

2016 Countywide Transportation Plan Project, Plan and Program Information Form

This funding application is a Google workbook, which the Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC) has shared with you. If you haven't used this program before, you should find that it functions very similarly to MS Excel. You have permission to View this file only. Please take the following steps so you can fill in the application blanks and share it with colleagues:

1. Sign In or Sign Up.

Sign In with any address that has been registered with Google (not necessarily a gmail address). If you have no such address, then click on the Sign Up link. You can Sign Up with any email address.

2. Make a copy of the file.

Once you are Signed In to Google, you will have permission to make a copy of the file by clicking on File, then Make a Copy and entering a unique filename according to the following convention:

Alameda CTP_JURISDICTION NAME_1-2 WORD PROJECT NAME

3. Complete the application.

You are now owner of the new file. This gives you permission to edit all yellow shaded cells.

4. Share the file with your colleagues.

If you would like to share the file with others, you may click on the blue Share button in the upper right corner of the screen and enter their email addresses. Indicate if you would like to grant editing, commenting or just viewing privileges. Invitees will receive an email that contains a link to the file, which can be opened on any computer. Any reviewer (regardless of privileges) can comment using the Comments button, which is to the left of the Share button.

The file has seven numbered sections, one per worksheet tab, which run along the bottom of the file (see list below). Click on these tabs to navigate through the sections of the application. Each worksheet has been protected so that only the yellow-shaded input cells can be selected and edited. Cells shaded pink auto-fill from information entered elsewhere in the application. Many cells contain drop-down menus. To activate a menu, select the cell and click on the arrow displayed. Please note that Google saves edits continuously; there is no need to manually save the file.

Please note the following:

- + Sponsors of large expansion projects may be asked to provide additional information to enable MTC to model the project.
- + Alameda CTC does not require governing body resolutions to approve funding requests; however, within two months of funding approval, Project Sponsors must submit a resolution authorizing acceptance of the recommended funding award.
- + Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

After your agency has completed the application, please share it with CTP@alamedactc.org by clicking on the Share button, and granting Viewing privileges. The file must be received by Alameda CTC by Friday, July 31, 2015 at 5:00 pm. No late applications will be accepted.

Email CTPTechSupport@alamedactc.org for application technical support.
Email CTPAppContent @alamedactc.org for questions about the content of the application.

Section 1: General Information

Section 2: Need and Benefits

Section 3: Readiness and Maintenance

Section 4: Milestone Schedule

Section 5: Cost and Funding (use the Cost Estimation Guide)

Section 6: Plans and Studies

Section 7: Additional Information and Attachments

SECTION 1 | GENERAL INFORMATION

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

| A. Project/Plan/Program Ir | nformation | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Existing RTP ID number, if appli | cable | | | 240381 | | | | | |
| 2. Title | Fruitvale Alive Gap Closu | ire Streetscape Project | | | | | | | |
| | Please provide a brief title of the project/plan/program, indicating what it is and NOT what it does (i.e. Street Bus Rapid Transit (NOT Implement Bus Rapid Transit on Main Street). Your response is limited to characters, including spaces. | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Sponsor agency | | | City of C | Oakland Oakland | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Implementing agency | | | City of 0 | Oakland Oakland | | | | | |
| | | 4a. If other, specify | | | | | | | |
| 5. Operating agency | | | City of (| Oakland Oakland | | | | | |
| | | 5a. If other, specify | | | | | | | |
| 5. Brief description | | Complete the design and develop construction documents for essential pedestrimprovements to close the existing gap along Fruitvale Avenue between E. 12th Estuary. | | | | | | | |
| | implement BRT from City A | of work. Describe what the p to City B. The project will op haracters, including spaces. | project/plan/program does (i erate along Main Street fror | i.e., This project will n Point A to Point B). Your | | | | | |
| 7a. General location | Fruitvale Avenue in Oakl | and, California | | | | | | | |
| 7b. Limits (to/from), if applicable | On Fruitvale Avenue from | n East 12th Street to Alan | neda Avenue | | | | | | |
| 7c. Length (miles), if applicable | | | | 0.5 | | | | | |
| B. Planning Area | | | | North | | | | | |
| | North planning area | Central planning area | South planning area | East planning area | | | | | |
| 9a. If in a PDA, which one? | Oakland—Fruitvale and | Dimond areas | , - | | | | | | |
| 9b. If in more than one PDA, pleas | se select from columns ab | ove and indicate below a | ny additional PDAs. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Does the jurisdiction in which policy? | the project/plan/progran | n is located have an adop | ted Complete Streets | Yes | | | | | |
| 11. Certification date of the Housi | ng Element for the jurisd | iction in which the projec | t/plan/program is located | d | | | | | |
| | | | Month | Year | | | | | |
| | | | Mar | 2015 | | | | | |
| 12a. Mode/Category | | | | Pedestrian | | | | | |
| 12b. If multiple or other categorie | s, list them | Bicycle | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Projects | | Programs / Operations | | | | | |
| | | (capital / infrastructure) | Plans | (non-capital projects) | | | | | |
| 13a. Project/plan/program Type | | Bicycle | Master plan / Specific pla | an | | | | | |

SECTION 1 | GENERAL INFORMATION

3b. If other agency, specify

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

13b. If other or multiple types, list them

Pedestrian

B. Contact information for sponsor agency

1. Name

Nick Cartagena

2. Title

Civil Engineer

City of Oakland

4. Phone 510-238-2139

5. Email ncartagena@oaklandnet.com

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

A. Need for and Benefits of Project / Plan / Program

Describe the need for the project/plan/program. Your response is limited to 750 characters, including spaces.

The Fruitvale Ave corridor is the spine connecting I-580 and I-880, the City of Alameda, the impending AC Transit BRT project, the Fruitvale BART Station, and an assortment of dense neighborhoods, shopping districts, and waterfront uses. A critical gap in bike & pedestrian facilities exists along Fruitvale Ave; this gap extends from Alameda Ave to E 12th St, where bike and pedestrian connections are substandard and need improvement. This ½ mile of roadway encompasses approximately 8 intersections, 1 underpass, 2 railroad crossings, numerous driveways, and other bike & pedestrian travel challenges. A lack of connectivity and a history of high collision rates at the intersections demonstrate the need for this project.

2. Describe the benefits the project/plan/program will provide and how it addresses the stated need. Your response is limited to 750 characters, including spaces.

By addressing bicycle and pedestrian deficiencies on Fruitvale Ave., the project would create a continuous connection between the Fruitvale BART station and the City of Alameda. This would benefit regional transit routes, and further advance the community supported ideas within Fruitvale Alive! and the Central Estuary Plans. Residents west and east of the I-880 will have safe bike and pedestrian access to the waterfront and San Francisco Bay Trail. Improving access to BART is a high priority; this project addresses the critical gap to providing safe alternative access to transit.

B. Connectivity, Access, Land Use, and Community Livability

1a. Will the project/plan/program enhance multimodal and/or intermodal connectivity?

Yes

1b. Will this project/plan/program complement existing transportation services?

Yes

1c. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1a-b, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

1a. The Project will significantly enhance both multimodal and intermodal connectivity. The core improvements are to improve the bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Specifically, one of the two conceptual options currently being considered is a cycle track option for over half of the corridor. The other option also greatly improves the bicycle facilities with comfort and safety in mind. Additionally, the project connects the City of Alameda and Fruitvale BART station, as well as many other neighborhoods and uses. 1b. The Project provides connections to the MacArthur Freeway (I-580), the future AC Transit Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project, the Fruitvale BART Station, and Nimitz Freeway (I-880).

2a. Are there activity centers within 1 mile of the project/plan/program (e.g. central business districts and major employment centers)?

Yes

2b. Will the project/plan/program connect two or more jurisdictions?

Yes

2c. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 2a or 2b, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

The proposed bike and pedestrian improvements are within 1-mile of employment centers including International Blvd, Alameda and the Fruitvale BART district. Fruitvale BART Transit Village and International Boulevard constitute a neighborhood serving shopping area and associated office and service uses. The total daytime population/number of employees near the fruitvale BART station is more than 3,700 and more than 35,000 for a 1/2 mile and 2 mile radius, respectively. Improving transit and bicycle and pedestrian ways between two jurisdictions, Oakland and Alameda, would foster regional connectivity.

3a. Are any disadvantaged or otherwise vulnerable populations served or otherwise benefitted by the project/plan/program (e.g. transit dependent populations, communities of concern, minority, low-income, elderly, disabled populations)?

Yes

3b. Will the project/plan/program have any negative impacts on disadvantaged or otherwise vulnerable populations?

No

3c. Will this project/plan/program directly benefit these populations?

Yes

SECTION 2 | **NEED AND BENEFITS**

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

3d. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 3a-c, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

The Fruitvale/Dimond District is a designated PDA/Community of Concern; according the 2013 ACS 5-year survey, the median household income is between \$44,862-\$56,190. Improvements to Fruitvale Ave. will benefit lower income residents dependent on alternative transit for accessing employment and community services.

4a. Will this project/plan/program improve bicycle access?

Yes

4b. Will this project/plan/program improve pedestrian access?

Yes

4c. Will this project/plan/program improve transit access?

Yes

4d. Will this project/plan/program improve safe routes to schools?

Nο

4e. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 4a-d, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

4a. The Project will significantly improve bicycle facilities throughout the corridor by improving bicycle lanes, developing a cycle track (one of two conceptual designs being considered), improving signals with bicycle safety in mind, and improving the road surface. 4b. The Project will improve pedestrian facilities with comfort and safety in mind by widening sidewalks, reducing crossing distances, adding landscaping and other beautification measures, improving the I-880 underpass area with art and pedestrian lighting, and improving ped/vehicle conflict areas. 4c. The Project will significantly improve transit access for pedestrians and bicyclists. As mentioned in 4a and 4b, the pedestrian and bicycle facilities will be greatly improved. As mentioned in 1b, the project will directly connect many existing transportation services including Fruitvale BART, the future AC Transit BRT project, I-580, and I-880.

5a. Is there demonstrated demand for the project/program/plan (e.g. community support, documented priority, ridership trends)?

Yes

5b. If "yes," explain, including the degree to which the project/plan/program addresses this demand and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

The improvements proposed as part of the project scope involved an extensive community outreach process during the development of the Fruitvale Alive! Community Transportation plan. The outreach process included residents, community activists, government agencies, and District Council offices. The Central Estuary Area Plan included community meetings with residents, businesses, and labor union leaders, as well as an advisory board meeting with the BPAC. Letters of Community Support are included, please see attachments. Additionally, as part of the conceptual design phase, the project has held a community meeting (5/12/15), presented to Alameda CTC BPAC (7/9/15), presented to City of Oakland BPAC (7/16/15), anticipates a second community meeting in Aug/Sept of 2015, and has held various staff meetings with City and County of Alameda and District Council offices.

C. State of Good Repair

1. Will the project/plan/program correct a deteriorating condition?

Yes

2. Will the project/plan/program address past deferred maintenance?

Yes

3. Will the project/plan/program replace capital assets that have exceeded their useful life?

Yes

4. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1-3, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

C1,2,3: The project addresses areas of deteriorating conditions, deferred maintenance and capital assets exceeding their life cycle. New street pavement, new crosswalks, new sidewalks, new bus shelters, upgraded traffic signals, along with the improvement of the underpass, will bring these facilities up to date and reduce current safety risks.

D. Technology and Innovation

1. Will the project/plan/program incorporate innovative or non-traditional design treatments or service elements?

Yes

2. Will the project/plan/program promote innovative vehicle technology or ITS coordination?

No

3. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1 or 2, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

SECTION 2 | **NEED AND BENEFITS**

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

D1. The preferred conceptual design includes a protected bike lane (cycle track), green paint in conflict zones, and a vehicle slip right turn converted into a 'bicycle only' cycle track area.

E. Environmental Benefits

1. Will the project/plan/program promote modal shifts that encourage less dependence on motorized transportation and thus a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions?

Yes

2. Will the project/plan/program reduce Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), including freight or heavy vehicles?

No

3. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1 or 2, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

E1,2: The goal of the proposed project is to enhance transit and bicycle/pedestrian infrastructure to provide residents with the ability to be less dependent on auto-transit, therefore reducing greenhouse gas emissions. By providing safer and more attractive pedestrian and bicycle facilities directly connecting residential neighborhoods to mass transit options (BART and Bus/BRT), the project is encouraging less dependence on motor vehicles and therefore reducing VMT for passenger cars.

F. Capacity and Congestion

1. Will the project/plan/program reduce motor vehicle congestion and/or delay?

No No

2. Will the project/plan/program reduce public transit travel time and/or delay?

3. Will the project/plan/program reduce crowding on public transit?

No

4. Will the project/plan/program increase the capacity of the transportation system?

Yes

5. Will the project/plan/program increase efficiency of the transportation system?

Yes

6. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1-5, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

By improving the pedestrian infrastructure and overall beautification improvements, we can expect to see more pedestrians walking to BART stations and business/shopping districts. This will increase the capacity as well as efficiencies for the entire transportation system.

G. Safety Improvements

1. Will the project/plan/program increase public safety by reducing collision risk for one or more modes?

Yes

2. Will the project/plan/program incorporate countermeasures to address conflicts and/or collisions?

Yes

3. Explain any "yes" answers to questions 1 or 2, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits. Indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

G1: The corridor is notorious for high collision rates at intersections. The Central Estuary Area Plan documents the dangerous conditions at the intersections of Fruitvale Ave. and E. 8th and E. 9th St. due to unprotected crossings and high collision rates. Between 2006 and 2010, there were 10 bicycle collisions and 2 pedestrian collisions. The project will improve safety and security for all modes of transportation with improved bike lanes, improved crosswalks, bulbouts, upgraded traffic and pedestrian signals, speed detectors, red-light cameras, corner radius reductions, and improved sidewalks. The success of this project will be measured by reduced collisions of all types, and particularly involving bikes and pedestrians. G2: Counter mesures include protected bike lanes, paint to identify and highlight conflict zones, and elimination of slip right turns.

H. Economic Growth

1. Will the project/plan/program promote economic growth, connectivity to jobs, or short- and/or long-term job creation?

Yes

2. If "yes," explain, including the degree to which the project/plan/program provides these benefits and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

SECTION 2 | **NEED AND BENEFITS**

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

At present, the total number of employer establishments around the Fruitvale BART is 531 and 5,211 at a 1/2 mile and 2-mile radius, respectively. And according to Plan Bay Area, within this particular corridor, there will be 45% job growth from 2010 levels, by 2040. This is approximately 15,000 more jobs in the Fruitvale-Dimond District than current numbers. The proposed project will fully promote economic growth by improving the connectivity to and from the Fruitvale BART station. Furthermore, with the International Blvd BRT adjacent to the improvement, new establishments will be encouraged to set their routes in the Fruitvale/Diamond corridor.

Your response exceeds 750 characters

SECTION 3 | **READINESS AND MAINTENANCE**

Only applicants seeking funding for capital projects need to complete this page.

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

A. Detailed Project Information

1. Expanded project description or scope (1,500 characters or less)

The Fruitvale Alive! Gap Closure Streetscape project scope includes the design and implementation of essential pedestrian and bicycle safety improvements along Fruitvale Avenue between E. 12th Street and Alameda Avenue. The project builds on past planning efforts for the area, including the Central Estuary Area Plan (2013) and the Fruitvale Alive! Community Transportation Plan (2005). The project currently has funding for conceptual design and 35% engineering design through Measure B. Key components include improved and/or widened sidewalks, underpass improvements and artwork, improved bike lanes, bike detectors at signalized intersections, high visibility crosswalks, traffic striping and lane modifications, bulb-outs, corner radius reductions, improved pavement, landscaping, pedestrian lighting, improving the freeway undercrossing, traffic and pedestrian signal and timing upgrades, wayfinding signs, speed detectors and red-light cameras. Located within a Community of Concern and a designated PDA, this investment will vastly improve the area's many transit connections. An upgraded corridor will allow community members to travel safely and efficiently to and from employment and community service areas.

B. Initial Project Development

1. Has initial project development been completed?

Yes

2a. Document type

Feasibility study

2b. If other, specify.

Area Plan and Transportation Plan completed in 2013 and 2005, respectively. Additionally, conceptual design and 35% engineering design to be completed in 2015

3a. Document title

Central Estuary Area Plan (2013) and the Fruitvale Alive! Community Transportation Plan (2005)

3b. Approval date

ıl 2013

C. Project Delivery (current phase, environmental, right-of-way, design)

CURRENT STATUS

1a. Current project development phase

Detailed design

1b. Status (% complete)

35%

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

2a. Indicate which document type required for CEQA and NEPA and approval date for each. If not yet approved, provide estimated dates.

2b. CEQA Document Type

Environmental Impact Report

Approval date

Jun

2013

2c. Please explain if not applicable.

Supplemental EIR: The streetscape improvements contemplated as part of the Fruitvale Gap Closure Project are not anticipated to result in a local government "action" subject to CEQA review. However, improvements to Fruitvale Ave. were studied, qualitatively, as a part of a "Maximum Infrastructure Buildout" alternative in the Supplemental EIR prepared for the Central Estuary Area Plan. This Supplemental EIR was adopted June 4, 2013.

2d. NEPA Document Type

Categorical Exclusion

Approval date

2e. Please explain if not applicable.

Project does not require federal action

SECTION 3 | **READINESS AND MAINTENANCE**

| Only applicants seeking funding for | capital projects need to complete this p | age. | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| REMINDER: Any modification to this applicant for resubmittal. | form (beyond entries in shaded cells) v | will be deemed nonresponsive, an | nd will be returne | d to the | | |
| 3a. Are there any issues that might of | complicate the environmental clearance | e process? | | No | | |
| 3b. If yes, please explain. | | | | | | |
| DIGUT OF WAY | | | | | | |
| RIGHT-OF-WAY 4a Are all of the required rights-of- | way secured for the project/program, in | actuding for easements and utiliti | es? | Yes | | |
| | way, permits or easements required an | - | | 103 | | |
| | | | Y | ear of | | |
| Loc | cation | Current owner | | uisition | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4c. Are there other right-of-way issu | es that may affect the project? | | | Yes | | |
| 4c. Are there other right-of-way issues that may affect the project? It should be noted that the project is NOT dependent on obtaining this easement. However, Pacific Railroad Company (UPRR) has property adjacent to the western side of Fruitvale A. E. 10th St. to Alameda Ave. The project will explore obtaining an easement to use a 5' to of UPRR's property that is directly adjacent to the City's ROW for streetscape improvement initial discussions with UPRR representatives, this is potentially feasible and worth further discussions. | | | | | | |
| DESIGN | | | | | | |
| 5a. Are detailed designs complete? | | | | Yes | | |
| 5b. If yes, what stage has been comp | oleted? | | | 30% | | |
| OTHER 6. Below, describe any potential cha | llenges/risks to project delivery (i.e., to | the project scope, cost or schedu | ule). | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| D. Project Sustainability | | | | | | |
| 1a. Agency responsible for sustainin 1b. If other, please specify. | g and maintaining the project beyond p | project completion? | Applicant | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| _ | re needed, with whom, and are they in | place? Please indicate if applican With whom | t will maintain th | e project. In place? | | |
| Easement Maintenance Agreement (| | UPRR | | No | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Is no maintenance agreement no | eeded because applicant will main | tain the project? | | | |
| | | | | | | |

3b. If documentation exists of this projected lifespan, indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation as needed in Tab 7.

50

3a. What is the expected lifespan (in years) of this project, once implemented or constructed?

SECTION 3 | **READINESS AND MAINTENANCE**

Only applicants seeking funding for capital projects need to complete this page.

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

E. Contained in a Plan

1. Is the project called for in an adopted plan?

Yes

Central Estuary Area Plan (2013) and the Fruitvale Alive! Community Transportation Plan (2005)

City Council

3. What body adopted the plan?

2. If yes, what is the name of the plan?

2013

4. When was the plan adopted?

F. Support

1. Has applicable governing body indicated support for the project/plan/program?

Yes

2. Have partners provided support for the project/plan/program?

Yes

3. Have community members indicated support for the project?

Yes

4. If so, indicate the question number and list/attach supporting documentation in Tab 7.

SECTION 4 | PROJECT DELIVERY -- MILESTONE SCHEDULE

Complete Section A for Capital Projects, Section B for Plans/Studies, Section C for Non-Capital Projects. Complete more than one section ONLY for projects with more than one project type.

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

A. Capital Projects

Provide the actual or projected begin and end dates for the following programming and project milestones:

| | ВЕ | gin Date | Ena Date | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------|----------|------------|--|
| | Month | Year | Month | Year | |
| Initial Project Development/Scoping | | Before 2010 | Jun | 2013 | |
| Environmental (CEQA) | Jun | 2013 | Jun | 2013 | |
| Environmental (NEPA) | | | | | |
| Detailed Design | Jun | 2014 | Jun | 2016 | |
| Right-of-Way | | | | | |
| Construction | Jan | 2017 | Jan | 2018 | |
| Plans or Studies | | | | | |
| Equipment Capital | | | | | |
| Programs & Operations | | | | | |
| Maintenance | Jan | 2018 | | After 2025 | |

Regin Date

End Date

B. Plans

| Provide the actual or projected dates for the following: | Month | Year |
|--|-------|------|
| Complete Scope of Work | | |
| Release RFP for Consultant Work | | |
| Begin consultant work - Notice to Proceed | | |
| Draft Plan/Study Complete | | |
| Final Plan/Study Complete | | |
| Plan Adoption | | |
| Submit Final Invoice/ Project Closeout | | |

C. Programs / Operations

| Provide key tasks, milestones and/or phases that are related to readiness to start a non-capital projection | ect |
|---|-----|
| or program, and indicate the month and year. | |

| Month | Year |
|-------|------|
| | |

SECTION 4 | PROJECT DELIVERY -- MILESTONE SCHEDULE

Submit Final Invoice/ Project Closeout

| Complete Section A for Capital Projects, Section B for Plans/Studies, Section C for Non-Capital Projects. Complete more than one section ONLY for projects with more than one project type. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

A. Costs

1. Enter funds already spent on project/plan/ in blue column, funds that will be spent in next 5 FISCAL years in yellow columns, and funds that will be spent in more than five years in green column (in \$1,000s), regardless of source.

(Provide figures in 1,000s of 2017 dollars*)

| | | (Fronte figures in 1,000s of 2017 donais) | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | Project phase | Prior | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | After 2020/21 | Total | | | |
| | Initial Project Development/Scoping | \$ 178 | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 178 | | | |
| | Environmental (CEQA) | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | | |
| | Environmental (NEPA) | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | | | |
| | Detailed Design | \$ 149 | \$ 1,167 | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 1,315 | | | |
| | Right-of-Way | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | | |
| | Construction | \$ - | \$ 3,420 | \$ 3,420 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,840 | | | |
| | Plans or Studies | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | | |
| | Equipment Capital | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | | | |
| | Programs & Operations | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | | | |
| | Maintenance | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | | | | | \$ - | | | |
| Other (please specify) | | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | | |
| | Total | \$ 327 | \$ 4,587 | \$ 3,420 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 8,334 | | | |

^{*} Use Cost Estimation Guide

B. Funding

1. Currently Programmed Funds

(Provide figures in 1,000s of 2017 dollars)

| | | | | | | , , , | | , | After | |
|--|-------------------|----------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Phase | | Funding Source | Prior | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2020/21 | Total |
| | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - |
| Initial project deve | lopmen Other loca | Il funds | \$ 214 | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 214 |
| | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - |
| Other (please specify) Initial project devel | opment Bike / Ped | Prior to ATP | \$ 113 | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ 113 |
| | | Total | \$ 327 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ 327 |

2. Funding Needs (Identify funding needs corresponding to the cost schedule in Part A. Identify amount requested in the "Funding Requested" line.)

| Phase | Funding Source | If Preference, Specify | | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------|----------|----------|---------|
| Detailed design | 1. Funding requested with | | | \$ 1,167 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Construction | 1. Funding requested with | | | \$ 3,420 | \$ 3,420 | \$- |
| | | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$- |
| | | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| | | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| | | | Total | \$ 4,587 | \$ 3,420 | \$- |

| questeu in the Tunung Requesteu inicij | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | After 2020/21 | Total | | | | | |
| | \$ 1,167 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ 1,167 | | | | | |
| | \$ 3,420 | \$ 3,420 | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,840 | | | | | |
| | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | | | | | |
| | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | | | | | |
| | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | | | | | |
| tal | \$ 4,587 | \$ 3,420 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 8,007 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table B2 funding source notes:

- 1. Funding requested with this application: Alameda CTC Discretionary Funds are funds under Alameda CTC discretionary purview such as STP/CMAQ (known as OBAG), STIP, TFCA, Lifeline, Regional Measures, Measure B/BB/VRF. Applicant will be required to fulfill the program requirements of the Federal, State, Regional, and Local fund sources awarded. Failure or inability to do so may limit funding eligibility and programming.
- 2. Local uncommitted funds: Locally available funds such as Measure B/BB/VRF Direct Local Distributions, developer fees, gas tax, etc. are considered unprogrammed until the local governing body approves allocation.
- 3. Other/TBD (non-Alameda CTC): Funds distributed by agencies other than Alameda CTC that are awaiting award confirmation (e.g. MTC Regional discretionary funds, SR2S, ATP, TIGER, CBDG, etc.).
- 4. Other/TBD (Alameda CTC): Additional funds needed beyond those requested and other uncommitted and/or TBD funds.

| | Public | | | Pedes- | Local Sts & | Goods | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|-------|-------|---|
| | Transit | Highway | Bicycle | trian | Rds | Mvmnt | Total | |
| 3. Project/Plan/Program Mode by % (must sum to 100%) | 25% | | 25% | 25% | 25% | | 100% | 1 |

C. Partial Funding / Project Phasing

1. Can the project be implemented with partial funding through reduction of scope?

Yes

2. Describe possible scope reductions that could be applied to the project using reduced funding.

The project could be funded in two phases - engineering design (35% to 100% construction documents) then construction.

SECTION 6 | PLANS AND STUDIES

Only applicants of funding for plans or studies need to complete this page.

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal.

1a. Type of plan or study

1b. If other, please specify

1c. If an update, in what year was the original last adopted?

2a. Will the plan or study be adopted by a governing body?

2b. If yes, provide the name of the approving body

3. Describe the proposed environmental review of the pla

SECTION 7 | ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND ATTACHMENTS

REMINDER: Any modification to this form (beyond entries in shaded cells) will be deemed nonresponsive, and will be returned to the applicant for resubmittal. A. Additional Information Provide any additional information for consideration. Indicate to which section and question the information pertains. **B. Attachments** Email attachments to CTP@alamedactc.org. Name files according to the following convention: Alameda CTP_JURISDICTION NAME_1-2 WORD PROJECT NAME_Attachment #XX refer to KMZ Alameda CTP_OAKLAND_FruitvaleClosure#_Attachment#1_locationmap Alameda CTP_OAKLAND_FruitvaleClosure#_Attachment #2_budgetestimates 3 Alameda CTP_OAKLAND_FruitvaleClosure#_Attachment #3_medianoption Alameda CTP_OAKLAND_FruitvaleClosure#_Attachment #4_cycletrackoption Alameda CTP_OAKLAND_FruitvaleClosure#_Attachment #5_lettersofsupport

Alameda CTP_OAKLAND_FruitvaleClosure#_Attachment #6_costestimates_conceptdesigns

7

8

9

10