided support for the project/plan/program?

Yes

- 3. Have community members indicated support for the project?
- 4. If so, indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation in Tab 7.

SECTION 4 | PROJECT DELIVERY -- MILESTONE SCHEDULE

Complete Section A for Capital Projects, Section B for Plans/Studies, Section C for Non-Capital Projects. Complete more than one section ONLY for projects with more than one project type.

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

A. Capital Projects

Provide the actual or projected begin and end dates for the following programming and project milestones:

	Begin Date		End		Date	
	Month		Year	Month		Year
Initial Project Development/Scoping	Jan		2016			
Environmental (CEQA)						
Environmental (NEPA)						
Detailed Design	Jun		2016			
Right-of-Way	Aug		2016			
Construction	Jun		2017	Jun		After 2025
Plans or Studies	Jun		2016			
Equipment Capital						
Programs & Operations						
Maintenance						

B. Plans

Provide the actual or projected dates for the following:	Month	Year
Complete Scope of Work		
Release RFP for Consultant Work		
Begin consultant work - Notice to Proceed		
Draft Plan/Study Complete		
Final Plan/Study Complete		
Plan Adoption		
Submit Final Invoice/ Project Closeout		

C. Programs / Operations

Provide key tasks, milestones and/or phases that are related to readiness to start a non-capital project or program, and indicate the month and year.

	Month	Year
Hiring of staff	Jun	2016

SECTION 4 | PROJECT DELIVERY -- MILESTONE SCHEDULE

Complete Section A for Capital Projects, Section B for Plans/Studies, Section C for Non-Capital Projects. Complete more than one section ONLY for projects with more than one project type.

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

	Aug	2016
Submit Final Invoice/ Project Closeout		

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

A. Costs

1. Enter funds already spent on project/plan/ in blue column, funds that will be spent in next 5 FISCAL years in yellow columns, and funds that will be spent in more than five years in green column (in \$1,000s), regardless of source.

(Provide figures in 1,000s of 2017 dollars*)

	(Frovide figures in 1,000s of 2017 dollars)							
Project phase	Prior	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	After 2020/21	Total
Initial Project Development/Scoping	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -
Environmental (CEQA)	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -
Environmental (NEPA)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -
Detailed Design	\$-	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 1,875	\$ 3,750
Right-of-Way	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -
Construction	\$ -	\$ 3,775	\$ 3,775	\$ 3,775	\$ 3,775	\$ 3,775	\$ 18,875	\$ 37,750
Plans or Studies	\$ 257	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ 257
Equipment Capital	\$-						\$-	\$ -
Programs & Operations	\$-	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 1,875	\$ 3,750
Maintenance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-
Other (please specify)	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-
Total	\$ 257	\$ 4,525	\$ 4,525	\$ 4,525	\$ 4,525	\$ 4,525	\$ 22,625	\$ 45,507

^{*} Use Cost Estimation Guide

B. Funding

1. Currently Programmed Funds

(Provide figures in 1,000s of 2017 dollars)

	Phase	Funding Source	Prior	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	After 2020/21	Total
	Plans or studies	Measure B DLD	\$ 257	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ 257
	Detailed design	Measure B DLD	\$ 143	\$ 400					\$-	\$ 543
	Construction	Measure BB DLD	\$ 600	\$ 600	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ 1,200
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ -
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ -
			\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -
			\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -
			\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$-
			\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$-
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ -
r (please specify)			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ -
		Total	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ 2,000

2. Funding Needs (Identify funding needs corresponding to the cost schedule in Part A. Identify amount requested in the "Funding Requested" line.)

Phase	Funding Source	If Preference, Specify
Construction	1. Funding requested with	
Detailed design	1. Funding requested with	
Programs & operations	1. Funding requested with	
Construction	2. Local uncommitted fund	Assume current b/BB DLD CIP

	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	After 2020/21	Total
	\$ 2,775	\$ 2,775	\$ 2,775	\$ 2,775	\$ 2,775	\$ 13,875	\$ 27,750
	\$ -	\$7	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 1,875	\$ 3,007
							\$ -
	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 1,875	\$ 3,750
	\$ -	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 9,000
tal	\$ 3.150	\$ 4.157	\$ 4.525	\$ 4.525	\$ 4.525	\$ 22.625	\$ 43,507

Table B2 funding source notes:

Other

- 1. Funding requested with this application: Alameda CTC Discretionary Funds are funds under Alameda CTC discretionary purview such as STP/CMAQ (known as OBAG), STIP, TFCA, Lifeline, Regional Measures, Measure B/BB/VRF. Applicant will be required to fulfill the program requirements of the Federal, State, Regional, and Local fund sources awarded. Failure or inability to do so may limit funding eligibility and programming.
- 2. Local uncommitted funds: Locally available funds such as Measure B/BB/VRF Direct Local Distributions, developer fees, gas tax, etc. are considered unprogrammed until the local governing body approves allocation.
- 3. Other/TBD (non-Alameda CTC): Funds distributed by agencies other than Alameda CTC that are awaiting award confirmation (e.g. MTC Regional discretionary funds, SR2S, ATP, TIGER, CBDG, etc.).
- 4. Other/TBD (Alameda CTC): Additional funds needed beyond those requested and other uncommitted and/or TBD funds.

	Public			Pedes-	Local Sts &	Goods		
	Transit	Highway	Bicycle	trian	Rds	Mvmnt	Total	
3. Project/Plan/Program Mode by % (must sum to 100%)				100%			100%	1

C. Partial Funding / Project Phasing

1. Can the project be implemented with partial funding through reduction of scope?

Yes

2. Describe possible scope reductions that could be applied to the project using reduced funding.

As the project consists of 21 corridors and 9 stand-alone intersections, plus potential additional intersections and corridors, the capital improvements can be implemented in phases, by type of improvement or by corridor/intersection.

SECTION 6 | PLANS AND STUDIES

Only applicants of funding for plans or studies need to complete this page.

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

1a. Type of plan or study

1b. If other, please specify

1c. If an update, in what year was the original last adopted?

2a. Will the plan or study be adopted by a governing body?

2b. If yes, provide the name of the approving body

3. Describe the proposed environmental review of the pla

SECTION 7 | ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND ATTACHMENTS

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

A. Additional Information

Provide any additional information for consideration. Indicate to which section and question the information pertains.

B: AC Transit Access Data: http://www.actransit.org/wp-content/uploads/board_memos/13-114a%20Onboard%20Survey.pdf

B: BART Access Data: http://www.bart.gov/about/reports/profile (sortable Excel data files, Home origin and Non-home origin)

H: Temescal Shopper Survey: http://www2.oaklandnet.com/oakca1/groups/pwa/documents/report/oak053986.pdf

The detailed timeline for completion and adoption of the Pedestrian Master Plan can be found here: http://oaklandnet.com/pedplan. As the Plan is still in its beginning stages, detailed information as to specific project costs and milestones is currently unavailable.

B. Attachments

Email attachments to CTP@alamedactc.org. Name files according to the following convention: Alameda CTP JURISDICTION NAME 1-2 WORD PROJECT NAME Attachment #XX

Aldifiedd CTP_JONISDICTION NAIME_1-2 WOND PROJECT NAIME_ALLUCIIITEIL #XX			
1	Refer to KMZ		
2	Alameda CTP_Oakland_PedImplementation_Attachment #1_highrisklocations		
3	Alameda CTP_Oakland_PedImplementation_Attachment #2_costestimates		
4	Alameda CTP_Oakland_PedImplementation_Attachment #3_memo		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			