



For reporting a Level 4 force incident, use a
Level 4 Use of Force Report (TF-967g)

USE OF FORCE REPORT

Oakland Police Department

TF-967 (Rev. May 10)

FORCE LEVELS

Indicate the highest force level used.

Investigations shall be conducted at the highest level when multiple levels of force are used.

Face Sheet Only to IAD

☐ IAD Tracking Copy

☐ Risk Management
Advisement

Check One	<input type="checkbox"/> Level 1 Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Level 2 Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 3 Force
Incident Number LOP131019000093	RD Number 13-053537	Use of Force Control No. 13F-0616	IAD Case No.

Part 1 - (List additional Subjects on a Use of Force Continuation Report)

Incident Date 19 Oct 13	Day Sat	Time 0302	Location 350 block 23 rd St	CP Beat 8X
#1 Subject's Name [REDACTED]			Sex M	Race A
Address [REDACTED]			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oakland	City/Zip [REDACTED]
Contact Number [REDACTED]			DOB [REDACTED]	
#2 Subject's Name			Sex	Race
Address			<input type="checkbox"/> Oakland	City/Zip
Contact Number			DOB	
#3 Subject's Name			Sex	Race
Address			<input type="checkbox"/> Oakland	City/Zip
Contact Number			DOB	

Part 2 - List all involved members/employees, indicate the subject(s), and all the Force Type(s) used by numeric identifier (Chart on back page). (List additional Members/Employees on a Use of Force Continuation Report)

Member/Employee	Serial No.	Force Type #	Subject # Force Used on	Regularly Assigned Supervisor	Regularly Assigned Division Commander
#1 Glick, Bryan	9089	11	1	Sgt. D. Jim	Capt. A. Toribio
#2					
#3					
#4					

Part 3 - List all witnesses to the use of force. (If more space is needed or to list additional witnesses on a Use of Force Continuation Report)

List members and employees before private person witnesses. If there are no known private person witnesses, specify "NO KNOWN WITNESSES" in the "#1 Witness Name" box.

Witness Information (Include Rank & Serial No., or Agency Affiliation if appropriate)				
#1 Witness Name [REDACTED]	Sex M	Race B	DOB [REDACTED]	
Address OR Agency Affiliation [REDACTED]	<input type="checkbox"/> Oakland	City/Zip [REDACTED]	Contact Number [REDACTED]	
#2 Witness Name [REDACTED]	Sex M	Race B	DOB [REDACTED]	
Address OR Agency Affiliation [REDACTED]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oakland	City/Zip [REDACTED]	Contact Number [REDACTED]	
#3 Witness Name NO OTHER KNOWN WITNESSES	Sex	Race	DOB	
Address OR Agency Affiliation	<input type="checkbox"/> Oakland	City/Zip	Contact Number	
#4 Witness Name	Sex	Race	DOB	
Address OR Agency Affiliation	<input type="checkbox"/> Oakland	City/Zip	Contact Number	

Part 4

UOF Report Prepared By Sgt D. Jim	Serial No. 8156P	Date of Report 9 Nov 13	Supervisor Notified Lt. B. Wehrly	Serial No 8240P	Date / Time Notified 19 Oct 13 / 0302
--------------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------	--

Part 2a
Numeric Identifiers - Type of Force Used


(Chart Rev. 1/09)

Use the appropriate numeric identifier and document the reasons for elevating an investigation in the Use of Force Report Narrative for any force investigation elevated to a higher level.

Level 1 Force Type			
1	Lethal Firearm Discharge	5	Unintentional Firearm Discharge with Injury
2	Force Resulting in Death	6	Force Results in SBI (Defined by DGO K-4) Other than Carotid Restraint
3	Force Creating Substantial Risk of Causing Death	7	Carotid Restraint with Loss of Consciousness
4	Intentional Strike to Head w/ Impact Weapon-- Regardless of Injury	8	Other: (Describe in Narrative)
Level 2 Force Type			
9	Strike to the Head - Other than an Intentional Strike to Head with an Impact Weapon	12	Impact/Impromptu Weapon with Contact - Regardless of Injury
		13	Any Use of Force Resulting in Injury (Other than a Level 1 Force Type)
10	Carotid Restraint without Loss of Consciousness	14	Police Patrol Canine Bites Clothing, Skin, or Injures a Person
27	Unintentional Firearm Discharge Not Resulting in Injury	15	Other (Describe in Narrative)
Level 3 Force Type			
11	Taser® Probes Impact Clothing, Penetrates Skin, or Push Stun	18	Taser Fired but Probes Miss
16	Weaponless Defense Technique Other than Control Hold	19	Non-Striking Use of Baton
17	O/C Applied to a Person	20	Attempted Impact Weapon Strike, but Misses
17a	Other Chemical Agent Deployed / Applied to a Person	21	On-Duty Firearm Discharge at Animal Other than to Dispatch an Injured Animal
Level 4 Force Type			
22	Intentionally Pointing a Firearm at a Person	25	A Weaponless Defense Technique Control Hold is applied: Escort (elbow); Twist lock; Arm-bar; or Bent-wrist.
23	Weaponless Defense Technique applied to a vulnerable area, excluding strikes (e.g., hair grab, pressure to mastoid, shoulder grab)		26
24	On-Duty Firearm Discharge to Dispatch an Injured Animal		
			A level 3 use of force incident meeting the criteria to be reported as a Level 4 AND reviewed and approved by supervisor or commander. (Indicate the Level 3 force type used in the Narrative.).

Use the diagrams below, if appropriate, and mark with an "X" and the numeric identifier
Indicate where on the body the force (Level 1-3) was used. (e.g., X--17 to indicate OC spray) Double-click on body

Subject #1





**USE OF FORCE
NARRATIVE REPORT**
Oakland Police Department
TF-967a (Rev. May 10)

Date of Incident 19 Oct 13	Incident No./RD No. LOP131019000093 / 13-053537
# 1 Member/Employee's Name Ofc. B. Glick	Serial No. 9089P

#1 Subject's Name

Part 5 – Assessment and Compliance – To be completed by the preparer of the Use of Force or In-Custody Death Report

Assessment Issues:

Conduct a thorough review of all documents, interviews, and evidence to be included in the Use of Force Report packet to ensure completeness, accuracy, and quality and assess the following:

1. ☒ Yes ☐ No Was the original detention or subsequent arrest lawful?
2. ☒ Yes ☐ No Was the type and amount of force objectively reasonable and used proportional to the resistance encountered?
3. ☒ Yes ☐ No Was the type and amount of force related to a legitimate law-enforcement objective the member/employee was attempting to achieve?
4. ☒ Yes ☐ No Was the force reasonably de-escalated?
5. ☒ Yes ☐ No Was verbal persuasion used to attempt to resolve the situation without force?

Any "NO" response requires an explanation in the Narrative Section.

The following recommendation is based on the facts revealed by the use of force investigation.

Check the appropriate box and document the analysis in the Narrative (Part 6).

☒ The use of force was IN Compliance OR

☐ The use of force was OUT of Compliance

☐ – Misconduct – IAD Notified.

☐ – Criminal Misconduct – The investigation revealed criminal misconduct and the appropriate commander has been notified in accordance with the provisions of DGO M-4.1.

Training and/or Tactical Issues

☒ I considered and discussed, as necessary, any training/tactical issues involving the availability and practicality of other force options with involved personnel and documented the issues in the Narrative below.

☒ Yes ☐ No – Recommendations/Comments

The investigation revealed training and/or tactical issues.

- If a Level 1-2 incident, document for review by the appropriate Board.
- If a Level 3 incident, Division Commander shall ensure training is conducted and/or training is requested from the Training Section when it cannot be accomplished at the division level. (Document the circumstances, and the person and date of referral to the Training Section in the Narrative Section).

Part 6 - Narrative - To include an analysis of the investigation. For additional space, use a Use of Force Continuation Report (TF-967b) (List additional involved and witness personnel, other witnesses, and evidence before the narrative.) Document risk management issues in the Narrative Section below.

I. PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO ASSIST

Officer D. Hazelwood 9089 (assisted with the detention of Yongkun)
Officer A. Hutzol 9115 (assisted with statements and canvass)
Officer E. Jordan 9070 (assisted with scene security and canvass)
PET J. Ellis 4750 (evidence collection and scene photographs)

II. EMERGENCY PERSONNEL

PMP RIG 2136
OFD RIG E15

[REDACTED] (ACH – Highland Hospital)

[REDACTED] (ACH – Highland Hospital)

Reporting Member Sgt. D. Jim	Serial No. 8156P	Date of Report 9 Nov 13	Supervisor Lt. D. Elzey	Serial No. 8407P	Page 3 of 8
---------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------	-------------



**USE OF FORCE
CONTINUATION REPORT**
Oakland Police Department
TF-967b (Rev. May 10)

Date of Incident 19 Oct 13	Incident No./RD No. LOP131019000093 / 13-053537		
# 1 Member/Employee's Name Ofc. B. Glick	Serial No. 9089P	#1 Subject's Name [REDACTED]	

Narrative – Continued

III. NOTIFICATIONS

I notified Lt. B. Wehrly and the Communications supervisor of the UOF at approx. 0302 hrs. I sent the face sheet notification via email on 20 Oct 13 (to OPD IAD, OPD BFO Admin, Capt. Toribio, and DC Downing).

IV. DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT SCENE

The incident occurred on a semi-residential but mainly commercial area located downtown, in the 350 block of 23rd St. The UOF took place in the street close to the north sidewalk. The area was well lit with street lights. The weather was clear and the streets dry.

V. SUMMARY OF INCIDENT

The following details were obtained from the involved officer's reports, other officers' supplemental reports, and PDRD footage:

On 19 Oct 13, at approximately 0254 hrs, Officer B. Glick was working as 3L11 in full police uniform (with Electronic Control Weapon Model X26 / X00-397320). He was driving fully marked police vehicle 1831. He was dispatched to investigate a report of a person being beaten in the area of 24th St and Valdez St. Citizens heard glass breaking and a male screaming. There were additional reports of a male Asian throwing glass at people and buildings. The subject was described as a 35 yr old male, 5'8" tall, 140 lbs, and wearing a dark colored shirt and jeans.

As Glick drove E/B in the 350 block of 23rd St (near Webster St), he observed a man (later identified as Subject #1 [REDACTED]) walking towards him (W/B) in the street. Glick realized [REDACTED] matched the description provided by Dispatch. Glick exited his car and made contact with [REDACTED] as he walked towards Glick. At the same time, [REDACTED] was pushing a shopping cart and screaming unintelligibly. He walked past the driver's side of Glick's patrol car. Glick, realizing [REDACTED] was upset, asked [REDACTED] what happened. [REDACTED] stopped and yelled someone had shot him. [REDACTED] did not have any visible injuries and refused medical assistance.

At that point, a male on a bicycle (later identified as Witness #1 [REDACTED]) rode up and advised he was the one who had called the police. As [REDACTED] stood nearby, [REDACTED] armed himself with a large putty knife with a metal blade. Glick did not see where [REDACTED] had retrieved the putty knife. [REDACTED] pointed at [REDACTED] and accused [REDACTED] of stealing his belongings. Glick unholstered his Electronic Control Weapon (ECW), pointed it at [REDACTED] and ordered him to drop the knife. [REDACTED] then began to walk aggressively towards [REDACTED] with the putty knife in his right hand. Glick ordered [REDACTED] to get back several times, but [REDACTED] refused. Glick ordered [REDACTED] to drop the putty knife but he refused by saying, "No." Glick ordered [REDACTED] to drop the knife several more times. [REDACTED] refused by saying, "No! Shoot me! Kill me!"

With approximately 10 feet of distance between Glick and [REDACTED], [REDACTED] began to yell and step towards Glick in an aggressive manner. [REDACTED] maintained a tight grip on the putty knife with his right hand. Glick then discharged his ECW at [REDACTED] striking [REDACTED] in his lower abdomen area. [REDACTED] tensed his muscles and fell to the ground. After the five second cycle was complete, Glick ordered [REDACTED] to put the knife down. [REDACTED] refused and stood back up. Glick activated his ECW again for another five second cycle, with minimal effect. [REDACTED] continued to stand and hold the putty knife, refusing to follow orders to release the putty knife. Glick activated his ECW for a third five second cycle which had no effect.

Glick transitioned to his ASP (expandable baton) and gave [REDACTED] additional orders to drop the knife. Officer D. Hazelwood arrived on scene and approached on foot. At that time, [REDACTED] dropped the knife. Glick handcuffed [REDACTED] without any further use of force.

Code 3 medical assistance was called to the scene.

[REDACTED] was arrested for 417 PC (Brandishing a Weapon) and 148(a) PC (Resisting, Obstructing, and Delaying a Police Officer). Additionally, [REDACTED] was placed on a psychiatric hold per 5150 W&I. [REDACTED] was transported to ACH

Reporting Member Sgt. D. Jim	Serial No. 8156P	Date of Report 9 Nov 13	Supervisor Lt. D. Elzey	Serial No. 8407P	Page 4 of 8
---------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------	-------------



**USE OF FORCE
CONTINUATION REPORT
Oakland Police Department**
TF-967b (Rev. May 10)

Date of Incident 19 Oct 13	Incident No./RD No. LOP131019000093 / 13-053537		
# 1 Member/Employee's Name Ofc. B. Glick	Serial No. 9089P	#1 Subject's Name [REDACTED]	

Narrative – Continued

(Highland Hospital) for medical clearance.

VI. INVESTIGATION

Upon my arrival, I conducted the following tasks regarding this investigation:

- I stood by and monitored the scene as emergency medical services (OFD E15 and PMP 2136) arrived and administered first aid to [REDACTED].
- I directed Officer A. Hutzol to take a statement from witness [REDACTED].
- I directed Officer E. Jordan to canvass the area for any witnesses. No further witnesses were located.
- I separated Glick and Hazelwood and spoke to them individually regarding the incident.
- I directed OPD Evidence Technician J. Ellis to photograph the scene, [REDACTED], and Glick. Additionally, Ellis processed the scene (by photographing and recovering the putty knife and ECW evidence).
- I interviewed [REDACTED] while he was inside the ambulance. I obtained an administrative statement from him via my PDRD. [REDACTED] advised, before the police arrived, two men kicked him which caused him to fall down. When asked what happened when the officers arrived, [REDACTED] advised he did not know. He also did not know what, if anything, the officers had said to him. During the interview, [REDACTED] pointed to an ECW probe that was still connected to his stomach and said, "They shot me." [REDACTED] could not provide any further details.
- I contacted all involved/assisting officers and ensured the completion of the required reports and supplementals.
- I reviewed all of the associated PDRD videos for this incident. Glick's video was the only video relevant to the use of force; no other video showed any use of force. Glick's video clearly showed the entire use of force.
- During the time I spoke to [REDACTED] he stated Glick said "fuck" while giving commands to [REDACTED]. Upon review of Glick's PDRD video, it was clear [REDACTED] had used the expletive and not Glick.

VII. CIVILIAN WITNESS STATEMENT(S) / CANVASS

The following is a summary of [REDACTED]'s written statement:

[REDACTED] advised, on 19 Oct 13, at approximately 0230 hrs, he was walking in the area of 24th St and Webster St when he saw a male Asian ([REDACTED]) near the corner. [REDACTED] appeared to be possibly hitting someone lying on the ground. [REDACTED] called the police. Later, while riding his bike on Webster near 23rd St. when he saw some police lights nearby. As he rode closer, he saw Glick standing near [REDACTED] held a shiny metal object in his right hand. [REDACTED] was holding it "threateningly" by raising it above his waist "like he could hit the officer." Glick told [REDACTED] to get on the ground and drop the weapon. [REDACTED] refused and walked towards Glick. Glick unholstered his ECW and pointed it at him. Glick told [REDACTED] to get on the ground and stop moving. Glick fired his ECW at [REDACTED] who fell down. Shortly afterwards, [REDACTED] stood back up and Glick pulled out his "night stick." Another officer (Hazelwood) arrived and [REDACTED] was handcuffed. Glick never struck [REDACTED] with his "night stick."

Civilian [REDACTED] arrived on scene during the use of force investigation and talked to Lt. Wehrly. [REDACTED] advised he heard yelling but did not see the use of force. [REDACTED] refused to give a statement. His conversation with the lieutenant was recorded on the lieutenant's PDRD.

VIII. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

OPD Evidence Technician J. Ellis took photographs of the scene, [REDACTED], and Glick. Additionally, Ellis processed the scene (by photographing and recovering the putty knife and ECW evidence). See Ellis' Technician's report (attached).

Glick had his PDRD activated during the entire incident. Upon reviewing the footage, I determined that all associated reports appeared to be consistent with the recording. No discrepancies were noted.

Reporting Member Sgt. D. Jim	Serial No. 8156P	Date of Report 9 Nov 13	Supervisor Lt. D. Elzey	Serial No. 8407P	Page 5 of 8
---------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------	-------------



**USE OF FORCE
CONTINUATION REPORT
Oakland Police Department**
TF-967b (Rev. May 10)

Date of Incident 19 Oct 13	Incident No./RD No. LOP131019000093 / 13-053537		
# 1 Member/Employee's Name Ofc. B. Glick	Serial No. 9089P	#1 Subject's Name [REDACTED]	

Narrative – Continued

Glick's ECW Purge/Download:

Date	Time	Duration
19 Oct 13 (Local)	00:03:06	5 Seconds (Consistent with a pre-shift test)
19 Oct 13 (Local)	03:15:03	5 Seconds (First activation – full incapacitation until [REDACTED] fell which apparently caused one probe to fall out))
19 Oct 13 (Local)	03:15:11	5 Seconds (Second activation – minimal effect)
19 Oct 13 (Local)	03:15:21	5 Seconds (Third activation – no effect. It appeared one of the two probes dislodged from [REDACTED]'s body)

Glick's first cycle was consistent with a pre-shift spark test. The following three cycles coincided with the PDRD video and related documentation.

IX. INJURIES / MEDICAL CARE OR TREATMENT PROVIDED

[REDACTED] had been shot in the abdomen with two ECW probes. One probe was still connected to his skin and the other had been dislodged. The dislodged probe appeared to have left a very small puncture mark that had bled a small amount. Code 3 medical was summoned once [REDACTED] was safely detained. OFD E15 and PMP 2136 responded. [REDACTED] was transported to ACH (Highland Hospital) for medical clearance.

X. DISCREPANCIES

Witness [REDACTED] advised he obs. [REDACTED] possibly hitting someone on the ground. No victims that [REDACTED] could have assaulted were located. At ACH, [REDACTED] advised he was beaten and robbed while he was sleeping IFO Mua Restaurant @ 2442 Webster (Refer to RD 13-053543).

XI. ANALYSIS OF USE OF FORCE

The following analysis was based primarily on Glick's PDRD video and related documentation. Glick's PDRD video began before he exited his car and continued until [REDACTED] left the scene (via ambulance). Glick's contact with [REDACTED] was clearly visible and the audio recording was adequate. The finding for Glick's use of his ECW was determined by the following:

- Glick was dispatched to the area on a report of a possible battery involving a 35 yr old Asian male, 5'8" tall, 140 lbs, and wearing a dark colored shirt and jeans.
- Upon arrival, Glick noticed [REDACTED] matched the description of the involved party and decided to contact him.
- Upon contact, [REDACTED] intentionally resisted Glick's orders by refusing to stop (advancing forward) and put down the knife.
- [REDACTED] continually refused to comply with any of Glick's orders. [REDACTED] in an agitated state, maintained a tight grip on the knife and advanced towards [REDACTED] and Glick.
- [REDACTED]'s actions presented a significant physical threat to both Glick and [REDACTED].
- Based upon the threat, Glick appropriately discharged his ECW at [REDACTED]. The ECW probes struck [REDACTED] in the abdomen and he fell to the ground. [REDACTED] then quickly stood up with the putty knife in his hand. The ECW cycled for 5 seconds.

Reporting Member Sgt. D. Jim	Serial No. 8156P	Date of Report 9 Nov 13	Supervisor Lt. D. Elzey	Serial No. 8407P	Page 6 of 8
---------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------	-------------



USE OF FORCE
CONTINUATION REPORT
Oakland Police Department
TF-967b (Rev. May 10)

Date of Incident 19 Oct 13	Incident No./RD No. LOP131019000093 / 13-053537	#1 Subject's Name [REDACTED]
# 1 Member/Employee's Name Ofc. B. Glick	Serial No. 9089P	

Narrative – Continued

- Glick ordered [REDACTED] to drop the knife but, as before, he refused to do so.
- With the threat of physical harm still present, Glick appropriately activated his ECW for a second cycle. The activation had minimal effect and did not incapacitate [REDACTED] – he continued to stand and appeared to only slightly wince as the power was applied. The ECW cycled for 5 seconds.
- Again, Glick ordered [REDACTED] to drop the knife, but he refused to do so.
- With the threat of physical harm still present, Glick appropriately activated his ECW for a third and final cycle. That activation did not have any effect on [REDACTED] (it appears that one of the two probes dislodged from [REDACTED]'s body by this time). The ECW cycled for 5 seconds.
- Immediately after the last cycle, Hazelwood arrived and [REDACTED] complied with verbal orders. He was taken into custody without any further use of force.

This investigation determined Glick's use of his ECW and subsequent activations were appropriate and within state law and Departmental policy.

XII. TRAINING POINTS

As Glick arrived on scene, [REDACTED] was pulling his cart and walking down the middle of the street. Glick's PDRD recording showed [REDACTED] holding the putty knife in his left hand. As Glick made contact, [REDACTED] quickly put the knife in his right hand and hid it behind his back. It was apparent Glick did not observe [REDACTED]'s actions as [REDACTED] was not told to show his hands. Understandably, Glick was just arriving on scene and getting out of his vehicle as [REDACTED] was walking past. However, one should always remember to focus on the hands of a subject for any possible threat.

Once Glick saw the knife, he quickly pulled out his ECW and pointed it at [REDACTED]. Glick immediately told [REDACTED] to put the knife down. However, Glick did not provide a warning that he would use the ECW if [REDACTED] did not comply. In this instance, [REDACTED] clearly understood Glick was about to use some type of force by responding, "No! Shoot me! Kill me!" Regardless, per Departmental Training Bulletin III-H.1, when practical, officers should warn subjects prior to the use of less-lethal weapons.

I spoke to Glick regarding these issues. He was receptive to the training and I was confident he understood the importance of watching subject's hands from the beginning of an event and to provide appropriate warnings involving the imminent use of less-lethal weapons.

Diane Jim

for Diane Jim
Sergeant of Police
Patrol Division, BFO1/2B

Attachments:

Crime Report
Supplemental Reports
Hospital reports
ECW download
Radio Purge (Incident 0093)
CD containing scene photographs
CD containing PDRD video
Third Watch Detail

Reporting Member Sgt. D. Jim	Serial No. 8156P	Date of Report 9 Nov 13	Supervisor Lt. D. Elzey	Serial No. 8407P	Page 7 of 8
---------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------	-------------



Date of Incident
19 Oct 13

Incident No.
LOP1310190000
93

RD No.
13-053537

USE OF FORCE CHECKLIST
Oakland Police Department
TF-967d (Rev. May 10)

Subject Member/Employee
Ofc. B. Glick

Serial No.
9089P

Investigating Supervisor
Sgt. D. Jim

Serial No.
8156P

This checklist is to be used by preparers. Complete and attach this checklist to the **FRONT** of Level 2 & 3 Use of Force Report packets.

Investigators shall check the appropriate box to indicate a "Task" has been completed and/or documented in the Offense/Supplemental or Use of Force Report or included in the Use of Force packet.

Check the appropriate box. **Detail any "No" response in the Comment Section or any clarification, when necessary, in the Narrative, as indicated.**

Part 1 - INVESTIGATIVE STEPS REQUIRED FOR AN ON-SCENE SUPERVISOR/COMMANDER/INVESTIGATOR FOR LEVEL 2-3 INCIDENTS

1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Responded to the use of force incident scene. (Level 2-3)	9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Witness statements taken (Level 2-3)	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Determined the appropriate reporting level. (All Levels)	10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Ensured involved and witness personnel submitted the required Offense or Supplemental Reports.	
3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	All uses of force, documented in Offense and Supplemental Reports, are listed on UOF Face Sheet.	11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Injuries If yes, to whom: <input type="checkbox"/> OPD personnel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Subject(s)	
4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Available private person witnesses were identified and interviewed. (Level 2-3) <input type="checkbox"/> No Known witnesses	12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Medical service requested.	
5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Separated and interviewed involved and witness personnel. (Level 2-3)	13. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Ensured subject was transported to a medical facility for medical evaluation when a carotid restraint was applied.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Ensured a reasonable canvas was conducted. (Level 2)	14. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Evidence collected.	<input type="checkbox"/> None
7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Interviewed the subject(s) of the use of force.	15. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Technician on scene.	
8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Identified involved and witness personnel.	16. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Photos taken? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Digital <input type="checkbox"/> Film	
		17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Communications Section contacted to ensure the incident is reported on the IAD Daily Incident Log.	

Part 2 - REPORT DOCUMENTATION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	OFFENSE AND SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTS REVIEWED FOR COMPLETENESS, ACCURACY, AND QUALITY. NO "BOILERPLATE" OR "PAT" LANGUAGE WITHOUT DESCRIPTIVE OR EXPLANATORY DETAILS OF THE ACTION.		
NOTE: DOCUMENT ANY INVESTIGATIVE ELEMENTS INTENTIONALLY NOT DOCUMENTED IN THE COMMENT SECTION.			
Part 2a - OFFENSE/SUPPLEMENTAL OR USE OF FORCE REPORT SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING DETAILS			
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Summary of the incident.	6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Summary of evidence collected.
2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Summary of pertinent statements.	7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Detail injuries and medical treatment. <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Summary of interviews when statement not taken	8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Original reason for police presence.
4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Description of the use of force.	9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Circumstances or precipitating acts that lead to the use of force.
5. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	When OC is used, the number of bursts, duration of each burst, the approximate distance from the subject, and the location of spray contact. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Arrest approval properly documented on CAR and in Offense Report. (5150 / NOT APPLICABLE)
Part 2b - USE OF FORCE REPORT DOCUMENTATION SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING DETAILS (See RWM U-1 for inclusive list)			
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Analysis of evidence.	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Discrepancies Found (Detail in Narrative) If Yes, detail how resolved or if unresolved in Narrative
2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Reviewed documentation for discrepancies.		

Part 3 - REQUIRED FOR THE UOF REPORT PACKET - The preparer and reviewers shall ensure the UOF Report packet is complete.

1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Original UOF Report	8. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Field Contact Card	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Copy of Offense/Supplemental reports	9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Medical Report	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Statements	10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other documents pertinent to the investigation. Describe in Comment Section.	
4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Copy of C.A.R. / App. for Emerg. Psych Eval	11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Photos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Digital - Include photo CD in packet <input type="checkbox"/> Film - Requested to develop to CD	
5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Daily Detail	12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Technician Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Included <input type="checkbox"/> Requested
6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	CAD purge			
7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Taser download information. <input type="checkbox"/> N/A			

Part 4 - TRACKING - Report preparer shall forward only the UOF FACE SHEET to the following as indicated:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IAD (Level 2 & 3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BFO Admin (Level 2 & 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Div. Cmdr. (Level 2 & 3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deputy Chief (Level 2 & 3)

Checklist Prepared By
Sgt. D. Jim

Serial No.
8156P

Date of Report
9 Nov 13

Page 8 of 8