

From: Announcements
Sent: Friday, April 25, 2014 2:08 PM
To: Announcements
Subject: 4-25-14 City Administrator's Weekly Report

To download a copy of this edition of the City Administrator's Weekly Report, please visit:
<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/w/OAK046804>

MEMORANDU

M

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR &
CITY COUNCIL

FROM: Fred Blackwell

SUBJECT: City Administrator's Weekly Report

DATE: April 25, 2014

INFORMATION

Following are the key activities to be highlighted this week:

City Of Oakland Announces Partnership With Nextdoor – On Thursday, April 24, Interim Chief Sean Whent, Mayor Jean Quan, Lt. Christopher Bolton and OPD's five area captains joined Nirav Tolia, co-founder and CEO of Nextdoor, Nextdoor employees, and neighborhood representatives to announce a partnership between the Oakland Police Department (OPD) and Nextdoor. OPD will use Nextdoor to post crime alerts, updates and emergency notifications to Oakland neighborhoods. Nextdoor, the free and private social network for neighborhoods, helps residents build stronger, safer communities. With Nextdoor, Oakland residents can share information about neighborhood public safety issues, community events and activities, local services and even lost pets. Nextdoor has already proven to be an essential and well-adapted tool for Oakland residents. More than 140 neighborhoods, representing 84% of the City's neighborhoods, have started Nextdoor websites. OPD's goal is to have 90% of the City's neighborhoods using Nextdoor by the end of June. To join Nextdoor in your neighborhood, please visit www.nextdoor.com and create your account. To view a video showing the four easy steps to setting up the Nextdoor mobile app on your smartphone, please visit www.oaklandpolice.com. For more information, please contact Sgt. Holly Joshi, OPD Chief of Staff, at hjoshi@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3131.

2014 Oakland Earth Day – On Saturday, April 26, help clean, green and beautify Oakland at sites throughout the City's parks, streets, creeks and waterways from 9:00 a.m. to noon. Featured sites include Arroyo Viejo Park, Cesar Chavez Park, deFremery Park, Dimond Park, the East Oakland Boxing Association, FROG Park, the Lake Merritt Sailboat House and the Morcom Rose Garden. Volunteers work in rugged terrain with varying disability access. For accessibility information or to request ASL disability accommodations, call TTY (510) 238-3254 or email

adoptaspot@oaklandnet.com. While supplies last, volunteers will receive a free reusable bag and giveaways from Chinook Book, Numi Tea and Clif Bar. To read the media release, please visit <http://www2.oaklandnet.com/OAK046659>. For a complete list of sites citywide, please visit www.oaklandearthday.org or call (510) 238-7611.

Take The Oakland Public Library's Survey – Through Saturday, May 31, the Oakland Public Library is soliciting input from the public through an easy-to-complete online survey at <http://www.oaklandlibrary.org/survey2014>. Paper copies of the survey are available all library locations. For more information, please contact Sharon McKellar, Community Relations Librarian, at smckellar@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 238-3513.

Inaugural Meeting of the City's Privacy and Data Retention Ad Hoc Advisory Committee – On Thursday, May 1, the first meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee overseeing the development of the City's Privacy and Data Retention Policy will be meeting in Council Chambers, Oakland City Hall, 1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza. The meeting will run from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. This committee was created by City Council action during the discussions earlier in the year about the Port Domain Awareness Center (DAC). The goal of the DAC is to improve readiness to prevent, respond to and recover from major emergencies in the Oakland region and ensure better multi-agency coordination across the larger San Francisco Bay Area. The goal of the Privacy and Data Retention Policy is to ensure there are safeguards to protect against potential misuse of the data or violations of individuals' privacy rights and civil liberties. The meeting is open to the public. For questions about the Ad Hoc Committee, please contact Joe DeVries, Assistant to the City Administrator, at jdevries@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3083.

OPD Homicide Investigators Work With DA's Office: Suspects Charged In Judy Salamon Case – On Wednesday, April 23, the Alameda County District Attorney's Office charged homicide suspects Stephon Lee and Mario Floyd with the murder of Judy Salamon, which occurred on July 24, 2013. Lee, 22, is a resident of Richmond and Floyd, 23, is a resident of Oakland. The arrests and filed charges came after investigators worked extensively with officers assigned to the area and members of the community. As background, at 1:24 p.m. on July 24, the Oakland Police Department received a report of a ShotSpotter activation and calls from residents regarding a shooting on the 2400 block of Fern Street. When officers arrived on scene, they located Judy Salamon, who had suffered a fatal gunshot wound. For more information, please contact Sgt. Holly Joshi, OPD Chief of Staff, at hjoshi@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3131.

Homicide Suspect Arrested And Charged – On Monday, April 21, the Alameda County District Attorney's Office charged Prince Tsetse, 40, of Oakland with the murder of Kimberly Robertson. Investigators, working with patrol officers and the community, developed information that led to the arrest of Prince Tsetse on April 17. As background, at 6:39 a.m. on April 5, the Oakland Police Department's (OPD) Communications Center received a call from a member of the community reporting a woman lying unresponsive in the 1900 block of Third Avenue. Responding Oakland Police officers found Kimberly Robertson suffering from obvious injuries to her head. The Oakland Fire Department and Paramedics Plus attempted life saving measures. However, Robertson was declared deceased on scene. Investigators developed information that Tsetse was the suspect and a warrant was obtained for Prince Tsetse. He was arrested for the homicide of Kimberly Robertson on April 17. OPD shares the public's concern regarding homicides and violent crimes. OPD continues to work diligently with our community to identify criminal offenders and arrest those responsible for violent crime. For more information, please contact Sgt. Holly Joshi, OPD Chief of Staff, at hjoshi@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3131.

OPD Public Safety Dispatchers Honored – On Friday, April 18, the Oakland Police Department celebrated National Public Safety Telecommunicators Week with an appreciation ceremony held at OPD's Communications Center to recognize and acknowledge the hard work and dedication

of all dispatch staff. Interim Chief Sean Whent praised the Communications Section staff for their stellar service, and a proclamation by Mayor Jean Quan declaring the week as National Public Safety Telecommunicators Week was read. OPD is proud to have such a talented team of public safety dispatchers. To honor Communications Section staff, OPD hosted various events throughout the week of April 13 thanking the unsung heroes of the department. To read more on OPD dispatchers, please visit www.oaklandpolice.com. For more information, please contact Sgt. Holly Joshi, OPD Chief of Staff, at hjoshi@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3131.

9th Annual Citywide Disaster Response Exercise – On Saturday, April 26, CORE (Communities of Oakland Respond to Emergencies), a program of the Oakland Fire Department's Emergency Management Services Division (EMSD), will host the 9th annual Citywide Disaster Response Exercise. The exercise for CORE neighborhood groups will run from 9:00 a.m. to noon. For more information on the Citywide Exercise, please visit <http://www2.oaklandnet.com/Government/o/OFD/s/CORE/OAK026269>. CORE provides free emergency preparedness training for individuals, neighborhood groups and community-based organizations in Oakland. CORE teaches self-reliance skills and helps neighborhoods establish response teams to take care of the neighborhood after a disaster until professional help arrives. For more information or to register for CORE classes, please visit www.oaklandcore.org or call (510) 238-6351.

National Bike Month At The Library – Throughout May, the Oakland Public Library is getting into the spirit of National Bike Month with several fun and informative events. Sponsored by the League of American Bicyclists, National Bike Month was established in 1956 to showcase the many benefits of bicycling – and encourage more folks to give biking a try.

- > Meet and Ride with Author Elly Blue - Saturday, May 10, 10:15 a.m., César E. Chávez Branch Library, 3301 E. 12th Street
- > The Man Who Biked Across America - Saturday, May 3, 3:00 p.m., Martin Luther King Jr. Branch Library, 6833 International Boulevard
- > The Man Who Biked Across America - Tuesday, May 6, 6:00 p.m., Temescal Branch Library, 5205 Telegraph Avenue
- > The Man Who Biked Across America - Saturday, May 17, 1:00 p.m., Main Library, 125 14th Street
- > One-Hour Bike Mechanics Workshop - Saturday, May 17, 1:00 p.m., 81st Avenue Branch Library, 1021 81st Avenue
- > Energizer Stations for Bike to Work Day - Thursday, May 8, various locations
- > Bike Drive with Cycles of Change - Saturday, May 24, 10:00 a.m., Main Library, 125 14th Street
- > Kamishibai Stories on the Back of a Bicycle - Tuesday, May 6, 7:00 p.m., Rockridge Branch Library, 5366 College Avenue
- > Kamishibai Stories on the Back of a Bicycle - Tuesday, May 13, 7:00 p.m., Frog Park, 5500 Claremont Avenue
- > Kamishibai Stories on the Back of a Bicycle - Tuesday, May 20, 7:00 p.m., Rockridge Branch Library, 5366 College Avenue
- > Decorate Your Bike with “Baybe Champ” - Saturday, May 31, 11:00 a.m., Main Library, 125 14th Street

To read the media release, please visit <http://oaklandlibrary.org/news/2014/04/may-national-bike-month-get-involved-oakland-public-library>. For details on the individual activities, please visit www.oaklandlibrary.org/biketoaction. For more information, please contact Sharon McKellar, Community Relations Director, at smckellar@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 238-3513.

Oakland Youth Enjoy Sailing – On Thursday, April 10, and Friday, April 11, 47 youth from Mosswood Recreation Center and Lincoln Square Recreation Center participated in the Strictly

Sail Pacific Boat Show in Jack London Square. These Oakland youth, ranging in age from 9 to 12, were provided an opportunity to visit the show and either tour and participate in educational sessions on the tall-ship Seaward or go sailing on the Oakland Estuary. Afterguard Sailing Academy, a local Oakland sailing school provided boats and crew. Call of the Sea provided tours and educational sessions on the tall-ship Seaward. SailSFBay, a consortium of San Francisco Bay sailing organizations, and Sail America's Discover Sailing program provided organization and dock space. For more information, please contact Sarah Herbelin, Recreation Supervisor, at sherbelin@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-2196.

Upcoming City Of Oakland Job Announcement – During the week of April 28, the Department of Human Resources Management (DHRM) anticipates posting job announcements for the following positions:

- > Intake Technician
- > Payroll Personnel Clerk I
- > Payroll Personnel Clerk II

For updated information on City jobs, please visit the DHRM website at <http://agency.governmentjobs.com/oaklandca/default.cfm>. This Employment Information page also contains information on minimum qualifications of specific job classifications, how to apply for a job online and how to submit a job interest card for positions not currently posted. For more information, please contact the Department of Human Resources Management Receptionist at (510) 238-3112.

Information Memorandums:

The following Information Memorandum was issued in the last week:

Midcycle Budget Questions – The purpose of this information memo to relay that all questions from all interested parties regarding budget revenues, expenditures and the development of policy proposals for the FY 2014-15 Mid-Cycle Policy Budget will need to be submitted in writing, effective immediately. <http://www2.oaklandnet.com/w/OAK046728>

Upcoming Meetings and Events:

Mexica Dance – Mondays, 6:00 - 8:00 p.m., Peralta Hacienda Center for History and Community, 2488 Coolidge Avenue. Discover Mexica culture during ceremony and dance classes open to the public. For more information, please visit www.peraltahacienda.org.

Board Game Days – Wednesdays, 11:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m., Hall 2, Downtown Oakland Senior Center, 200 Grand Avenue. Join others in rousing board games including Monopoly, Scrabble, Boggle and many more. For more information, please contact Jennifer King, Senior Center Director, at jking@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3284.

First Thursday Art Walk – First Thursdays, 6:00 - 9:00 p.m., Grand Avenue from LakePark to Piedmont border. The Grand Avenue Business District hosts a monthly art event with special discounts from merchants and galleries. For more information, please visit <http://piedmont.patch.com/groups/events/p/ev--first-thursday-art-walk-down-grand-avenue>.

Embrace The Dimond District – Third Thursdays, 5:00 p.m., Dimond Avenue & MacArthur Boulevard. Join friends, family and neighbors to experience the Dimond District. This monthly event, organized by the Dimond Business and Professional Association, has a different theme

each month. Enjoy local artists, a fun Kids' Zone and merchant specials. For more information, please visit www.shopdimond.com.

Friday Nights @ OMCA – Fridays, 5:00 - 8:00 p.m., Oakland Museum of California, 1000 Oak Street. Join the Oakland Museum of California and Off the Grid every Friday for a family-friendly take on a festive night market. Enjoy blues, jazz and bluegrass with DJs and live bands, free dance lessons and extended museum hours. For more information, please visit www.museumca.org or call (510) 318-8400.

Dinner, A Movie And The Universe – Friday & Saturday evenings year-round, Various Times, Chabot Space and Science Center, 10000 Skyline Boulevard. The perfect date night experience is back by popular demand. Enjoy a full-course dinner in the Skyline Bistro, the live-narrated Planetarium show Cosmos 360 and view the night sky through the telescopes (weather permitting). Reservations are not required, but strongly encouraged. For more information, please visit <http://www.chabotspace.org/dinner-movie-universe.htm>.

Free Telescope Viewing – Fridays & Saturdays year-round, 7:30 - 9:30 p.m., Chabot Space & Science Center, 10000 Skyline Boulevard. Visitors experience spectacular night sky viewing – weather permitting – through one of the center's telescopes thanks to the volunteers of the East Bay Astronomical Society. Use the outside gate around the back of the center and follow the signs to the observatory entrance gate. For more information, please visit, www.chabotspace.org/observatories.htm.

Mindful Drumming For “Mishe” Happiness – Last Fridays, 7:30 p.m., Attitudinal Healing Connection, 3278 West Street. Experience an once-in-a-lifetime drumming gathering for happiness for the entire family. Cultivate “mishe” happiness through mindfulness, synchronistic rhythms and community building. Mindful drumming offers an authentic path to happiness and unleashes the human potential. For more information, please visit www.ahc-oakland.org or call (510) 652-5530.

Saturday Morning Bike Ride – Saturdays, 8:45 a.m., Warehouse416, 416 26th Street. Enjoy a flat, short ride designed to provide new or returning riders with a way of getting started. Guides adjust the ride based on participants' needs. Rain cancels ride. For more information, please contact Ron Scrivani at scrivanir@aol.com or visit www.warehouse416.com.

Exit From Anonymous – Through Saturday, April 26, Various Hours, Joyce Gordon Gallery, 406 14th Street. In celebration of International Women's Month, this group exhibition of seven women artists marches out of the silence of anonymous creator to major contributors to the arts. For more information, please visit www.joycegordongallery.com.

National Poetry Month Building-Wide Display – Through April, Various Hours, Main Library, 125 14th Street. The Oakland Public Library (OPL) will celebrate National Poetry Month with an exciting building-wide display. Climbing the building's stairs will generate a new experience, as poems will be displayed on all of the staircases, giving visitors a chance to experience poetry as they move from floor to floor. Posters of concrete poetry, where the shape or design of the poem is informed by the content, will also be on display. Folks are invited to immerse themselves in poetry from the library's top floor to the basement level. The featured poems include those by Oakland Youth Poet Laureates (www.oaklandlibrary.org/youth-poet-laureates). Other featured poets include celebrated children's poets and California poets including California Poet Laureate Juan Felipe Herrera. To read the media release on National Poetry Month, please visit <http://www2.oaklandnet.com/w/oak046338>. For more information, please contact Sharon McKellar, Community Relations Director, at smckellar@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 238-3513.

Spring Dance Session – Through Saturday, May 3, Various Hours, East Oakland Sports Center,

9161 Edes Avenue. The Introductory School of Dance will hold classes on Mondays to Saturdays during the Spring Dance Session. All Classes meet once a week for five weeks. All ages, from three to Adult, are encouraged to register for Movement Classes, which includes dance, gymnastics and martial arts. For more information, please contact Martha L. Zepeda, Recreation Program Director of Cultural Arts, at mzepeda@oaklandnet.com or (510) 615-5838.

Tumbling/Tot Play – Saturdays through May 3, 10:40 - 11:30 a.m., Ira Jinkins Recreation Center, 9175 Edes Avenue. The weekly Tumbling/Tot Play is designed for children, ages 3 to 5. This course will be based upon pre-gymnastics skills. Students will learn basic tumbling in the midst of singing, gross motor activities and game play. For more information, please contact Donte Watson, Recreation Center Director, at (510) 615-5959.

Spring Outdoor Adventures Session – Tuesdays & Thursdays through May 8, 3:30 - 6:00 p.m., Various Locations. The Manzanita Recreation Center will be hosting this session that strives to get youth engaged in the outdoors with a focus on water and boating safety using the curriculum from California Boating and Waterways and the Red Cross. The first two sessions, held in a City pool, teaching youth about personal floatation device (PFD) safety and how to kayak and prepare for situations like capsizing. The next two sessions focus on utilizing the kayaking skills they learned on Lake Merritt and the last two sessions focus on putting all of the skills together out on the Oakland Estuary. Youth engage in educational and active outdoor experiences followed by hands-on tasks and important boating and water safety curriculum. The session is free to youth, ages 9 to 16, if enrolled in a Recreation Center camp. For more information, please contact Megan Seccombe, Recreation Leader II/Outdoor Adventures Coordinator, at (510) 238-2196.

Exhibit, Reception Celebrates 25th Anniversary Of The San Francisco Bay Trail – Weekdays through Thursday, May 15, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Oakland City Hall, 1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza. The photographic exhibition Walking the Bay Trail: A Photo Journal features photojournalist and avid San Francisco Bay Trail trekker Kurt Schwabe. Schwabe captured the colorful images during an historic walk on more than 300 miles of the San Francisco Bay Trail in 30 days. For more information on the photographic exhibit, please visit http://mtc.ca.gov/about_mtc/art_gallery/schwabe/2014.htm. To read the media release, please visit <http://www2.oaklandnet.com/w/OAK046527>.

Adult Sports Bowling League – Wednesdays through May 28, AMF South Shore Lanes, 300 Park Street, Alameda. Oakland Parks & Recreation hosts this fun league. Registration rates include bowling fees, shoes and bowling balls. For more information, please contact Renee Tucker, Recreation Leader II, at (510) 238-2952.

Ready, Set, Connect! Free Computer Help Available At Six Library Locations – Through May, Various Times, Main Library, Asian Branch, César E. Chávez Branch, Dimond Branch, Eastmont Branch and Rockridge Branch. Patrons will be able to get free computer help at scheduled times. Thanks to a partnership with Community Technology Network, the Oakland Public Library has trained young adults to provide free computer help at these six library locations. Ready, Set, Connect! is a professional development program designed to help Oakland youth between the ages of 16 and 24 discover the professional skills necessary for technology-focused careers. For a schedule and other details, please visit <http://bit.ly/GAczwU>. For more information, please contact Lana Adlawan, Supervising Librarian, at ladlawan@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 238-7613.

Youth Club – Saturdays through May 31, 2:30 - 5:30 p.m., Peralta Hacienda Historical Park, 2465 34th Avenue. For ages 5 to 15, this free youth club includes activities like organic cooking & gardening, hands-on history activities, arts & crafts and environmental education. For more information, please visit www.peraltahacienda.org or call (510) 532-9142.

Healthy Living For Seniors – First Tuesdays through June 3, 10:30 a.m. to Noon, North Oakland Senior Center, 5714 Martin Luther King, Jr. Way. The UC Cooperative Extension will provide information about nutrition, exercise and health at these events held the first Tuesday of each month. There will be healthy cooking demonstrations, low-cost recipes and gifts including measuring spoons and reusable grocery bags. For more information, please contact Mary Norton, Center Director, at mnorton@oaklandnet.com or (510) 597-5085.

Pre-K Play: Parent-Toddler Playgroups – Wednesdays and Fridays through June 6, 10:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m., Tassafaronga Recreation Center, 975 85th Avenue and Willie Keyes Recreation Center, 3131 Union Street. Toddlers up to age 5 and their parents/caregivers are invited to come out and participate in arts & crafts, music, story time with the Oakland Public Library, gardening, Magic Movement Company, parent support & education, field trips to favorite local destinations and other fun-filled activities. Free healthy snacks will be provided. For more information, please contact Erin Burton, ADA/Inclusion Services, at eburton@oaklandnet.com or (510) 615-5980/(510) 867-0732 (Habla Espanol).

National Public Parks Tennis Championship Registration – Through Wednesday, August 6, tennis players can register for the United States Tennis Association (USTA) National Public Parks Tennis Championship. The championship will be held on August 16 to 24 at Oakland's Davie Tennis Stadium, 198 Oak Road. The City of Oakland and the Davie Tennis Stadium are the first Northern California site selected to host this prestigious event in its 88-year history. The championship includes multiple divisions including NTRP, Open, Senior, Family, Junior and Wheelchair. Sponsorship and volunteer opportunities for the event are available. For additional details and to register, please visit www.npptc2014.com. For more information, please contact Marc Weistein, Program Director, at (510) 444-5663.

“What I Hear, I Keep: Stories From Oakland's Griots” – Wednesdays to Saturdays through 2014, 2:30 - 5:30 p.m., Peralta House Museum of History and Community, 2465 34th Avenue. A visual and audio art exhibit featuring the voices of African American Oaklanders who recorded the stories of their lives through the Griot Initiative of StoryCorps, a national nonprofit oral history project. Their voices emanate from a gigantic horse, designed and built by Walter Hood, an African American sculptor and landscape architect. For more information, please visit www.peraltahacienda.org or call (510) 532-9142.

Film Screening – Friday, April 25, 6:00 p.m., The Forum, Laney College, 900 Fallon Street. The Alameda County-Oakland Community Action Partnership (AC-OCAP) will host a special screening of “Inequality for All,” a documentary on the widening economic gap from Robert Reich, former US Secretary of Labor, that won an award at the Sundance Film Festival. Doors open at 5:30 p.m. The film begins at 6:00 p.m. There will be a discussion following the screening. This free event commemorates the 50th Anniversary of the “War on Poverty” and is a pre-event for the March to End Poverty on May 17. For tickets and more information, please visit <https://inequalityforallacocap.eventbrite.com>.

Ceasefire Oakland/LifeLines To Healing Night Walk – Friday, April 25, 6:30 - 9:00 p.m., At Thy Word, 8915 International Boulevard. The City of Oakland and local faith-based organizations are continuing neighborhood Night Walks on Fridays as a way community members can get involved in Operation Ceasefire. These walks are organized by residents and faith leaders in areas where Ceasefire is focused. Volunteers are trained in advance and are accompanied by other volunteers for the duration of the walk. The purpose of the Night Walk is to reach out to communities affected by violence. For more information, please call (510) 639-1440 or email fridaycommunitynightwalks@gmail.com.

Movies In The Park – Friday, April 25, 6:30 p.m., Redwood Heights Recreation Center, 3883

Aliso Avenue. The inaugural movie for the 2014 season is “Despicable Me” (PG - 95 minutes). Field activities begin at 6:30 p.m. and the movie starts at 7:45 p.m. Be sure to dress warm and bring a lawn chair and/or blanket. Popcorn, hot chocolate, drinks and hot dogs will be available for purchase. Donors who bring a non-perishable food item to drop in the donation bin for the Alameda County Food Bank will receive one free small popcorn. For the full 2014 season roster, please visit www.oaklandnet.com/parks. For more information, please contact Breht Clark, Recreation Center Director, at (510) 482-7827.

Earth Day – Saturday, April 26, 9:00 a.m. - Noon, Various Oakland Locations. Help clean, green and beautify Oakland at sites throughout the City’s parks, streets, creeks and waterways. While supplies last, volunteers will receive a free reusable bag and giveaways from Chinook Book, Numi Tea and Clif Bar. For more information, please visit www.oaklandearthday.org, email the Earth Day Coordinator at adoptaspot@oaklandnet.com or call the Public Works Events Hotline at (510) 238-7611.

Telegraph Avenue Complete Streets Plan Open House – Saturday, April 26, 10:00 a.m. - Noon, Faith Presbyterian Church, 430 49th Street. Telegraph Avenue from 20th to 57th streets is undergoing a joint City and community planning effort. Complete Streets could include blub outs, bike lanes and improved pedestrian crossings. The project team will be working hard over the next few weeks to translate what they learned from the technical analysis, stakeholder meetings and the public survey into specific design concepts for the open houses. Three open houses will be held to solicit feedback on the initial options. For more information, please contact Jamie Parks, Complete Streets Program Manager, at jparks@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-6613.

Earth Day Activities – Saturday, April 26, 10:00 a.m. - Noon, FM Smith Recreation Center, 1969 Park Boulevard. All ages are invited to participate in this community event. The community will be coming together to work on beautifying the area by cleaning up in and around the park. Participants will also re-plant a butterfly garden with beautiful and colorful flowers. For more information, please contact Lois Gomes, Center Director, or Carmen Medina, Recreation Leader, at (510) 238-7742.

Play Around With Shakespeare – Saturday, April 26, 2:00 p.m., Rockridge Branch Library, 5366 College Avenue. Celebrate Shakespeare’s 450th birthday in this participatory workshop with Jane Courant. Participants will play theater games and have fun experimenting with his wonderful dramatic language: insults, compliments, spells and charms. This event is for ages 9 and up. For more information, please contact Pat Lichter, Branch Manager, at plichter@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 597-5017.

Annual Praise Dance Concert – Saturday, April 26, 3:00 p.m., Malonga Casquelourd Center for the Arts, 1428 Alice Street. Carla Service’s Dance-A-Vision School of Dance will present this performance entitled “Inspire Them.” Concert begins at 3:00 P.M. For more information, please contact Mercedes Ervin, Recreation Program Coordinator, at (510) 238-7721.

Coliseum Area Specific Plan Public Workshop – Saturday, April 26, 3:00 – 5:00 p.m., Oakland City Hall, Council Chambers, 1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza. The Coliseum Area Specific Plan (CASP) serves as a roadmap for the transformation of the Coliseum district and surrounding area into a dynamic sports, entertainment, residential and job-generating neighborhood. At the workshop, City staff will provide details to the public on the City’s vision for the Coliseum district and surrounding area and solicit feedback and suggestions from the public. The input gathered will be incorporated into a draft Specific Plan and draft Environmental Impact Report. For Plan documents, meeting schedules and more information on the CASP, please visit www.oaklandnet.com/coliseumcity. For more information on the workshop, please contact Devan Reiff, Planner III, at dreiff@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3550.

Zoovie Night – Saturday, April 26, 6:30 - 9:30 p.m., Zimmer Auditorium, Oakland Zoo, 9777 Golf Links Road. Put on your jammies and enjoy an evening of Zoovie magic with the whole family. Bring your pillows, blankets and chairs to snuggle up in the auditorium for a specially selected animal- or nature-themed movie. Meet some of the zoo's nocturnal animals brought to you by Roosevelt, the Oakland Zoo's costumed alligator mascot. While hot chocolate and popcorn will be provided, you are welcome to bring your own treats and traditional family movie fare. Pre-registration is required. For more information, please visit www.oaklandzoo.org or call (510) 632-9525, ext. 220.

The Rhythms Of Cuba – Sunday, April 27, 2:00 p.m., Main Library, Bradley Walters Community Room, 125 14th Street. It will be getting a little loud at the Main Library when members of the Tumbao Quartet give a special presentation of the authentic rhythms of Cuba. Come find out what makes Cuban rhythm tick, whether it is in the form of the mambo, cha cha cha, rumba or bolero. Attendees will also learn about the enticing connection Cuban rhythm has with American jazz. Members of the Tumbao Quartet will discuss the origins of the music and life on the island. The lineup includes esteemed Cuban percussionist Carlos Caro, bassist Rob Fisher and pianist Murray Low. Fronting the band is sax/flutist Paul Vornhagen co-leader of the award winning Cuban jazz combo and recording artists, Tumbao Bravo. Paul has also visited Cuba, where he performed with members of the Buena Vista Social Club. For more information, please contact Catherine Jennings, Librarian I, at cjennings@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 238-3138.

Author Talk With Sonia Korn-Grimani – Monday, April 28, 7:00 p.m., Piedmont Gardens, Sky Room, 110 41st Street, 11th Floor. The Piedmont Avenue Branch Library is sponsoring a very special author talk with Sonia Korn-Grimani, a Holocaust survivor who relates an inspirational story in her memoir, *Sonia's Song*. Ms. Korn-Grimani's family fled Nazi Germany when she was a young girl. She was protected in a Catholic orphanage in France, which was a mixed blessing, and migrated to Australia after World War II. She developed talents in music and in languages, and has worked in radio, television and film, as well as for the United Nations. Come meet this extraordinary woman and learn more about her life story. For more information, please contact Jenera Burton, Piedmont Branch Manager, at jburton@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 597-5011.

Hail to Oakland's First Female Fire Chief – Tuesday, April 29, 9:30 - 11:00 a.m., Downtown Oakland Senior Center, 200 Grand Avenue. Teresa Deloach Reed, Oakland's first female Fire Chief will speak on her impressive twenty-five year career in fire services. Reed has overcome challenges on her way to becoming the first African American woman to head a major metropolitan fire department. During this first ever Coffee and Conversation with Chief Reed, attendees will learn about her rise to the top in challenging, historically male-dominated field. R.S.V.P. to (510) 238-3284. For more information, please contact Jennifer King, Senior Center Director, at jking@oaklandnet.com.

World Dance Day – Tuesday, April 29, 4:00 - 7:30 p.m., Peralta Hacienda Historical Park, 2465 34th Street. Celebrate World Dance Day with Aztec Friendship Dance, Salsa, Soul and the Electric Slide. Come out and show your moves and enter the contests. For more information, please visit www.peraltahacienda.org or call (510) 532-9142.

My Mental Health Recovery: Stories of Strength And Hope – Tuesday, April 29, 6:30 p.m., Lakeview Branch Library, 550 El Embarcadero. One in five Californians lives with a mental health issue and experiences unfair treatment. Lift Every Voice and Speak is a speakers bureau of individuals who have lived with mental health challenges. Based in Alameda County, the diverse group of real people with real stories focuses on educating and enlightening the public about mental health. For more information, please contact Mary Farrell, Branch Manager, at mfarrell@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 238-7344.

CHP Free Teen Driver Safety Class – Tuesday, April 29, 7:00 p.m., Oakland CHP Office, 3601 Telegraph Avenue. In partnership with the California Office of Traffic Safety, the California Highway Patrol (CHP) has created the “Start Smart” Program that teaches teens and their parents about safe driving habits and informs them of the responsibilities and dangers associated with operating a motor vehicle. At least one parent or guardian should attend with their teen driver. To attend the free class, please call (510) 450-3821.

Main Library Travel Series: Touring Vs. Independent Travel – Wednesday, April 30, 6:00 p.m., Main Library, Bradley Walters Community Room, 125 14th Street. The Main Library’s Spring Travel Series is back. Join avid travelers Debby Sommer and Ron Heckart as they share their tour group and independent travel experiences. Highlights will include costs, itinerary planning, packing tips and facing the fear of traveling with strangers. A slideshow will accompany this presentation. For more information, contact Dorothy Lazard, Librarian II, at dlazard@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 238-6571.

Telegraph Avenue Complete Streets Plan Open House – Thursday, May 1, 6:00 - 8:00 p.m., Humanist Hall, 390 27th Street (accessible entrance at 411 28th Street). Telegraph Avenue from 20th to 57th streets is undergoing a joint City and community planning effort. Complete Streets could include blue bike lanes and improved pedestrian crossings. The project team will be working hard over the next few weeks to translate what they learned from the technical analysis, stakeholder meetings and the public survey into specific design concepts for the open houses. Three open houses will be held to solicit feedback on the initial options. For more information, please contact Jamie Parks, Complete Streets Program Manager, at jparks@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-6613.

Oakland Dance Festival – Friday, May 2, 6:00 - 9:00 p.m., Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 14th Street at Broadway. This outdoor dance event will feature performances by the Prescott Circus Theatre, Bay Area Flash Mob, Likha Pilipino Folk Ensemble, Dance-A-Vision, The Dancing Shadows and DJ O Aces. Join the dance party and see your city in motion. For more information, please call (510) 763-5180.

Jack’s Night Market – Friday, May 2, 6:00 - 10:00 p.m., Jack London Square, foot of Broadway. Enjoy a captivating evening filled with merriment and verve at this event, which is held in conjunction with First Fridays. There will be an eclectic mix of local artisans, local designers, fine crafts, food and drinks. From quirky street performers to local fruit purveyors, this vibrant outdoor bazaar offers something for everyone. For more information, please visit www.jacklondonsquare.com.

Ceasefire Oakland/LifeLines To Healing Night Walk – Friday, May 2, 6:30 - 9:00 p.m., Allen Temple Baptist Church, 8501 International Boulevard. The City of Oakland and local faith-based organizations are continuing neighborhood Night Walks on Fridays as a way community members can get involved in Operation Ceasefire. These walks are organized by residents and faith leaders in areas where Ceasefire is focused. Volunteers are trained in advance and are accompanied by other volunteers for the duration of the walk. The purpose of the Night Walk is to reach out to communities affected by violence. For more information, please call (510) 639-1440 or email fridaycommunitynightwalks@gmail.com.

Vintage Car And Truck Show – Saturday, May 3, 10:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m., Jack London Square, foot of Broadway. From car buffs to two-wheeled enthusiasts, this celebration of vintage vehicles will fill the waterfront with rare models for all to enjoy, along with live music from Hot Rod Jukebox and a tasty barbeque with the Oakland firefighters. The event will showcase dozens of pre-1980s cars, trucks and motorcycles. For more information, please visit www.jacklondonsquare.com.

Adult Sailing Classes On Lake Merritt – Weekends from Saturday, May 3 through Sunday, August 17, 10:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Lake Merritt Boating Center, 568 Bellevue Avenue. For ages 16 and up, the Basic, Solo, and Advanced Small Boat Sailing classes cover everything from how to get into the boat to racing techniques and dry capsizing skills. Graduates can return to rent boats on their own on Lake Merritt. Visit www.sailoakland.com for the detailed schedule. For more information, please contact Sarah Herbelin, Recreation Supervisor, at sherbelin@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-2196.

Vator Splash Oakland Tech Startup Competition & Conference – Tuesday, May 6 and Wednesday, May 7, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Jack London Market, 55 Harrison Street. The Vator Splash conference promises to shine a spotlight on Oakland's increasing attraction as a tech hub. Participants will follow two tracks of content designed to educate startup tech entrepreneurs as well as venture capital (VC) and angel investors. Speakers include luminaries in the venture capital world as well as civic and business leaders who will talk about how to start and scale successful companies and trends that are shaping future tech investment. Another highlight of Vator Splash Oakland will be on-stage presentations from finalists in two, concurrent tech startup competitions that launched in January: the Vator Splash competition, open to any US-based tech startup, and the Oaktown Tech competition, sponsored by Oakland Forward, for Oakland and neighboring East Bay startups. For more information, please visit <http://vator.tv/events/splash-oak-may-2014>.

CORE I Home And Family Preparedness Training – Wednesday, May 7, 6:00 - 8:30 p.m., Patten University, 2433 Coolidge Avenue. CORE (Communities of Oakland Respond to Emergencies) provides free emergency preparedness training for individuals, neighborhood groups and community-based organizations in Oakland. CORE teaches self-reliance skills and helps neighborhoods establish response teams to take care of the neighborhood after a disaster until professional help arrives. CORE is a program of the Oakland Fire Department's Emergency Management Services Division (EMSD). For more information or to register for the CORE classes, please visit www.oaklandcore.org or call (510) 238-6351.

21st Anniversary Bike To Work Day – Thursday, May 8, 7:00 - 9:00 a.m., Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 14th Street at Broadway. Oakland rings in Bike To Work Day with a pancake breakfast. Pancakes, prizes and all-day valet bike parking. Win a Torker T29 bicycle or one of many other prizes. Visit your nearest Energizer Station on your way to work and enjoy free coffee, snacks and get a free canvas tote bag. For more information, please visit <http://www2.oaklandnet.com/Government/o/PWA/o/EC/s/BicycleandPedestrianProgram/OAK024651>.

Bike To Work Day – Thursday, May 8, 7:00 - 9:15 a.m., Jack London Square, foot of Clay Street. This event promotes bicycling as an option for commuting to work, a healthy alternative to driving. Free beverages. For more information, please visit www.ebbc.org/btwd.

11th Annual Taste Of Spring – Thursday, May 8, 5:30 - 8:30 p.m., Rotunda Building, 300 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza. The Friends of Oakland Parks & Recreation host this fundraiser. Proceeds support youth scholarships for swimming, boating, art and camp programs offered by the Oakland Parks & Recreation Department (OPR) as well as other special projects to support and enhance Oakland's parks and recreation programs and services. The event will feature an aerial acrobatics act, fine wines, gourmet fare, silent and live auctions and the opportunity to learn first-hand about programs offered throughout Oakland. For more information and to purchase tickets, please visit www.oaklandparks.org.

Latham Square Community Meeting – Thursday, May 8, 6:00 - 8:00 p.m., AIA East Bay offices, 1405 Clay Street. The Oakland Public Works Department will host this fourth community meeting on the Latham Square project. At this meeting, the current design plan for

Latham Square will be reviewed. The project landscape architect will also present the options for seating, lighting, landscape, paving materials and other elements. Other discussion topics will include fountain renovations and public art. For more information on the Latham Square project, please visit www.oaklandnet.com/LathamSquare. For more information, please contact Nick Cartagena, Civil Engineer - Transportation Planning and Funding, at ncartagena@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-2139.

CORE I Home And Family Preparedness Training – Thursday, May 8, 6:00 - 8:30 p.m., Children's Hospital Oakland, 744 52nd Street. CORE (Communities of Oakland Respond to Emergencies) provides free emergency preparedness training for individuals, neighborhood groups and community-based organizations in Oakland. CORE teaches self-reliance skills and helps neighborhoods establish response teams to take care of the neighborhood after a disaster until professional help arrives. CORE is a program of the Oakland Fire Department's Emergency Management Services Division (EMSD). For more information or to register for the CORE classes, please visit www.oaklandcore.org or call (510) 238-6351.

Oakland Community Orchestra Concert – Friday, May 9, 1:00 p.m., Malonga Casquelourd Center for the Arts, 1428 Alice Street. The Oakland Community Orchestra was established in 1962 as a part of the City of Oakland Park and Recreation. More than 40 members play major symphonic music of many genres. For more information, please contact Darrin Hodges, Center Director, at (510) 238-7219.

Pickin' On The Potomac Bluegrass Series – Friday, May 9, 7:30 - 9:30 p.m., Presidential Yacht USS Potomac, 540 Water Street. Enjoy the foot-stompin' good sounds from the popular bluegrass band Shepherd Canyon Band during this dockside event. Beverages and bar nibbles will be available for purchase. For more information or to purchase tickets, please call (510) 627-1215.

Ceasefire Oakland/LifeLines To Healing Night Walk – Friday, May 9, 6:30 - 9:00 p.m., First Mt. Sinai, 1970 86th Avenue. The City of Oakland and local faith-based organizations are continuing neighborhood Night Walks on Fridays as a way community members can get involved in Operation Ceasefire. These walks are organized by residents and faith leaders in areas where Ceasefire is focused. Volunteers are trained in advance and are accompanied by other volunteers for the duration of the walk. The purpose of the Night Walk is to reach out to communities affected by violence. For more information, please call (510) 639-1440 or email fridaycommunitynightwalks@gmail.com.

Local Hershey's Track And Field Games Meet – Saturday, May 10, Various Times, Castlemont High School, 8601 MacArthur Boulevard. Oakland Parks and Recreation hosts this event full of track events for boys and girls in different divisions. Registration is free by visiting www.coachO.com prior to midnight on May 7. Onsite registration will be available from 7:30 - 8:30 a.m. with games beginning at 9:00 a.m. Youth ages dictate the number of events they may participate in during the meet. The top two winners in each event at this meet will participate in the NORCAL Hershey's State Track Meet to be held at Mt. Pleasant High School in San Jose on Saturday, June 14. Additional details are available at www.oaklandnet.com/parks. For more information, please contact Willie White, Track and Field Coordinator, at (510) 238-3897.

Mayor's Town Hall Meeting In Police Area 3 – Saturday, May 10, 10:00 a.m. - 12:30 p.m., Bret Harte Middle School, 3700 Coolidge Avenue. Join Mayor Jean Quan, Interim Police Chief Sean Whent and OUSD Superintendent Gary Yee in a conversation on Public Safety in Oakland. Over the last year, Oakland has been transforming its police services by creating neighborhood-level geographic accountability, implementing Ceasefire and hiring more officers. The next phase of Public Safety planning begins now with a series of town hall meetings in each Police Area to gather community feedback and work together to grow police-community collaboration. Key

topics will include how we strengthen community policing, how we can work with our schools and how we can improve employment opportunities. The town hall meetings are open to all members of our community, regardless of which Police Area they reside or work in. Free childcare will be provided. To view a flyer with all of the meeting dates, please visit <http://www2.oaklandnet.com/w/OAK045751>. For more information, please contact Joe DeVries, Assistant to the City Administrator, at jdevries@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3083.

Jack of All Trades Market – Saturday, May 10, 11:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Jack London Square, foot of Broadway. A curated mix of local makers, indie designers, antiquers, collectors, artists, start-ups and more participate in this eclectic market featuring one-of-a-kind items, live music and several free DIY workshops for all ages. For more information, please visit www.jackofalltradesoakland.com.

Oakland Internet Cat Festival – Saturday, May 10, 3:00 - 10:00 p.m., West Grand Avenue between Broadway and Telegraph Avenue. A celebration of cats, art and technology, the event will include free art projects, bands, food trucks and vendors. The screenings will begin at sundown when cat videos are projected on to the Great Wall of Oakland. Proceeds benefit the Oakland-East Bay SPCA. For more information, please visit www.oaklandcatvidfest.com.

OMCA NEXT: Reflect, Refract, Reveal – Saturday, May 10, 6:00 p.m. - Midnight, Oakland Museum of California, 1000 Oak Street. Enjoy this dazzling celebration of the museum's new vision to inspire California's future and foster the next generation of the state's stewards, citizens, innovators and creators. This special evening benefits the Museum's renowned school tours and education programs. For more information, please visit <http://museumca.org/next>.

Art + Industry 2014 Open Studios – Saturday, May 10, Noon - 11:00 p.m., and Sunday, May 11, Noon - 5:00 p.m., 1960 Mandela Parkway. This interactive exhibit of art and innovations from more than 45 artists, makers and entrepreneurs features everything from painting, illustration and photography to large-scale sculpture, furniture, glass, art cars and fire. More than just open studios, Art + Industry offers a behind-the-scenes look at the East Bay's largest home to artists and innovators. Enjoy live demonstrations, artist talks, studio tours, performances and more. For more information, please visit www.americanstudios.com/openstudios.

Patchwork Indie Art & Craft Festival – Sunday, May 11, 11:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Jack London Square, 55 Harrison Street. Celebrate Mother's Day at this festival showcasing more than 140 local artists, crafters and designers of handmade goods. Find clothing, art, paper goods, accessories, housewares and more. For more information, please visit www.patchworkshow.com.

CORE II Neighborhood Preparedness And Response Training – Wednesday, May 14, 6:00 - 8:30 p.m., Patten University, 2433 Coolidge Avenue. CORE (Communities of Oakland Respond to Emergencies) provides free emergency preparedness training for individuals, neighborhood groups and community-based organizations in Oakland. CORE teaches self-reliance skills and helps neighborhoods establish response teams to take care of the neighborhood after a disaster until professional help arrives. CORE is a program of the Oakland Fire Department's Emergency Management Services Division (EMSD). For more information or to register for the CORE classes, please visit www.oaklandcore.org or call (510) 238-6351.

CORE II Neighborhood Preparedness And Response Training – Wednesday, May 15, 6:00 - 8:30 p.m., Children's Hospital Oakland, 744 52nd Street. CORE (Communities of Oakland Respond to Emergencies) provides free emergency preparedness training for individuals, neighborhood groups and community-based organizations in Oakland. CORE teaches self-reliance skills and helps neighborhoods establish response teams to take care of the neighborhood after a disaster until professional help arrives. CORE is a program of the Oakland Fire Department's Emergency Management Services Division (EMSD). For more information or to

register for the CORE classes, please visit www.oaklandcore.org or call (510) 238-6351.

Ceasefire Oakland/LifeLines To Healing Night Walk – Friday, May 16, 6:30 - 9:00 p.m., Cosmopolitan Baptist Church, 988 85th Avenue. The City of Oakland and local faith-based organizations are continuing neighborhood Night Walks on Fridays as a way community members can get involved in Operation Ceasefire. These walks are organized by residents and faith leaders in areas where Ceasefire is focused. Volunteers are trained in advance and are accompanied by other volunteers for the duration of the walk. The purpose of the Night Walk is to reach out to communities affected by violence. For more information, please call (510) 639-1440 or email fridaycommunitynightwalks@gmail.com.

Oakland-esque: A Portrait In Dance – Friday, May 16, 8:00 p.m., Saturday, May 17, 2:00 & 8:00 p.m., Malonga Casquelourd Center for the Arts, 1428 Alice Street. The Oakland Ballet Company's exciting program will feature four world premieres celebrating the richness, diversity and beauty present in the East Bay community. Departing from the classical world of ballet, Oakland-esque showcases the company's contemporary side – stripping away the elements of more formal ballet productions for a more hip, urban program celebrating dance and Oakland. This program features Oakland Ballet Company's dynamic dancers with guest performers from Oakland's groundbreaking AXIS Dance Company and the popular Oakland street dance crew Turffeinz. For more information, please visit www.oaklandballet.org or call (510) 893-3132.

42nd Annual Oakland Greek Festival – Friday, May 16 through Sunday, May 18, 10:00 a.m. - 11:00 p.m. Friday & Saturday, 11:00 a.m. - 9:00 p.m. Sunday, Greek Orthodox Cathedral of the Ascension, 4700 Lincoln Avenue. Music, food and live entertainment welcome revelers to this annual festival. For more information, please visit www.oaklandgreekfestival.com.

Homebuyer Workshop – Saturday, May 17, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., The Unity Council, 3301 East 12th Street, Suite 201. Prospective homebuyers can learn about the home-buying process, including mortgage financing, working with realtors, property selection and the responsibilities of ownership. There is a special emphasis on Oakland area housing assistance programs designed to assist low- and moderate-income homebuyers. For more information or to register, please visit <http://theunitycouncilhoc.eventbrite.com>.

March To End Poverty – Saturday, May 17, 9:00 a.m., Edell Bandstand, 666 Bellevue Avenue. The Alameda County-Oakland Community Action Partnership hosts this important fundraiser. Registration begins at 9:00 a.m. and the March & Rally steps off at 10:00 a.m. The first 400 participants who bring a non-perishable food item for the Alameda County Community Food Bank will receive a free t-shirt. The Community Fair includes free boating, entertainment and a Kids' Zone. For more information and to register, please visit www.AC-OCAP.com or call (510) 238-2362.

Annual Meeting & Picnic – Saturday, May 17, 11:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m., Joaquin Miller Park, Baywood Picnic Area, 3590 Sanborn Drive. Come celebrate five years of the Friends of Joaquin Miller Park with a talk on "The Great Redwood Grab" by historian Dennis Evanovsky and a potluck picnic. For more information, please visit www.fojmp.org.

National Safe Boating Week Open House – Saturday, May 17, 11:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Lake Merritt Boating Center, 568 Bellevue Avenue. Free boat rentals and free boat rides plus boating safety information and water safety games for youth. For more information, please visit www.sailoakland.com.

Session Fest – Saturday, May 17, Noon - 4:00 p.m., Jack London Square, foot of Broadway. Join more than 30 local craft brewers as they flex their brewing skills with a variety of session beers. Enjoy live music and food plus beer education from local brewers. For more information,

please visit www.jacklondonsquare.com.

Jazz at the Chimes – Sunday, May 18, 2:00 p.m., Chapel of the Chimes, 4499 Piedmont Avenue. Veteran jazz vocalist Kellye Gray performs with the stunning Chapel of the Chimes as a backdrop. For more information, please visit www.jazzatthechimes.com or call (510) 654-0123.

Adult Recess – Thursday, May 22, 11:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m., Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 14th Street at Broadway. Oakland Parks and Recreation invites adults to come out and relive their youth by participating in various fun games and activities. At this free event, adults can enjoy volleyball, hula hooping, ping pong, Zumba, relay competitions, arts & crafts, rock climbing, double dutch, fitness fun, face painting, badminton, giant chess, a camping demonstration, bumper bellies, a video game truck, basketball, miniature golf, the Zen Zone with chair massages, yoga and more. This is an opportunity for the adults to play; leave the kids at home. Get your competition teams together now. For more information, please contact Tiffany Millinder, Assistant to the Director, at (510) 238-3791.

Upper Broadway Pedestrian/Bike/Roadway Improvement Project – Thursday, May 29, 7:00 p.m., Rockridge Branch Library, 5366 College Avenue. The City of Oakland's Public Works Department will present the proposed project, which is envisioned to improve roadway safety, improve pedestrian crossing access and include bike facilities on-street (lanes). For more information, please contact Victoria Eisen, the City's Caldecott projects consultant, at victoria@eisenletunic.com or (510) 525-0220.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
FRED BLACKWELL
City Administrator

From: Announcements
Sent: Friday, July 05, 2013 5:07 PM
To: Announcements
Subject: 7-5-13 City Administrator's Weekly Report

To download a copy of the City Administrator's Weekly Report, please visit:
<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/w/OAK041843>

MEMORANDU

M

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR &
CITY COUNCIL

FROM: Deanna J. Santana

SUBJECT: City Administrator's Weekly Report

DATE: July 5, 2013

INFORMATION

Following are the key activities to be highlighted this week:

City Seeks Citizen Input on Council Redistricting – Beginning on Wednesday, July 10, the City of Oakland will host a series of Redistricting Town Hall Meetings to gather input from the community on boundary adjustments to Council Districts to equalize each district's population according to U.S. Census data. The Oakland City Charter mandates the review of City Council District boundaries every 10 years. At its meeting on Tuesday, June 4, the Oakland City Council provided specific direction to City staff and established the criteria to be used in reviewing the Council District boundaries. (To view the reports, resolution and video of the meeting, please visit <http://bit.ly/103avDM>.) The public is invited to engage in the redistricting process through a series of Town Hall Meetings. Additional opportunities for input and feedback are also available. The Oakland City Council Districts also serve as the districts for the Governing Board for the Oakland Unified School District, commonly called the Board of Education. Any changes made to the Council Districts will also change the boundaries for the areas represented by the elected members of the Board of Education. However, boundary changes will not impact school enrollment choices. Redistricting Town Hall Meetings will be held in each of the seven Council Districts July through September. Oakland residents are encouraged to attend the meeting to learn more about the process and provide comment and input on District boundaries. Simultaneous interpretation in select languages will be provided as noted. The dates and locations for the Redistricting Town Hall Meetings are:

- > Wednesday, July 10, 6 - 8 p.m.
81st Avenue Branch Library, 1021 81st Avenue
- > Thursday, July 11, 6:30 - 8:30 p.m.
Oakland City Hall, 1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza
Interpretation in Cantonese, Vietnamese and Spanish will be provided.

- > Saturday, July 13, 10:00 a.m. - noon
Dimond Recreation Center, 3860 Hanly Road
- > Thursday, September 5, 6:30 - 8:30 p.m.
César Chávez Education Center, 2825 International Boulevard
Interpretation in Spanish will be provided.
- > Saturday, September 7, 10:00 a.m. - noon
Frick Middle School, 2845 64th Avenue
- > Saturday, September 7, 2 - 4 p.m.
Oakland Public Library, Main Library Auditorium, 125 14th Street
Interpretation in Cantonese and Vietnamese will be provided.
- > Sunday, September 8, 3 - 5 p.m.
Claremont Middle School, 5750 College Avenue

Every meeting is open to all interested parties and members of the Oakland community regardless of the Council District of residency. Visit <http://mapgis.oaklandnet.com/councildistricts> for a map of Council Districts and locator tool. To request interpretation services in languages other than English and select languages indicated, please contact Silvia San Miguel, at least five business days prior to the meeting date, at (510) 238-6448 or ssanmiguel@oaklandnet.com. All meeting locations are wheelchair accessible. To request a sign language interpreter or any other disability accommodation, please contact Devan Reiff at (510) 238-3550, TTY: (510) 238-3254 or dreiff@oaklandnet.com at least three business days in advance. Please refrain from wearing scented products to these meetings. For those unable to attend one of the above meetings, the City is offering a variety of other engagement and feedback opportunities including:

- > Using the interactive mapping software at <http://www.onlineredistricting.com/Oakland2/redist/>
- > Emailing suggestions and comments to strategicplanning@oaklandnet.com
- > Leaving a voice message on the redistricting hotline at (510) 238-3079
- > Visiting the City's newly launched open data platform, <http://data.oaklandnet.com>, to explore and visualize population data
- > Engaging in the discussion online at <http://www.EngageOakland.com>

In October, the City Council will begin holding public hearings to deliberate on the proposed redistricting maps that result from the summer's Town Hall Meetings. The Council will make a final selection of the Council District boundaries in November. To assist in the Redistricting process, the City of Oakland has retained the services of National Demographics Corporation (NDC). NDC has extensive experience in redistricting, having served as consultants for local and regional redistricting efforts in Modesto, Los Angeles County and Santa Rosa. For more information on Oakland's redistricting process including a map of current Council Districts, relevant legislation, upcoming Council meeting dates and more, the public should visit www.oaklandnet.com/redistricting. For more information, please contact Devan Reiff, AICP, Planner II, at dreiff@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3550.

Good Police Work – On Thursday, June 27, officers successfully apprehended suspects in two separate robberies. While on their meal break in the 700 block of International Boulevard, Oakland Police Department (OPD) Officers Lowe, Garcia and Khem observed a suspect running through the restaurant parking lot with a lady's purse. The victim was not far behind screaming and in distress. The officers' rapid response led to the suspect being taken into custody. Later that same evening, Officers Lane and Castro saw an armed robbery in progress in the 900 block

of 43rd Street. Thanks to their keen eye and quick coordination with patrol units, the two suspects were taken into custody. With reductions of street robberies being one of OPD's most important priorities, the work done by these officers is greatly appreciated. For more information, please contact Sgt. Holly Joshi, OPD Chief of Staff, at hjoshi@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3131.

OPR Hosts Open Houses During National Parks and Recreation Month – Throughout July, the Office of Parks and Recreation (OPR) is celebrating National Park and Recreation Month by hosting more than 60 events that showcase its programs and services for youth and families. Since 1985, Americans from all walks of life have celebrated National Park and Recreation Month to promote the importance of parks and recreation in the United States. Open Houses are free to the public and offer fun and entertainment for the whole family. For the complete roster of events, please visit <http://www.oaklandnet.com/w/OAK040163>. For more information, please contact Michelle Doppelt, Recreation Supervisor, at mdoppelt@oaklandnet.com or (510) 482-7831. The following open houses will be held next week:

- > Monday, July 8, 1:00 - 3:00 p.m., San Antonio Sports Complex, 1701 East 19th Street. For more information, please contact Armond Gray, Program Coordinator, at (510) 535-5608 or agray@oaklandnet.com.
- > Tuesday, July 9, 3:00 - 6:00 p.m., Sheffield Village Recreation Center, 247 Marlow Drive. For more information, please contact Alaina Fuglestad, Recreation Center Director, at afuglestad@oaklandnet.com or (510) 638-7190.
- > Wednesday, July 10, 2:00 - 4:00 p.m., Bushrod Recreation Center, 560 59th Street. For more information, please contact Myka Hammock, Recreation Center Director, at mhammock@oaklandnet.com or (510) 597-5031.
- > Thursday, July 11, 3:00 - 7:00 p.m., Golden Gate Recreation Center, 1075 62nd Street. For more information, please contact Vinzuela Bolden, Recreation Center Director, at vbolden@oaklandnet.com or (510) 597-5032.
- > Thursday, July 11, Noon - 2:00 p.m., Jack London Aquatic Center, 115 Embarcadero. For more information, please contact Desmona Armstrong, Central Reservations Manager, at darmstrong@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-4718.
- > Friday, July 12, 1:00 - 3:00 p.m., Allendale Recreation Center, 3711 Suter Street. For more information, please contact George Henderson, Recreation Center Director, at ghenderson@oaklandnet.com or (510) 535-5635.
- > Friday, July 12, 1:00 - 4:00 p.m., Studio One Arts Center & Temescal Pool, 365 45th Street. For more information, please contact Karis Griffin, Recreation Supervisor, at kgriffin@oaklandnet.com or (510) 597-5027.
- > Friday, July 12, 4:00 - 6:00 p.m., Brookdale Recreation Center & Discovery Center, 2535 High Street. For more information, please contact Rich Bolecek, Recreation Center Director, at rbolecek@oaklandnet.com or (510) 535-5632.
- > Saturday, July 13, 10:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m., Redwood Heights Recreation Center, 3883 Aliso Avenue. For more information, please contact Breht Clark, Recreation Center Director, at bclark@oaklandnet.com or (510) 482-7827.
- > Saturday, July 13, 1:00 - 3:00 p.m., Lake Merritt, 666 Bellevue Avenue. Event includes activities at Lakeside Park Garden Center, Lake Merritt Boating Center, Rotary Nature Center and the Gardens at Lake Merritt. For more information, please contact Zermaine Thomas, Central Reservations Manager, at zthomas@oaklandnet.com.

OPD Worked with ShotSpotter to Reduce Celebratory Gunfire on Independence Day – On Thursday, July 4, the Oakland Police Department deployed patrol officers in areas of Oakland expected to have the highest amount of gunshot and firework activity based on information recorded by ShotSpotter locations in recent months and from last year's data. The ShotSpotter technology also allowed officers to respond in real time to ShotSpotter activations in these areas. Patrol officers were also deployed throughout the city to maintain the peace, arrest drunk drivers

and prevent crime and violence. For more information, please contact Sgt. Holly Joshi, OPD Chief of Staff, at hjoshi@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3131.

Public Works' Annual Blitz on Potholes – On Monday, April 29, the City of Oakland's Public Works Agency (PWA) launched its pothole blitz to keep Oakland's vehicular and pedestrian traffic safe. This year's Blitz ran through June. Each week, the Blitz re-located to another district in the City, starting on Claremont Avenue between Clifton Street and Hudson Street. The Streets & Sidewalks Division of the Department of Infrastructure & Maintenance filled 3,014 potholes, exceeding this year's goal by 14 and last year's total by more than 200. The focus of the blitz was on traffic corridors, schools, hospitals, high traffic pedestrian areas and problem streets. Where necessary and feasible, the repairs focused on long-lasting solutions to recurring potholes instead of short-term patches. Potholes are a never ending battle because repairing them is just a band aid fix to our City's old streets. To report a problem such as a pothole or burnt out streetlamp, call the Public Works Call Center at (510) 615-5566, visit www.oaklandpw.com or email pwacallcenter@oaklandnet.com. For more information, please contact David Ferguson, PWA Assistant Director, at dferguson@Oaklandnet.com or (510) 615-5856.

OPD Interim Chief Whent Signs Smart Phones Initiative – During the week of June 28, Oakland Police Interim Chief Sean Whent joined a growing list of law enforcement leaders, legislators and consumer advocates across the country by signing the Secure Our Smart Phones Initiative (<http://www.change.org/petitions/secure-our-smartphones>). The initiative, co-chaired by San Francisco DA George Gascon and New York Attorney General Eric Schneiderman, urges mobile communications device manufacturers and carriers to find technical solutions to the disturbing trend of robberies involving mobile communications devices. Among other things, it urges implementation of a "kill switch" that would render stolen devices inoperable on any network, anywhere in the world. Nationwide, mobile communications device theft accounts for a majority of urban robberies. Oakland is no different. Chief Whent supports this innovative initiative because it will positively impact local crime rates. Making stolen cell phones and other mobile communications devices worthless will reduce assaults and robberies in Oakland. For more information, please contact Sgt. Holly Joshi, OPD Chief of Staff, at hjoshi@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3131.

Mayor, Councilmembers, OFD, OPD and many others Participated in the San Francisco Pride Parade – On Sunday, June 30, Mayor Jean Quan, President pro Tempore Kaplan, Councilmember Libby Schaaf, Chief Reed, Interim Chief Sean Whent, Oakland City Employees and many other Oakland based community organizations and members took to the streets in San Francisco's 2013 Pride Parade. With the Mayor's convertible, two Fire Vehicles, three Oakland Police vehicles and hundreds of colorfully dressed walkers, Oakland had one of its largest contingents. Over one million onlookers cheered as Oakland showed its support of the LGBTQ community.

Upcoming City of Oakland Job Announcement – During the week of July 8, the Department of Human Resources Management (DHRM) anticipates posting job announcements for the following positions:

- > Real Estate Agent
- > Senior Performance Auditor

For updated information on City jobs, please visit the DHRM website at <http://agency.governmentjobs.com/oaklandca/default.cfm>. This Employment Information page also contains information on minimum qualifications of specific job classifications, how to apply for a job on-line and how to submit a job interest card for positions not currently posted. For more information, please contact the Department of Human Resources Management Receptionist at (510) 238-3112.

Upcoming Meetings and Events:

Mexica Dance – Every Monday, 6:00 - 8:00 p.m., Peralta Hacienda Center for History and Community, 2488 Coolidge Avenue. Discover Mexica culture during ceremony and dance classes open to the public. For more information, please visit www.peraltahacienda.org.

Guided Walking Tours – Every Wednesday and Saturday through October 30, 10:00 a.m., Various Starting Points. The twice weekly guided tours showcase the city's changing skyline, landmarks, churches and high-rises. There are eight different tour itineraries. The tour brochure, itinerary descriptions, dates and starting locations are available at www.oaklandnet.com/walkingtours. Reservations are recommended and may be made by leaving a message on the Tours' 24-hour hotline at (510) 238-3234 or via email to aallen@oaklandnet.com.

Mural Workshop – Thursdays, 3:30 - 5:00 p.m., 81st Avenue Branch Library, 1021 81st Avenue. Join acclaimed muralist Lisa Nowlain in creating a mural for the branch's TeenZone. For more information, please call (510) 615-5812.

Movie Night in the Temescal – Thursdays through July 18, 49th Street at Telegraph Avenue. Enjoy a free movie plus live music and local food vendors. Movies range from feature-length documentaries to nationally acclaimed films. For more information, please visit www.temescaldistrict.org.

"Growing Readers" – Thursdays through August 8, 3:00 - 4:00 p.m., Main Library Children's Room, 125 14th Street. This program introduces urban farming and container gardening to young library patrons, thanks to a generous grant from the Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA). Children will work with staff from OPL's partner agency, Acta Non Verba, in the garden, do a fun activity and learn about topics such as "Good Bug or Bad Bug?" and "Where Does Food Come From?" For more information, please contact Helen Bloch, Librarian II, at hbloch@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 238-3615.

First Thursday Art Walk – Every First Thursday of the Month, 6:00 - 9:00 p.m., Grand Avenue from LakePark to Piedmont border. The Grand Avenue Business District hosts a monthly art event with special discounts from merchants and galleries. For more information, please visit <http://piedmont.patch.com/groups/events/p/ev--first-thursday-art-walk-down-grand-avenue>.

Art Program for Teens Kicks Off at César Chávez Branch Library – Thursdays through August 1, 1:30 - 3:30 p.m., César Chávez Branch Library, 3301 East 12th Street, Suite 271. Artist Marcela Florez Rodriguez and poet Kristin Palm will lead teens in a program called Observatory: Oakland with an emphasis on gaining greater familiarity and understanding of the surrounding environment through close observation, drawing and note-taking. Teens will explore ideas inspired by the places that matter most to them. No art experience necessary. For information, please contact Pete Villaseñor, Branch Manager, at pvillasenor@oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 535-5620.

Off the Grid: Lake Merritt at OMCA – Every Friday, 5:00 - 8:00 p.m., Oakland Museum of California, 1000 Oak Street. The Bay Area's most talked-about food truck party comes to Oakland. Enjoy a family-friendly take on a festive night market on 10th Street with curbside cuisine. For more information, please visit www.museumca.org or call (510) 318-8400.

Dinner, a Movie, and the Universe – Friday & Saturday evenings year-round, Various Times, Chabot Space and Science Center, 10000 Skyline Boulevard. The perfect date night experience is

back by popular demand. Enjoy a full-course dinner in the Skyline Bistro, the live-narrated Planetarium show Cosmos 360 and view the night sky through the telescopes (weather permitting). Reservations are not required, but strongly encouraged. For more information, please visit <http://www.chabotspace.org/dinner-movie-universe.htm>.

Second Fridays – Every second Friday, 7:00 - 10:00 p.m., Mosswood Recreation Center, 3612 Webster Street. Enjoy this monthly performing arts social. Engage and share in music, dance and poetry geared to those ages 16 and up. For more information, please call (510) 597-5038.

Jack's Night Market – First Friday of each month through September 6, 6:00 - 10:00 p.m., Jack London Square, foot of Broadway. A captivating evening filled with merriment and verve can be enjoyed every first Friday through September. Sample the eclectic mix of artisans, music, food and fun. For more information, please visit www.jacklondonsquare.com.

Sewing with a Purpose – First and third Fridays, 6:00 - 7:30 p.m., Mosswood Recreation Center, 3612 Webster Street. Come revamp your favorite clothes, from jeans to shirts, in this fun and exciting semimonthly class and learn basic sewing skills. For more information including class fees, please call (510) 597-5038.

New School Crafting – Every third Friday, 6:30 - 8:00 p.m., Mosswood Recreation Center, 3612 Webster Street. Patrons, ages 21 and up, can stop by and create arts & crafts in a fun and relaxing setting while enjoying appetizers and drinks. For more information including class fees, please call (510) 597-5038.

Mindful Drumming for "Mishe" Happiness – Last Friday of the Month, 7:30 p.m., Attitudinal Healing Connection, 3278 West Street. Experience an once-in-a-lifetime drumming gathering for happiness for the entire family. Cultivate "mishe" happiness through mindfulness, synchronistic rhythms and community building. Mindful drumming offers an authentic path to happiness and unleashes the human potential. For more information, please visit www.ahc-oakland.org or call (510) 652-5530.

Saturday Morning Bike Ride – Every Saturday year-round, 8:45 a.m., Warehouse416, 416 26th Street. Enjoy a flat, short ride designed to provide new or returning riders with a way of getting started. Guides adjust the ride based on participants' needs. Rain cancels ride. For more information, please contact Ron Scrivani at scrivanir@aol.com or visit www.warehouse416.com.

Arts & Crafts – Saturdays, 2:00 - 3:30 p.m., Brookfield Branch Library, 9255 Edes Avenue. Come and join in the fun at Brookfield's Saturdays Arts & Crafts. Learn the basics of paper-crafting, clay modeling, beading and more. Expand your creativity, develop your small-motor skills and enjoy an afternoon of arts exploration. All ages are welcome to participate. For more information, please call (510) 615-5725.

Outdoor Movie Night in Montclair – Third Saturdays of the Month through September 21, doors open at 6:30 p.m., movies begin at 7:00 p.m., Red Oak Realty, 6450 Moraga Avenue. All are welcome to bring lawn chairs and blankets to the parking lot for a free outdoor movie complete with popcorn and previews. For more information, please visit www.montclairvillage.com.

Annual "Green T" Golf Tournament Registration – Through July 15, Metropolitan Golf Course, 10051 Doolittle Drive. Registration for the Annual "Green T" Golf Tournament continues through July 15. The tournament, to be held on July 15, is for seasoned and novice players of all ages and abilities. Even if you prefer not to play golf, please join us for lunch to support the kickoff of the 2013 Sundays in the Redwoods concert series. For more information on how to register and tournament entrance fees, please contact Karis Griffin, Recreation Supervisor, at kgriffin@oaklandnet.com or (510) 597-5096.

Dancing Under the Stars – Fridays through Summer, 8:30 - 10:00 p.m., Jack London Square, foot of Broadway. The incredibly popular dancing event returns to Oakland's waterfront. Kick up your heels and enjoy a free dance lesson. Each week will feature a different type of dance followed by a dance party to practice what was learned. For more information, please call (510) 645-9292.

Bites Off Broadway – Fridays through October 11, 5:30 - 8:30 p.m., in front of Studio One Art Center, 365 45th Street. Mobile Food and Family Fun featuring affordable and delicious food from rotating trucks such as Fist of Flour, Tina Tamale, Taco Evangelist, Roderick's BBQ, Annakoot, Suzie Q's Lunch Box, Sanguchon, Go Streatery, Doc's of the Bay, Two Mammias Vegan Kitchen, Blue Saigon, Sunrise Deli and more. Lawn seating: bring a chair or a blanket plus your friends, family and neighbors. Hula-hooping, ping pong, live music and movies at dusk. For more information, please visit <http://www.bitesoffbroadway.com>.

Free Summer Lunches at 11 Library Locations – Through Friday, August 9, select Oakland Public Library locations. Free and nutritious lunches for children 18 years and younger will be served at select branch libraries Tuesdays to Fridays, and at the Main Library Mondays to Fridays. Participating branch libraries are 81st Avenue, César Chávez, Dimond, Eastmont, Elmhurst, Golden Gate, Martin Luther King Jr., Melrose, Temescal and West Oakland. At the Main Library, lunch will be served in the Bradley C. Walters Community Room (down the hall from the Children's Room) and in the TeenZone (on the 2nd floor). The free lunch program is operated by the City of Oakland's Department of Human Services, in partnership with the Alameda County Community Food Bank. For more information, please contact Sharon McKellar, Community Relations Librarian, at smckellar@Oaklandlibrary.org or (510) 238-3513.

Annual Summer Reading Program – Through Saturday, August 10, Various Hours, Oakland Public Library locations. Separate reading programs are offered for kids, teens and adults. This year's theme is "Reading is Soooooo Delicious!" and the library's calendar will feature many food- and reading-related programs all summer long. The kids' program rewards young readers, ages 0 to 14, with raffle prizes, entertainment and fun activities. Teens, ages 12 to 18, can participate in the Teen Summer Passport Program, which encourages young people to read, participate in Library activities and explore Bay Area cultural sites. Adults can qualify to win raffle prizes by reading, sharing book reviews and by utilizing library services. For more information, please visit <http://www.oaklandlibrary.org>.

Ira Jenkins Summer Full & Half Day Camp – Monday through Friday until August 23, Ira Jenkins Recreation Center, 9175 Edes Avenue. A traditional day camp for boys and girls, ages 5 to 12, will their summer vacation with exciting activities led by caring adults in a structured and safe environment. No advance registration is required for youth to participate in a wide variety of activities including crafts, sports, nature walks, sewing, swimming, golf, dance, movement classes, board games, field trips and indoor and outdoor group activities. All campers also receive a free lunch. The Full Day Camp runs from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and Half Day Camp runs from noon to 5:00 p.m. Before/Aftercare is available at additional cost. Some scholarships are available for qualifying families. For more information, please contact Donte Watson, Recreation Center Director, at dwatson@oaklandnet.com or (510) 615-5959.

"What I Hear, I Keep: Stories from Oakland's Griots" – Through Saturday, February 22, 2014, Wednesdays to Saturdays from 2:30 to 5:30 p.m., Peralta House Museum of History and Community, 2465 34th Avenue. A visual and audio art exhibit featuring the voices of African American Oaklanders who recorded the stories of their lives through the Griot Initiative of StoryCorps, a national nonprofit oral history project. Their voices emanate from a gigantic horse, designed and built by Walter Hood, an African American sculptor and landscape architect. For more information, please visit www.peraltahacienda.org or call (510) 532-9142.

Dorothy DeBolt 5K Family Fun Run/Walk – Sunday, July 7, 9:00 a.m., Edoff Memorial Bandstand, Lakeside Park, Bellevue Avenue. Adopt a Special Kid (AASK) believes that every child has the right to a safe, stable and nurturing home and that all children are adoptable. Participants will receive a swag bag filled with goodies and many coupons and discounts for numerous places to eat and play. Come have fun and be a part of doing something wonderful for children in the foster care system. For more information, please visit <http://www.aask.org/dorothy-debolt-5k-family-fun-run/>.

Mountain View Cemetery Tour – Sunday, July 7, 10:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m., Tour meets at Chapel of the Chimes, 4499 Piedmont Avenue. Walk into the past in California's most historic cemetery on this tour led by Dennis Evanosky. Meet some of our state's early movers-and-shakers, including Charles Crocker, Domingo Ghirardelli and Samuel Merritt, along with the monuments that preserve their memory. For more information, please visit www.oaklandheritage.org/events.html.

Free First Sunday – Sunday, July 7, 11:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Oakland Museum of California, 1000 Oak Street. Experience the museum's three galleries, including the newly opened Gallery of California Natural Sciences, for free. For more information, please visit www.museumca.org.

10th Annual Temescal Street Fair – Sunday, July 7, Noon - 6:00 p.m., Telegraph Avenue between 42nd and 51st. Enjoy live entertainment on multiple stages, artisan vendors, food and family fun at this annual celebration. For more information, please visit www.temescaldistrict.org.

East Bay Mini Maker Faire Launch & Town Hall – Wednesday, July 10, 6:00 - 9:00 p.m., Poplar Gallery at American Steel Studios, 1960 Mandela Parkway. Enter off 20th Street between Mandela and Poplar. Meet the organizers of the East Bay Makers Faire coming up on October 20, learn about vending opportunities and contribute ideas/suggestions. Tour West Oakland's largest maker space. Learn about Oakland Makers, a new local association of industrial arts, technologists, artists, manufacturers and educators. Eat, drink and share stories and meet other Oakland Makers. For more information, please visit www.facebook.com/events/539153826141345/.

Waterfront Flicks – Thursday, July 11, Sunset, Jack London Square, foot of Broadway. Enjoy a free screening of "Hitchcock" on the beautiful waterfront. Moviegoers should arrive early with blankets in-hand for pre-film festivities including small eats, beer, trivia and giveaways. For more information, please visit www.jacklondonsquare.com.

Ceasefire Oakland/LifeLines to Healing Night Walk – Friday, July 12, 6:30 - 9:00 p.m., Cosmopolitan Baptist Church, 988 85th Avenue. The City of Oakland and local faith-based organizations are continuing neighborhood Night Walks on Fridays as a way community members can get involved in Operation Ceasefire. These walks are organized by residents and faith leaders in areas where Ceasefire is focused. Volunteers are trained in advance and are accompanied by other volunteers for the duration of the walk. The purpose of the Night Walk is to reach out to communities affected by violence. For more information, please call (510) 639-1440 or email fridaycommunitynightwalks@gmail.com.

Movies at Studio One – Friday, July 12, 8:15 p.m., Studio One Art Center, 365 45th Street. Enjoy a free screening of "Triplets of Belleville." For more information, please call (510) 597-5027.

Oakland Municipal Band Concert – Sunday, July 14, 1:00 - 3:00 p.m., Edoff Memorial Bandstand, Lakeside Park, Bellevue Avenue. Enjoy the band's 102nd concert season with free

concerts offering an array of jazz, contemporary, pop, big band, international, classical, marches and show tunes. Bring a lawn chair or blanket. Picnics welcomed and flag waving encouraged. For more information, please visit www.oaklandmunicipalband.org or call (510) 339-2818.

Annie Get Your Gun – Friday, July 12 through Sunday, July 21, 8:00 p.m., Woodminster Amphitheater, Joaquin Miller Park, 3300 Joaquin Miller Road. Enjoy this classic musical among the towering redwoods. For more information, please visit www.woodminster.com.

East Bay SPCA Pet Adoption – Saturday, July 13, 10:00 a.m. - 3:00 p.m., Jack London Square, foot of Broadway. The waterfront will welcome friendly dogs, adorable kittens and bunnies from more than 30 East Bay pet adoption agencies. Meet the animals that are seeking a forever home. For more information, please visit www.jacklondonsquare.com.

“Splash Into Summer” Open House – Saturday, July 13, 1:00 - 3:00 p.m., Lake Merritt Boating Center, 568 Bellevue Avenue. See the Oakland skyline from the waters of Lake Merritt with free boat rentals. For more information, please visit www.sailoakland.com or call (510) 238-2196.

Movies in the Park – Saturday, July 13, Dusk, Redwood Heights Recreation Center, 3883 Aliso Avenue. The Movies in the Park series features a free screening of “The Princess Bride.” For more information please contact Breht Clark, Recreation Center Director, at bclark@oaklandnet.com or (510) 482-7827.

ODALC’s 3rd Annual Small Business Development Symposium – Wednesday, July 17, 10:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m., Council Chambers, Oakland City Hall, 1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza. This educational and learning platform for local small business owners and aspiring entrepreneurs will introduce practical and emerging social networking platforms to help educate and empower small businesses to succeed in the digital economy. Hear tech leaders share their best practices. Presented by the Oakland Digital Arts and Literacy Center (OADLC). To register, please visit <http://conta.cc/1cnqJtF>. For more information, please visit www.odalc.org.

Teen Eco Action Week – Monday, July 15 to Friday, July 19, Ira Jenkins Recreation Center, 9175 Edes Avenue. This program allows teens to discover how to benefit from taking action to protect the environment. In addition to participating in restoration projects and fun-filled outdoor recreational activities, youth will earn community service credit and receive a career exploration stipend upon program completion. For more information, please contact Renee Tucker, Recreation Leader, at (510) 615-5959.

Givin’ It Our All! – Wednesday, July 17 & Thursday, July 18, 11:00 a.m. & 1:30 p.m., Oakland Technical High School Performing Arts Center, 4351 Broadway. This original production by Prescott Circus Theatre features Oakland youth juggling, riding unicycles, performing acrobatics and much more during four free shows. For more information, please visit www.prescottcircus.org.

Ceasefire Oakland/LifeLines to Healing Night Walk – Friday, July 19, 6:30 - 9:00 p.m., At Thy Word, 8915 International Boulevard. The City of Oakland and local faith-based organizations are continuing neighborhood Night Walks on Fridays as a way community members can get involved in Operation Ceasefire. These walks are organized by residents and faith leaders in areas where Ceasefire is focused. Volunteers are trained in advance and are accompanied by other volunteers for the duration of the walk. The purpose of the Night Walk is to reach out to communities affected by violence. For more information, please call (510) 639-1440 or email fridaycommunitynightwalks@gmail.com.

Movies in the Park – Friday, July 19, Dusk, Redwood Heights Recreation Center, 3883 Aliso Avenue. The Movies in the Park series features a free screening of “Brave.” For more information

please contact Breht Clark, Recreation Center Director, at bclark@oaklandnet.com or (510) 482-7827.

Burglary and Robbery Prevention Workshop – Saturday, July 20, 9:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m., Patton University's Activity Center at 2433 Coolidge Avenue. The Neighborhood Services Division's first Area-wide Burglary and Robbery Prevention Workshop will include presentations by experts on personal safety and home security as well as resource tables from local vendors. It will also be an opportunity for residents of the newly formed Police Area 3 (Lakeshore Avenue to Coolidge Avenue, Estuary to Piedmont border) to meet their new Captain and his command staff and learn about the new geographic policing model that is being implemented citywide. As seating is limited, residents are encouraged to register in advance. For more information and to R.S.V.P., please contact Joe DeVries, Neighborhood Services Supervisor, at jdevries@oaklandnet.com or (510) 238-3083. To R.S.V.P. in Spanish, please contact Edith Guillen at eguillen@oaklandnet.com or by calling (510) 238-7159.

PedalFest – Saturday, July 20, 11:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m., Jack London Square, foot of Broadway. Join in this celebration of bikes, cycling, family, food and fun on the waterfront. Bike races and rodeo, safety training, bike-powered kids' rides, live entertainment and art bikes. For more information, please visit www.pedalfestjacklondon.com.

muranOakland Municipal Band Concert – Sunday, July 21, 1:00 - 3:00 p.m., Edoff Memorial Bandstand, Lakeside Park, Bellevue Avenue. Enjoy the band's 102nd concert season with free concerts offering an array of jazz, contemporary, pop, big band, international, classical, marches and show tunes. Bring a lawn chair or blanket. Picnics welcomed and flag waving encouraged. For more information, please visit www.oaklandmunicipalband.org or call (510) 339-2818.

Jazz at the Chimes – Sunday, July 21, 2:00 p.m., Chapel of the Chimes, 4499 Piedmont Avenue. The Dmitri Matheny Group will present an all-star salute to The Great American Songbook, showcasing favorite Broadway and Hollywood hits of the 1920s through the '60s. For more information, please visit www.jazzatthechimes.com.

Ceasefire Oakland/LifeLines to Healing Night Walk – Friday, July 26, 6:30 - 9:00 p.m., Allen Temple Baptist Church, 8501 International Boulevard. The City of Oakland and local faith-based organizations are continuing neighborhood Night Walks on Fridays as a way community members can get involved in Operation Ceasefire. These walks are organized by residents and faith leaders in areas where Ceasefire is focused. Volunteers are trained in advance and are accompanied by other volunteers for the duration of the walk. The purpose of the Night Walk is to reach out to communities affected by violence. For more information, please call (510) 639-1440 or email fridaycommunitynightwalks@gmail.com.

5th Annual Pickin' on the Potomac Bluegrass Series – Friday, July 26, 7:30 - 9:30 p.m., USS Potomac, dockside at Water Street and Clay. Listen to foot-stompin' good sounds of Blue & Lonesome and enjoy tasty bar nibbles and beverages. For more information, please call (510) 627-1215.

Urban Wine Experience – Saturday, July 27, 1:00 - 5:00 p.m., Pavilion Building, Jack London Square, foot of Broadway. More than 50 wines from 15 members of the East Bay Vintners Alliance will be showcased during this afternoon of wine tasting, delicious food options from local restaurants and live music. For more information, please visit www.jacklondonsquare.com.

Laurel Outdoor Movie Series – Saturday, July 27, 8:00 p.m., Ace Hardware Parking Lot, 4024 MacArthur Boulevard. Bring your lawn chairs, blankets and warm clothes and enjoy a free screening of "Crouching Tiger, Hidden Dragon." For more information, please visit www.laureldistrictassociation.org.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
DEANNA J. SANTANA
City Administrator

From: Acia, Christina
Sent: Sunday, June 16, 2013 5:26 AM
To: DL - OPD Executive Notifications; DL - OPD Cease Fire Partners
Cc: DL - OPD Watch Supervisor
Subject: 245 shooting - 11yr old victim

On 16Jun13 at 0459 hours, we received a Shotspotter call indicating 18 rounds fired from 1166 7th St. At 0500 hours a call came into Communications from 1150 7th St., advising that an 11 year old male had been shot in the arm while sleeping. Officers arrived on scene at 0503 hours and confirmed the child was shot twice in the upper arm. The victim was transported to Childrens Hospital. No suspect information at this time.

Inc#: 130616000176
Rd#:13-030556

Christina Acia
Acting PCS
Communications Section

From: Parlette, Nancy
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:55 PM
To: DL - OPD Executive Notifications; Hoppenhauer, Donna C
Subject: 245 Shooting - BFO2

At 1919 hrs OPD Communications received a call from a female who stated that she had been shot at 72nd & Spencer (bt 26Y). During the conversation the victim stated that she was going to self transport to the hospital and hung up the phone.

OPD officers checked the area but were unable to locate the victim. At 1934 hrs ACSO deputies called from ACH advising that the victim had self transported to ACH and was suffering from an apparent GSW to her leg. OPD officers are currently checking for the original scene and are at ACH with the victim. Shotspotter indicates multiple shots were fired from 7164 Spencer at 1917 hrs.

Inc # 130926000930

Thank you,

Nancy S. Parlette
Police Communications Supervisor
Oakland Police Department
Communications Section
(510) 777-8801
nparlette@oaklandnet.com

From: Bacon, William
Sent: Thursday, June 27, 2013 5:52 AM
To: DL - OPD Executive Notifications
Cc: DL - OPD Cease Fire Partners
Subject: 245 Shooting (Beat 32X)

On 27 Jun 13 at about 05:32 a report of a shooting came into Communications. The caller advised a male was lying in the street, in the area of 9856 "A" Street after gunshots were heard. Units responded and found a male adult victim suffering from multiple gunshot wounds and non responsive. Shotspotter documented 17 rounds were fired in the same area. Units currently on scene and MCU call-out will be initiated.

OPD INC# 159
RD# 13-032683

William Bacon
Sergeant of Police
Communications Section

From: Bacon, William
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2013 10:19 PM
To: DL - OPD Executive Notifications
Cc: Allen, Jim; Adelman, Andy; Bent, John; Bessette, Jerry; Bieber, Jay;
Bourgeois, Jeremy; Bradshaw, Dennis; Cambre, William; Camillucci, Janice;
Coates, Lt. Gerald; Creighton, John; Davis, Johnny; Davis, Officer Reggie
Subject: 245 Shooting

A report of a shooting came in about 2205 hours and the call came from 6100 Block of Adeline. Units responded to find a male suffering from a gunshot wound to the head and he is being transported to ACH. There may be a second victim but that is unknown at this time. Shotspotter captured 11 shots being fired in the area and officers are on scene investigating the circumstances.

This is inc# 974

William Bacon
Sergeant of Police
Communications Section

From: Bacon, William
Sent: Saturday, June 01, 2013 3:14 AM
To: DL - OPD Executive Notifications
Cc: DL - OPD Cease Fire Partners
Subject: 245 Shooting

On 01 Jun 13 at about 0235hrs a report of a shooting came in the area of 10500 block of MacArthur Bl., during a large scale "Sideshow" incident. Units responded and located a Female adult suffering from a gunshot wound to the head, and unresponsive in front 10520 MacArthur Bl.

Two more victims had arrived at ACH at about 0248 hrs. One victim had a gunshot wound to the back, the other to the side (No other information at this time on two other walk in victims). Shotspotter captured gunshots in the area at the time of this incident (one reported 6 rounds fired, other 9 rounds).

Units are on scene investigating circumstances. This is OPD Inc #114, RD#13-27831.

William Bacon
Sergeant of Police
Communications Section

From: Bacon, William
Sent: Saturday, February 02, 2013 1:43 AM
To: DL - OPD Executive Notifications
Subject: 245 Shooting

On 2 Feb 13 at about 0110hrs, Communications received a call from ACH advising a male victim walked into ACH with a GSW to the leg. The victim advised the shooting occurred in the area of 19th Ave and Martin Luther King Way. Units are en route to ACH to investigate.

There were no reports of gunshots in that area but there was a 415 Gunshot call in the area of 15th St and Webster at about 00:58 hours (inc#49). There are no shotspotter activations for either call, but there were citizen reports of gunshots in the area of 15th and Franklin. There were no reports of gunshots from citizens in the area of 19th and MLK. This is OPD incident #064.

William Bacon
Sergeant of Police
Communications Section

From: rik below [rik@electronicArtifacts.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 30, 2013 4:25 PM
To: Kernighan, Pat; Gerard, Jennie; Schaaf, Libby; Stoffmacher, Bruce; Kalb, Dan; Luby, Oliver; info@kaplanforoakland.org; McElhaney, Lynette; Wald, Zachary; Gallo, Noel; Burgos, Claudia (was Jimenez); Brooks, Desley; Reid, Larry; Office of the Mayor; City Administrator's Office; TEHpo@cand.uscourts.gov; information@policy-partners.com
Subject: A pivotal juncture for police IT in Oakland
Attachments: OPD_IT_juncture_final.pdf

Mayor, Council members, Judge Henderson, and Mr. Wasserman,

I have posted the comments below at:

http://rikiwiki.electronicartifacts.com/codeforamerica_oakland/opd-crime-statistics/opds-it-juncture

I look forward to your reactions.

Rik Below

Crime is an unfortunate feature of every large city, but Oakland certainly seems to have more than its share. From home robberies and car thefts in the hills, to gang-related shootings in especially bad neighborhoods, to increasingly frequent armed robberies everywhere, there is a broad perception that Oakland must do better. To complicate matters, OccupyOakland played into a fissure between Mayor Jean Quan and the Oakland Police department (OPD) that is still tender. Going even farther back, a period of very mixed experience over the last decade and capped by the Negotiated Settlement Agreement (NSA) now being administered by a federal judge, much of Oakland's population is distrustful of its own police department. As a result, folks in the hills are hiring private security patrols and installing surveillance cameras. In other areas, people just don't go outside as much.

In the next few months, central features of a new approach to policing will begin to be implemented in Oakland. Most news-worthy have been recent visits by Robert Wasserman and William Bratton, top cops who have been part of a sea-change in big city policing in New York, Boston and LA. The main headlines have involved Bratton's "stop&frisk" policing strategies in other cities, and their hiring was met with a seven hour City Council meeting February 10 and packed town hall meetings ever since. But their real impact goes much deeper: decisions concerning \$5 million on new information technology (IT) for OPD will be made in the next few months.

As a member of CodeForAmerica's Oakland brigade, OpenOakland.org, I have been focused with other "hackers for good" on how more modern IT within OPD and the sharing of some of this data with Oakland's citizens can make us all safer. While the city currently provides a brief window into some OPD data¹ <cid:part2.04090406.08050601@electronicArtifacts.com>, there are serious issues in even this data resource; cities like Chicago and Denver are providing much richer datasets. The new spending – for additional

consultants, software and hardware systems, licenses to provide Oakland's data and share in others' data resources – could provide a qualitative improvement within OPD and for OPD's relationship with Oakland citizens, or it could anchor the city and its police into another decade of obsolete systems and data so inconsistent and incomplete that useful analysis is impossible.

Evidence-based policing

There are few domains of social activity, government included, that have not been touched by a new emphasis on data-based decision-making. "Evidence-based" is an especially common qualifier in medical decision-making, where clinical decisions are based on success and failure in previous cases. As more and more "big data" becomes available, the need to inform decisions that were previously based only on intuition can be connected to available data² <cid:part3.08090102.06080400@electronicArtifacts.com>. CodeForAmerica and broader OpenGovernment activities are trying to bring these same techniques to city, state and federal government.

Policing is a good place to start. The emphasis by both Wasserman and Bratton on CompStat (COMPUter STATistics, police-speak for empirically-based decision-making) has been a constant feature in all of their presentations. They are convinced that by carefully watching patterns in prior crimes, they can make useful predictions about where they are likely to occur next. Wasserman and Bratton need solid data for their plans; then, so will OPD as it executes, monitors and adapts these plans into the future.

The citizens of Oakland need access to accurate data, too. The mutual distrust that is currently evident between city officials, OPD, and the citizens of Oakland are sometimes fed by fundamentally different factual bases. Consider Mayor Quan's focus on "100 blocks" for especially intensive policing, and USC's analysis of the "same" data³ <cid:part4.07070802.09050209@electronicArtifacts.com>. As commercial interests begin to scavage crime data (e.g., Trulia.com for real estate pricing), from wherever they can, the potential for data misinterpretation and misuse can only increase. On the other hand, if all these varied stake-holders could come to trust a common reference data set, a common database could also become a basis for building mutual trust.

Opportunities

"Software engineering" used to mean (I speak as an ex-computer science professor who has programming computers since the 1970s) spending enormous amounts of time and money to develop elaborate specifications for software systems, years before a single line of code was written. Often the needs of the corporation had changed so much by the time software was actually written that the process needed to start all over again, and this wasteful cycle repeated itself. Today, much more "agile" approaches are used, with quick-and-dirty initial prototype solutions generated to see how close they are to user needs, and the prototype progressively refined from there. Many of Oakland's IT systems are legacies of old-school software planning, while the quickly changing data becoming available to OPD (and the rest of the city) demands much more agile

development methods.

Few conversations about Oakland's crime don't involve guns, but currently OPD provides no data concerning whether a gun or knife was used in a crime, let alone what kind of weapons are used. This allows us all to have our favorite "folk theory" regarding gun use generally, their role in crimes, the importance of magazine size, etc. Should schools have armed officers? Should we be training teachers to shoot?

The need for clear-eyed, evidence-based approach to these problems is especially clear. And the timing couldn't be better: In the aftermath of the Newtown shootings and in response to the 30,000 gun deaths each year, President Obama signed an executive order allowing gun violence research and treatment like the public health risk it is. Again, the first step is keeping good records.

OPD officers currently spend between a third and a half of their time each day filling out paperwork. Every fast food joint uses technology to make their order takers as efficient as possible; OPD officers deserve at least this consideration. Modern data collection techniques using tools such as computer tablets, voice-recognition, GPS units, audio "Portable Digital Recording Devices" and even cameras, allow immediate acquisition of many basic data facts. This could then allow sworn officers to apply their best efforts to the important bits, interpreting and categorizing the basic facts into a meaningful context.

A central truth emerging from the analysis of big data is that it becomes even more valuable when multiple data sources are combined. In the OPD case, an excellent example of the utility of combining across data sources is provided by two separate data streams, one coming from ShotSpotter and the from OPD's dispatch call (911) records. ShotSpotter uses revolutionary acoustic technology to listen for the special sonic signature of gunshots. It appears that in certain neighborhoods shots are being fired, but 911 is rarely called. Combining these data streams allows the identification of a fundamental disconnect between these neighborhoods and OPD, and allows OPD to focus its resources on just such problem areas.

A subtle but fundamental feature of crime reporting concerns the "unit of analysis." All police departments must provide data to the FBI, and since the 1930s this has been in terms of the Uniform Crime Report (UCR) which associates a single reported item with every major crime incident.

For example, if criminal using a gun comes into a store, holds up several customers, robs the cash register, and shoots one of the customers, a single entry reporting this event is passed on to the FBI.

There is complicated, hierarchic priority system that determines which of these crimes is considered the major one; all the other details go unreported. Further, if for example the shot customer later dies, the fact that a murder has now occurred requires modification to UCR reports, perhaps months after the crime itself.

For reasons like this, the FBI has also developed a more modern reporting system technology, the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS). Almost certainly, this modern reporting system would make more accurate reporting by OPD possible. For example, UCR assumes all rapes are of female victims; NIBRS allows both male and female victims. UCR does not differentiate

between attempted and completed offenses; NIBRS does. And in NIBRS, multiple crimes can be reported as offenses within the same incident. As of June 2012, 32 states have been certified to report NIBRS to the FBI⁴
<cid:part5.05030205.00090903@electronicArtifacts.com>. Switching reporting standards like this is never easy, but a move to NIBRS as part of OPD's broader IT overhaul would let Oakland fully participate in modern policing efforts.

There will certainly be new threats as new data is collected and more of it made publicly accessible. For example, as additional information about the guns being used in crimes becomes publicly available, the relative value of these weapons both locally and in remote jurisdictions could be affected. There will also always be a complicated balances between information collected to support public safety, and privacy restrictions. One simple example concerns crimes which, because they involve children, domestic disturbances, or sex crimes, have had some of their details redacted prior to publication. Currently, separation of these sensitive fields from the rest of the data depends on careful manual attention by OPD staff. These activities are performed by people with many other responsibilities, under time pressure, with personnel changing along the line. It is no wonder that facts that should not get out sometimes do, or that overly broad filters are applied, just to be safe. While the basis for any particular redaction can be argued, the implementation of these data filters needs to be carefully analyzed and developed into database queries that are clearly articulated and then securely and reliably applied.

Who to contact

What can we do? I encourage you to contact as many of the decision-makers involved as possible as Oakland and OPD get ready to spend a great deal of money on new information technology systems.

*

Central to these decisions will be Oakland's city administrator, Deanna Santana
<mailto:officeofthemayor@oaklandnet.com?subject=OPD%20IT%20juncture>. New CIO/CTO administrators and other key staff have been or soon will be appointed. Their relationship with and support of OPD needs will be critical.

*

Mayor Quan and the city Council are our primary conduits for citizen input. Council President Patricia Kernighan
<mailto:pkernighan@oaklandnet.com?subject=OPD%20IT%20juncture> and member Libby Schaaf
<mailto:lschaaf@oaklandnet.com?subject=OPD%20IT%20juncture> have been particularly engaged in community efforts on just these issues.

*

The fact that OPD is under the NSE makes Judge Thelton Henderson

<mailto:TEHpo@cand.uscourts.gov?subject=OPD%20IT%20juncture> and Robert Warshaw, his court-appointed monitor, another important user of the same data streams. The importance of OPD data becomes clear in Warshaw's last report⁵
<cid:part10.08010903.07080900@electronicArtifacts.com>:

o

/There will be circumstances in which we will be unable to determine fully the compliance status of a particular requirement due to a lack of data, incomplete data, or other reasons that do not support the completion of our work in a manner consistent with timely reporting. /

o

/During the current reporting period, OPD did not produce any summary of data collection or analysis of data, noting a continuing issue with data collection (forms), specifically regarding the selections options for the reason for the stop. This problem significantly affects the value of the data.../

o

... /persistent problems in accurately recording the number of arrests made by individual officers. Although the specific problems were identified, the Department “resolved” this issue through a process of entering data by hand. As noted in our previous reports, this temporary fix is significant, but it does not stabilize the system to assure ongoing quality in data collection and storage. /

o

/The work on a new database provides another opportunity for the Department to examine these issues. /

*

Finally, Oakland has hired two of the nation's top experts to provide expertise on just these issues, Robert Wasserman <mailto:information@policy-partners.com?subject=OPD%20IT%20juncture> and William Bratton. Bratton in particular was the pioneer of data-driven policing in New York City, where it had an order of magnitude effect on crime. They will both have very useful input into what systems are purchased, and have staked their reputations on improving Oakland safety. As with every consultant, we need to make sure our questions get answered before they leave town.

But it is Oakland's citizens who are paying for these high-priced consultants, and who will pay for whatever new IT systems they specify. It is a very good time for us to pay particularly close attention.

<ftp://crimewatchdata.oaklandnet.com/>

2 <cid:part14.00020803.04010100@electronicArtifacts.com>

<http://www.nytimes.com/2013/03/24/nyregion/mayor-bloombergs-geek-squad.html>

3 <cid:part16.02020102.05040707@electronicArtifacts.com>

<http://www.sfgate.com/bayarea/article/Jean-Quan-given-incorrect-data-on-100-blocks-3667594.php>

4 <cid:part18.06060502.02020001@electronicArtifacts.com>

<http://www.jrsa.org/>

5 <cid:part20.00050909.05050108@electronicArtifacts.com>

<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/Government/o/OPD/o/BureauofInvestigation/OAK039538>

From: Oakland Police Department CA [oakland-police-department-ca@emails.nixle.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 15, 2014 11:33 PM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Advisory Message: Officers investigating multiple reports of shooting/gunshots near Aileen and Shattuck - Reply with TIP

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Message sent via Nixle | [Go to nixle.com](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

Wednesday January 15, 2014, 11:32 PM
Oakland Police Department CA

Advisory: Officers investigating multiple reports of shooting/gunshots near Aileen and Shattuck - Reply with TIP

Hi Dan Kalb,

Multiple OPD units are on-scene following emergency response tonight at about 9:30pm in regard to ShotSpotter gunshot activations and citizen calls regarding gunshots in the area. Officers are expected to remain at and near the scene as we conduct a preliminary investigation. No further information is available at this time.

This is an active investigation. Witnesses or anyone with information about surrounding circumstances are encouraged to contact us at 510-777-3333 or provide an anonymous tip via Nixle.

For full details, view this message on the web.

TEXT-A-TIP

Text TIP OAKLANDPD followed by your message, to 888777 or Submit an anonymous web tip

For help, reply HELP to 888777. To cancel, reply STOP to 888777. No charge but Message & Data rates may apply. 2 messages. More info at [nixle.com](#). AT&T, T-Mobile®, Sprint, Verizon Wireless and most other carriers are supported. Contact customer support at [support@nixle.com](#).

Sent by Oakland Police Department CA
455 7th St, Oakland, CA 94607

To manage your email settings, [click here](#). To update your account settings, [login here](#).

If you prefer not to receive future emails, [unsubscribe here](#).

Powered by . © 2014 Nixle, LLC. All rights reserved.

From: ron Oz [ronozz@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 15, 2013 10:37 AM
To: PSA1messagepost; psa2group; PSA3; psa4; psa5; PSA 6 Group
Cc: Office of the Mayor; City Administrator's Office; Howard Jordan; Kalb, Dan; Kernighan, Pat; McElhaney, Lynette; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel; dbrooks@oakland.net; Reid, Larry; At Large
Subject: Can Bratton help?

Can Chief Bill Bratton help Oakland? You bet!

Chief Bratton has core ideology and an understanding of public safety organization to accomplish it. Neither Oakland leaders nor the OPD have any core public safety ideology, and certainly they have exhibited no such understanding.

Oakland looks for recipe or menu style answers, such as Ceasefire, Compstat, Outreach Programs, Shotspotter, and anything they can copy just to say they are doing something. Oakland looks for reasons for their failures like "severe manpower shortages." Oakland blames new problems like "gangs are responsible for 90% of shootings, robberies and murders." Each of these are merely expressions of dysfunction, helplessness, and outright desperation and falsehood.

Chief Bratton's core ideology is simple. He believes that problems are manageable if identified and resolved with attention to detail. If we can truly obtain his services and get his focused attention we will see some startling eye-opening revelations about how dysfunctional we really have been. Unfortunately if he puts subordinate consultants like Harnett to the task, as he did in Chief Tucker's day, we are likely to not notice a thing.

[<http://www.oaklandnet.com/documents/CrimeFightingHarnettReport12206.pdf>]. Been there, did that, got nothing.

Bratton is a data man. His Compstat depends meticulously on specific formulations of crime data. He was attached to the hip with his Planning and Research Division and Bratton demanded quick answers to his quick questions about everything from manpower deployments to beat configurations, calls for service and organizational factors, crime experience and locations, demographics and social conditions. In other words Bratton wanted reasons and solutions whereas Oakland today wants excuses and nostrums. We need, he will say, emphasis on "Response" and "Investigations." He knows we can't get there without knowing what, how, when or why.

A Sergeant told me the other night he felt so embarrassed, diminished and badly that one of his officers was sent at midnight to wake up residents who had reported a burglary two days earlier. Bratton is likely to ask what kind of a city is it that cannot respond to calls for service, especially burglaries, which are tantamount to rape of a victim's home, on the excuse that they have too little manpower. Bratton will not accept this. His numbers mind will demand input. He will inquire of OPD's Planning and Research and discover there is none at all. There is neither planning or research going on at the OPD. Wonderful people like Marie Mason [formerly of a Crime Analysis unit boasting 14 people that was disbanded by Chief Tucker] are overworked, off task, and relegated to bean counting.

Bratton subscribes to the precept that "citizen confidence" is in direct correlation to their perception of "officer presence." More Officer Presence means Lower Crime. The sheer numbers of officers and the degree of officer presence, Bratton will know, are two very different things.

Allow me to give you just a small insight example of how Bratton's mind will likely analyze

whether, and to the degree, OPD has [in]sufficiency of manpower.

If he calls me, I can give him a quick shortcut: Historically we have been operating with a 35 Beat configuration [Beat Survey]. 35 Beats operating 24/7 will need 306,600 cop/hours to be fully staffed. An Oakland cop, operating with today's factors [vacations, sick, training, injury, admin leave, and misc absence], and working the inefficient 10 hour shifts [reduce that to only 8 hours actually available for street duty], can offer 1,434 hours [each cop is paid for 2,080]. Therefore, dividing the 306,600 hours needing cops on Oakland's streets by the 1,434 hours each cop can provide yields the answer that the Patrol Division needs 214 cop, or 6.11 per Beat [Beat Staffing Factor].

Hmmm... Bratton will say. Needing only 214 cops to provide 35 Beats 24/7 street service is not bad considering the OPD has 612 cops on the payroll [a rule of thumb for police agencies is that about half should be available for the Patrol Division]. Bratton will note to himself that a Beat Staffing Factor of 6.11 is a little high, so we have room for improvement there. He will ask me what is OPD's real staffing factor. I will tell him it is more on the order of 15. We don't of course have 15 cops to throw onto each Beat, and thus Bratton will deduce that the cops we do have will be "dispatchable" for fewer than half the calls. He will groan with exasperation and wonder what ominous reasons exists for such severe "de-policing."

Bratton will ask me what the staffing hierarchy factor is for the OPD. I will know that he means to eventually get to the point that is to know how many cops can be assigned to the Patrol Division out of 612 cops. I will tell him that out of 612 cops he has to subtract 63 Measure Y positions, subtract 25 COPS grant positions, subtract 50 long term sick and injured, subtract 2 always on admin leave, subtract 34 assigned to Internal Affairs, and subtract about 159 in supervisory and command ranks. That leaves 279 cops remaining. Take out the 214 cops needed for full time Beat assignments leaves 65 cops to spread out for other duties [note that Sergeants and command staff are already largely disbursed to other assignments]. [He will likely ask why we have as many supervisory and command positions as we had with 834 on the payroll as we have with 612 now.]

All right, he will say, taking full charge of the information. First thing we do is get down to a 5.5 staffing factor and assume 192 cops will be needed for the Patrol Division. That frees up another 22 [87 total] to put elsewhere. He will ask next about the second most important assignments, the Criminal Investigation Division. I will tell him that historically there have been between 90 and 100 assigned there, all Sergeants [Inspectors earlier]. Today they are mostly lower level officers shuffling paper]. He will want to know how many Sergeants are really needed in the Patrol Division. I will tell him one for every 8 officer squad is needed [NSA mandated], or about 24. He will say good, put about 80 Sergeants into CID and let's get some real investigations going.

Bratton will likely ask what our response time factors are [temporal, type, etc] and I will floor him with a study determination [Freesmeyer 2006] that found it takes on average 78 minutes to "handle" each call; even more today. It wouldn't surprise me if he hammered his fist on the desk and demanded an drop in that statistic to 20 minutes... effectively increasing "officer presence" by over 400% without adding a single cop!

You see... Bratton will understand the core police services required and the expectations of staffing to accomplish this. Sure, he will say, OPD needs 900, 1,200, 1,500, or as many cops as Oakland can afford. However, the very first priorities must include making the organization we have now much much more efficient. As Batts said, it is "broken."

ronoz

From: John Christian [jpchristian58@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2014 4:47 PM

To: Kalb, Dan

Subject: Claremont Pines and the Domain Awareness Center

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

Council Member Kalb –

I'm the President of the Claremont Pines Association, in Upper Rockridge. Historically the CPA concerned itself primarily with docile issues such as landscaping, stop signs, design review, etc., particularly in the aftermath of the 1991 fire which wiped out much of our neighborhood. The organization has been largely dormant in recent years as our little neighborhood returned to a mature state, but the crime wave hitting our neighborhood has changed all that. Today, we're suffering from unprecedented crime (beyond the too-frequent car break-ins) such as forcible entry burglaries, to the point we are developing RFPs for security camera networks, I see that you voted in favor of gutting the Domain Awareness Center for the City of Oakland Tuesday night. Disabling cameras and ShotSpotter technology – in an era where every pedestrian it seems has a smartphone and therefore a camera? I would have expected that from Jane Brunner, but not from you. Your vote was both disappointing and surprising.

I recall how you were a victim of street crime when you were running for office. xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xx
xxxxxxxxxx
xx It's come to the point where these crimes have become so
commonplace that they're underreported.

Your vote leaves me shaking my head in wonderment.

Sincerely,
John P. Christian

From: Oakland Police Department - Office Of The Chief [oakland-police-department---office-of-the-chief@emails.nixle.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2013 3:39 PM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Community Message: Fern Street Homicide

Message sent via Nixle | [Go to nixle.com](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

Wednesday July 24, 2013, 3:38 PM
Oakland Police Department - Office Of The Chief

Community: Fern Street Homicide
Hi Dan Kalb,

At 1:24 PM today, Wednesday July 24, 2013, the Oakland Police Department received a report of ShotSpotter activation and calls from residents regarding a shooting on the 2400 block of Fern Street.

When officers arrived on-scene, they located a Caucasian elderly female who had suffered a fatal gunshot wound. At this time, it appears the victim was shot by an unknown suspect or suspects while she was in her vehicle, causing the vehicle to collide with an unoccupied parked car.

BLOCKED STREETS

Fern Street between Brookdale & Fairfax is closed to all traffic, vehicle or pedestrian

Anyone with information is asked to call OPD Homicide at (510) 238-3821, Crime Stoppers of Oakland at 510-777-8572 or the Tip Line at 777-3211.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247)
3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at (510) 238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, @oaklandpoliceca.

###

For full details, view this message on the web.

TEXT-A-TIP

Text TIP OAKLANDPD followed by your message, to 888777 or Submit an anonymous web tip

For help, reply HELP to 888777. To cancel, reply STOP to 888777. No charge but Message & Data rates may apply. 2 messages. More info at nixle.com. AT&T, T-Mobile®, Sprint, Verizon Wireless and most other carriers are supported. Contact customer support at support@nixle.com.

Sent by Oakland Police Department - Office Of The Chief
455 7th St, Oakland, CA 94607

To manage your email settings, [click here](#). To update your account settings, [login here](#).

If you prefer not to receive future emails, [unsubscribe here](#).

Powered by . © 2013 Nixle, LLC. All rights reserved.

From: Oakland Police Department - Office Of The Chief [oakland-police-department---office-of-the-chief@emails.nixle.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 11:12 AM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Community Message: Homicide in the 5800 block of Market Street, June 12, 2013 at 10:57 PM

Message sent via Nixle | [Go to nixle.com](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

Thursday June 13, 2013, 11:11 AM
Oakland Police Department - Office Of The Chief

Community: Homicide in the 5800 block
of Market Street, June 12, 2013 at 10:57
PM

Hi Dan Kalb,

On June 12, 2013, at approximately 10:57 PM, officers responded to a report of a shooting in the 5800 block of Market Street. Additionally, Shotspotter Technology confirmed one gunshot activation from the area of 58th Street and Market. When officers arrived on scene, they discovered a male Asian victim, whom investigators have identified as Aya Nakano, 23 years old (DOB 6-13-1990), resident of Emeryville, suffering from a fatal gunshot wound. Investigators have determined that prior to the shooting, the victim was apparently involved in a rear-end collision that occurred in the area of Stanford Ave. and Market Street.

The victim pulled his vehicle over to the curb, facing southbound in the 5800 block of Market Street. The suspect vehicle, described as a newer four-door silver sedan with two occupants, also pulled over to the curb facing southbound in the 5800 block of Market Street. A confrontation took place between the victim and the suspects. The victim was fatally shot.

Information obtained at the scene described the suspect vehicle fleeing the scene southbound Market Street from the 5800 block after the shooting.

Anyone with information is asked to contact the OPD Homicide Division, 510-238-3821
For full details, view this message on the web.

TEXT-A-TIP

Text TIP OAKLANDPD followed by your message, to 888777 or Submit an

anonymous web tip

For help, reply HELP to 888777. To cancel, reply STOP to 888777. No charge but Message & Data rates may apply. 2 messages. More info at nixle.com. AT&T, T-Mobile®, Sprint, Verizon Wireless and most other carriers are supported. Contact customer support at support@nixle.com.

Sent by Oakland Police Department - Office Of The Chief

455 7th St, Oakland, CA 94607

To manage your email settings, [click here](#). To update your account settings, [login here](#).

If you prefer not to receive future emails, [unsubscribe here](#).

Powered by . © 2013 Nixle, LLC. All rights reserved.

From: Oakland Police Department CA [oakland-police-department-ca@emails.nixle.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2014 5:35 PM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Community Message: Information regarding the police activity in your neighborhood this morning - Reply with TIP

Message sent via Nixle | [Go to nixle.com](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

Thursday April 24, 2014, 5:34 PM
Oakland Police Department CA

Community: Information regarding the police activity in your neighborhood this morning - Reply with TIP

Hi Dan Kalb,

Early this morning (6:00am) our officers responded to the area of 61st St and San Pablo on a report of gunshots. Officers discovered the scene of a shooting (ShotSpotter Technology directed us to the 6200 block of San Pablo) and learned that one victim had sustained a gunshot wound(s) and transported themselves to the hospital.

I understand that responding officers were able to speak to witnesses on the scene, but, as always, we are aware that more witnesses may exist or that more information is available which can help us successfully apprehend the suspect(s). We ask for any information be shared with us, even if the sharing occurs anonymously. <http://nixle.us/tip/oakland-police-department-ca/>

Officers revisited the scene in and near the park this afternoon to re-contact neighbors in the area. This is an open and active investigation and any and all information about possible suspect or suspect activity is appreciated.

Contact Information:

Christopher Bolton

Area 2 Command

510-238-2144

cbolton@oaklandnet.com

For full details, view this message on the web.

TEXT-A-TIP

Text TIP OAKLANDPD followed by your message, to 888777 or Submit an anonymous web tip

For help, reply HELP to 888777. To cancel, reply STOP to 888777. No charge but Message & Data rates may apply. 2 messages. More info at nixle.com. AT&T, T-Mobile®, Sprint, Verizon Wireless and most other carriers are supported. Contact customer support at support@nixle.com.

Sent by Oakland Police Department CA

455 7th St, Oakland, CA 94607

To manage your email settings, [click here](#). To update your account settings, [login here](#).

If you prefer not to receive future emails, [unsubscribe here](#).

Powered by . © 2014 Nixle, LLC. All rights reserved.

From: Oakland Police Department CA [oakland-police-department-ca@emails.nixle.com]
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:33 PM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Community Message: Officers responded to report of shooting, 400 block 59th Street. Victim injured, suspect not in-custody: Reply with TIP

Message sent via Nixle | [Go to nixle.com](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

Friday December 6, 2013, 11:32 PM
Oakland Police Department CA

Community: Officers responded to report of shooting, 400 block 59th Street. Victim injured, suspect not in-custody: Reply with TIP

Hi Dan Kalb,

On 12/6/2013, at about 9:20pm, OPD officers responded to the corner of Telegraph and 59th Street on multiple reports of gunfire including a ShotSpotter alert which was the first to direct officers to the area. Soon after arrival, officers located a male adult victim who suffered a minor gunshot wound.

A preliminary investigation led officers to a nearby crime scene within the 400 block of 59th Street, and a home where a potential suspect or firearm may have been. Officers blocked the area for safety and were eventually able to safely search the home with home owner cooperation.

We understand that the incident and police presence may have caused neighborhood concern. I hope that a sense of awareness and safety may be helped when timely, accurate communication with concerned residents occurs.

If you or someone may be able to provide witness information or tips regarding this incident, the identity of the suspect, or any other information, please consider contacting us or submitting an anonymous tip.

Contact Information:

Christopher Bolton

Area 2 Command

510-238-2144

cbolton@oaklandnet.com

For full details, view this message on the web.

TEXT-A-TIP

Text TIP OAKLANDPD followed by your message, to 888777 or Submit an anonymous web tip

For help, reply HELP to 888777. To cancel, reply STOP to 888777. No charge but Message & Data rates may apply. 2 messages. More info at nixle.com. AT&T, T-Mobile®, Sprint, Verizon Wireless and most other carriers are supported. Contact customer support at support@nixle.com.

Sent by Oakland Police Department CA

455 7th St, Oakland, CA 94607

To manage your email settings, [click here](#). To update your account settings, [login here](#).

If you prefer not to receive future emails, [unsubscribe here](#).

From: Oakland Police Department - Office Of The Chief [oakland-police-department---office-of-the-chief@emails.nixle.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 9:02 AM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Community Message: Press Conference at ShotSpotter Headquarters
Today – Reducing Celebratory Gunfire During the 4th of July Holidays

Message sent via Nixle | Go to nixle.com | Unsubscribe

Tuesday July 2, 2013, 8:56 AM
Oakland Police Department - Office Of The Chief

Community: Press Conference at ShotSpotter Headquarters
Today – Reducing Celebratory Gunfire During the 4th of July
Holidays
Hi Dan Kalb,
When: Tuesday, July 2, 2013; 10:00 – 11:00 a.m.

Where: SST, Inc. Headquarters (ShotSpotter), 7979 Gateway Blvd., Suite 210, Newark, CA 94560

Google Map: <http://bit.ly/12lDkfu>

What: Celebratory gunfire remains a problem in many cities, particularly during the July 4th holiday season, and sadly, it often has tragic results when innocent people are hit by the falling bullets and injured or killed. The reality is that any bullet discharged from a gun, even into the sky, must land at some location, and the risks are significant for injury or death.

Numerous Bay Area law enforcement agencies are collaborating on a common effort to prevent celebratory gunfire from happening this July 4 holiday and potentially harming or injuring people. Press conference presenters include Captain Ersie Joyner of the Oakland Police Department, San Francisco Police, Richmond Police and East Palo Alto Police.

Press and media can also tour and film at the ShotSpotter 24/7/365 Incident Review Center, where gunfire alerts from across the country are reviewed and analyzed.

Why: Bay Area cities are committed to stopping this illegal gun use and also combating gun violence of any nature in our communities. Public safety, quality of life and vitality in our communities is paramount.

The agencies participating in this press conference all use ShotSpotter, the gunfire location, alert and analysis solution. During the July 4th holiday, these police departments will be deploying patrol officers in specific areas of the city that have the highest amount of gunshot and firework activity recorded by ShotSpotter in recent months and last year's July 4th holiday. Officers will respond to all ShotSpotter activations in these areas and arrest anyone caught discharging a firearm in public. Patrol officers will also be deployed throughout the city to maintain the peace, prevent crime and violence, and arrest drunk drivers.

How: Agencies utilize ShotSpotter to detect and locate gunfire incidents and gather

gunfire intelligence and data to fight crime and violence. The solution provides real-time and immediate gunshot location data, enabling informed decisions for faster and more accurate emergency response, while improving situational intelligence and increasing first responder safety. ShotSpotter gunfire data and intelligence also provides agencies with forensic evidence leading to more thorough investigations and increased prosecutions for gun-related crime, in addition to enhancing crime analysis and data-driven policing capabilities.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247) 510-535-GUNS or Crime Stoppers at 510-777-8572.
3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages

Media Contacts:

Lydia Barrett

Vice President, Communications

Cell: 650-833-9534

lbarrett@shotspotter.com

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. or Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, @oaklandpoliceca.

###

For full details, view this message on the web.

TEXT-A-TIP

Text TIP OAKLANDPD followed by your message, to 888777 or Submit an anonymous web tip

For help, reply HELP to 888777. To cancel, reply STOP to 888777. No charge but Message & Data rates may apply. 2 messages. More info at nixle.com. AT&T, T-Mobile®, Sprint, Verizon Wireless and most other carriers are supported. Contact customer support at support@nixle.com.

Sent by Oakland Police Department - Office Of The Chief

455 7th St, Oakland, CA 94607

To manage your email settings, [click here](#). To update your account settings, [login here](#).

If you prefer not to receive future emails, [unsubscribe here](#).

Powered by . © 2013 Nixle, LLC. All rights reserved.

From: Oakland Police Department CA [oakland-police-department-ca@emails.nixle.com]
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 12:54 PM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Community Message: Shooting Wednesday night in area of Dover, Aileen, and Shattuck.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Message sent via Nixle | [Go to nixle.com](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

Friday January 17, 2014, 12:53 PM
Oakland Police Department CA

Community: Shooting Wednesday night in area of Dover, Aileen, and Shattuck.

Hi Dan Kalb,

On Wednesday evening, OPD responded to numerous gunshot calls in the area of Dover, Aileen, and Shattuck Ave. The calls came in from citizens and alerts from the Depts. ShotSpotter technology. We are very fortunate no one was injured or killed.

Officers located the crime scenes, interviewed witnesses, and collected evidence. During the course of the preliminary investigation, officers conducted a probation search at 5518 Dover, and arrested Lemmle Kelly on an outstanding felony warrant.

Based on our preliminary and on-going investigation, there is a nexus between the shooting and 5518 Dover--a location well known by the neighborhood for gun violence and nuisance activity. Prior to the shooting, OPD was engaged in an enforcement strategy to address the problems associated with this property and the surrounding area. In fact, the following morning, an arrest was made on another individual linked with gun violence due to these efforts.

Aside from enforcement strategies, OPD is working with the City Administrator's Office to send the property owners a Nuisance Abatement Letter and follow up with other administrative measures to abate the problems associated with the property and hold the property owners accountable.

The investigation of the shooting is active and on-going. To this end, I am asking for the following from our community:

- 1) Witnesses or anyone with information regarding the shooting are needed. Information can be given by calling OPD at 777-3333, the Felony Assault Unit at 238-3426, or through NextDoor and Nixle TIP.
- 2) Report all suspicious or illegal activity by calling OPD at 777-3211 for emergencies or 777-3333 for non-emergencies.

Captain Anthony Toribio

Stay informed and connected –

- Follow Area 2 on Twitter: @area2opd
- Register for Public Safety Alerts through Nixle
- Connect with OPD Area 2 (North Oakland) and your neighbors on NextDoor

For full details, view this message on the web.

TEXT-A-TIP

Text TIP OAKLANDPD followed by your message, to 888777 or Submit an anonymous web tip

For help, reply HELP to 888777. To cancel, reply STOP to 888777. No charge but Message & Data rates may apply. 2 messages. More info at nixle.com. AT&T, T-Mobile®, Sprint, Verizon Wireless and most other carriers are supported. Contact customer support at support@nixle.com.

Sent by Oakland Police Department CA

455 7th St, Oakland, CA 94607

To manage your email settings, click [here](#). To update your account settings, login [here](#).

If you prefer not to receive future emails, unsubscribe [here](#).

Powered by . © 2014 Nixle, LLC. All rights reserved.

From: Oakland Police Department CA [oakland-police-department-ca@emails.nixle.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 8:43 AM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Community Message: Stay Safe During Holiday Celebrations, Report Illegal Fireworks and Celebratory Gunfire.

Message sent via Nixle | [Go to nixle.com](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

Tuesday December 31, 2013, 8:42 AM
Oakland Police Department CA

Community: Stay Safe During Holiday Celebrations, Report
Illegal Fireworks and Celebratory Gunfire.

Hi Dan Kalb,
Illegal use of fireworks and celebratory gunfire are two major complaints received by the Oakland Police Department at this time of year.

Ushering in the New Year is a joyous tradition. We want you to celebrate safely. Celebratory gun fire and the use of fireworks are illegal and extremely dangerous. Setting off fireworks and shooting guns pose a great risk for injury and even death and as such, they have no place in our City.

Celebratory Gunfire

As a part of our commitment to public safety, quality of life and vitality in our communities, the Police Department is focused on stopping illegal gun use. On New Year's Eve, we will be deploying patrol officers to specific areas of the City that have the highest amount of gunshot and firework activity as indicated by ShotSpotter activation.

Illegal Use of Fireworks

The Oakland Municipal Code makes ALL fireworks illegal. Violators who are sixteen years or older may be cited and face fines of \$1,000. Also, the ordinance states that "every parent, guardian, or other person, having legal care, custody or control of any person under the age of eighteen years, who knows or reasonably should have known that a minor is in violation of this ordinance, may be issued citation."

The law can be viewed in its entirety at <http://municipalcodes.lexisnexis.com/codes/Oakland/>. In brief, sale, transfer, possession or use of fireworks is prohibited. Specifically, the law makes each of the following activities a criminal offense:

1. Selling, or advertising for sale, any firework or pyrotechnical device.
2. Transferring any firework or pyrotechnical device to another.
3. Possessing any firework or pyrotechnical device.
4. Using or causing any firework or pyrotechnical device to be detonated.

You Can Help Residents are encouraged help us:

- Report the illegal use of fireworks by calling our Fireworks Hot Line:

(510) 238-2373.

- Report celebratory gunfire by calling 911 (by cell phone, 510-777-3211).

You can also turn in illegal fireworks at the following six Oakland Fire Stations:

1. Station 1: 1603 Martin Luther King Jr. Way
2. Station 3: 1445 14th Street
3. Station 4: 1235 International Boulevard
4. Station 5: 934 34th Street
5. Station 18: 1700 50th Avenue
6. Station 20: 1401 98th Avenue

View the Public Service Announcement by the Oakland Police Department and the Oakland Fire Department on our web site at www.oaklandpolice.com.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247)
3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. or Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, @oaklandpoliceca. For full details, view this message on the web.

TEXT-A-TIP

Text TIP OAKLANDPD followed by your message, to 888777 or Submit an anonymous web tip

For help, reply HELP to 888777. To cancel, reply STOP to 888777. No charge but Message & Data rates may apply. 2 messages. More info at nixle.com. AT&T, T-Mobile®, Sprint, Verizon Wireless and most other carriers are supported. Contact customer support at support@nixle.com.

Sent by Oakland Police Department CA
455 7th St, Oakland, CA 94607

To manage your email settings, [click here](#). To update your account settings, [login here](#).

If you prefer not to receive future emails, [unsubscribe here](#).

Powered by . © 2013 Nixle, LLC. All rights reserved.

From: Oakland Police Department CA [oakland-police-department-ca@emails.nixle.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 7:55 AM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Community Message: Stay Safe During Holiday Celebrations

Message sent via Nixle | Go to nixle.com | Unsubscribe

Thursday December 26, 2013, 7:52 AM
Oakland Police Department CA

Community: Stay Safe During Holiday Celebrations

Hi Dan Kalb,

Illegal use of fireworks and celebratory gunfire are two major complaints received by the Oakland Police Department at this time of year. Ushering in the New Year is a joyous tradition. We want you to celebrate safely.

Celebratory gun fire and the use of fireworks are illegal and extremely dangerous.

Setting off fireworks and shooting guns pose a great risk for injury and even death and as such, they have no place in our City.

Celebratory Gunfire

As a part of our commitment to public safety, quality of life and vitality in our communities, the Police Department is focused on stopping illegal gun use. On New Year's Eve, we will be deploying patrol officers to specific areas of the City that have the highest amount of gunshot and firework activity as indicated by ShotSpotter activation.

Illegal Use of Fireworks

The Oakland Municipal Code makes ALL fireworks illegal. Violators who are sixteen years or older may be cited and face fines of \$1,000. Also, the ordinance states that "every parent, guardian, or other person, having legal care, custody or control of any person under the age of eighteen years, who knows or reasonably should have known that a minor is in violation of this ordinance, may be issued a citation."

The law can be viewed in its entirety at

<http://municipalcodes.lexisnexis.com/codes/Oakland/>. In brief, sale, transfer, possession or use of fireworks is prohibited. Specifically, the law makes each of the following activities a criminal offense:

1. Selling, or advertising for sale, any firework or pyrotechnical device.
2. Transferring any firework or pyrotechnical device to another.
3. Possessing any firework or pyrotechnical device.
4. Using or causing any firework or pyrotechnical device to be detonated.

You Can Help Residents are encouraged help us:

- Report the illegal use of fireworks by calling our Fireworks Hot Line: (510) 238-2373.
- Report celebratory gunfire by calling 911 (by cell phone, 510-777-3211).

You can also turn in illegal fireworks at the following six Oakland Fire Stations:

1. Station 1: 1603 Martin Luther King Jr. Way
2. Station 3: 1445 14th Street
3. Station 4: 1235 International Boulevard

4. Station 5: 934 34th Street
5. Station 18: 1700 50th Avenue
6. Station 20: 1401 98th Avenue

View the Public Service Announcement by the Oakland Police Department and the Oakland Fire Department on our web site at www.oaklandpolice.com.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247)
3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. or Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, @oaklandpoliceca. For full details, view this message on the web.

TEXT-A-TIP

Text TIP OAKLANDPD followed by your message, to 888777 or Submit an anonymous web tip

For help, reply HELP to 888777. To cancel, reply STOP to 888777. No charge but Message & Data rates may apply. 2 messages. More info at nixle.com. AT&T, T-Mobile®, Sprint, Verizon Wireless and most other carriers are supported. Contact customer support at support@nixle.com.

Sent by Oakland Police Department CA

455 7th St, Oakland, CA 94607

To manage your email settings, [click here](#). To update your account settings, [login here](#).

If you prefer not to receive future emails, [unsubscribe here](#).

Powered by . © 2013 Nixle, LLC. All rights reserved.

From: Jake Gelender [jakemgelender@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, December 20, 2013 10:21 AM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Concerns and questions about Domain Awareness Center

To Councilmember Kalb,

I am an East Bay native and a resident of District 1 for the past 8 years. I voted for you in the last election, and I was pleased to hear you speak at the Bethany Baptist Church a couple of months ago, but the some recent revelations from the East Bay Express have brought me to where I don't know if I could vote for you again and I really want to hear your side of things.

I figure you have read or heard about the East Bay Express's recent piece "The Real Purpose of Oakland's Surveillance Center" based mostly on e-mails between city staffers and contractors exposed through a CPRA request: <http://www.eastbayexpress.com/oakland/the-real-purpose-of-oaklands-surveillance-center/Content?oid=3789230> To me the article is alarming on many levels, but what concerned me enough to write you is the references to a presentation for city council on what the DAC is capable of which focused on Occupy. This not only reinforces the article's point that internally the city has been more focused on using the DAC at protests than to combat the city's ongoing violent crime problems, but it gives the impression that city council knew about it. It seems that it was pitched to you as a surveillance project against protestors and you subsequently voted to keep the project alive and find a new contractor. Is this correct in any way? I'm hoping you can clarify this situation about this "demo" to the city council and generally what you see the DAC as being effective for.

The East Bay Express article also gives the impression that the city staffers are undermining your own attempts to put good policies in place around data retention and privacy. Considering that this project has barely begun and already the restrictions you pushed for are being degraded, does this make you want to reconsider the project as a whole? Letting OPD write its own privacy and data retention policies is not going to work. I think it is worth reflecting on how insular, unaccountable and resistant to transparency OPD really is, note that attempts at privacy protections are already being eroded and imagine what kind of abuses of this city-wide surveillance system are possible a decade or two down the road when even less attention is being paid. The only part of the propped DAC project I can say I am in favor of is the expansion of shotspotters, because the technology can't really be used for anything but what its designed for, so there is almost no potential for abuse.

Finally, I know that we have a robbery problem on top of our usual violent crime problems all of which has the community scared. I remember reading that you yourself were robbed and my heart goes out to you because I know how traumatizing the experience can be even beyond any financial loss and inconvenience suffered from losing a phone itself. We should all be able to walk through our neighborhoods without fear of being accosted and that right is worth fighting for. But I think it's worth asking if the DAC is really going to help with these kinds of problems, and are any marginal gains from it worth the potential chilling effect on dissent in the city and violations of privacy? .Could these resources be used for expanding operation ceasefire or other programs which have demonstrated effectiveness?

Thank you for your time, thoughts and effort,

Jake Gelender

From: Jose Guzman [josejesusguzman@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2014 7:04 AM
To: Kalb, Dan; Bolotina, Olga; Luby, Oliver; OCOP
Subject: Constituent Request: Eliminate Shotspotter

Dear Dan,

As an accountant, consultant, and a life-time resident of Oakland, I do not believe the Shotspotter is effective platform and should be eliminated. The money could be used for planting trees- a proving fact (with scientific data) that helps decrease crime and vandalism.

The police department also believes that the usage of this platform is not effective as well, which is something that needs to be taken into account if we want them to have autonomy in the near future.

I urge you to eliminate Shotspotter for the reasons below.

The report that was released only compares the number of phone calls made by residents of Oakland versus the number of gun shots captured by Shotspotter. Please see below for arguments and questions that I made to ease your vote against Shotspotter.

1) Not effective unless there is a description of the person who gun fired.

One of the arguments used to protect Shotspotter is that people were not reporting the gun shots. Therefore, there is probably no description of the person who shot the gun. So that only means that officers are restraint from searching and arresting residents that were near or at the scene of the gun-fired. My argument here is that the Shotspotter is not effective unless we have a description of the person, or some kind of lead.

Do you know how many people were arrested due to the Shotspotter?

2) Lack of police force.

Shotspotter is not being used effectively for many reasons. One other reason is the lack of police officers. There is no point in having such technology if police officers take them a while to respond to the gunshot. Now, if we had 1200 police officers, I believe police officers would be at the scene approximately twice as fast.

When Shotspotter locates a gun fired, how long does it take for a police officer to be at the scene? How long do you think a person who fired a gun leaves the scene?

Another question is whether Oakland has the proper technology and computer system for the Shotspotter? The reason why I ask this question is because it takes 20 secs for Shotspotter to give the location to the officer in duty. How precise is it?

I have made the conclusion based on my own research that the shotspotter has a LOW yield rate of individuals arrested due to many unknown variables. I have listed few points above and questions to help ease your vote against this ludicrous unnecessary contract of 264,000 a year. If you have any further questions, please contact me (415) 283-7957. I'm a volunteer for the Sierra Club, and I can assist you by giving you information regarding tree prices/ facts, studies, scientific data, articles, etc.. all relating to an alternative way to decreasing crime.

Thanks,

Jose

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2014 1:54 PM
To: Maher, Sean; Harmon, Reygan; acampbell-washington@oaklandnet.com; Cowan, Richard; Dillard, Ellen; Kalb, Dan; Luby, Oliver; Kernighan, Pat; Schaaf, Libby; At Large
Subject: cost of crime.

Hi, I was at the Rockridge Library for the public safety meeting last night. I have been asking many for information on the shotspotter program.

I asked the Mayor if she could comment on the fact that she stated the need for dedicated staff to successfully implement this program.

Remember this system failed one time already because of lack of staff, and too many false alarms.

This time I know there is no staff and no information on false alarms that I can find. What can I look at to show effectiveness.?

Was there ever a cost benefit analysis produced?, It seems the mayor was expecting to see one according to her quote here.

She actually told me "that probably wasn't me" I told her "I have you at a city council meeting in 2008 stating a need for dedicated staff for this program and how you felt like you were misled into agreeing to this program"

She said. "well you have to send that to me"

Well here it is. I have it in video form too. Forward to 1:45 of the video link if you don't believe me.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zxSPrPa3rzE&feature=c4-overview&list=UU-UtfRkcbHpdfR_oFQ7LrRQ

"What i remember is we were going to try this as a trial basis in 1 area. then it got spread probably a little larger than expected, then we have the problem on how we are going to use it. I guess what confuses me, is even if we have a shotspotter usually you get calls on gunshots, not in some neighborhoods, but in most we would. You'd have to respond to it anyhow, that's why I was looking at what the cost analysis are..... do you see a time when you see an expansion in the funding, if so, I think the expectations should be really clear.....I think we still have to have a pretty clear rationale.... Because of how it is so hard to figure out where a shot comes from in this city. It was one of the reasons I was willing to back the original pilot, but also I think we weren't really weren't clearly told what the maximum cost was to bring it to full scale.....if we knew that was really in the long term the cost would be a \$3,000,000 project, we might have thought twice about just putting so little in on something that wouldn't be effective until we had at least \$2,000,000, and that would've been helpful to know. " Jean Quan
Public safety meeting May 13, 2008.

* Where is the staffing for this program?.

*Why did it get re-instated? Expanded? why did we renew the contract in sept 2013?

*What data was pointed at for effectiveness?

*Was there a cost benefit analysis ever produced? Patricia Kernighan asked for something similar to that in 2008, and again in 2012. She says

she doesn't remember getting one. Captain Joyner said " I was never asked to make one" Although I have Ms. kernighan telling him
in a city council meeting on a video.

*What reasons were cited for expansion into my neighborhood.?,
in area 2 12X, i've counted 6! incidents since implemented in

April and not one gun fired in this neighborhood since october. I have a shotspotter microphone directly in front of my apt.

IN area 2, and mostly below the freeway and near the borders there was 79 shotspotter total incidents since April. In area 5 there was 1532! in the same time.

ONe city council report states there was "2800 shotspotter incidents and 4! arrests" citywide. Is this really a good way to delegate your thin funds. ? I would say to donate these funds to something that might really make a difference , like some of the programs talked about last night.

*Why does this city think its ok to have audio surveillance of the "crime' neighborhoods.?

THE fact is,that these microphones are always on, can record conversations, and according to VP can store and review anything he wants to.

Last I checked this was against the Bill of RIGHTS. California also has explicitly stated it is illegal to record a conversation unknowingly without a warrant.

After seeing SAIC was not allowed to work in Oakland, I did research on shotspotter. They are embedded technological partners with

Lockeed Martin, and Northrup Grumann. I'm guessing this counts as an affiliate or subsidiary of Shotspotter, which is illegal under the ordinance.

Not only that but they also have a "Military solutions team" and make devices directly for the military.

As the ordinance clearly states. "The City of Oakland as the public morality is affronted by the goods and services produced by nuclear weapons makers... which may ultimately lead to widespread death and destruction. "

I'll give you guys a week or so to get back to me, at least to tell me you are working on it, but I'm truly offended by the lack of response by anyone at city hall. I am supposed to be treated with "integrity and respect" and this data is supposed to be "transparent and available" to the public. Other than telling me how many gunshots there are, and where, I really can't get ANY info.

I hope you put some thought into my questions and into this system. I have a few more questions. I thought this would be a good place to start.... although I know I've sent this information to some here already.

Thank you for your time, and look forward to your response. -Jim

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 08, 2013 2:02 PM
To: Luby, Oliver; Kalb, Dan; Kernighan, Pat; Gerard, Jennie; District 3 Intern; Farmer, Casey; Wald, Zachary; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel; Burgos, Claudia (was Jimenez); Brooks, Desley; Reid, Larry; At Large; Jones, Andre; Chan, Ada; Maher, Sean
Subject: Domestic Awareness Center. Comments, research, and links for Dan Kalb and Oakland City Council.

Hi,

I am the guy who "complained" to you(Oliver Luby) on the phone last week. Thanks for your time.

I am extremely concerned with the continued surveillance state in this country. I am extremely disappointed that you do not take the residents of Oakland's privacy concerns, and 4th amendment protection of our privacy, more seriously. I am extremely disappointed Mr. Kalb was enthusiastic about this new technology to continue to keep watch on the city of Oakland, without raising questions about any privacy matters, until they were eventually brought up by many at the meeting, including a lawyer from the ACLU.

Mr. Luby told me in our phone conversation that taking free money is a no-brainer for a politician. As a newcomer to politics I'm glad to see that Mr. Kalb is already part of the "good ole boys" Maybe you can make more of a name for yourself by standing up to what your constituents are actually trying to tell you. We are concerned about the growing surveillance and police state in this country and this city in particular. I, and many speaking out, are trying to tell you we are not ok with being watched and listened to 24/7. I am not OK with the expansion of the DHS.

I am interested to know how the missing councilmembers would have voted. Mr. Reid, and Ms. Brooks.

We already live in Oakland a NON CONSTITUTIONAL ZONE!

<http://www.aclu.org/technology-and-liberty/fact-sheet-us-constitution-free-zone>.
<http://www.storyleak.com/media-live-in-post-constitutional-america/>

In Dan's clause to the proposal he states. "The city wants to ensure public confidence in the DAC" How has/will he done/do this?. Do you find that the public has any confidence in the protection of data? Do you have any confidence that the DHS or others won't use this info?

How are you in City Council going to ensure us that our information will not be reviewed, stored, decimated, and used to spy on us. How can you prove to Oakland they won't. Will you blindly trust safeguards put in by a "corrupt" contractor? What will you do about it if they do? Will you accept the responsibility in this experiment with the 4th amendment? Am I being paranoid? Not really. I follow the news. I actually listen to what the NSA whistle-blowers are trying to tell us. Ed Snowden is but one of many who are telling us not to trust the intelligence gatherers.

What they are saying loud and clear is this. "Big brother is here, We are being spied on in many ways we don't even think about. They lie to us and tell us that this data is anonymous and is "metadata" They lie. It is used for politics, blackmail, spying on loved ones and personal enemies. It is used by people with very little govt clearance. "More than half of SAIC's (44,000)employees have security clearances."

There are too many stories out there to not be concerned about this.

<https://www.eff.org/es/nsa-spying/faq>

<http://www.techdirt.com/articles/20130805/10035024070/dea-not-only-gets-intelligence-data-then-is-instructed-to-cover-up-where-it-gets-info.shtml>

I asked what Mr. Kalb's reaction was when he heard what Ed Snowden is trying to tell us. Mr. Luby was vague in the response, saying to the effect of "he's not sure exactly but suspects he is concerned" Well can I please ask again, What was Mr. Kalb's reaction to the NSA's over reach into our 4th amendment. ?

<http://www.theguardian.com/world/2013/jul/31/nsa-top-secret-program-online-data>

If the answer is that he is very concerned, can you tell me why would he vote to hand the contract to build the network infrastructure to a company that "cloaks its operations in great secrecy" and whose "biggest projects have turned out to be colossal failures," , a company that makes money on our wasteful and overspending govt. as the 4th largest defense contract, company.? SAIC The very same company that helped the NSA and CIA spy on the US and LIE about it. Not only that but the newest scandal just revealed by Snowden is the Xkeyscore the complete and total collection of everything we do on the internet, this technology was developed by... can you guess? Not only that but every time the whistle-blowers mention another program, as far as I can tell, SAIC is behind it. Also look up project " Stellar Wind". "Trailblazer", Turbulance"

<http://cryptome.org/2013/08/nsa-xkeyscore-saic.htm>

This article from 2007(!) reads like a horror story. please comment.

<http://www.vanityfair.com/politics/features/2007/03/spyagency200703>

"And no contractor cloaks its operations in greater secrecy....a mounting collection of government audits and lawsuits brought by former employees for a variety of reasons, some of them personal and some coming under federal whistle-blower statutes...a review by Vanity Fair of thousands of pages of documents, including corporate e-mail messages, offers disturbing revelations about the company's inner workings, its culture, and its leadership...--.. In recent years the company has obviously made many missteps, and yet SAIC's influence in Washington seems only to grow, impervious to business setbacks or even to a stunning breach of security...--....SAIC has displayed an uncanny ability to thrive in every conceivable political climate. It is the invisible hand behind a huge portion of the national-security state—the one sector of the government whose funds are limitless and whose continued growth is assured every time a politician utters the word "terrorism".....Often they do this work outside the public eye, and with little official oversight—even if it involves the most sensitive matters of national security...--.. In recent years the company has obviously made many missteps, and yet SAIC's influence in Washington seems only to grow, impervious to business setbacks or even to a stunning breach of security...--....Beyster aggressively packed his company with former generals, admirals, diplomats, spies, and Cabinet officers of every kind to fill the company's board of directors and the upper echelons of its staff. These were the kinds of people who would always have easy access to the agencies they had left behind—and who someday might even go back into government. And if you left SAIC to go back into government service, you had considerable incentive to keep SAIC's continuing good fortunes in mind...--....SAIC executives have been involved at every stage of the life cycle of the war in Iraq. SAIC personnel were instrumental in pressing the case that weapons of mass destruction existed in Iraq in the first place, and that war was the only way to get rid of them. Then, as war became inevitable, SAIC secured contracts for a broad range of operations in soon-to-be-occupied Iraq. When no weapons of mass destruction were found, SAIC personnel staffed the commission that was set up to investigate how American intelligence could have been so disastrously wrong...--...Once the project was under way, the SAIC manager overseeing the job realized that the work would cost much less than the amount SAIC had negotiated... his SAIC superiors suggested that he "harvest money out of [his] project and send it up the corporate ladder..Was SAIC using the same formula in thousands upon thousands of other contracts it had with the government?..""

So it seems to me that we accepted the \$2m grant, and gave it to a wasteful,secretive,nefarious, defense contractor that has sold meta-data software that was used to collect anything and everything illegally used by NSA and CIA etc. What did I miss. ? How much more are you planning on giving them to lie, spy, and violate our rights?

We do NOT have to accept money from crooked, bloated, ineffective government agencies under the guise of Protecting us Oaklanders. Say no to the DHS, help declare Oakland a Constitutional Zone. Help us fight back against a Big Brother State.

This is a report on DHS by Homeland Security Committee, chairman Rep Bennie Thompson in 2008

"DHS leadership has permitted a system of waste, abuse, mismanagement, vague contractual terms, overspending, bonuses for bad performance, contractors being hired to oversee contractors and the same missteps over and over again...A casual look at some of the Department's efforts leads to disturbing findings: \$5 million dollar a mile fences; TWIC cards that can't be read; Ships that don't fit into ports; Formaldehyde soaked trailers that make the occupants sick, and An information sharing program that law enforcement personnel do not want to use." or this.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/09/09/september-11-homeland-security-spending_n_953288.html

"It opened a floodgate of money for private industry to sell scanners and other devices," said Charles Perrow, a Yale sociology professor who has called the creation of DHS "The disaster after 9.11""A lot of money was kind of thrown at the problem," said John Gannon, a former deputy director of the CIA.....You certainly had an insufficient and an inexperienced contracting team," said former DHS Inspector General Clark Kent Ervin. "And you certainly had rapacious contractors."

Listen to what these reports are saying. DHS wastes money in a big way and throws it a defense contract teams. Sounds exactly what this City Council wants to do. I hope this helps you guys connect these dots. Stand up to them. Let this become a big talking point in Oakland. Lets get everyone talking about it. You will be considered, stand up politicians. will cause debate on real issues, and will help the unaware get involved in what is really going on. A win, win, win. This can help cause national debate. Remember this Oakland issue was on reddit. You had a student from Harvard saying she is debating your interesting decision in her class. You have Ed Snowden and others bringing attention to this. You at least need to take a good hard look at SAIC and think seriously about leaving them out of your final equation.

According to an article in the east bay express,in 2011 there were 1871!! open requests for analysis of crime scene data. Let's stop accepting and spending money on ineffective totalitarian surveillance, and start being hands on and actually spending money on things Oakland does not have that most Police Dept do.

OPD has requested 1.3 million for 13 full time staffers . Oakland has an avg of 30 open criminal cases, 6x the state avg. Oakland has a response time at 14.8 mins. double the times of SF, Anaheim, Fresno, Sacramento.

Can you show me how any of the surveillance has lowered this extremely high number. How do these other cities manage such a better level of efficiency than Oakland in most statistics. How has shotspotter specifically improved these times or numbers. ?(I'm guessing I will just have

to research that myself too)

Is this new technology effective? All this spying by the NSA , all of this eroding of our bill of rights, all of this about non constitutional zones. This technology just doesn't deter any crime or "terrorism" Please point me to one report or reason that this surveillance system will help protect us from crime(terrorism) Since the Patriot Act was introduced in 2001, and with hundreds of millions of phone calls recorded, they maybe, might have caught ONE, that is 1.

http://www.democracynow.org/2013/8/1/nsa_confirms_dragnet_phone_records_collection
effectiveness of CCTV

http://www.no-cctv.org.uk/caseagainst/london_cameras_versus_clearup.asp

What kind of research or time has Mr. Kalb or city council spent looking into the DAC and its legality? Why did he on July 9th, sound excited? "sounds good to me!" On July 16th he tried to imply that the public should be aware of , and be there to inform him on things he didn't know "I wish you guys were here 2 weeks ago for the public safety meeting" , like having the OUSD feed go to the DAC, and not having any plans or knowledge of what they would do concerning storage of the data.

Why didn't Mr. Kalb or anyone else raise additional questions about the Lic Plate reading technology.? ACLU specifically raised this point that was either missed or ignored by everyone there. This should NOT be part of the DAC.

Do you know what kind of video analytics DAC would be capable of in July '14? Ms. Gibson McElhaney asked if there was "video movement or facial recognition technology?" The spokesman just referenced the facial rec, does this mean there WILL be video movement analysis? What this an oversight, or what would that mean exactly?

Are you able to tell me any more info on Shot Spotter technology. ? How many are now in Oakland? How many are in district 1? What is the effectiveness ? What have you done to ensure they are not listening to and storing conversations on the street? Oh yeah I had to inform Mr. Luby on the fact that the people who run that company lied to us. Can you please do something about that? Comments?

<http://www.southcoasttoday.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20120111/NEWS/201110339>

"ShotSpotter officials say their acoustic sensors, set up to detect gunfire, are not designed to record conversations on the street. However, court documents show that audio surveillance helped provide specific details that enabled police to string together the sequence of events that ended in the fatal shooting of Pina, 20, in the South End.

The apparent ability of ShotSpotter to record voices on the street raises questions about privacy rights and highlights another example of how emerging technologies can pose challenges to enforcing the law while also protecting civil liberties.....On Jan. 6, during bail arguments for Jonathan Flores, 20, who is charged with murder, Assistant District Attorney Dan Hourihan said ShotSpotter recorded the arguing and yelling at the corner of Dartmouth and Matthew streets."

Questions every politician should ask of themselves. When will you consider the war on terror over? What liberties are you ok with losing, and what would be going to far? Then I would ask why a \$2m port grant for terrorism includes surveillance of ALL residents.

I look forward to any response, I look forward to any further discussion on these issues.

I am not going away. I have only recently(2+years)begun to dig into the constraints that the government has been putting on the American people. I am supposed to be a free person. I am supposed to be protected and represented by people that swore an oath to the CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES. I'm guessing you've read this part , its at the very top. SECTION 1. All people are by nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and

pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness, and privacy.

I will personally hold all of you accountable for voting against anything you've sworn to from this point forward. I am going to do my best to promote these ideals. I helped start a youtube channel to keep an eye on your guys. "WakeUpOakland" I am going to use my many personal oakland contacts and start promoting the rights we have as free Americans leaving in the free state of California. The great people of Oakland deserve to have their liberties protected, our public servants to listen the people. We need the Police to Protect and Serve. Not spy, intimidate, and violate rights. We need our Fire Dept to come up with local plans and policies that don't tie into DHS money and rules.

As a resident in district one in Oakland, I now put Mr. Kalb and the city council on notice. I am watching you.

I'll leave you with this.

As Philip Dick put it in an interview for SF Eye magazine in 1996:

"Any government which assumes that the population is going to do something evil has already lost its franchise to govern. The tacit contract between a government and the people governed is that the government will trust the people and the people will trust the government. But once the government begins to mistrust the people it is governing, it loses its mandate to rule because it is no longer acting as a spokesman for the people, but is acting as an agent of persecution". Love Jim.

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 03, 2013 10:38 AM
To: At Large; Chan, Ada; Jones, Andre; Eide, Cathey; Burgos, Claudia (was Jimenez); Farmer, Casey; District 3 Intern; Brooks, Desley; Kalb, Dan; Gerard, Jennie; Reid, Larry; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel; Luby, Oliver; Kernighan, Pat; Maher, Sean; Wald, Zachary
Subject: Domestic Awareness Center
Attachments: 070.jpg; 048.jpg; 054.jpg; 047.jpg

I am very worried about all this surveillance.

I am very worried about this company SAIC.

I am very worried about the fact that shotspotter can listen to us and store arguments and lie about it.

I am very worried that my council members did not properly understand the depth of this DAC

I am very worried at all of these cameras I noticed on my daily walk.

Can you explain to me what these cameras are for, and if they are going to be connected to the DAC?

, I've included the photos of these cameras. They are not traffic cameras or Red light cameras? What are they?

Do you know what motion sensor cameras can do? Would you support facial recognition?

Did you read the article in the ebexpress about SAIC? If so what is your reaction? If you haven't read it yet, can you please do so before voting for any more contracts for this company.
<http://www.eastbayexpress.com/oakland/oaklands-surveillance-contractor-has-a-history-of-fraud/Content?oid=3693913>

From: Watson, Johnna A.
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 7:47 AM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Joshi, Holly J.; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: Fireworks and Celebratory Gunfire
Attachments: 2013 Fireworks and Celebratory Gunfire.pdf

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
December 26, 2013

Stay Safe During Holiday Celebrations

Oakland, CA — Illegal use of fireworks and celebratory gunfire are two major complaints received by the Oakland Police Department at this time of year. Ushering in the New Year is a joyous tradition. We want you to celebrate safely. Celebratory gun fire and the use of fireworks are illegal and extremely dangerous. Setting off fireworks and shooting guns pose a great risk for injury and even death and as such, they have no place in our City.

Celebratory Gunfire

As a part of our commitment to public safety, quality of life and vitality in our communities, the Police Department is focused on stopping illegal gun use. On New Year's Eve, we will be deploying patrol officers to specific areas of the City that have the highest amount of gunshot and firework activity as indicated by ShotSpotter activation.

Illegal Use of Fireworks

The Oakland Municipal Code makes ALL fireworks illegal. Violators who are sixteen years or older may be cited and face fines of \$1,000. Also, the ordinance states that "every parent, guardian, or other person, having legal care, custody or control of any person under the age of eighteen years, who knows or reasonably should have known that a minor is in violation of this ordinance, may be issued a citation."

The law can be viewed in its entirety at

<http://municipalcodes.lexisnexis.com/codes/Oakland/>. In brief, sale, transfer, possession or use of fireworks is prohibited. Specifically, the law makes each of the following activities a criminal offense:

1. Selling, or advertising for sale, any firework or pyrotechnical device.
2. Transferring any firework or pyrotechnical device to another.
3. Possessing any firework or pyrotechnical device.
4. Using or causing any firework or pyrotechnical device to be detonated.

You Can Help

Residents are encouraged help us:

- Report the illegal use of fireworks by calling our Fireworks Hot Line: (510) 238-2373.
- Report celebratory gunfire by calling 911 (by cell phone, 510-777-3211).

You can also turn in illegal fireworks at the following six Oakland Fire Stations:

1. Station 1: 1603 Martin Luther King Jr. Way
2. Station 3: 1445 14th Street

3. Station 4: 1235 International Boulevard
4. Station 5: 934 34th Street
5. Station 18: 1700 50th Avenue
6. Station 20: 1401 98th Avenue

View the Public Service Announcement by the Oakland Police Department and the Oakland Fire Department on our web site at www.oaklandpolice.com.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247)
3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. or Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, [@oaklandpoliceca](https://twitter.com/oaklandpoliceca).

Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Department
(510) 238-7230

"The mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the people of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play and thrive free from crime and the fear of crime."

From: Lambert, Dannette
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 3:05 PM
To: Kalb, Dan
Cc: Luby, Oliver
Subject: FW: shotspotter.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Hi,
Oliver said you were crafting an email to Jim Emkey, so I thought I should send you the last email he sent me.

Thanks,

Dannette Lambert
Community Services Coordinator
Office of District 1 City Council Member Dan Kalb
City of Oakland
1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 230· Oakland, CA 94612
(o) 510-238-7001 · (d) 510-238-7014 · (e) dlambert@oaklandnet.com

From: jim emkey [mailto:letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 12:57 PM
To: Lambert, Dannette
Subject: shotspotter.

Thank you so much for your professionalism. The most disappointing part to me in the whole thing , is now I'm considered a antagonistic nuisance. I would be happy with simply a 'frustrated nuisance" :)

I've never done anything like this before, i am not a activist, I've never protested anything or signed any petitions.

I simply found a device on my street light and was curious.

The more I asked the more silence I received. True story. Your office returned an email in august answering a question i asked in may. The question was. "what is this thing?" I found the answer , on my own,in July, and continued to ask questions of your office. So to have a response in August still telling me "I don't know what it is." should've told me all I needed. (sigh)

I am simply asking questions. These questions were to be made available and transparent. These questions were supposed to be answered by Cpt Joyner. He refuses. People who answer the phone at shotspotter tell me they are not allowed to tell me anything. The public requests record I made stated "effective range for shotspotter microphones will not be available unless through a court order" So I have to sue the company to get info that is supposed to be transparent and available!?!?.

Here are my specific questions for Dan.

1. Why is it legal to have surveillance microphones in front of my apt, that is capable of recording, storing, retrieving and reviewing ANY loud , impulsive noise. As my video says. "what is an impulsive noise?" off the top of my head I thought of many, including , dogs barking , trucks backing up, car alarms, sirens, leaf blowers, wood chippers, jack hammers, car door slamming, etc.

Here is the article where the VP states what he is capable of.

<http://www.paloaltoonline.com/news/2010/02/19/shotspotter-system-records-tragic-plane-crash>
"For forensic purposes, all loud, impulsive noises are logged by ShotSpotter systems, even if they

do not trigger an automatic alert, in case those noises needed to be reviewed after-the-fact, he(James Bedlock) said."

Here is another example of the liars at the top of this company. Notice these 2 directly opposite statement comes from the same exact article.
http://www.mercurynews.com/crime-courts/ci_24499230/shotspotter-offers-gunfire-detection-bay-area-schools-after

"ShotSpotter's outdoor gunshot detection system helped solve a 2007 Oakland murder when the technology captured a dying man's last words that identified the killer. ShotSpotter also took a lead role in a high-profile Massachusetts case in which sensors recorded a street argument that accompanied a fatal shooting in 2011."

then here is Ralph Clark CEO of shotspotter LYING TO SAVE HIS COMPANY.

"There is no way it can record voices," Clark said. "It is just not possible technically."

2. According to Shotspotter's website they are strategic partners with Lockheed Martin. Can you tell me how this partnership isn't prohibited due to Oakland's ordinance against doing business with nuclear businesses ? Did they admit this to you when they entered their contract. If not this is a direct violation of Oakland's law.

3. How much do we actually spend on shotspotter. According to city council reports this system costs \$40-\$60,000 per sq mile per year. The budget says we are spending ~\$350,000 a year for shotspotter. Also according to council reports 80% of Oakland is covered currently by shotspotter. Some simple math here. Oakland is 55 sq miles. 80% of 55 is 44. 44X \$40,000-\$60,000= \$1,700,000-\$2,400,000 ayear. . Also the fact that rebecca Kaplan stated in Sept 2012 that it would cost \$1,000,000 per year to staff properly. The budget for shotspotter according to my math is about \$2,500,000 ayear.

3a. IF it was recommended by the chief of police that OPD needed an additional \$1m to properly implement, why is there currently \$0 dedicated to this?

I would be satisfied if you could answer these, or is you could direct me to someone that would be able to. Maybe you can ask Cpt Joyner for me. :)

Again, I really do appreciate your time today. I appreciate the fact that you are honest with me. That's all I ask for. I am patient. I have been.

Our phone conversation today bought Dan a few weeks of my silence on this matter. All I want is attention to my plight, and answers. Now that I have your attention and your word to me that you will personally move this matter forward, is all that I could ask for.

Please be bored in the next week or so, and watch my videos. i think it would really open your eyes as to my plight and this companies dishonesty. They are made by an amateur and IMO are getting slightly better as I get used to making them. I think if you could only watch one, watch "are shotspotters microphones legal without a warrant?"

Thank you so much for your time today. Hopefully being a bit behind on this keeps your mind open, as it seems most over there are bought and sold on this product without looking closely at this IMO shady company. I hope that the warning of Edward Snowden helps drive home the fact that modern technology, is more advanced than we are aware of. The fact that this company is not being transparent with their technology and is IN FACT LYING, should open your eyes as to what I believe we are dealing with here. The fact that I get silence back reassures my belief.

Please get back to me as soon as possible, I look forward to it. -Jim

From: Luby, Oliver
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 5:49 PM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: FW: shotspotter.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Lambert, Dannette
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 3:05 PM
To: Kalb, Dan
Cc: Luby, Oliver
Subject: FW: shotspotter.

Hi,
Oliver said you were crafting an email to Jim Emkey, so I thought I should send you the last email he sent me.

Thanks,

Dannette Lambert
Community Services Coordinator
Office of District 1 City Council Member Dan Kalb
City of Oakland
1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 230· Oakland, CA 94612
(o) 510-238-7001 · (d) 510-238-7014 · (e) dlambert@oaklandnet.com

From: jim emkey [mailto:letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 12:57 PM
To: Lambert, Dannette
Subject: shotspotter.

Thank you so much for your professionalism. The most disappointing part to me in the whole thing , is now I'm considered a antagonistic nuisance. I would be happy with simply a 'frustrated nuisance" :)

I've never done anything like this before, i am not a activist, I've never protested anything or signed any petitions.

I simply found a device on my street light and was curious.

The more I asked the more silence I received. True story. Your office returned an email in august answering a question i asked in may. The question was. "what is this thing?" I found the answer , on my own,in July, and continued to ask questions of your office. So to have a response in August still telling me "I don't know what it is." should've told me all I needed. (sigh)

I am simply asking questions. These questions were to be made available and transparent. These questions were supposed to be answered by Cpt Joyner. He refuses. People who answer the phone at shotspotter tell me they are not allowed to tell me anything. The public requests record I made stated "effective range for shotspotter microphones will not be available unless through a court order" So I have to sue the company to get info that is supposed to be transparent and available!?!?.

Here are my specific questions for Dan.

1. Why is it legal to have surveillance microphones in front of my apt, that is capable of recording, storing, retrieving and reviewing ANY loud , impulsive noise. As my video says.

"what is an impulsive noise?" off the top of my head I thought of many, including , dogs barking , trucks backing up, car alarms, sirens, leaf blowers, wood chippers, jack hammers, car door slamming, etc.

Here is the article where the VP states what he is capable of.

<http://www.paloaltoonline.com/news/2010/02/19/shotspotter-system-records-tragic-plane-crash>
"For forensic purposes, all loud, impulsive noises are logged by ShotSpotter systems, even if they do not trigger an automatic alert, in case those noises needed to be reviewed after-the-fact, he(James Bedlock) said."

Here is another example of the liars at the top of this company. Notice these 2 directly opposite statement comes from the same exact article.

http://www.mercurynews.com/crime-courts/ci_24499230/shotspotter-offers-gunfire-detection-bay-area-schools-after

"ShotSpotter's outdoor gunshot detection system helped solve a 2007 Oakland murder when the technology captured a dying man's last words that identified the killer. ShotSpotter also took a lead role in a high-profile Massachusetts case in which sensors recorded a street argument that accompanied a fatal shooting in 2011."

then here is Ralph Clark CEO of shotspotter LYING TO SAVE HIS COMPANY.

"There is no way it can record voices," Clark said. "It is just not possible technically."

2. According to Shotspotter's website they are strategic partners with Lockheed Martin. Can you tell me how this partnership isn't prohibited due to Oakland's ordinance against doing business with nuclear businesses ? Did they admit this to you when they entered their contract. If not this is a direct violation of Oakland's law.

3. How much do we actually spend on shotspotter. According to city council reports this system costs \$40-\$60,000 per sq mile per year. The budget says we are spending ~\$350,000 a year for shotspotter. Also according to council reports 80% of Oakland is covered currently by shotspotter. Some simple math here. Oakland is 55 sq miles. 80% of 55 is 44. 44X \$40,000-\$60,000= \$1,700,000-\$2,400,000 ayear. . Also the fact that rebecca Kaplan stated in Sept 2012 that it would cost \$1,000,000 per year to staff properly. The budget for shotspotter according to my math is about \$2,500,000 ayear.

3a. IF it was recommended by the chief of police that OPD needed an additional \$1m to properly implement, why is there currently \$0 dedicated to this?

I would be satisfied if you could answer these, or is you could direct me to someone that would be able to. Maybe you can ask Cpt Joyner for me. :)

Again, I really do appreciate your time today. I appreciate the fact that you are honest with me. That's all I ask for. I am patient. I have been.

Our phone conversation today bought Dan a few weeks of my silence on this matter. All I want is attention to my plight, and answers. Now that I have your attention and your word to me that you will personally move this matter forward, is all that I could ask for.

Please be bored in the next week or so, and watch my videos. i think it would really open your eyes as to my plight and this companies dishonesty. They are made by an amateur and IMO are getting slightly better as I get used to making them. I think if you could only watch one, watch "are shotspotters microphones legal without a warrant?"

Thank you so much for your time today. Hopefully being a bit behind on this keeps your mind open, as it seems most over there are bought and sold on this product without looking closely at this IMO shady company. I hope that the warning of Edward Snowden helps drive home the fact that modern technology, is more advanced than we are aware of. The fact that this company is not being transparent with their technology and is IN FACT LYING, should open your eyes as to what I believe we are dealing with here. The fact that I get silence back reassures my belief.

Please get back to me as soon as possible, I look forward to it. -Jim

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2013 5:31 PM
To: Darwin BG; Robert Gammon; Kernighan, Pat; Toribio, Anthony; Kalb, Dan; Brooks, Desley; chroniclewatch@sfchronicle.com; jberton@sfchronicle.com
Subject: Fwd: Oakland 41st and broadway, shotspotter.

Here is my latest response from the person in charge of information on shotspotter. He has not tried to answer any of my questions. He can only tell me about company talking points. "shotspotter is a valuable tool in helping police, since it can pinpoint the shots " and therefore it works?

I've been stonewalled at every turn. I cannot get any answers from shotspotter directly or now from OPD. After calling public relations Lydia Barrett, for 2 1/2 months with no reply, she called OPD and wants to make me stop calling here, THreatening me with a restraining order. I have never threatened her in any way.

In the city council meeting of 9/27/12 Ms Kernighan asks Mr. Joyner for a report. He just responded to me saying he did not make one and wasn't asked, and he won't be answering any more of my questions.

I am very simply looking for any answers to my questions about shotspotter. Any answers to Ms.Kernighan's request for a report.

I've been shut out everywhere I turn. WHY is this topic so aggressively secretive.? '

In what way is an oakland resident, with a shotspotter 50 feet from my front door, able to get a response? I am increasingly frustrated by this nearly 5 month ordeal. I am increasingly becoming worried that there is a reason No one wants to talk to me about this.

I am here pleading with the OPD, with my city council, and some media. Please help me find some answers. I am not any type of activist,or protestor. I have no record. I have no reason to actually worry about being recorded in public. But it is debatable at best if this shotspotter is even legal. The few answers I've heard 1st hand were a direct attempt to misinform me.Just as with their media statement by Lydia Barrett and James Bedlock.

As a normal joesixpack, I just don't want to be bothered. This device going up made me curious. Now, I am as frustrated as I've been in my entire life.I am going to try my best to let as many people know what I've been through, and why I am being shut out.

Simple answers to my simple questions, wouldv'e let me move on to more enjoyable things in life. Now I cannot let this go without finding some answers. (ooh a threat?)

I feel at this point I'm being lied to , and I have been and nowI will be ignored. Any help will be appreciated. -Jim

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Joyner, Ersie <EJoyner@oaklandnet.com>
Date: Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 4:42 PM
Subject: Re: Oakland 41st and broadway, shotspotter.
To: jim emkey <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com>

Sir

Please put your request in the form of a city public records request. I have tried to answer as many questions as I can & I am not responding to any more of your emails. Thank you.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 26, 2013, at 4:39 PM, "jim emkey" <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:
this is directly from city council meeting sept 27 2011. I will remind you Patricia Kernighan said "It seems like for this amount of money....I think it is the staffing issue, it does concern me that we are spending a lot of money to expand it, and we're not even able to respond quickly where the shots have been fired.... we want numbers of things. we want to be able to quantify how many we responded to . how many crimes were solved from sst.....if you are talking about a data driven dept it means you use the data to analyze the success of anything.....I appreciate your dedication, there are some things you can measure however and that I'd like you to show"

If you are the one in OPD to answer for SST. Why aren't you answering my specific questions. ? Or specific questions from city council.? I now find it odd that you won't respond to my requests for information, and how in fact you are representing a company over your citizens.

Honestly , why is it so hard to ge answers here?

On Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 4:23 PM, Joyner, Ersie <EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:

No report was done or requested. From this point on anything you need needs to come via public record request. Please go to city of Oakland website on how to complete.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 26, 2013, at 4:05 PM, "jim emkey" <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:

did you make a report for ms. kernighnan. if yes, can i see it, if no why not?

On Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 3:31 PM, Joyner, Ersie <EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
The charge will be annoying phone calls & possible threats sir.
Your voicemails are clear violation of the law. Best regards.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department

Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 26, 2013, at 3:10 PM, "jim emkey"
<letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:

I am going to contact my legal dept and find out if i can file a cease and desist order from them and you for violating my rights. Is there a crime for lying to a city council or a newspaper? As far as I can tell the only way this company is doing any business is by lying through a well-oiled PR campaign that completely snowed over our simpletons at City Council. Also thanks to you going in front of MY city council and repeating company talking points, that are not backed up with any facts. This is called Propaganda. You claim to not be the PR person for SST. But instead of legitimately answering my questions, you tell me there is nothing else for you to tell me. The companies rhetoric is not fact!

i am not a lawyer,. Does this means i cannot call them up to ask questions? This seems a bit extreme.

Please explain to me what I could be prosecuted for? Exactly . Profanity? Is not illegal. Unreasonable demands? Asking the spokesperson for the company to call me back is unreasonable? I have not threatened her in any way.

It is absurd that it now has come to this. If someone would just answer the questions I put forth, I would not have to call the PR dept of this company. Looks like she has you, on her side. Weird, It's as if you were working for her and not me. She isn't even a resident of Oakland, yet you are protecting her, and doing nothing for me.

As I said the longer this type of BS is going on the more I am going to expose it.

When can I expect a response to my last email. ? Have you prepared a report for Ms Kernighan concerning her request for facts? Can I please read it?

Again, This technology makes NO ONE safer. It is not a deterrent. Oakland is not properly staffed for this. This doesn't stop the many crimes that doesn't involve shooting a gun. This is giving CItY COUncil and anyone who believes this stuff works. a false sense of security.

WHy do you say elected officials and OPD must respond, but, yet Im still getting the run around? I'm still waiting.

On Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 1:48 PM, Joyner, Ersie
<EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Mr Emkey

This email will serve as official notification of a cease & desist in contacting the Shotspotter company. I have reviewed several of your voicemails left for their staff & I am concerned with your implied threats, use of profanity, & unreasonable demands. Currently their legal department is seeking possible criminal prosecution as well as a court order for restraint. Keep in mind they are a private company & not under any legality to answer any questions from you. Whereas OPD & your elected officials must respond given the correct protocol. I will follow up with a phone call to you advising the above. I am sure if you stop contacting them there will be no need to pursue this further.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 26, 2013, at 1:02 PM, "jim emkey"
<letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:
Hi,

Thank you very much for talking to me. You said we need to agree to disagree. I said I would love to agree with you but I cannot agree to something if I don't know the facts.

I would just like to touch on some things we talked about on the phone, then I will leave you with a few questions maybe one of you would be able to help me with.

Shotspotter is being sold to city council by saying such things as its a deterrent.

From the 3rd pdf july 2006

"Decreases in number of gunshots in cities that use the Gunshot Location System technology were often attributed to the deterrent effect;shooters become aware that law enforcement could accurately identify and pinpoint the location of gunfire and respond rapidly to the scene. "

"Whereas the deployment of shotspotter HAS BEEN PROVEN to reduce illegal weapons by UP TO

60% in SOME targeted areas"

can I please see where these 2 statements came from. ? and how has Oakland specifically.?

ANother example of the deterrent angle. from 9/25/13

Rebecca Kaplan " Fire a shot you will get caught." E. Joyner. "that statement you have a shot you will get caught you have that patented? I'm real big into marketing."

also on 9/25/12

Rebecca Kaplan " In some of the conversations I've had with the chief....what it would take by the way of police staffing to consistently respond to all the shotspotter incidents.... it's estimated to be about a MILLION \$ a year"

I find Ms kernighan has a few of the same questions I did.

She asks"what % of the SST incidents are being responded to? MR. Joyner responds "the elephant in the room of course is staffing...." He doesn't directly answer.

PK " It seems like for this amount of money....I think it is the staffing issue, it does concern me that we are spending a lot of money to expand it, and w're not even able to respond quickly where the shots have been fired.... we want numbers of things. we want to be able to quantify how many we responded to . how many crimes were solved from sst.....if you are talking about a data driven dept it means you use the data to analyze the success of anything.....I appreciate your dedication, there are some things you can measure however and that I'd like you to show"

Has there ever been a report to respond to here request?

Ms Nadel. also asks " have we actually caught shooters do to this system?"

E. Joyner "Yes! I'm glad you asked me that..... (then later)I can't say specifically for that case that shotspotter led to this guy's arrest"

So in the 6 years(until that point) this is the ONE BEST example you use to sell expansion? Forgive me for not being as accepting as city council.

Lets look at the specific types of examples I think Ms. Kernighan was looking for. Since I couldn't find any other info, and Mr. Toribio sent me this, here is what I found. I would love to see this type of info for 2013.

July 2007 according to the report. 2800 gunshots and 4! arrests for "firearm related crimes" I'm not even sure what that means. Was it directly related to SST? So in that one year it cost \$366,000 to purchase. +\$103,000per year to maintain it . SO if I'm correct \$469,000 to arrest 4 people on 'firearm related crimes" That is almost laughable. and to think city council points to this not as a reason to expand this project. NO wonder we are where we are. so there was 2786 people who took a shot and didn't get caught?? I would hate to show you the % on that.

in august of 2012 there were 215 shotspotter incidents . in 8/13 438! according to latest stats i can find The clearance rate in Oakland has gone down. 2009. 43%, 2010 39% 2011 29%.

and of course crime rate has gone up quite a bit in last 2 years, since expansion.

I asked Mr. TORibio for any stats that have to do with response time, apparently there isn't any. Although the biggest reason(it seems to me)I for having SST is to improve response time.

Although there are #'s suggesting the costs. I haven't found any total cost of the system. I saw the original

cost was \$366,000, plus \$100,000 per year. That is without the mobile system according to the pdf. "this cost can increase if the mobile PSC software is used." I've also seen on the SST website the cost can be on a sq. mile basis. SO it is hard to determine the actual costs here. It was also mentioned about "trips"? to other cities to study the use? Was there a cost to the training/learning curve? Are we paying \$1,000,000 for staff as RK suggested it will cost? So i cannot find the true cost of this system. Can someone tell me the total cost of this technology since 2006. ? including training, contracts, one time costs, staffing? Can you please include any system errors that cost \$ or time?

In conclusion < here is what I've found out about shotspotter.

It seems to have cost Oakland a minimum of \$1million up to this point.

When asked if this system is effective, Mr. Joyner can point to ONE incident, that he's not sure if SST was directly responsible.

The company's PR person Lydia Barrett refuses to return my calls. I've called her literally a min of 3x a week since July.

I've emailed James Bedlock. He also will not return my emails.

The people at shotspotter deliberately misinformed me about the capabilities of their product

Lydia and James have both been not truthful in statements to the media , saying these microphones are not always on, and cannot hear anything except for gunshots or loud bangs.

There is no discussion whether its against the my rights to have a microphone outside my apt that is ON 24/7.

There is no discussion of any safeguads being put in place. (how long are recordings stored, how much can it listen to, who can listen. who can request the recordings, Is it

being used by the NSA to stop
"Terrorists" and if so how)

Believe me I am quite sure NO one
give a flying duck about this, but it
doesn't mean I don't deserve answers
to the questions I have been asking in
vain, until this point. Just because
those in city council believe
everything they are told does not
mean I do. Just because SST has
been successful selling fear to cities ,
doesn't mean they aren't corrupt. Just
because OPD likes having another
tool to fight crime, does not mean it
is cost effective or even effective at
all. It seems to me that you gave
SST the contract and then let them
implement anything they wanted
without question. I just found out
OPD has no say into where SST puts
these devices. Truly hard to believe.

I do expect to get answers to my
questions. Like I told Mr. Toribio, I
am not an activist in anyway, and
have never engaged in contact with
my city council or Police dept, until
this. I've spent in extraordinary
amount of time trying to get to the
bottom of this. The amount of
secrecy, compliance and deception
are enough to get me really angry
about this. The fact that I've been
inquiring about these devices in
general since MAY, and in specific
since JULY, is ridiculous

I do not appreciate being lied to
and ignored!! -Jim

On Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 9:21 AM,
Toribio, Anthony
<AToribio@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
FYI

Anthony Toribio
Captain of Police
Oakland Police Department
510.238.3958
Fax: 510. 986.2887

Twitter: @area2opd

From: jim emkey
[mailto:letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013
3:15 PM

To: Toribio, Anthony
Subject: Re: Oakland 41st and
broadway, shotspotter.

Hi Anthony

Look forward to talking to you
tomorrow. I have a lot of questions
stacked up(since no one else talks)
about shotspotter. I hope you can
answer. Sould I call you tomorrow
or wait for you call?

On Wed, Sep 18, 2013 at 5:00 PM,
Toribio, Anthony
<AToribio@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
It will have to be next week. How
about Thurs the 26th at 9:30 to 10:00.

Anthony Toribio
Captain of Police
Oakland Police Department
510.238.3958
Fax: 510. 986.2887
Twitter: @area2opd

From: jim emkey
[mailto:letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2013
2:02 PM
To: Toribio, Anthony
Subject: Re: Oakland 41st and
broadway, shotspotter.

Thanks for your response. I would
love to chat over the phone
510.710.2037. I'd really love if we
can meet for coffee. I am in your
district. If you give me a time
between 9-330wed-fri. , I can meet
wherever. otherwise you can call me,
lets say a phone call between 10-330
wed-fri would work for me as well.
If you can give me an idea when you
might call me, I could be prepared
with my notes. thanks in advance.
Jim

On Tue, Sep 17, 2013 at 12:07 PM,
Toribio, Anthony
<AToribio@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Lets chat over the phone. I don't have
the answers to all the questions, but
perhaps you will find our conversation
informative. Please send me some
dates/times.

Anthony Toribio
Captain of Police
Oakland Police Department
510.238.3958
Fax: 510. 986.2887
Twitter: @area2opd

From: jim emkey
[mailto:letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 16, 2013 6:46
PM
To: Toribio, Anthony
Subject: Oakland 41st and broadway,
shotspotter.

Hello sir,

I am glad to see this new localized
approach of policing.(not the
shotspotter) I hope it is successful in
a reduction in crime in this area. I
believe this localized approach is
better. I hope the increased crime
investigators will help. I look
forward to eventually meeting you at
one of these community meetings.

I live on 41st and bway. I found
this shotspotter device on my
streetlight. This device has brought
me great frustration. I've had
questions about this device since I
found it in May. I've been
stonewalled at every turn. Shot
spotter inc. , city council. and now it
seems like OPD. First, it took 2
months to find out what it was. Now
its taken another 2 months to ask the
questions, i have put forward in my
letter to Captain Joyner. I was to
assume he was the one to speak to
about this device? He has just
answered this letter I am forwarding,
saying he isn't going to answer these
questions. !!!!???

I am at a loss for where to turn. I
am just looking for some solid facts

on these. A few of the questions simply asked how to read the shotspotter reports correctly. I will forward you my questions, along with my commentary about the state of surveillance here in Oakland. I do believe in being safe. But as my emails expresses. I don't think the money is worth the perceived safety these new "toys" bring.

Hi, Mr. Joyner,

Sorry, it's taken me a while to get back to you. I'm sure you know how life gets.

I am writing this to explain my concerns with shotspotter, hopefully I can make it organized and easy to understand. I will number the questions that I hope you will be able to either answer, or send me somewhere to research myself. I am not adverse to doing my own research. Sometimes its just hard to know what and who to look at.

First I'd like to state that I believe that casting a large net over the general public to sort out the good from the bad, is illegal under the constitution of the United States. I would love to hear a good argument on how I'm wrong on this.

With that being said, every single form of surveillance Oakland is either using now, or planning on using, is treating innocent people as though they were guilty. Saving license plate data, for perpetuity and using the information for who knows what, is just one Orweillian example.

Oakland is using money earmarked for "terrorism" and using it to "solve" Oakland's high crime problem. (1) Can you show me any studies or instances where city wide surveillance has reduced crime? (2) Can you show me how surveillance in Oakland has effected the rate of crime in the more heavily watched areas. (2a) can you show me that crime has gone down in the areas were shotspotter was originally implemented? (2b) can you tell me who's idea it was to expand this tool, and what they cited as reason's to

believe expansion would be effective to reduce crime, and how much did the expansion cost exactly. ?

Let me share with you some stats that I found. on oaklands site. murder rate is up, aggravated assault is up. rape , robbery, burglaries, motor vehicle theft, larceny, arson, and simple assaults are up. How does shotspotter help stop any of these?

Also trying to understand the shotspotter page works. It lists in yellow, shot spotter incidents, and in red, shooting incidents. (3)Can you explain to me the difference? Also trying to figure out the part where it lists "most active beats" In the aug 2013 pdf it lists beat 29y with 28 gunshot calls but only 37 combined calls, which means 28 911 calls and 9 shotspotter incidents? (3a)Why is that? it lists in may and june more calls to 911 then shotspotter incidents.

It also lists "possible gunshots". (4) Are those false positives? if so that is 908 shotspotter incidents and 102 'unknowns' that is 11%. (4a) Are these 11% also investigated? (4b)If so isn't this a waste of manpower? If we are to make informed decisions on what is worth our tax money , shouldn't we have a clear breakdown.

(5) Are shotspotter cost effective? (5a)How much do they cost exactly?! How much did it cost to acquire them, set it up, test it,work out the kinks(i think opd abandoned sst for a year or 2?) (5b)how much did it cost to expand it?

(6) How EXACTLY have the public benefited from this? (6a)Are your responses to calls to shotspotter incidents actually faster then previously? (6b)Has shotspotter specifically solved any cases? Where can we as the public read about this?

(7) How has the expansion into area 2 specifically beat 12y helped?

This brings me to the shotspotter

company specifically. You know, as a citizen of Oakland, with questions about their product, I am shut out. They refuse to answer the phone, they refuse to answer my Vm and emails. What am I supposed to do? I read their website as we are referred to over and over. Their website is simply an infomercial to sell more SST to other cities. It is not citizen friendly.

I talked to 2 people exactly, one, called me back after I called Lydia Barrett the head of public relations at SST. HA!! This guy who called me back, was not in public relations, he was simply an installer of the devices. He mistakenly thought he would be able to assuage me by giving me more company line BS. "Well jim. the news has reported that the SST has heard gunfire in Oakland, and Oakland has lots of crime" This was how he started to talk to me. When I told him I wasn't brain-dead and actually wanted to talk about real issues, he told me he wasn't allowed to answer any questions and I had to reach out to OPD. I asked him Specifically if Shot spotter can hear me if i talked, yelled, slammed my cardoor and argued with my g/f he said NO. I referred him to 2 articles that he never heard of, and that refuted his statements to me, that they could not hear or record me if I yelled on the street. He said he wasn't about to argue with me, and pretty much ended our conversation.

<http://www.southcoasttoday.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20120111/NEWS/201110339>

http://www.paloaltoonline.com/news/show_story.php?id=15796

The 2nd guy I talked to was even worse. I found him thru the 510 sst company # on their website. This guy didn't want to answer any questions. He referred me to the non police emergency # in oakland. Told me as the other guy, SST could NOT hear me yelling on the street and knew of No other incidents. I

referred him to the 2 articles. I asked him his name and if it was ok to lie to me, He said he didn't need to give me his name, and then hung up on me in the middle of my speaking.

A couple other things I found out about this company. They are partnered up by defense contractors. They also lobbied for the passing of the NDAA bill. Is it such a stretch to think that this company would save these recordings with a secret 'understanding' of the govt, under the guise of catching terrorists? In the light of things the NSA, CIA, and projects like PRISM are doing. Shouldn't we ,the public, be EXTRA paranoid about what we are being told. Shouldn't companies that spy on us like SAIC and SST be bending over backwards to show us they don't violate our rights!!! Not just brushing us off, and telling us its "good for us, for crime, for terrorism" I am tired of the company line. I don't want to be SOLD to believe i am safer. I want proof and facts. I for one do not fall for their BS. "shotspotter reduces crime just by installing it" They just say that , with NO facts behind it. This is like PTBarnum once said, "there's a sucker born every minute. "

Let me see if I have all this right. This questionable service according to the company selling them, doesn't violate our UNALEINABLE rights! Any person including you, just takes their word for it. There is absolutley NO debate on this, and we are just being TOLD its good for us and take it. The ONLY dialogue we hear is from a company trying to SELL us their product and the repeating of this 'propaganda' by anyone who benefits from this. OPD and politicians. WE the people who are supposed to be protected DO NOT benefit from this in ANY known way. In fact we may be more at risk. Since this device takes money from the OPD, and this device cannot catch someone who is mugging me, where a cop can. It does not make

me safer walking home from my car after work past midnight. If I get shot and am lying on the street, I don't feel like the microphone will save me. The person that shot me isn't deterred because, NO ONE knows what they are or where they are. ON PURPOSE! (I've asked many people, including my councilman). It can't protect my car from being stolen(2x) or broken into(too numerous to count) It can't protect anyone getting mugged, unless the mugger shoots a gun, yells his name, and stands around and wait for cops. Muggers, if they were aware of sst, could simply use another weapon, or put a silencer on their gun. Again, I am not safer.

There is no discussion, whether its illegal.

There are no facts about the efficacy and cost.

There is no one that can tell me if someone can listen to these live?

There are no clear rules on if they can store our 'street conversations' who else can listen to them, where they are being stored, and if a govt agency/OPD can access these records with or without a warrant? The reason there are No clear rules is simply because the company LIES to us and tells us they cannot listen. I was told by you, Mr. Joyner that these microphone are always on. This leads to a follow up question that no one can answer, that i've asked above.

Why is this company so secretive and refuse to answer the general public's simple questions. I called just this minute, and left my 15th? message to Lydia Barrett? Who else can I call?

You want me to trust this secretive company, selling listening devices, directly in front of my apt. They won't answer my questions. The ones they do answer they lie about.

The company that takes money away from my cash poor police

department. The opd that I have no other choice but to trust to protect me.

This company is making money by selling FEAR. Selling fear to a city that has the highest rate of crime in the USA. Selling fear to a city that is cash strapped, and has no real solutions to solving crime.

This company is selling a product that hasn't reduced crime in anyway. Maybe I could make an argument that shotspotter has increased crime. I mean look at the stats!

This company is selling out the politicians, because if the politicians are given money to fix a problem, that is way over their head, they accept the money, and receive their praise for being so smart. Lip service, more govt contracts, more waste, more crime, less cops, less money. Who actually wins here? I can tell you who doesn't win!

I understand that there this is quite long. If you could please answer the questions I've numbered. If you could please consider this from a non-armed citizens point of view. This device I'm paying for , is not directly protecting me. This company is not informing me of the basic questions I have about privacy. They would rather not have the general public know anything about them. They and OPD would rather we not even know what or where they are.

Thank you for your time and in advance for your answers. --Jim Joyner, Ersie

3:28 PM (3 hours ago)

to me

Sir

With all due respect I have already taken time to address all that I can with you. I am not a salesperson or

PR person for ShotSpotter. I am simply a police officer & life long resident of this city trying to make a difference. I am not responding to any of these questions as they are out of the realm of my job. I crafted several council reports explaining the significance of ShotSpotter & how it would be utilized in this city to combat gun violence. At that point the elected officials made the determination to fund & install it in this city. I would advise you to read the council reports & further than that I can no longer be of assistance to you. I have more than tried to explain what we are doing with it & why we need it. Frankly my job is not to convince you of it's worth but just give you the simple facts. You make whatever determination you want from there. You have that right as a citizen & if you feel the city's money is not being wisely spent I suggest you take that up with the elected officials. As I stated the photos you sent me are not ShotSpotter & I don't know what they are so I'm sorry I couldn't get you more clarity on that. I wish you & your family well sir.

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, September 03, 2013 9:55 AM

To: Kalb, Dan

Subject: Fwd: Photos

Attachments: IMG_20130830_103941_211.jpg; IMG_20130830_103951_455.jpg;

IMG_20130830_101214_681.jpg; IMG_20130830_103636_163.jpg;

IMG_20130830_101053_284.jpg; IMG_20130830_101045_936.jpg;

IMG_20130829_155414_302.jpg

Hi Mr. Kalb

Thanks for getting back to me about the DAC.

I am very worried about all this surveillance.

I am very worried about this company SAIC.

I am very worried about the fact that shotspotter can listen to us and store arguments and lie about it.

I am very worried that my council members did not properly understand the depth of this DAC

I am very worried at all of these cameras I noticed on my daily walk.

Can you explain to me what these cameras are for, and if they are going to be connected to the DAC?

, I've included the photos of these cameras. They are not traffic cameras or Red light cameras? What are they?

Do you know what motion sensor cameras can do? Would you support facial recognition?

Did you read the article in the ebexpress about SAIC? If so what is your reaction? If you haven't read it yet, can you please do so before voting for any more contracts for this company.

<http://www.eastbayexpress.com/oakland/oaklands-surveillance-contractor-has-a-history-of-fraud/Content?oid=3693913>

From: Dan Kalb [dank1@well.com]
Sent: Monday, February 04, 2013 5:32 PM
To: Kalb, Dan; Luby, Oliver
Subject: Fwd: Re: Fwd: When you take office please help us improve lighting in Rockridge

--- ---

Dan Kalb
Oakland, CA

On Thu Dec 27 19:00:19 CST 2012, Nicole Ozer <nixy555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> Dear Dan,

>>

>> It was very nice to meet you yesterday as well. Thank you so much for
>> taking the time to talk to me yesterday about this issue and I look
>> forward to hopefully working with you to address the issue of
>> improved lighting in our neighborhood in the year ahead. Paul Chan,
>> the City of Oakland Lighting Engineer, has told me that he previously
>> had \$100,000 in his budget to install streetlights in necessary
>> areas, but those funds have been cut for 6 budget years, leading to
>> many streets in Rockridge (including my street- Carlton Street) where
>> we are experiencing very violent crime and some additional lighting
>> is badly needed, but there is no budget money to have the new lights
>> installed. I hope that the following studies and research below may
>> help you to reinforce how improved lighting would be an effective and
>> efficient part of crime prevention and reduction strategies for the
>> year ahead. I know that many local neighbors are also supportive of
>> increased lighting. Please let us know if there are ways that we
>> might be able to help you to move this effort forward. Best, Nicole
>> Ozer

>>

>> 1) British Home Office Meta-Analysis

>>

>> Here is a link to the systemic review of the effects of improved
>> street lighting on crime that was conducted by the British Home
>> Office.

>> [http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.h](http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/pdfs2/hors251.pdf)
>> [omeoffice.gov.uk/rds/pdfs2/hors251.pdf](http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/pdfs2/hors251.pdf)

>>

>> While the report was completed in 2002, its analysis of 13 existing
>> lighting studies remains one of the most comprehensive and rigorous
>> work about the impact of improved lighting.

>> Its meta-analysis of eligible studies found that improved street
>> lighting led to significant reductions in crime and with an overall
>> reduction in recorded crime of 20% across all the experimental areas
>> and concluded that:

>>

>> ?Improved lighting should be included as one element of a situational

>> crime reduction programme. It is an inclusive intervention benefiting
>> the whole of a neighbourhood and leads to an increase in perceived
>> public safety. Improved street lighting is associated with greater
>> use of public space and neighbourhood streets by law abiding
>> citizens. Especially if well targeted to a high-crime area, improved
>> street lighting can be a feasible, inexpensive and effective method
>> of reducing crime.?

>>

>> 2) Department of Justice Document

>>

>> You might also be interested in this document produced by the
>> Community Oriented Policing Program of the United States Department
>> of Justice.

>> <http://cops.usdoj.gov/Publications/e1208-StreetLighting.pdf>.

>> It is for police officers and discusses the topic of increased street
>> lighting. It sums up by stating, "Improved street lighting has rarely
>> been the main objective of a problem-oriented policing project, but
>> where it has, it seems to have been effective." (See p.19) 2) Ken
>> Pease Literature Review

>>

>> Finally, an older document by Ken Pease that also reviews the
>> literature on how lighting effects crime.

>> [http://www.popcenter.org/library/crimeprevention/volume_10/03-PeaseLi](http://www.popcenter.org/library/crimeprevention/volume_10/03-PeaseLighting.pdf)
>> ghting.pdf

>>

>> He finds that "[c]onsideration of the literature concerning street
>> lighting effects on crime yields the following conclusions (1)
>> Precisely targeted increases in street lighting generally have crime
>> reduction effects. (2) More general increases in street lighting seem
>> to have crime prevention effects, but this outcome is not universal
>> Older and U.S.

>> research yield

>> fewer positive results than more recent U.K. research. (3) Even
>> untargeted increases in crime prevention generally make residents
>> less fearful of crime or more confident of their own safety at night.

>> (4) In the most recent and sophisticated studies, street lighting
>> improvements are associated with crime reductions in the daytime as
>> well as during the hours of darkness. (5) The debate about lighting
>> effects has served to preclude a more refined analysis of the means
>> by and circumstances in which lighting might reduce crime. Our aim
>> should now be to use

>> context-

>> appropriate lighting schemes as part of a full repertoire of crime
>> reduction tactics.

>>

>>

>> --- On Wed, 12/26/12, Dan Kalb <dank1@well.com> wrote:

>>

>> > From: Dan Kalb <dank1@well.com>

>> > Subject: Fwd: When you take office please help us improve
>> lighting in Rockridge

>> > To: nixy555@yahoo.com

>> > Date: Wednesday, December 26, 2012, 6:12 PM Hi Nicole,

>> > > Wonderful meeting you this morning. Thanks so much for

>> > introducing > yourself.
>> > I certainly agree that better lighting on our streets is an
>> > > integral element of making our neighborhoods safer.
>> > I'm looking forward to reading information/studies on street
>> > > lighting and crime reduction.
>> > > Thanks again, and please don't hesitate to contact me again
>> > (and > again) on this.
>> > -Dan
>> > --- ---
>> > Dan Kalb
>> > Oakland City Councilmember-Elect
>> > District One
>> > Oakland, CA
>> > 510-846-6018
>> > www.dankalb.net
>> > >> >> Begin forwarded message:
>> > >> >> > From: Nicole Ozer <nixy555@yahoo.com>
>> > >> >> > Date: December 3, 2012, 10:39:50 PM PST
>> > >> >> > To: dankalbforcitycouncil@gmail.com
>> > >> >> > Cc: nixy555@yahoo.com
>> > >> >> > Subject: When you take office please help us
>> > improve lighting > >> in Rockridge
>> > >> >> > Dear Councilmember-elect Kalb, I am writing to you tonight
>> > >> >> > to ask that
>> > you please help us > >> improve lighting in Rockridge when you
>> take office
>> > in January. > >> >> > I know that you were recently the victim
>> of a
>> > violent mugging > >> and want to work to make the neighborhood
>> safer.
>> > Tonight, one of > >> my neighbors on Carlton Street (right off
>> Broadway
>> > Terrace) was > >> violently mugged and sent to the hospital
>> with
>> > injuries. > > My > >> neighbor was mugged in front of his
>> house in the
>> > early evening > >> as he was taking groceries out of his car.
>> This
>> > violent mugging > >> occurred directly opposite a
>> non-functioning public
>> > streetlight. > >> I have been concerned about the broken
>> streetlights
>> > and overall > >> poor public lighting on our street since we
>> moved
>> > in 3 years ago > >> and have called Oakland Public Works about
>> our non-
>> > functioning > >> streetlights and the situation has not
>> improved.
>> > Now someone on > >> our street has been violently mugged and
>> hit with a
>> > gun and > >> there are blood stains on the sidewalk right next to
>> > our house. > >> It is truly a scary situation now that it
>> is dark
>> > so early at > >> night to have to think about coming home from

>> work
>> > or driving > >> home with our infant in the car and wondering
>> if we
>> > will be safe > >> walking the 10 feet into our house from the
>> street.
>> > > > In your > >> plan for making our community safer, you do
>> not
>> > prioritize the > >> improvement of lighting in our
>> neighborhoods. I
>> > really hope that > >> you will think about all of us families
>> that live
>> > on these dark > >> streets and start to talk more about this
>> issue and
>> > make > >> improved lighting an important aspect of your plan to
>> > make our > >> neighborhood safer. > > I am a lawyer for the ACLU
>> > and in the > >> course of my work, I have become familiar
>> with a
>> > variety of > >> research about crime prevention and reduction.
>> > Empirical studies > >> have shown that improved lighting is
>> very cost
>> > efficient and has > >> a remarkable impact on crime. For
>> example, a survey
>> > commissioned > >> by the British Home Office looked at 13
>> lighting
>> > studies in > >> Great Britain and the United States, evaluated
>> the
>> > cumulative > >> impact, and found a 20 percent average
>> decrease in
>> > crime, with > >> reductions in every area of criminal activity
>> > including violent > >> crime. It also found in several areas
>> that
>> > "financial savings > >> from reduced crimes greatly exceeded
>> the financial
>> > costs of the > >> improved lighting." The report concluded that
>> > "[s]treet lighting > >> benefits the whole neighborhood rather
>> than
>> > particular > >> individuals or households...and it can increase
>> > public safety > >> and effective use of neighborhood streets at
>> > night."
>> > >> > I hope that you will push for a process
>> > to ensure that the > >> Oakland Public Works Department fixes
>> broken lights
>> > quickly, > >> initiate a proper assessment of the street
>> lighting
>> > in the > >> district and find ways that new public streetlights
>> > could be > >> added to dark areas, and perhaps potentially explore
>> > some > >> creative ways to incentivize/encourage
>> property
>> > owners to > >> improve lighting around houses and businesses.
>> > >> > One last note- when the police arrived at
>> > the scene, they > >> started asking neighbors if we had video
>> cameras.
>> > This mugging > >> occurred on a portion of our street that is
>> dark

>> > and directly > >> opposite the non-functioning streetlight
>> (which I
>> > believe that > >> they had not yet noted in their crime
>> report) so
>> > how could they > >> even think that a video camera would be
>> helpful in
>> > this > >> situation?? I have worked extensively on issues related
>> > to video > >> surveillance/video cameras and also know
>> quite a
>> > bit about > >> shotspotter technology as well. I do hope that
>> you
>> > will work to > >> use what I know are severely limited public
>> safety
>> > resources in > >> Oakland in a cost efficient and effective
>> way to
>> > prevent crime > >> by improving lighting in our neighborhood.
>> > >> > > Looking forward to hopefully meeting you
>> > sometime in the > >> future and hope that you will work to
>> improve
>> > lighting in the > >> neighborhood,
>> > >> > Nicole Ozer
>> > >> > >
>> >

From: Kalb, Dan
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2014 9:02 AM
To: Figueroa, Paul
Subject: Fwd: shotspotter

Chief Figueroa,

As an FYI, two of my staff did attempt to answer some of his questions a number of months ago. After it became clear that we would not be able to answer most of his many questions, he got upset.

He is totally against Shotspotter and had dozens of in-depth questions--some of which would take extensive research to answer.

We told him that my office doesn't have answers to most of his questions, but he is persistent!

I'm not suggesting that all his questions are unreasonable, but he appears to have an unlimited number of them.

-Dan

-Dan Kalb
City Councilmember
District One
Oakland, CA
510-238-7001

Begin forwarded message:

From: jim emkey <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com>
Date: February 21, 2014 at 1:02:28 AM PST
To: pfigueroa@oaklandnet.com, "dkalb@oaklandnet.com"
<dkalb@oaklandnet.com>, "smaher@oaklandnet.com"
<smaher@oaklandnet.com>
Subject: shotspotter

Paul,

Hi, Thank you for your time, and for answering my questions.

I've never been to any meetings. I've never contacted any councilmembers. I've never been an activist or protester in anyway.

Now, that I've said that. I saw a device on my street light in may. By July I found out it was shotspotter. I've asked everyone questions about this, and have mostly been ignored. city council. OPD. capt joyner has told me he won't answer anymore of my questions.(I will gladly share those emails) Yeah, and just try to call the company and ask them anything. I dare you . in a "civilian" way.

I have never been interested in local politicians, but now that they won't answer my questions I get frustrated and upset with them.

I have never been so involved in local policy to ask anyone in a local police force for answers to any questions about police programs put in place.

I've lived in Oakland for 22 years, and on 41st st for about 10, I've worked on piedmont and college ave for the last 20 years.

I am not an agitator. I am not a protester.

I try as a regular resident of Oakland to ask simple questions. I am ignored and

shut out at every turn. This doesn't seem normal. I've been asking since July. This company seems deceitful and misleading in the media and GP. Dan Kalb has not responded to one of my 11 emails or many more phone calls.

I've asked these questions to city council, CPT Joyner. The media, The company itself. NO one will respond in a satisfactory way.

I feel like I'm being annoying. One of my biggest pet peeves in life is annoying people. I am just asking simple questions to the people in my city and neighborhood that are supposed to answer my simple questions, simply. I have just been ignored.

I am not used to being ignored. I am not happy with being ignored. I don't like to be treated as a nuisance. This is how I now feel. To leave my teenage girls alone on my night to see them, and come to a meeting, just so I can try and get my simple questions answered.

I was forced to ask a simple question near the end of a meeting that I thought was more important than hanging out with my 2 daughters.

I am was not informed of a shotspotter going up directly in front of my building.

1. Why is this company allowed to have open microphones in front of my apartment?

This company misleads the public by stating their microphones 'only turn on when there is a bang or boom' I can prove this in articles where they've stated this over and over. .

Recording my conversation is, at anytime, illegal under the 4th amendment and even more specifically under ca state law, that states IT is illegal to record a conversation without a warrant. Even if it was during a 8 second time period where there actually was a gunshot. Read Katz vs US.

The companies VP James Bedlock also states he can record, store and review any sound that is loud or impulsive. This could include as stated by Richmond Police, birds chirping, traffic, cars starting up, and conversation. 1b Can someone tell me why this is possible?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vL5x0a5dRHY&feature=c4-overview&list=UU-UtfRkcbHpdfR_oFQ7LrRQ

2. Why is this program in place without the staffing needed?

This system already failed one time as stated by Captain Joyner, because there was not adequate staff, and there were too many false alarms. Larry Reid, Rebecca Kaplan, Pat Kernighan, Jean Quan, Wayne Tucker, Cpt Joyner, and VP from sst all stated in city council meetings that this system would cost \$2-3 Million, Rebecca Kaplan stated as recently as 2012 in a discussion that she talked to chief of police and this would not work with at least \$1million worth of staffing. I was told, as of dec 2013, there is \$0 or staffing for this program by an OP officer.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zxSPrPa3rzE&feature=c4-overview&list=UU-UtfRkcbHpdfR_oFQ7LrRQ

3. How does this company bypass Oakland Nuclear Ordinance. ? Seeing that the company SAIC's contract was terminated by Oakland, because of the Nuclear Ordinance. t Scott Handley, the firm's operation contracts manager, wrote it likely had served "U.S. Department of Defense customers that arguably may be categorized as supporting 'nuclear weapons work' under the exceedingly broad definition" in the city's disclosure form.

Because no one would answer my questions, I had to do my own research. I have sent this info to Karen Boyd recently. I found that this company has made devices directly for the military. I have also found that this company has an embedded technological partnership with Lockheed Martin and Northrup

Grumman. Both of these companies surely can be considered to help further the war effort and the production and proliferation of nuclear weapons.

4. What data was used to a) reinstate the shotspotter program after failing .

b) to expand this to N and W Oakland. specifically at 41st and Broadway and On top of Oakland tech.

c) to renew the one year contract starting on Oct(?) 2013

I want data. Not a puff piece as stated by Pat Kernighan. she wants real stats and data supporting the efficacy of shotspotter. This report was asked directly to Capt. Joyner in 9.2012 . He refused to even acknowledge this request. Ms. Kernighan stated she doesn't believe she received one, and if so It'd be in the city council reports. Its not.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=995eTWbhmUM&feature=c4-overview&list=UU-UtFRkcbHpdfR_oFQ7LrRQ

I don't think I am off base here. The fact that I've been ignored when I ask these questions should be enough to make me frustrated. To have these simple questions ignored since JULY is unacceptable, and enough to make me get angry.

I would love to further this discussion. I am only asking questions. I have been treated like a nuisance. I've been unable to get anyone to adequately discuss this with me. I don't want to waste anyone's time, but I would love at least ONE person to have the decency to answer my questions directly and without a condescending attitude towards me. Is this really too much to ask? Please help me find that person, if you are not him.

Thank you for your time, and your service. -Jim

Ps I do have much more, so if you are not able to continue after this, please let me know who I can "bother"

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, May 09, 2014 12:44 PM
To: Kalb, Dan; Luby, Oliver
Subject: Fwd: shotspotter council report

Hi,

I've been trying to get your attention on this.

I've just read the latest report on shotspotter. I think we can both agree there is still no real data. There is no talk about any data such as , reduction in crime, shots fired, response time, false alarms, community perception.

Showing me how many shots and how long the avg recording is doesn't really tell me if it is cost efficient.

Also I'm not really sure what these stats really mean. can you help me. Or at least bring these up to Cpt Joyner. ?

As technology evolves, so do questions regarding privacy as well as how this data is used. However, SST 2.0 only triggers on loud, explosive events. When an incident is detected and located, audio is pushed from the sensors and there is no live audio streaming capability. A recent audit of a 24-hour span in Oakland revealed the following:

What does this mean exactly? I don't understand what "audio is pushed forward from the sensors " means.

I know that these are on all the time like a red light camera, I'm not clear on what they are talking about here. Are we supposed to be experts in audio technology? The VP has stated he can store, retrieve and review any impulsive noise. Can we have a clear explanation here?

- 47 incidents occurred; What is an incident exactly? Do they note if there were gunshots that weren't sst alerts?

- 42 gunfire rounds were fired; Why were there 5 more alerts than guns fired. What accounts for this?

- Average length of recording was 2.7 seconds;
- Maximum length of recording was 6.2 seconds; and
- Out of a total 86,400 seconds in the day, SST 2.0 recorded 128 seconds (0.187% of the day). During this time no voices/conversations were recorded or were heard.

This is an admission that the shotspotter system CAN pick up voices? The company has denied this.

ShotSpotter has reviewed its privacy policy and taken steps to assure that no audio other than the incident itself is retained. What is the shotspotter privacy policy? what steps were taken?

Incident audio records 2 seconds prior to and 4 seconds after the incident. ShotSpotter policies and the system design are intentionally designed to alleviate privacy concerns.

IF the incident audio is 2 seconds prior and 4 seconds after each 'event' shouldn't the avg recording be at least 6 seconds.?

IN other news. This company just got outed for illegal lobbying, will you discuss this at city council meetings, and what will you do about it?:

I've shown you that you and others stated a need for dedicated staff and \$1-\$3 million a year to have this system operate effectively. Who's decision was it to ignore these recommendations? Why wasn't dedicated staff used or planned for ?

Is it legal for a company to have an imbedded technological partnership with Lockheed Martin and Northrup Grumman, under the Oakland's Nuclear free ordinance? What is an imbedded technological partnership? IS this the same type of thing SAICs contract was voided? thank you for your time, look forward to your response. -Jim

From: Joyner, Ersie
Sent: Monday, September 16, 2013 11:43 PM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Fwd: ShotSpotter data - FW: Revised Slides

Sir

FYI I am sure you will be hearing from this guy as he has dropped your name several times. I have gone out my way providing statistics & phone calls with him. I am convinced he will never be happy. I just wanted you to have a copy of my final response to him as I'm sure you will be posed with the same questions.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Joyner, Ersie" <EJoyner@oaklandnet.com>
Date: September 16, 2013, 4:28:17 PM MDT
To: "jim emkey" <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: ShotSpotter data - FW: Revised Slides
Sir

With all due respect I have already taken time to address all that I can with you. I am not a salesperson or PR person for ShotSpotter. I am simply a police officer & life long resident of this city trying to make a difference. I am not responding to any of these questions as they are out of the realm of my job. I crafted several council reports explaining the significance of ShotSpotter & how it would be utilized in this city to combat gun violence. At that point the elected officials made the determination to fund & install it in this city. I would advise you to read the council reports & further than that I can no longer be of assistance to you. I have more than tried to explain what we are doing with it & why we need it. Frankly my job is not to convince you of it's worth but just give you the simple facts. You make whatever determination you want from there. You have that right as a citizen & if you feel the city's money is not being wisely spent I suggest you take that up with the elected officials. As I stated the photos you sent me are not ShotSpotter & I don't know what they are so I'm sorry I couldn't get you more clarity on that. I wish you & your family well sir.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 16, 2013, at 3:08 PM, "jim emkey" <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi, Mr. Joyner,

Sorry, it's taken me a while to get back to you. I'm sure you know how life gets.

I am writing this to explain my concerns with shotspotter, hopefully I can make it organized and easy to understand. I will number the questions that I hope you will be able to either answer, or send me somewhere to research myself. I am not adverse to doing my own research. Sometimes its just hard to know what and who to look at.

First I'd like to state that I believe that casting a large net over the general public to sort out the good from the bad, is illegal under the constitution of the United States. I would love to hear a good argument on how I'm wrong on this.

With that being said, every single form of surveillance Oakland is either using now, or planning on using, is treating innocent people as though they were guilty. Saving license plate data, for perpetuity and using the information for who knows what, is just one Orweillian example.

Oakland is using money earmarked for "terrorism" and using it to "solve" Oakland's high crime problem.(1)Can you show me any studies or instances where city wide surveillance has reduced crime? (2) Can you show me how surveillance in Oakland has effected the rate of crime in the more heavily watched areas. (2a) can you show me that crime has gone down in the areas were shotspotter was originally implemented? (2b) can you tell me who's idea it was to expand this tool, and what they cited as reason's to believe expansion would be effective to reduce crime, and how much did the expansion cost exactly. ?

Let me share with you some stats that I found. on oaklands site. murder rate is up, aggravated assault is up. rape , robbery, burglaries, motor vehicle theft, larceny, arson, and simple assaults are up. How does shotspotter help stop any of these?

Also trying to understand the shotspotter page works. It lists in yellow, shot spotter incidents, and in red, shooting incidents. (3)Can you explain to me the difference? Also trying to figure out the part where it lists "most active beats" In the aug 2013 pdf it lists beat 29y with 28 gunshot calls but only 37 combined calls, which means 28 911 calls and 9 shotspotter incidents? (3a)Why is that? it lists in may and june more calls to 911 then shotspotter incidents.

It also lists "possible gunshots". (4) Are those false positives? if so that is 908 shotspotter incidents and 102 'unknowns' that is 11%. (4a) Are these 11% also investigated? (4b)If so isn't this a waste of manpower? If we are to make informed decisions on what is worth our tax money , shouldn't we have a clear breakdown.

(5) Are shotspotter cost effective? (5a)How much do they cost exactly?! How much did it cost to acquire them, set it up, test it,work out the kinks(i think opd abandoned sst for a year or 2?) (5b)how much did it cost to expand it?

(6) How EXACTLY have the public benefited from this? (6a)Are your responses to calls to shotspotter incidents actually faster then previously? (6b)Has shotspotter specifically solved any cases? Where can we as the public read about this?

(7) How has the expansion into area 2 specifically beat 12y helped?

This brings me to the shotspotter company specifically. You know, as a citizen of Oakland, with questions about their product, I am shut out. They refuse to answer the phone, they refuse to answer my Vm and emails. What am I supposed to do? I read their website as we are referred to over and over. Their website is simply an infomercial to sell more SST to other cities. It is not citizen friendly.

I talked to 2 people exactly, one, called me back after I called Lydia Barrett the head of public relations at SST. HA!! This guy who called me back, was not in public relations, he was simply an installer of the devices. He mistakenly thought he would be able to assuage me by giving me more company line BS. " Well jim. the news has reported that the SST has heard gunfire in Oakland, and Oakland has lots of crime" This was how he started to talk to me. When I told him I wasn't brain-dead and actually wanted to talk about real issues, he told me he wasn't allowed to answer any questions and I had and to reach out to OPD. I asked him Specifically if Shot spotter can hear me if i talked, yelled, slammed my cardoor and argued with my g/f he said NO. I referred him to 2 articles that he never heard of, and that refuted his statements to me, that they could not hear or record me if I yelled on the street. He said he wasn't about to argue with me, and pretty much ended our conversation.

<http://www.southcoasttoday.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/2012/0111/NEWS/201110339>

http://www.paloaltoonline.com/news/show_story.php?id=15796

The 2nd guy I talked to was even worse. I found him thru the 510 sst company # on their website. This guy didn't want to answer any questions. He referred me to the non police emergency # in oakland. Told me as the other guy, SST could NOT hear me yelling on the street and knew of No other incidents. I referred him to the 2 articles. I asked him his name and if it was ok to lie to me, He said he didn't need to give me his name, and then hung up on me in the middle of my speaking.

A couple other things I found out about this company. They are partnered up by defense contractors. They also lobbied for the passing of the NDAA bill. Is it such a stretch to think that this company would save these recordings with a secret 'understanding' of the govt, under the guise of catching terrorists? In the light of things the NSA, CIA, and projects like PRISM are doing. Shouldn't we ,the public, be EXTRA paranoid about what we are being told. Shouldn't companies that spy on us like SAIC and SST be bending over backwards to show us they don't violate our rights!!! Not just brushing us off, and telling us its "good for us, for crime, for terrorism" I am tired of the company line. I don't want to be SOLD to believe i am safer. I want proof and facts. I for one do not fall for their BS. "shotspotter reduces crime just by installing it" They just say that , with NO facts behind it. This is like PTBarnum once said, "there's a sucker born every minute. "

Let me see if I have all this right. This questionable service according to the company selling them, doesn't violate our

UNALEINABLE rights! Any person including you, just takes their word for it. There is absolutley NO debate on this, and we are just being TOLD its good for us and take it. The ONLY dialogue we hear is from a company trying to SELL us their product and the repeating of this 'propaganda' by anyone who benefits from this. OPD and politicians. WE the people who are supposed to be protected DO NOT benefit from this in ANY known way. In fact we may be more at risk. Since this device takes money from the OPD, and this device cannot catch someone who is mugging me, where a cop can. It does not make me safer walking home from my car after work past midnight. If I get shot and am lying on the street, I don't feel like the microphone will save me. The person that shot me isn't deterred because, NO ONE knows what they are or where they are. ON PURPOSE! (I've asked many people, including my councilman). It can't protect my car from being stolen(2x) or broken into(too numerous to count) It can't protect anyone getting mugged, unless the mugger shoots a gun, yells his name, and stands around and wait for cops. Muggers, if they were aware of sst, could simply use another weapon, or put a silencer on their gun. Again, I am not safer.

There is no discussion, whether its illegal.

There are no facts about the efficacy and cost.

There is no one that can tell me if someone can listen to these live?

There are no clear rules on if they can store our 'street conversations' who else can listen to them, where they are being stored, and if a govt agency/OPD can access these records with or without a warrant? The reason there are No clear rules is simply because the company LIES to us and tells us they cannot listen. I was told by you, Mr. Joyner that these microphone are always on. This leads to a follow up question that no one can answer, that i've asked above.

Why is this company so secretive and refuse to answer the general public's simple questions. I called just this minute, and left my 15th? message to Lydia Barrett? Who else can I call?

You want me to trust this secretive company, selling listening devices, directly in front of my apt. They won't answer my questions. The ones they do answer they lie about.

The company that takes money away from my cash poor police department. The opd that I have no other choice but to trust to protect me.

This company is making money by selling FEAR. Selling fear to a city that has the highest rate of crime in the USA. Selling fear to a city that is cash strapped, and has no real solutions to solving crime.

This company is selling a product that hasn't reduced crime in anyway.Maybe I could make an argument that shotspotter has increased crime. I mean look at the stats!

This company is selling out the politicians, because if the politicians are given money to fix a problem, that is way over their head, they accept the money, and receive their praise for being so smart. Lip service, more govt contracts, more waste, more crime,

less cops, less money. Who actually wins here? I can tell you who doesn't win!

I understand that there this is quite long. If you could please answer the questions I've numbered. If you could please consider this from a non-armed citizens point of view. This device I'm paying for , is not directly protecting me. This company is not informing me of the basic questions I have about privacy. They would rather not have the general public know anything about them. They and OPD would rather we not even know what or where they are.

Thank you for your time and in advance for your answers. --Jim

On Thu, Sep 5, 2013 at 1:33 PM, Joyner, Ersie
<EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Not sure what that is. Not sst

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 5, 2013, at 1:03 PM, "jim emkey"
<letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:
you are quick! thanks. what about this device?

Do you know who I can contact about the traffic
cameras? Also do you know of anyone I can contact
for questions about the DAC?
<050.2.1.jpg>

On Thu, Sep 5, 2013 at 12:45 PM, Joyner, Ersie
<EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Sir these pictures are of traffic cameras that have
nothing to do with OPD or ShotSpotter. As for the
DAC I have nothing to do with that & can't answer
any questions as it relates to it.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 5, 2013, at 12:37 PM, "jim emkey"
<letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:
sorry i can't tell if i sent all 3 pics. let
me know.

On Thu, Sep 5, 2013 at 12:36 PM,
jim emkey
<letswakeupoakland@gmail.com>
wrote:

Mr. Joyner,

Again thanks. Instead of calling,
since I have a lot to cover, i will get
some thoughts together and compile
a shotspotter email for you with
additional questions.

Since I've found out about the
DAC, I've been pretty focused on
the surveillance we have currently in
Oakland, and where it is going.
Also since I've found "my '
shotspotter my head has been
looking upward. I've noticed
another shotspotter, plus many
cameras that are on, a lot but not
all,<048.jpg> traffic lights. Can you
explain to me what these are, and if
they are going to be incorporated
into the dac. ? I included a picture,
if you aren't aware. The first and
second pictures is another weird
looking device. This is the 2nd one
of these I've found. I can't
remember the first location. The
photos here are from 40th and
telegraph.

Can you either briefly explain
what those are for, or send me to
somewhere for additional research.?

THanks. I should have an email
for you by saturday i hope. -jim

ps , let me know somewhere you are
out meeting the public, I will come
by and say hi. Either that or let me
buy you a beer somewhere and we
could have a nice chat. thanks
again.

On Thu, Sep 5, 2013 at 3:05 AM,
Joyner, Ersie
<EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Mr Emkey

I'm glad you found my response helpful & I appreciate what you bring to our city. I too have 2 children that I put through the Oakland school system & we share many of the same concerns but I have to respectfully disagree with you about ShotSpotter & their value to our city. Although one should always trust but verify I encourage you to stay active & continue to ask the right questions as at the end of the day the elected officials as well as myself work for you. As for my presentation for assemblyman Rob Bonta it took place on may 17th. I doubt it will be on the city website as it was at the state building & put on by the assemblyman. I'm sure though that other ShotSpotter presentations are on the city website. Feel free to go to OPD website & see the monthly activity report I load as it pertains to ShotSpotter & actual gun violence in our city. The numbers are staggering & I assure you that gun violence & injury are a reality & not a simple fear of crime. Also here is a link to the agenda of my presentation that may shed some light on some of the problems surrounding gun violence in our city & region.
<http://asmdc.org/members/a18/news-room/hearings/oakland-gun-violence>

Please take care & I wish you & your family well.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 4, 2013, at 11:05 PM, "jim emkey"
<letswakeupoakland@gmail.com>
wrote:

Mr. Joyner,

Thank you very much for getting back to me and talking to me. Like I said , in 2 months. you are the first one that would actually answer my questions. I do have more.

This slide show isn't working for me. If you can give me the date and approx time you made this presentation , i should be able to find it on the oakland website.

If it didn't come across in my phone call. I really appreciate what you do for our city. I'm glad that you live here. I am just as worried and concerned about crime and violence, in our city,as anyone. I have 2 daughters in public school here. In my mind there are better ways of doing it.

I am not in charge of these decisions though,

and would just like to make sure you are listening to what the people are saying. The concerns we have with the shotspotter, and other tools you will be using at the new DAC. There are many of us who are concerned about our rights in the Constitution. You should realize that keeping tabs on innocent people and making everyone in this city feel guilty, is not a healthy environment.

I would love to find out more about both the SST and the DAC. After talking with the employees over at SST, I really feel like they are a shady company. I think they are using the fear of crime, and the new tech to make a profit. Honestly, I believe they are also selling/ saving the recordings without gunshots. You can call me paranoid, but if you listen to people like Ed Snowden, it really makes one think. These kind of companies should bend over backwards to show us they are not able to store data. Also, The way they lied to me on the phone, about not recording arguing. Really why should I believe them at this point?

I dug into their website and found out they lobbied for the passing of the NDAA. The fact that they are secretive and play off our fears of terrorism, and now passing that fear along to cities with high crime, all in the name of a buck. The politicians like it because they now feel like they've done something. You guys like it , because , like you said, its another tool you can use. The people who hear about it from sst,cops,and politicians, the ones who promote it., in my mind, like it, in theory, because it gives them a FALSE sense of security.

No one I know has even heard of a shotspotter, but the company is telling me all these ignorant, naive, kids out for a thrill and a buck are well aware of them, and thus is a deterrent.? I guess they just assume I'm a dope too, to believe that. Not even my councilman Dan Kalb knew what it was when I showed him a picture(before I knew what it was)

Anyway, again, i took up too much of your time. Thanks for listening. I will talk to you soon. -jim

On Wed, Sep 4,

2013 at 12:19 PM,
Joyner, Ersie
<EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
FYI. Here is the slide
I put together a few
months ago to
capture deliverables.
Just so you are
aware.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police
Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell

>
>

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 11:27 AM
To: Lambert, Dannette; Kalb, Dan; Luby, Oliver
Subject: Fwd: shotspotter.

Hello,
I hope everyone has a great new year!!

Please remember that I am patiently waiting for information. Please remember that I've been patient since May.

Cliffnotes. SHotspotter is a dishonest company. The CEO and public relations people lie to the media.(I prove it) These surveillance microphones are ALWAYS on. The Company illegally records and stores any sound that is "loud or impulsive" . There are no safeguards in place stating who can listen, or how long they can store it among many others. It is unclear exactly how much this system costs. If it is being properly implemented or if it is actually effective. Captain Ersie Joyner who I've been repeatedly told to ask my questions to, has told me he will NOT respond to any more of my emails.

Crime is up in Oakland. In a shotspotter report from city council it states there were 2800 shotspotter incidents, and 4! arrests? Is this acceptable?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: jim emkey <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Dec 10, 2013 at 12:57 PM
Subject: shotspotter.
To: dlambert@oaklandnet.com

Thank you so much for your professionalism. The most disappointing part to me in the whole thing , is now I'm considered a antagonistic nuisance. I would be happy with simply a 'frustrated nuisance" :)

I've never done anything like this before, i am not a activist, I've never protested anything or signed any petitions.

I simply found a device on my street light and was curious.

The more I asked the more silence I received. True story. Your office returned an email in august answering a question i asked in may. The question was. "what is this thing?" I found the answer , on my own,in July, and continued to ask questions of your office. So to have a response in August still telling me "I don't know what it is." should've told me all I needed. (sigh)

I am simply asking questions. These questions were to be made available and transparent. These questions were supposed to be answered by Cpt Joyner. He refuses. People who answer the phone at shotspotter tell me they are not allowed to tell me anything. The public requests record I made stated "effective range for shotspotter microphones will not be available unless through a court order" So I have to sue the company to get info that is supposed to be transparent and available!?!?.

Here are my specific questions for Dan.

1. Why is it legal to have surveillance microphones in front of my apt, that is capable of recording, storing, retrieving and reviewing ANY loud , impulsive noise. As my video says. "what is an impulsive noise?" off the top of my head I thought of many, including , dogs barking , trucks backing up, car alarms, sirens, leaf blowers, wood chippers, jack hammers, car door slamming, etc.

Here is the article where the VP states what he is capable of.

<http://www.paloaltoonline.com/news/2010/02/19/shotspotter-system-records-tragic-plane-crash>
"For forensic purposes, all loud, impulsive noises are logged by ShotSpotter systems, even if they do not trigger an automatic alert, in case those noises needed to be reviewed after-the-fact,

he(James Bedlock) said."

Here is another example of the liars at the top of this company. Notice these 2 directly opposite statement comes from the same exact article.

http://www.mercurynews.com/crime-courts/ci_24499230/shotspotter-offers-gunfire-detection-bay-area-schools-after

"ShotSpotter's outdoor gunshot detection system helped solve a 2007 Oakland murder when the technology captured a dying man's last words that identified the killer. ShotSpotter also took a lead role in a high-profile Massachusetts case in which sensors recorded a street argument that accompanied a fatal shooting in 2011."

then here is Ralph Clark CEO of shotspotter LYING TO SAVE HIS COMPANY.

"There is no way it can record voices," Clark said. "It is just not possible technically."

2. According to Shotspotter's website they are strategic partners with Lockheed Martin. Can you tell me how this partnership isn't prohibited due to Oakland's ordinance against doing business with nuclear businesses ? Did they admit this to you when they entered their contract. If not this is a direct violation of Oakland's law.

3. How much do we actually spend on shotspotter. According to city council reports this system costs \$40-\$60,000 per sq mile per year. The budget says we are spending ~\$350,000 a year for shotspotter. Also according to council reports 80% of Oakland is covered currently by shotspotter. Some simple math here. Oakland is 55 sq miles. 80% of 55 is 44. 44X \$40,000-\$60,000= \$1,700,000-\$2,400,000 ayear. . Also the fact that rebecca Kaplan stated in Sept 2012 that it would cost \$1,000,000 per year to staff properly. The budget for shotspotter according to my math is about \$2,500,000 ayear.

3a. IF it was recommended by the chief of police that OPD needed an additional \$1m to properly implement, why is there currently \$0 dedicated to this?

I would be satisfied if you could answer these, or is you could direct me to someone that would be able to. Maybe you can ask Cpt Joyner for me. :)

Again, I really do appreciate your time today. I appreciate the fact that you are honest with me. That's all I ask for. I am patient. I have been.

Our phone conversation today bought Dan a few weeks of my silence on this matter. All I want is attention to my plight, and answers. Now that I have your attention and your word to me that you will personally move this matter forward, is all that I could ask for.

Please be bored in the next week or so, and watch my videos. i think it would really open your eyes as to my plight and this companies dishonesty. They are made by an amateur and IMO are getting slightly better as I get used to making them. I think if you could only watch one, watch "are shotspotters microphones legal without a warrant?"

Thank you so much for your time today. Hopefully being a bit behind on this keeps your mind open, as it seems most over there are bought and sold on this product without looking closely at this IMO shady company. I hope that the warning of Edward Snowden helps drive home the fact that modern technology, is more advanced than we are aware of. The fact that this company is not being transparent with their technology and is IN FACT LYING, should open your eyes as to what I believe we are dealing with here. The fact that I get silence back reassures my belief.

Please get back to me as soon as possible, I look forward to it. -Jim

From: Kalb, Dan
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2014 10:16 AM
To: Bolotina, Olga
Subject: Fwd: shotspotter
Attachments: shotspotter

Sent from my HTC phone.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "jim emkey" <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com>
To: "dkalb@oaklandnet.com" <dkalb@oaklandnet.com>, "dbrooks@oaklandnet.com" <dbrooks@oaklandnet.com>, "lreid@oaklandnet.com" <lreid@oaklandnet.com>, "oluby@oaklandnet.com" <oluby@oaklandnet.com>, "Lambert, Dannette" <dlambert@oaklandnet.com>, "atlarge@oaklandnet.com" <atlarge@oaklandnet.com>, "pkernighan@oaklandnet.com" <pkernighan@oaklandnet.com>, "lschaaf@oaklandnet.com" <lschaaf@oaklandnet.com>, "ngallo@oaklandnet.com" <ngallo@oaklandnet.com>
Subject: shotspotter
Date: Mon, Jan 13, 2014 9:50 AM

From: kirstyn [kirstyn@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 27, 2013 4:04 PM
To: Sykes, Renee; Del Rosario, Chanelle; Lambert, Dannette; Kalb, Dan;
rwung@berkeley.edu; Michaela Wung; Rosa Verdin; Ronda Raymond;
Anousheh Mirabedi; Quan, Jean; Aguilar, Hatzune; L Claxton; OCOP
Subject: Gun Violence on 55th street

I am writing to you all because of my concern about the increase in gun violence at 55th street/Gaskill. Last night there was an attempted murder outside the corner store on 55th St and Gaskill in the early evening.

When we moved to the neighborhood four years ago we rarely heard gunshots. This is the 4th incident in the last few months on our block. One of the incidents on April 24th at 7:30 pm (A man shooting at another car while driving down 55th st towards Gaskill) was brought up at the Emergency Crime Meeting on May 6th. At that time the police had no info on the shootout even happening. I followed up with our PSO (10x) who said there is no record of the incident. It was picked up on shotspotter so this seems particularly strange.

Please do something about this corner/block. We have had bullets flying by our window twice in the last year. We are trying to decide where our 18 month old should sleep for fear that bullets come through our home. I am concerned for all of our safety on this block. Is it possible for OPD to work with the corner store? They have a good surveillance system.

Also, maybe painting and redoing some of the speed bumps on 55th between Lowell and San Pablo would slow down some of the speeding cars.

Thanks you for your time and I look forward to hearing from you.

Kirstyn Russell
xxxxxxxxxxx

From: Watson, Johnna A.
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 11:02 AM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Bolton, Christopher; Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne;
Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Mayor's Media;
McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: Homicide 5800 Block of Market

On June 12, 2013, at approximately 10:57 PM, officers responded to a report of a shooting in the 5800 block of Market Street. Additionally, Shotspotter Technology confirmed one gunshot activation from the area of 58th Street and Market. When officers arrived on scene, they discovered a male Asian victim, whom investigators have identified as Aya Nakano, 23 years old (DOB 6-13-1990), resident of Emeryville, suffering from a fatal gunshot wound. Investigators have determined that prior to the shooting, the victim was apparently involved in a rear-end collision that occurred in the area of Stanford and Market Street.

The victim pulled his vehicle over to the curb, facing southbound in the 5800 block of Market Street. The suspect vehicle, described as a newer four-door silver sedan with two occupants, also pulled over to the curb facing southbound in the 5800 block of Market Street. A confrontation took place between the victim and the suspects. The victim was fatally shot.

Information obtained at the scene described the suspect vehicle fleeing the scene southbound Market Street from the 5800 block after the shooting.

Anyone with information is asked to contact the OPD Homicide Division, 510-238-3821. We will be attaching a photo of the victim shortly.

Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Department
(510) 238-7230

"The mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the people of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play and thrive free from crime and the fear of crime."

From: Watson, Johnna A.
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2013 3:32 PM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan;
Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Joshi, Holly J.; Mayor's Media;
McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: Homicide Fern Street

At 1:24 PM today, Wednesday July 24, 2013, the Oakland Police Department received a report of ShotSpotter activation and calls from residents regarding a shooting on the 2400 block of Fern Street.

When officers arrived on-scene, they located a Caucasian elderly female who had suffered a fatal gunshot wound. At this time, it appears the victim was shot by an unknown suspect or suspects while she was in her vehicle, causing the vehicle to collide with an unoccupied parked car.

Blocked Streets

Fern Street between Brookdale & Fairfax is closed to all traffic, vehicle or pedestrian

Anyone with information is asked to call OPD Homicide at (510) 238-3821, Crime Stoppers of Oakland at 510-777-8572 or the Tip Line at 777-3211.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247)
3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at (510) 238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, @oaklandpoliceca.

###

Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Department
(510) 238-7230

"The mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the people of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play and thrive free from crime and the fear of crime."

From: ron Oz [ronozz@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2013 10:20 AM
To: PSA3@yahoogroups.com; psa2group; psa4; psa5; PSA1messagepost
Cc: Office of the Mayor; City Administrator's Office; Kalb, Dan; Kernighan, Pat; McElhaney, Lynette; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel; dbrooks@oakland.net; Reid, Larry; At Large
Subject: In The Land of Excuses there are no solutions...

I just want to pull out my hair when I hear, so often that I wonder if there is a string pulled behind those who say it, "the OPD is severely understaffed."

"Crime is high because OPD is severely understaffed."

"We cannot respond to calls because OPD is severely understaffed."

"We need outside consultants because OPD is severely understaffed."

"We need more high technology because OPD is severely understaffed."

"We can't come up with a workable strategic crime plan because OPD is severely understaffed."

"We can't offer vital programs because OPD is severely understaffed."

"We can't have Motorcycle [and other] cops because OPD is severely understaffed."

It seems the OPD is to be excused for anything and everything on the unqualified premise that they need more cops, and that more cops will make everything better. Recall, however, that no one got more cops than [outsider] Chief Tucker, and no Chief experienced such a rapid rise in violent crimes than Chief Tucker.

Oh Please... The OPD had many years with similar staffing, and even less than it has today. Yet, the officers handled many more calls, made many more meaningful arrests, had a much better rapport with the communities, staffed 35 Beats 24/7, efficiently ran an organization, and in so many ways managed to do more with less.

Keep in mind that the Oakland Homicide rate declined more rapidly and more dramatically [from 165 down to 60 in just six years] than in the so-called "Boston Miracle." Yet, the politicians reach for Boston's highly paid, money driven, and ineffective consultants. Go figure. The OPD, earlier, had no "Ceasefire," "Shotspotter," "Compstat," and no computers in cars [or any computers or cell phones at all], no lapel radios [only radios in cars], none of today's high tech. OPD had the same number or fewer cops than today [down to 595 at one point]. The OPD didn't even have Eastmont Mall. Yet Oakland had a functioning Police Department. No one asserted it was broken. Other departments from across the US came to Oakland to learn better methods. LA and San Diego built their Planning & Research based on OPD's.

What OPD had was an effective organization and management. Chief Hart [19 years] was the acknowledged, inside and out, ultimate manager. What OPD had were traditional priorities that called for full Beat and CID staffing. What OPD had was fully staffed and independent Crime Analysis and Planning & Research. What OPD had was an absence of the NSA. What the OPD had was high morale, an esprit de corps, a unified motive and inspiration for the job. What OPD had was no excuses.

By the way, if you think OPD was the worse off for not having the NSA think again. It was

Chief Gain, forty years ago, who issued multiple executive orders pursuing the guarantees of Constitutional Policing. He made it clear, and issued stern discipline for officers who violated his mandated precepts that [as examples] a citizen didn't have to provide an officer with an I.D. just because it was demanded. A citizen who fled at the appearance of a cop was not to be chased without further reason. Chief Gain and Chief Hart tracked and confronted Officers who exhibited propensities for too many negative encounters. In fact, looking back at OPD history, more officers were suspended and fired during the 25 year reign of just two Chiefs [Gain and Hart], as an annual average, than under the NSA. It was Chief Hart, decades ago, who initiated Training Bulletins and directed the OPD with inspiration and narratives about the importance of "community policing," Beat Integrity," and working with citizens. The OPD had many more nationally renowned programs such as Beat Health and over 1,600 Home Alert groups. But then, those were the days when Chiefs were selected for merit and not for politics. For lack of this institutional knowledge those today are confused, floundering, and reinventing square wheels.

To demonstrate that the citizens of Oakland had a greater confidence in the OPD then it should be noted that on two occasions they voted to give increased retirement benefits to cops. Do you think that would happen today?

Of course the OPD needs many more cops... but they need so much more first. They need a functioning organization. They need a functioning management. They need functioning systems. They need motivated and inspired cops to replace the stagnant de-policing. Only lastly do they need more cops and more technology.

ronoz

ps. I'm just trying to push some sense into the stubbornness, some insight into the darkness, a path to solutions.

From: Announcements
Sent: Monday, May 13, 2013 9:53 PM
To: Announcements
Subject: Information Memo - FY 2013-2015 Proposed Budget

To download a copy of this information memo (with attachments), please visit:
<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/w/OAK041049>

MEMORANDUM

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR &
CITY COUNCIL

FROM: Donna Hom

SUBJECT: FY 2013-2015 Proposed Policy Budget

DATE: May 13, 2013

City Administrator
Approval /s/ Deanna J. Santana

Date
5/13/13

The purpose of this memorandum is to transmit to the full City Council responses to questions raised by City Councilmembers regarding the Fiscal Year (FY) 2013-2015 Proposed Policy Budget. We have answered as many questions as possible, however, some questions require more data, analysis, etc. and as such, we will answer any remaining questions through an additional supplemental memo. To the extent that any additional information becomes available on any of the below questions, we will continue to update the City Council accordingly.

DISCUSSION

General

1) Confirm that there are 1500 temporary part-time workers and 1100 permanent employees in the SEIU 1021 bargaining unit?

As of May 6, 2013, there are 1465 Part Time Represented Employees and 1122 Permanent Employees represented by SEIU Local 1021. Please note that this is not a new configuration to the City's staffing structure.

2) Provide a list of all vacant positions, including:

- a. The date the position became vacant
- b. Amount budgeted for each position (for each FY in the last budget cycle)
- c. How/Where is the salary savings accounted for in the Proposed Budget?
- d. Of the 79.5 FTE to be eliminated in FY 13-14 and 86.5 FTE to be eliminated in FY 14-15 can you please distinguish the filled vs. vacant positions by position title?
- e. Please provide a list of all positions by department detailing the position title and whether it is filled or vacant.

Please refer to Attachment A – Position listing by department for a list of all budgeted positions (both filled and vacant as of April 8, 2013) and the amount budgeted for each position. Attachment B provides the date each of these positions became vacant. This document (Attachment B) will be part of the semi-annual vacancy report that will be scheduled to go before the Finance Committee on June 11. It is important to note that this report does not reconcile with the Budget Office report (Attachment A) of all positions citywide showing the vacant/filled status of each position because the vacancy report that Human Resources presents to Council reports on “actual” vacancies, meaning that this report adjusts for positions that departments have identified and encumbered (acting assignments, vacancy rate savings, anticipated overtime, etc.). Attachment C provides a list of impacted positions and the detail regarding whether the position is vacant or filled. It should be noted that the individual employees reside in the filled positions proposed for elimination, that are subject to civil service rules and MOU provisions may be impacted by seniority rules and bumping rights.

Any savings deriving from vacancies (salary savings) is accounted for in a quarterly expenditure report by department, which is presented to the City Council on a quarterly basis. As background, salary savings is accounted for in numerous ways, for instance in elected offices, the budget assumes that all authorized positions are filled throughout the year; as such, there is no vacancy rate applied to these positions. For other civilian employees not in an elected office, a 4% vacancy rate is applied to the departmental budgets, which accounts for the savings. Given that there is a minimum sworn staffing requirement in the Fire Department, if the vacant positions are filled by interim appointment or Limited Duration Assignment (LDA), the incumbents receive full pay, and therefore there are no savings. Another way to fill the positions is using overtime. The savings is the difference between a fully-time regular position and overtime. For Police sworn personnel, the department generally needs to fill “empty” police beats (geographic areas that Police patrol) with overtime. Due to staffing shortages in the Oakland Police Department, in a typical 24-hour period, approximately 35 beats are filled on overtime in Patrol.

3) Fact check of Local 21's presentation at the April 30, 2013 City Council meeting.

On October 21, 2008, the City Council adopted a revised budget that required employees to take 13 Mandatory Leave Without Pay (MLWOP) days prior to the end of June 2009.

The 2008-11 Miscellaneous MOUs required employees to take 12 MLWOP days in FY 2009-10 and 2010-11 and pay 5% into retirement, plus no step increase.

The 2009-13 agreement requires employees to take 12 MLWOP days, plus contribute an additional 4%. SEIU agreed to pay 4% into PERS. Local 21 and all miscellaneous unions took additional floating MLWOP days that equal 4%.

4) How much of the pension increase is miscellaneous employee costs? How much is sworn employee costs?

The pension increase from FYs 12-13 to 13-14 is \$8.7M for Miscellaneous, \$3.5M for Fire and \$1.6M for Police.

The following Table sets forth a history of rates and annual cost for the City and the percentage rate of change:

MISCELLANEOUS
FIRE
POLICE

Annual Cost
Rate
(a)
Annual Cost
Rate (a)(3)
Annual Cost
Rate (a)(3)
Total
Annual Cost
%
Change
2006-2007
38,013,933.2
6
17.480
13,799,911.54
27.280
27,415,317.53
27.280
79,229,162.33

2007-2008
42,934,740.1
6
19.200
14,357,867.10
26.660
30,244,078.80
26.660
87,536,686.06
10.49%
2008-2009
41,909,512.1
2
19.550
14,799,733.04
27.090
35,228,553.86
27.090
91,937,799.02
5.03%
2009-2010
28,609,780.5
9
19.590
14,450,896.34
27.448
34,554,042.38
27.448
77,614,719.31
-15.58%
2010-2011

23,436,093.6
1
19.890
14,175,460.08
27.682
30,221,552.26
27.682
67,833,105.95
-12.60%
2011-2012
31,440,003.3
9
23.604
13,113,234.90
28.561
21,058,342.21
28.561
65,611,580.50
-3.27%
2012-2013(1)
33,044,376.0
0
25.115
12,465,077.00
26.479(4)
21,784,707.00
30.479(5)
67,294,160.00
2.56%
2013-2014(1)(6)
41,731,429.0
0
27.300
15,958,643.00
28.857
23,395,232.00
32.857
81,085,304.00
20.49%
2014-2015(1)(6)
44,482,952.0
0
29.100
16,021,116.00
30.410
24,840,979.00
34.410
85,345,047.00
5.25%
2015-2016(1)(2)
47,066,325.8
8
30.79%
16,469,453.96

31.260
25,578,051.67
35.430
89,113,831.51
4.42%
2016-2017(1)(2)
49,680,272.5
3
32.50%
17,191,220.76
32.630
26,697,014.73
36.980
93,568,508.01
5.00%
2017-2018(1)(2)
52,263,646.7
0
34.19%
17,891,914.07
33.960
27,794,320.44
38.500
97,949,881.21
4.68%

(a) Rate = annual cost (employer contributions) as a percentage of covered payroll per fiscal year

(1) Projections

(2) Covered Payroll is based on FY 2014-2015.

(3) Net of Port's payment (approximately 0.489%)

(4) Fire rate changed from 29.064% to 26.479% on 8/31/12

(5) Police rate change from 29.064% to 30.479% on 8/31/12

(6) Computed on baseline payroll, projected as of February 1, 2013

5) What are the actual savings for miscellaneous employees by capping vacation leave? sick leave?

The issue of employee leaves is not addressed in the Proposed Budget and is a topic that is subject to labor negotiations.

6) Has the city approached the Oakland Police Officers Association (OPOA) and/or the International Association of Firefighters (IAFF) Local 55 to help resolve the budget deficit?

The Memorandum of Understanding with the OPOA is closed through June 30, 2015 and the MOU with IAFF Local 55 is closed through June 30, 2014. It should be noted that any agreement to resolve the budget deficit would require conversations with these groups to voluntarily reopen their contracts. Informal conversations have been had with each bargaining group relative to the City's fiscal condition. This is a topic that is subject to labor negotiations.

7) Show the percentage of reductions by Department for the last six years.

Refer to Attachment D. Please note that the shifting of staff between departments due to reorganizations may impact the historical increases and reductions by departments.

8) Please provide a detailed organization chart for the City Administrator's office as of FY11/12 and a detailed organization chart of the Administrator's office as of April 1, 2013.

Refer to Attachment E. The FY 2011/12 City Administrator's Office organizational chart reflects staffing and FTE levels in place as of July 2011 and reflects staffing and organizational structure of that same time period. The increase in staffing in FY 12-13 is due to the fact that the loss of redevelopment led to several structural and transitional changes as the City moved to conform and implement the decision of the State Supreme Court, which in turn, had an impact on the total FTE count in the City Administrator's Office.

These transitional changes included the temporary relocation of the Revenue Division to the Budget Office, the dissolution of the Community and Economic Development Agency and the subordinate Redevelopment Agency, and the need to develop a structure to assume the traditional functions contained within those dissolved agencies. This dissolution caused the creation of three separate departments and the redeployment of several divisions throughout the City's organizational structure. The City has reconfigured to continue to provide these services through the Departments of Building and Planning, Housing and Community Development, and the Economic Development Department. The Successor Agency, Project Implementation Division, and Workforce Development Divisions were folded within the Economic Development Department along with the Marketing Unit.

The Economic and Workforce Development Department was moved to the CAO to strengthen and more fully align development goals and projects to those of the Administration. This move was consistent with other Cities such as San Francisco and Los Angeles, whom all have development-focused departments and key development staff within their Central Administration given that Development plays such an integral role in the overall fiscal health and vibrancy of a City.

9) List each position funded fully and/or partially from Fund 1760. Explain how each position furthers the work of KTOP and/or the Cable Franchise. Why are positions in the Mayor's office and OPD being funded out of Fund 1760? Are there restrictions on the types of activities that Fund 1760 can be used for? How many PIO and/or PR positions do we have in the City and what Departments are they in?

Listed below in the third paragraph are all the positions that are budgeted in Fund 1760—Telecommunications Reserve Fund. Ordinance No. 10399 C.M.S., dated October 25, 1983, specifies that two percent (2%) of the five percent (5%) annual franchise fee shall be placed in a separate "Telecommunications Account" (formerly known as Fund 141, currently Fund #1760) and that said two percent (2%) shall be designed for cable-related non-regulatory activities, including, but not limited to funding public, educational, and government access programming.

KTOP-TV 10's weekly schedule includes insightful, educational programming to help viewers learn more about the City of Oakland and its services. The station covers the City Council, Council Committee, and other City Agency meetings. In addition, it broadcasts original and acquired programming that connects and engages viewers with their city government, fellow citizens and the world at large.

Other than the four partially funded positions outside of KTOP, the rest of the positions are directly related to "government access programming" (i.e., in KTOP unit). With respect to the four positions that are partially funded by Fund 1760, it should be noted that three of these positions (items #1-3 below) are not a new staffing/funding configuration, but were approved by the City Council.

(1) 34% of System Programmer II (adopted in FY 2011-13) and 40% Information Systems

Supervisor (adopted in FY 2009-11); these positions provide support to the Information Technology Division and work on the City's web site, which is used to house and stream KTOP-produced programming and coverage of legislative meetings. The website also serves as the central point of access to public information about City government and services.

(2) 50% Special Assistant to the Mayor (adopted in FY 2011-13); this position provides information to the general public policy activities, promotes access to information, supports public education, and legislative activities.

(3) 30% Assistant to the City Administrator (adopted in FY 2011-13); this position oversees KTOP and is responsible for managing public information, media relations, employee communications, the web site, social media, and community engagement initiatives.

(4) 1 FTE Public Information Officer funded for the Police Department; this position provides information to the general public about police services, public policy, coordinates public meetings and public safety issues. The decision to transfer this position into Fund 1760 is in light of the unique oversight of the City, the City's crime statistics, and other various public safety issues and initiatives.

The below table shows the total positions that are funded or partially funded from Fund 1760:

Communications is a core function of government. As a city, it is our responsibility to facilitate the public's access to the services it funds through effective communications. Without it, our constituents would be unaware, or have limited access to, critical services they rely upon every day including public safety, streets and roads, libraries, recreation, neighborhood, business support and other key services.

As a result of rapidly evolving communications technologies, there are now multiple channels or tools to disseminate public, educational and government access programming, including social media as well as streaming video content on the web site and web-based applications. Information that once was limited to broadcast over cable access television can now also be delivered as streaming video content on the web site and through social media channels (Facebook, YouTube, Twitter) and web-based applications. The demand for rapidly delivered information has never been higher; the public now expects to have immediate access to information 24/7 using the communications tools of their choice.

Communications includes a broad array of skills and services, including: public information; media relations; public education; government access television programming and broadcast; online communication through the web site and other web-based services, applications and tools; community engagement and public participation; social media; and emergency public information.

The few City communications staff positions we do have are focused on 1) timely, accurate dissemination of information about municipal services and 2) responding to public and media inquiries. As a complex urban city grappling with many high-profile issues in the 5th largest media market in the country, Oakland is in the public eye on a daily basis, and communications staff manage a high volume of public and media requests.

With limited staff, the City does not have the luxury of conducting proactive public relations, much to Oakland's detriment given our need for image enhancement critical to attracting business, development and investment - not to mention building public confidence and civic pride among our local residents and business community. We continue to be woefully understaffed in this area and have fewer communications resources of any comparable city.

The City has six positions with responsibilities related to public information and communications:

- * Two full-time designated PIOs: one in OPD and one in the City Administrator's Office;
- * One vacant civilian PIO in OPD;
- * One staff person in Public Works who serves as the Agency's PIO on a part-time basis and has other primary responsibilities;
- * One staff person in the City Administrator's Office responsible for developing citywide communication strategies, media relations, public information, emergency public information, and oversight of the web site, social media, open data platform, community engagement, KTOP, and the Code for America fellowship; and,
- * One staff person in the Mayor's Office responsible for public information and media relations for the Mayor's Office.

10) Provide a list of each and every new/additional/add delete/upgraded position in the Proposed Budget. For each position list the base cost, the cost fully loaded. Provide a justification for each position.

The Proposed Budget includes lists of positions containing fully loaded costs as well as justifications (see p. D7-D18). Service impacts were summarized by Department in the PowerPoint Presentation presented to the City Council on April 30, 2013. To access a copy of this PowerPoint presentation, please follow the link below:
<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/oakca1/groups/cityadministrator/documents/agenda/oak040880.pdf>

Staff needs additional time to provide the base cost information and will provide that information to the City Council in a supplemental memo.

11) Explain how the 6.7 positions in the Library further the work of the Telecommunications Reserve? Why are we spending more outside of KTOP/IT (\$500k to \$1 million) in the 1760 Fund?

Please note that the notation on page D-46 of the Proposed Budget regarding funding for library staffing was an inadvertent error. The Proposed Budget should have read that the 6.7 FTE positions are proposed to be funded out of the 1760 fund are for KTOP operations, not the Library. Staff sincerely apologizes for not catching this error during review. See page E-15 for Library's budget, there is no allocation from Fund #1760.

12) Why does the Proposed Budget seek to layoff/eliminate positions while at the same time adding new positions? Why is the Administration seeking to redeploy existing employees into these new/add deletes/additional positions?

The Policy Budget is the City's formal financial policy document, which reflects the organizational goals (see page H-2). Department staff developed departmental plans based on departmental missions and goals, which are presented in each of the department sections on the Proposed Budget. Department staff also projected revenue and expenditures within the citywide projections and restricted funds. Staffing configurations are designed according to operational needs and the funding available as well as the funding restrictions. The position changes and service impacts are listed both in the budget document for the entire city starting on page D-7 and in Department Summary section. In addition, the PowerPoint presentation presented at the April 30, 2013 Special City Council meeting also listed all department position changes and service impacts. This PowerPoint presentation can be found on the Budget Office's website at: <http://www2.oaklandnet.com/OAK040588>

13) What is Fund 5671? What is the balance in this fund?

Fund 5671, the Oakland Army Base Reuse Authority (OBRA) Leasing and Utility Fund, was created in February 2012 to capture all assets and liability transfers from the former Oakland Redevelopment Agency, OBRA Leasing and Utility Funds into a City fund. This fund accounts for such activities related to the Oakland Army Base. The fund balance as of June 30, 2012 is \$61,531,140. The Projected ending fund balance as of June 30, 2013 is \$60,615,770 of which \$48,939,424 is property held for resale. Please note that the funds that are designated as a local match for federal/state grants that are associated with the Army Base project.

14) Provide a list of all funds which feed off the general fund, i.e. 4100, 4400, etc.

Please refer to Attachment F.

15) Please identify which non-General Purpose Fund (GPF) funds have some GPF funds within them and discretion do we have with those GPF funds? When a fund has a negative fund balance or goes over budget, does the fund “feed” off the General Fund?

As each fund is set up for specific purposes (in accordance with generally accepted accounting practices), the General Purpose Fund does not comeingle with non-General Purpose Funds. However, the general Purpose Fund can be the source of other funds, through the General Fund's proportional share of costs charged through the cost allocation plan or through internal service funds or accounted through special revenue grant funds (for example, the Self-Insurance Liability Fund and the Kids First Fund.) The GPF pays into internal service funds proportionate to its use of those services, like all other funds. For example, the GPF pays into the Facilities Fund proportionate to the amount of space occupied by GPF-supported City functions. If an internal service fund such as the Facilities Fund is negative due to historic overspending or under-recovery, the GPF contributes along with all other relevant funds to repay and replenish the negative fund, proportionate to each fund's use of the internal service or other appropriate cost allocation method. In this way, negative funds do not rely on the GPF for repayment any more than they rely on other funds that were historically underpaying the negative fund. Some negative funds that are not internal service funds, and which cannot allocate expenses to multiple funds, will have to rely on the GPF for repayment. See Attachment F for a list of all funds which the General Fund is the source.

16) Does the current Proposed Budget include the additional employee benefit cost? If so, why are we asking for concessions?

Yes, the Proposed Budget does include the additional employee benefit costs. Please note that issues around employee contributions are subject to labor negotiations. The City's Five Year Forecast illustrates the City's fiscal condition where a structural imbalance exists. There are generally four categories that have impacted our City's fiscal health, which in turn, have perpetuated our fiscal condition. This includes following:

1) The City's reserves and the rate of revenue growth are slower than that of the City's rising expenditures and the rate of these expenditures;

2) There are actions outside of local control related to pensions and medical costs, which will have a significant impact to the City's financial condition, including:

> Cal-PERS rates are rising 20% in the current year, and projections show that the cost will go up by approximately 50% over a six year period beginning in FY 2015-16;

> The City is conservatively estimating that medical costs will go up by 6% per year, and that the City will have additional fiscal impacts to the implementation of the Federal Affordable Care Act.

3) The significant deferred investments and capital and maintenance (equipment) needs that need to be addressed; and,

4) The City's unfunded liabilities and negative fund balances.

In the past, the City has used a variety of short and long term solutions to balance its budget. However, given the City's structural imbalance, it is imperative that the City work on long-term structural solutions that enable the City to responsibly structure its financial position to promote long-term fiscal sustainability.

17) In the Administration's Budget Balancing Principles (located in the Budget Highlights section of the Proposed Budget), it states in item 10 "as a goal, analyze all existing services and target service consolidations, reductions, or eliminations in areas where service is less essential." Has there been staff work to identify these less essential service areas? And, if there has been staff work; what criteria and how developed was that criteria as to deeming one service area less essential than another? Please make this analysis available and the criteria so that it could be reviewed and utilized in the budget preparations.

As a beginning point in developing a Proposed Budget, the City Administrator in fall 2012 issued instructions to Departments to prioritize their services based on the following hierarchy:

1. Legal mandates;
2. Local requirements;
3. Services that create high risk if discontinued;
4. Programs or services that leverage outside resources, directly generate City revenue, or create measurable economic growth; and,
5. Other essential, high priority services that your department can still afford to provide.

Departments conducted this analysis, which was vetted by the City Administrator. This information informed the Mayor and City Administrator as they crafted the proposed budget, as did their own professional assessments of prioritizing service delivery areas.

18) Both Neighborhood Investment and the Department of Housing & Community Development have average fully budgeted costs per FTE that far exceed other departments even inclusive of OPD with their expense and budget loads. What is driving up the fully budgeted loaded FTE costs of these former RDA departments? Please provide categorical breakdowns that show salaries, benefits, retirement, overhead, and then the unique or departmental costs that ride in both so that decisions can be evaluated.

The driving factors in higher average costs in Office of Neighborhood Investment (ONI) and Housing and Community Development (HCD) can be attributed to a classification's representation type and overhead costs. The salary base is higher in ONI and HCD due to the type and composition of classifications; ONI and HCD have a low % of Part-Time (PT) classifications (4% and 2%, respectively) while 54% of the Office of Parks and Recreation (OPR) FTEs are PT. Fringe and Retirement rates for PT employees are significantly lower as compared to Regular representation units resulting in lower benefit and supplemental costs for more PT-heavy departments such as OPR. In addition, ONI and HCD both pay Central Service Overhead (CSO) which adds to the overall cost per FTE, while OPR does not pay CSO. Please refer to Attachment G for a table of positions by department.

19) In past years the Master Fee Schedule has been part of the proposed budget. When is the Master Fee Schedule going to be presented to the City Council?

The Master Fee Schedule is tentatively scheduled to be heard at the June 13, 2013 City Council meeting.

20) Are any positions being transferred into funds that are already negative? If so, why? Please provide a breakdown of which negative funds are proposed to receive new positions and what the negative fund balance of those funds currently is.

City Attorney's Office is proposing to add three positions in an amount of \$615,652 to Fund 1100, the Self Insurance Liability Fund, to achieve the goal of reducing costs on hiring outside counsel. This pilot program will be evaluated after FY 2013-14. All other transfers to negative funds are off-set by equal or greater value reductions in positions with the exception of Fund 4400, which was off-set by a reduction in O&M. Please refer to Attachment H for a list by fund.

21) What Boards/Commissions are we legally required to have?

The City is legally required to have the Boards/Commissions that are mandated or established by:

- * The City Charter (e.g. Public Ethics Commission, Civil Service Board, Police and Fire Retirement Board, Oakland Municipal Employees Retirement System, Kids First, Port Board of Commissioners);
- * Voter Initiative (e.g. Measure Y Committee); or,
- * State Law or Federal Law (e.g. the Workforce Investment Board is required in order for the City to receive funds provided by the WIA, the Oakland Housing Authority Board is required in order to receive federal funds for low income housing).

The City Council also has created a number of Boards/Commissions by ordinance in accordance with the requirements of City Charter Section 601. The City Council can repeal these ordinances if the boards/commissions are not mandated by applicable law or required in order for the City to receive state or federal funds/grants. In addition, the City has jointly created boards and commissions by agreements with other government agencies and nonprofits (e.g., Alameda County Oakland Coliseum, Youth Ventures).

As background, it should be noted that in light of the eliminations over the past 6 years that have included the staff reduction equivalent to 720 FTE, and the elimination of \$317M out of operating expenses, no changes have been made with respect to the service level expectations for the support of these Boards and Commissions.

It should be noted that the City Attorney's Office can review the master list of boards/commissions and identify the Boards/Commissions that the Council could abolish, consolidate or adjust the focus if the Council so desires.

22) Which departments have at least 1 FTE that is solely or primarily dedicated to raising needed funds through researching and writing grants? Can we increase the number of grant writers in a manner that would more than pay for itself? Does the Administration's proposed budget eliminate or freeze any grant-writing positions that are currently budgeted for? If so, might that result in a net loss rather than savings?

What is the rationale for freezing the 1 FTE Grants Coordinator in OPD?

As a part of the Proposed Budget, there is a recommendation included to add 1 FTE City Administrator Analyst in the Administrative Services Department, Controller's Office to assist in a Citywide coordination of grants. This position is funded by the General Purpose Fund. With respect to departments allocating FTE resources that solely work on grants, it has

been the City's practice to either use existing internal resources to write grants, or for larger grants, to hire grant writers on a temporary basis that hold a specific expertise in a certain area to complete the grant application effectively. OPD currently has a Grants Coordinator Position, which is fully funded by a Department of Justice grant. It is now become known that the grant will continue for next two years and as such, will continue to fund the position. Therefore, the position is no longer frozen.

As background, this position in OPD handles both the administration of grants – filing periodic reports, reviewing the grant accounting, drawing down grant funds, etc. in addition to grant research and grant writing. In previous years, the role of grants administration and grant writing were performed by two different positions. This allowed the Grants Coordinator to spend more time on grant identification and development. However, these functions were consolidated due to budget reductions. When grant funding is awarded (which is not aligned with the fiscal cycle—July 1—June 30), this position will be funded accordingly.

23) For the Self-Insurance Fund (1100) and the Equipment Fund (4100) as outlined on page D-101 of the Proposed Budget, if the repayment schedules were adjusted to achieve a ZERO dollar balance in the final years of their respective schedules, how much additional monies would the city have available to spend in the 2013-15 budget cycle?

The Self-Insurance Fund (Fund 1100) is used for self-insurance liability claims and settlements, outside legal services and courts costs. Fund 1100 is funded through a cost allocation plan developed by the City's actuary, Aon Risk Consultants, Inc., in which departments are charged to support the fund based on five years of claim and exposure data. If the self-insurance liability repayment schedule is not implemented accordingly the accumulated deficit in the fund will continue to grow, in FY 2012-13 the deficit is projected to be \$21.2 million.

Internal Services Funds (ISFs), for example, 4100 and 4400, are funds used to centralize certain services and then allocate the costs of those services within the City. U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") permit the use of internal service funds for the reporting of any activity that provides goods or services to the government on a cost reimbursement basis. The goal of an internal service fund should be to measure the full cost (including cost of capital assets) of providing goods or services for the purpose of fully recovering that cost through fees or charges. A number of the City's ISFs have grown negative fund balances as a result of under collecting reimbursements over a long period of time. Repayment schedules for these negative funds were established originally in the FY 2005-07 policy budget, which attempted to cure the internal service funds deficit by fiscal year 2014-15. However, the repayment schedule put in place in FY 2006 and 2007 were not implemented accordingly due to the lack of general fund resources to make the required annual payments. As such, the City restructured the repayment schedule as part of the FY 2009-11 policy budget. The new repayment schedule will cure the net assets deficit of internal service funds by FY 2018-19.

In FY 2008-09, the External Auditors issued a material weakness finding on the internal service funds deficit because the City's accumulated internal borrowings had reached \$50.8M as of June 30, 2009. The Auditors recommended the following:

“that the City monitor the progress of its restructured repayment plan very closely to ensure its feasibility. If it is determined that the plan is not feasible and the City does not intend to or cannot recover the full cost of providing goods or services within a reasonable period of time, then the use of internal service funds is no longer appropriate under GAAP and should not be used for financial reporting purposes.”

In FY 2009-10, the City maintained its repayment plan and the internal borrowing has been reduced to \$45.6M as of June 30, 2010, from \$50.8M in FY 2008-09. In FY 2010-11 and

2012, the deficit was reduced to \$39.8M and \$34.4M respectively. In FY 2012-13 the deficit is projected to be reduced by another \$3.0M to a projected \$31.4M in accumulated internal service funds borrowing. The City has been able to maintain its repayment plan and in the FY 2010-11 audit, the auditors considered the repayment plan implemented.

At this time, the City Administration considers the repayment plan prudent, necessary, and good for the long-term fiscal stability of the City and recommends maintaining the repayment plan. Also, if the City does not continue with the repayment plan, the external auditors will recommend for the City to discontinue the use of internal service funds for financial reporting purposes because they are no longer appropriate under GAAP. For example, if this occurred in FY 2012-13 with the ISFs accumulated borrowing or deficit at estimated \$31.4M, General Purpose Fund (GPF) would be absorbed approximately 60% or (\$18.84 million of the \$31.4 million) of the ISFs deficit and hence would reduce GPF fund balance in one year instead on the gradual repayment plan.

The table below demonstrates the repayment plan included in the Policy Budget for some of the negative funds for the next two fiscal years. The complete repayment schedule is listed on page D101 of the Proposed Budget, which includes ISFs, the Self-Insurance Fund and the Kaiser Convention Fund:

FUND
FUND
BALANCE
FYE 12-13
FY 13-14
REPAY
AMT
FY 14-15
REPAY
AMT
FUND
BALANCE
FYE 14-15
PROJECTED
ZERO BAL
1100 - Self-Insurance Fund
(\$21,171,561)
\$2,358,005
\$2,279,555
(\$16,534,001)
FY 2021-22
1730 - Kaiser Convention Center
(\$2,910,991)
\$579,589
\$579,589
(\$1,751,813)
FY 2017-18
4100 - Equipment Fund
(\$7,059,377)
\$1,352,480
\$1,475,941
(\$4,230,956)
FY 2018-19
4400 - Facilities Fund

(\$22,455,376)
\$1,257,851
\$2,168,796
(\$19,028,729)
FY 2018-19
4550 - Purchasing Fund
(\$445,288)
\$0
\$0
(\$445,288)
FY 2018-19
TOTAL
(\$54,042,593)
\$5,547,925
\$6,503,881
(\$41,990,787)

Community Services

1) Is the Community Services Director a filled position? Further describe this position.

The Community Services Director position manages the division of Neighborhood Services, the Office of Parks & Recreation and the Department of Human Services. This position, while currently vacant, is proposed to be frozen. The projected savings is \$233,294 for FY 2013-14 and \$238,963 for FY 2014-15, which was used to balance the Proposed Budget. The duties will continue to be managed by an Assistant City Administrator.

As background, a long-standing Council direction correctly identified opportunities to integrate and improve service delivery between the Library, Human Services and Parks & Recreation by looking at the increased efficiencies in these three areas. Based on this review, staff found areas where the City could strengthen its service delivery while enhancing cost-efficiencies. Based on that Council direction, the Administration created the Community Services Department, which includes Neighborhood Services, Parks & Recreation and Human Services. Subsequently, the position of Director of the Community Services Department was created. This position is charged with identifying and addressing community priorities, building and strengthening collaboration and teamwork within the department, and partnering with community organizations to effectively deliver programs and services. In addition, position will be charged with monitoring and evaluating the efficient and effectiveness of the department's organizational structure, staffing patterns, service levels and administrative systems. As noted above, this position is proposed to be frozen and to be continued to be absorbed by an Assistant City Administrator.

2) What is the subsidy to OUSD Academies and Vietnamese Centers?

The Oakland Unified School District (OUSD) Academies provide Apprentice Job training in the areas of business, computers, health, engineering, communication, law and government, transportation, environment, bioscience, construction, education, food, and the arts. The annual subsidy amount for the OUSD Academies is \$56,250; the two Vietnamese Centers are \$18,360 each for senior services.

3) How much funding do the Oakland Zoo, Chabot, Peralta Hacienda, and Asian Cultural Centers currently get and what would be the dollar amount of the proposed 10% cut? Do any of these organizations get Transit Occupancy Tax (TOT) dollars, if so how much?

Please find below a table that states the current funding allocated to each of these organizations, the dollar amount of the proposed 10% cuts and the amount of TOT dollars received (if applicable).

Listed below are the payments in FY 2011-12:

Organizations
TOT FY 11-12
Actual
Payment
TOT as of
April 13
General Purpose
Fund
Current Amount
General Purpose
Fund Proposed
Reduction
Oakland Zoo
\$337,409
\$313,897
\$539,895
(\$53,895)
Chabot Space &
Science Center
\$337,409
\$313,897
\$303,450
(\$30,345)
Hacienda Peralta
No TOT
No TOT
\$ 45,900
(\$4,590)
OUSD
No TOT
No TOT
\$ 56,250
-0-
Asian Cultural
Center
No TOT
No TOT
\$ 51,000
(\$5,100)
Vietnamese
Comm. Devel. (Sr.
Ctr)
No TOT
No TOT
\$ 18,360
(\$106)
Vietnamese

American Comm.
(Sr. Ctr)
No TOT
No TOT
\$ 18,360
(\$106)

4) What is the balance in the Office of Parks and Recreation's (OPR) self-sustaining fund?

The fund balance on Fund 1820 – Office of Parks & Recreation and Cultural Arts (OPRCA) Self Sustaining Revolving Fund as of June 30, 2012 was \$1,495,428. The projected ending fund balance at June 30, 2013 is \$870,408.

5) How much in non-City revenues has the Digital Arts and Culinary Academy (DACA) brought in during FY 2012-13?

For the period July 1, 2012 to May 7, 2013, DACA has raised roughly \$6,200 in OPR's Self-Sustaining Fund (1820) revenue (Non-General Purpose Fund-1010). DACA has also raised roughly \$7,000 through the Friends of Oakland Parks and Recreation, representing total monies raised to date of \$13,200 in Non-General Purpose Fund (1010) Revenue. The current year expenditure for DACA is approximately \$150,000 of one time funds. To continue the funding for DACA, it would cost \$150,000 and is listed as a policy tradeoff in the policy tradeoffs section in the Proposed Budget.

6) The proposed budget states that 3.25 FTE will be cut from Recreation staff. What are the particular locations where the cuts will occur?

If this proposal is approved by the City Council, the reduction in hours will impact part time staff primarily at recreation and cultural arts sites. Specifics regarding reductions/changes in days and hours will be determined based on recreation center usage and need.

7) The proposed budget would increase the day use fee at the City's local swimming pools by \$1.00. A one-day pool fee would then cost \$6.00 (currently \$5.00), and a 10-swim pass would cost \$50 (currently \$40). What would be the impact on the budget for the City's Aquatics Pools program if we add an additional multi-swim pass such as a 30-swim pass at a cost of \$135 (\$4.50 per swim)?

If a multi-swim pass were to be made available, it would serve as an incentive for frequent swimmers to continue to use OPR's pools. It is difficult to determine the number of frequent swimmers who would be interested in purchasing a multi-swim pass, and subsequently, the impact to revenues—which would not be sufficient to support the costs of operations.

8) Provide utilization data for Recreation Centers.

Please find enclosed a report from the Office of Parks and Recreation on program enrollment and drop-in participation rates at the City's recreation centers (Attachment I).

9) How do the Recreation Scholarships Work?

The Office of Parks and Recreation does not have a formalized scholarship or reduced fee program for patrons with limited ability to pay for program registration. However, an informal process does exist. One example of the informal process by which the division offers reduced fees is in the event that a potential patron expresses an inability to pay for a fee, the program coordinator makes

note of the patron, then works to secure the balance of the payment from the Friends of Oakland Parks and Recreation and/or other foundations such as Bananas and other local resources that offer payments for child care.

Elected Officials

1) With respect to the item titled Restore Expenditure Reductions in Elected Offices under the Policy Tradeoffs & Service Buybacks section in the Proposed Budget:

- a. Did the City Auditor's Office take the mandated reductions in the two budget cycles?
- b. In the last budget process, the City Council directed the City Administrator to implement administrative controls to make sure the reductions were made. What were the controls?

Since 2011, the City Auditor has not adhered to City Council direction pertaining to FY budget reductions. There is a City Attorney opinion from 2010 which sets the basis for the minimum staffing and resources required for the City Auditor to fulfill her Charter-mandated duties as City Auditor. Most recently, the City Attorney has had to obtain Conflict Counsel for the City Auditor to sort through issues with respect to legal options and Council actions to reduce her budget. In light of this action, no action has been taken on the part of the City Administrator's Office until these legal reviews and outcomes are clearer pertaining to the requirements of the legal opinion.

The expenditures of the City Auditor's Office are reported in a quarterly revenue and expenditure report to the City Council. The latest audited Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) shows that the City Auditor's Office over spent approximately \$447,000 in General Fund. See document in the following link (click on "2012"), page 133.
<http://www.oaklandnet.com/government/fwawebwebsite/accounting/CAFR.htm>

The City Administration continues to exercise expenditure controls in all funds within policy guidelines. As such, when vacancies have developed, the Administration has taken steps to freeze and not fill some vacant positions to help manage costs. In addition, the City Administrator issued a firm reminder to departments and budget and finance staff regarding expenditure controls. For non-personnel expenditures, the Oracle financial system does not generate payments if funds are not available. For hiring, position control is used to only permit hiring for authorized positions.

2) What is the true reduction to the Office of the Mayor's budget after the 1 FTE position addition is factored into the proposed 5% and 10% reduction?

It is proposed that the Mayor's Office will take 5% and 10% of the baseline budget in the two coming fiscal years respectively. The position proposed to be added will assist the Deputy Mayor in fulfilling his duties. The added position is approximately \$120,000 each year, which is approximately 5.36% of the Mayor's annual budget.

3) The Proposed Budget on pg. D-7 states there is a proposed 5% and 10% reduction to the City Attorney's budget and one Pg. D-13 states that there are "No non-GPF changes" to the City Attorney budget. However, the Proposed Budget on pg. X-4 describes the addition of 3 FTEs in the City Attorney's office to pilot a program. Where in the budget are these 3 new positions reflected?

The City Attorney's Office proposed to add these positions in an amount of \$615,652 each year for the purpose of providing needed staffing to the City Attorney's Office and to save on costs of utilizing outside counsel to offset the expenditure rate in the Self-Insurance Liability Fund (#1100).

If approved by the City Council, this pilot measure will be evaluated after FY 2013-14. The proposed positions will be added in the Self-Insurance Liability Fund. The positions are explained on page E-14, under the “all other funds” box above the organization chart. The positions are not accounted for in the financial and FTE counts given that the proposal was submitted after the proposed budget production was underway. If adopted, these positions will be added under Fund #1100.

4) What is the true reduction to the City Attorney's budget after the newly proposed 3 FTE positions are factored into the proposed 5% and 10% reduction?

It is proposed that the City Attorney's Office will take 5% and 10% of the baseline in the two coming fiscal years respectively. The City Attorney's Office proposed to add three positions in an amount of \$615,652 each year, which is 5.26% of the City Attorney's total budget. The goal is to save costs on outside counsel. This pilot measure will be evaluated after FY 2013-14.

5) What would be the cost and potential savings of adding two additional staff attorney positions and one paralegal position to handle necessary legal functions in the City Attorney's office beyond what is proposed in the Administration's budget? Please assume that the start date of these three positions (one Deputy City Attorney (DCA) III, one DCA IV, and one Paralegal) is July 1, 2014. Could these be funded through the Self-Insurance Liability fund without putting the city at substantial risk of exhausting this fund in FY 14-15 due to settlement and judgment payouts? What is the estimated savings to the city by avoiding hiring outside counsel due to the hiring of these two additional in-house attorney positions?

The total of adding one DCA III (\$220,681), one DCA IV (\$279, 309) and one Paralegal (\$108,662) amounts to \$615,652 in FY 2014-2015. The expectation is that the cost for outside counsel will be reduced by adding in-house attorneys. The savings is projected to occur gradually over the next two to three years as new staff come on board and assume full caseloads. It is important to note that while work will shift from outside counsel to in-house staff, in some cases it will not be feasible to bring in-house work that outside counsel have already begun. Also, the amount of savings during the first fiscal year and on an ongoing basis may be impacted by the types of new matters that arise during the year, requiring legal services. Given that the City Attorney's Office cannot foresee what new matters may require outside counsel, they have conservatively estimated that each additional staff attorney position will save hundreds of thousands of dollars in outside counsel expenses.

Library

1) What is the outlying year projected impacts to the Library and specifically to Measure Q?

In FY2008-09, the Library's original Adopted budget was evenly split between the General Purpose Fund and Measure Q Fund (General Purpose Fund – 50% & Measure Q – 50%).

However, beginning with the October 2008 City-wide budget revisions, when the Library's General Purpose Fund was cut by almost \$1.5 million, the percentage of General Purpose Fund support for the Library has steadily declined. Under the proposed FY 2013-15 Budget, the General Purpose Fund support for the Library is 34% compared to Measure Q funding at 66%.

Assuming the City continues to hold General Purpose Fund support for the Library at the same level provided in FY 2000/2001 - \$9.059 million (Measure Q minimum), annual Measure Q revenues will be \$3.0 - \$4.0 M less than budgeted expenditures based on current service levels and models in FY 2013-14. The Proposed Budget indicates that the available Measure Q fund balance would be depleted by the end of FY 2014-15. Historically, the Library has spent less than the approved budget and a modest Measure Q fund balance may remain at the end of FY 2015.

During the next fiscal year library staff will conduct a study and implement alternative service models to deliver services within available funding sources.

Public Works

1) With respect to the Financial Summaries section of the Proposed Budget, is it accurate that there are no General Purpose Fund (GPF) expenses in Public Works?

No, it is not accurate—Public Works does receive General Purpose Funds. As noted in the Proposed Budget, Public Works receives a relatively small allocation from the General Purpose Fund at approximately \$1.2M yearly, see pg. E-53. To clarify, in the Financial Summaries portion of the Proposed Budget (see page D-10), it states that there are no GPF changes for Public Works.

2) What is the rational for eliminating the 1 FTE clean community supervisor? (Pg. D-16)

The elimination of the 1.00 FTE Clean Community Supervisor was a budgetary clean-up item resulting from a reclassification in which the new position was added but the position it replaced remained in the budget. There will be no service impacts.

3) What are the costs, including signage, maintenance, enforcement and staffing, to administer the Residential Parking Permit (RPP) program? What would the cost per permit be to be fully cost-covering?

In the below table, the following costs and calculated values represent preliminary estimates from departments. Before pursuing a fee increase, staff would need to undertake additional analysis to verify the precision of these values.

FY 2011-12 Permits Revenue	
Permits Issued in FY 2011-12	10,311
Average per Permit Revenue FY 2011-12	
\$	19

Estimated Costs of the RPP Program	
Parking Enforcement - Police Department	
\$	930,000
(permit issuance, appeals, customer service)	

Parking Citation Assistance Center - Revenue	
\$	108,990
(permit issuance, appeals, customer service)	

Transportation Services Division - Public Works	
\$	78,850
(PR, evaluation, legislation)	

Traffic Maintenance Division -Public Works	
\$	4,725
(sign installation, replacement, maintenance)	

Total Cost
\$ 1,122,565

Average Permit Revenue to be Cost Covering
\$ 108.87
Needed Increase In Average per Permit Cost
\$ 90.13

4) Regarding illegal dumping, what is the current average time to respond to resident complaints? Under the proposed budget, what will be done to strengthen response to illegal dumping?

The average response time to illegal dumping calls in Oakland has slowed due to staff resources. Based on the current FY 2012-13 data, 72% of customer service requests are responded to by Public Works (PW) staff within three (3) business days. Prior to the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency which eliminated 5.00 FTE's in February 2012 and the end of the Waste Management Settlement Agreement in the Illegal Dumping Unit, Public Works response rate was 94% within 3 business days. In addition, equipment shortages due to the aging fleet have also impacted service response times. At the current rate, it is projected that there will be an increase from 13,080 customer service requests in FY 2011-12 to 18,000 projected for the current fiscal year. The estimated 38% increase in service requests will further impact response times. The Proposed Budget does provide an illegal dumping mitigation proposal listed in the Policy Tradeoffs & Service Buybacks portion of the proposed budget that can be considered by the City Council, if there is a desire to do so, (see page A-3 of the Proposed Budget)

5) With respect to the items titled Illegal Dumping and Litter Mitigation under the Policy Tradeoffs & Service Buybacks section in the Proposed Budget, it looks like these cuts were made in the recent past. Are there further cuts proposed in the Proposed Budget?

The Policy Tradeoffs and Service Buybacks section of the proposed budget includes lists of key City services that were reduced or excluded in the proposed budget. The section on Cuts for Possible Restoration generally lists services that were proposed for reduction in FY 2013-15, whereas the section on Policy Tradeoffs generally covers services that have been left unfunded due to State or federal influence or reduced over multiple years. These two groups are not entirely mutually exclusive. To clarify, the illegal dumping and litter mitigation service levels in the FY 2013-15 proposed budget are the same as the current level (the FY 2012-13 Amended Midcycle Policy Budget).

6) How many staff are assigned to do park maintenance functions at the parkland around Lake Merritt? What is the source of their funding?

The current park maintenance staffing levels and funding source for Lakeside Park are as follows:

- * Fund 2310 Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District
 - o 1.0 FTE Gardener Crew Leader
 - o 1.0 FTE Park Attendant
- * Fund 1720 Comprehensive Cleanup Fund:
 - o 1.0 FTE Gardener Crew Leader
 - o 0.2 FTE Gardener II

o 1.2 FTE Park Attendant

7) How much does the Port pay to the City annually for the purpose of maintaining Lake Merritt?

The Port reimburses the City at approximately \$1.0 million per year.

8) What positions or other expenses are funded by the Port's payment?

The Administration distributed an information memo on April 5, 2013 regarding the Port of Oakland Receivables. To access this information memo, please visit the following link:
<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/oakcal/groups/cityadministrator/documents/memorandum/oak040433.pdf>

The Port reimburses the City for services provided under various service agreements as follows:

General Services & Lake Merritt Tidelands Trust Area:

- a. General services include general police services, fire services, streets, trees and traffic maintenance services provided to the Port of Oakland. The reimbursement rate is based on percentage of the total land area/lanes occupied by the Port.
- b. Lake Merritt Tidelands Trust Area services which includes Lakeside Park & Recreation Services, park grounds and facilities maintenance, security, algae control, and expenditure in support of the Necklace of Lights surrounding Lake Merritt.

Landscape and Lighting Assessment District (LLAD):

Landscape and Lighting Assessment District (LLAD) was established by the City to pay for City street lights and other exterior lighting, public landscaping and parks and recreational facilities. LLAD is an annual assessment of the Port of Oakland parcels or leased properties within the district. The Port share is approximately \$850,446 of which \$155,379 is attributed to the parcels located within the airport area and the Port has not agreed to pay for assessments located within the airport area.

Airport fire and aircraft rescue service (ARFF):

Airport fire and aircraft rescue service (ARFF) include fire suppression, emergency medical services and airport firefighting services. Port reimburses position budgeted under organization number 20814 (Fire Department – Airport) which includes approximate 4 FTE of Captain and 20 FTE of Firefighter.

Special Services:

- a. Jack London Square Police Security – For police overtime for security services within Jack London Square;
- b. Police Overweight & Commercial Vehicle Program—For 2 FTE Police Officers for enforcement of all laws and regulations pertaining to Port maritime trucking activities;
- c. City Clerk – For services including maintenance of various Port documents and City documents pertaining to the Port which pays for 0.012 FTE of Legislative

Recorder and 0.012 FTE of Administrative Analyst I positions; and,

d. Personnel Services – For 1 FTE Sr. Human Resources Analyst position for the coordination of Civil Service Board activities.

9) How much funding is in the proposed budget to deal with homeless encampments? Please specify amounts for Operation Dignity, Department Human Services (DHS), Public Works and Oakland Police Department (OPD).

Currently, Public Works (PW) has identified \$60,000 in the Comprehensive Clean Up Fund (Fund 1720) for each year of FY 2013-15. These funds will be used to contract with Operation Dignity for outreach and posting of notices at identified encampments 5 days per week, 4 hours per day. This funding will also provide sufficient funding to ensure the development of some type of database or expansion of PW's database to ensure communication among the various partners. There are economies of scale in this contract as Operation Dignity also conducts rapid re-housing outreach under a separate DHS project.

PW provides encampment clean up as part of its overall Illegal Dumping Units. The total cost of this work is \$4.8M and encampment requests represent approximately 280 calls out of 18,000 overall calls. This represents 1.56% of calls and thus would translate into a cost to PW of approximately \$75,000 per year.

The Department of Human Services (DHS) provides coordination through its existing staff and estimates this cost to be 0.50 FTE of a Program Analyst II or approximately \$91,000 per year. OPD support is provided on an as-needed and/or as-available basis and as such, associated costs cannot be readily determined. The Neighborhood Services Division has been involved in recent discussions, however costs associated would be difficult to ascertain at this time.

DHS, PW and OPD collaborated on a proposal for encampment abatement that also included dedicated OPD follow up enforcement to address homeless individuals returning to the sites and dollars for structural mitigation where advisable. However, funding has not yet been identified for these components.

10) The Proposed Budget estimates the cost to restore service for the purposes of mitigating illegal dumping to be approximately \$1,000,000. What would it cost to fund adequate public education, outreach and effective enforcement to further reduce illegal dumping? Are there some careful cuts and/or consolidations that can be made within the Public Works Agency to free up funds to better deal with illegal dumping?

A public education and outreach program on illegal dumping would require 1.0 FTE Program Analyst II to lead the program and 2.0 FTE Student Trainees to attend community meetings. In addition, it would require Graphics Contracts for development of concept and funding to purchase media placement (e.g. Billboards and Bus/BART Placards, electronic advertising, print media advertising, and/or PSAs). Estimated costs of these resources are noted in the table below:

FY13-14

FY14-15

1.0 FTE Program Analyst II

\$118,607

\$121,280

2.0 FTE Student Trainee

\$79,020
\$79,082
Graphics Contract
\$50,000
\$50,000
Media Buy
\$100,000
\$100,000
TOTAL
\$347,627
\$350,362

Please note that costs associated with OPD enforcement are unknown depending on the level of enforcement desired and the resources available.

The 1720 Comprehensive Clean-up Fund is the lone source of funding for Street Sweeping, Illegal Dumping removal, Homeless Encampment cleanup, Cutting and Cleaning of weeds, Park litter removal and Graffiti Abatement programs. The Proposed Budget adds two FTE for Graffiti Abatement and funding for the Operation Dignity Contract in DHS so that Homeless outreach can be continued. In order to “free up funds” for outreach, public education or enforcement, new funding would need to be identified or the needed services defined above would need to be adjusted.

11) Cross-Departmental Efficiency – Would a comprehensive inventory system for department equipment and supplies generate budget savings over time? Which departments already do this?

With respect to City supplies, in the past, the City had a centralized Citywide “Central Store” where City Departments would purchase their supplies from. At some point, this “Central Store” was disabled given high costs and subsequently, the management of supplies were decentralized to Departments. In Public Works, vehicles and mobile equipment used by all Departments are managed in an enterprise system known as FleetFocus. The Equipment Services Division in Public Works tracks these assets. These assets are tracked by the following information: Acquisition date and cost; maintenance costs for labor, parts and commercial work, department of assignment, registration information, and disposal information are recorded for each of the approximately 1,500 items in the fleet. In accordance with the Fleet Utilization policy, Equipment Services works with using departments to manage the fleet inventory and, as a result, over the last four years the fleet has been reduced by 249 units.

12) Clarify reference to a “Council-approved Graffiti Abatement Program” and positions to begin implementation of said program referenced in the Service Impacts section material on Public Works

The Service Impacts section mistakenly refers to the City activities to support the graffiti enforcement and abatement ordinance passed by City Council in January 2013 as a program. The City Administrator’s supplemental agenda report of December 14, 2012 regarding the ordinance notes that its passage does not create a program. To access a copy of this report, please visit:
<http://oakland.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=2260996&GUID=530A244C-B3C1-419A-8A26-3904E2049C42>.

However, the ordinance does create some new responsibilities, and related service expectations, for the City. The City, like private property owners, is required to remove graffiti that is visible from the street or right of way within a certain time period once notified by the City

Administrator. To implement this, the proposed budget includes two painter positions, supported by Fund 1720 (Comprehensive Clean-Up). In the agenda report dated December 11, 2012 from the City Attorney and Councilmember Nadel, it stated:

“This ordinance should not have any negative fiscal impacts, but may produce additional revenue through cost recovery and penalties. The City Council and City Administrator will need to assess personnel and fiscal needs for enforcement and administration. The Committee should consider asking City Administration to return with an enforcement and administration plan within the 60 day period after adoption and prior to the ordinance's effective date.” The supplemental report issued by the City Administrator on December 14, 2012 stated that, “we believe that the revenues will not cover the costs of a dedicated, comprehensive program. (To view the December 11 report, please visit: <http://oakland.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=2241564&GUID=7C9BA0E8-0AA4-4FD8-B533-8FF1D6AD8395>)

The potential revenue will be a result of the recovery of penalties associated with our ability to enforce it, which is unknown.” Therefore, if the City is to support the new requirements regarding abatement on public and private property, it will need additional staff, which will have to be supported by revenue apart from that generated by the ordinance, as that is not expected to fully cover the activity's costs.

Economic and Workforce Development

1) Department of Economic & workforce Development is reducing the Graphic Design Specialist in Marketing. How does the City propose to minimize expense creep to outside services for contracting the City's necessary outreach and marketing efforts going forward? Won't those costs grow to exceed the FTE and retirement/benefit avoidance? If not, why not and how?

Due to the elimination of the vacant Graphic Design Specialist position in the proposed budget, \$80,000 is proposed to perform graphic design work as needed, which will reduce cost by approximately \$42,000. This item is proposed to be added to the policy tradeoff and service buyback list. Based on current and projected needs, the cost of providing graphic design work is not anticipated to exceed the cost of a full-time position.

2) What are the ongoing costs for First Fridays? Where is it in the budget?

From February to date staff has significantly reduced the overall impact of First Fridays on City resources by implementing new measures that have resulted in a safer, more manageable event. These include: reducing the event footprint by 50%; ending the event one hour earlier, and, enforcing a ban on open containers. As a result, staff was able to lower OPD presence from a high of 34 personnel to 10, which reduced costs from approximately \$45,000 per month to approximately \$7,500 per month. Staff was also able to reduce VMA presence from a high of 30 security guards to a low of 12 in May, which has reduced costs from \$11,000 per month to \$5,000 per month. The City continues to incur approximately \$3,000 in monthly costs from outside vendors for street closure crew/equipment and \$1,000 per month for event insurance. In March, the City notified the First Fridays event organizers that the special event permit, insurance and all of the above reference costs will become their full responsibility beginning the July 5 First Fridays and continue monthly for the life of the event. As such, no line item is being proposed for First Fridays in the City's FY 2013-15 Proposed Policy Budget.

3) What is the status of the RFP for the Day Labor Program? What is the rationale for proposing to eliminate the funding?

The FY12-13 Mid-Cycle Budget approved by the City Council included \$170,000 to operate a Day Labor Center for 1 year with one-time funding. The Proposed Budget recommends the elimination of any on-going funding of a Day Labor Center. While staff has completed the RFP for a center provider, the Proposed Budget does not recommend any new service initiatives unless the City Council directs the Administration to allocate future funding towards this program. If this is the case, the Administration will bring forward a workplan for implementing the program.

4) Under the proposed budget, how will efforts in Film/TV and digital production be handled? Have there been/can there be discussions with other entities, such as Oakland Convention Visitors Bureau (OCVB), to provide these services and/or share costs for these services?

The City's efforts in the areas of Film/TV and digital projection have been absorbed by current staff. The proposed budget calls for the addition of a new City Administrator Analyst position in the Department of Economic and Workforce development. This new staff position will be focused on business retention and new business attraction efforts. This position will collaborate with OCVB, the brokerage community and other trade organizations in these sectors to maximize business attraction efforts.

Administrative Services

1) With respect to the item titled Unfreeze or Restore Department Director or Other Administrative Positions under the Policy Tradeoffs & Service Buybacks section in the Proposed Budget, when were these positions frozen, and how/where was the salary savings accounted for in the Proposed Budget?

The Administrative Services and Community Services Department Director positions were established in the FY 2011-12 Mid-Cycle Budget in January 2012. The Administration conducted a nationwide recruitment in the fall of 2012, and subsequently held a series of interviews. Due to the City's fiscal reality, the Administration made the decision in late January 2013 to not fill the positions, rather than eliminate currently filled positions. As such, both the Administrative Services and Community Services Director positions were frozen, and the two Assistant City Administrators continue to serve the capacity as both an Assistant City Administrator and a Department Director until the City's financial condition improves. As part of the balancing measures in the Proposed Budget, all positions proposed to be frozen are savings that will be carried forward into the City's fund balance used as a citywide budget balancing measure.

2) What is the cost of the proposed new Assistant to the City Administrator?

The position added to the City Administrator's Office is the Assistant to the City Administrator, which will support the Assistant City Administrator, who is providing executive functional oversight of all of the Administrative Services that fall under the Administrative Services Department—including: the Controller's Office, Revenue, Treasury, Human Resources, and Information Technology. In addition, the Assistant City Administrator is holding the City Charter authority of the Finance Director. In addition to the Administrative Services Director being proposed to be frozen, the Proposed Budget eliminates one Administrative Service Manager II, and one Executive Assistant to achieve savings and improve support for these efforts. The savings is \$311,000 per year and the cost is \$159,000 per year; for a new savings of \$152,000.

3) Please provide the Ordinance/Resolution authorizing the establishment of the Administrative Services Department. What is the total amount budgeted for this

Department?

The establishment of the Administrative Services Department was included in the FY 2011-2013 amendment to the Biennial Budget approved by the City Council on January 31, 2012. Below is a link to resolution # 83693 amending the FY 2011-2013 Biennial Budget:

<http://oakland.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=1732555&GUID=ADEED3B5-1B64-457A-AA93-EC27B406F35C>

When the City Council adopted the amendment to the Biennial Budget, it approved the proposed recommendation to consolidate all internal service departments into an Administrative Services Department for FY 12-13. The Administration's Proposed Biennial Budget was adopted subject to the additions contained in Exhibit A and attached to Resolution 83693, as well as modifications of the budget made by the City Council. Through this action, the City Council adopted all other proposals contained in the Administration's budget.

By adopting the proposed subject to the Exhibit A amendments, the City Council implemented the creation of an Administrative Services Department as identified in the Proposed Biennial Budget on pages 5, 21, and 22. This was re-affirmed with the adoption of the Mid-Cycle Budget in July 2012. Below is a link to the FY 2012-2013 Adopted Mid-Cycle Budget:

<http://oakland.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=2081576&GUID=41156602-4445-4AB8-BAEA-011F3E093833>

The City Council adopted the proposals of the City Administrator, subject to additions and modifications made in Attachment A to Resolution # 83943. In doing so, the City Council reaffirmed the direction to accept the proposed recommendation to continue with the creation of the Administrative Services Department and unfreezing the Administrative Services Director position.

In March 2013, the City Administrator sent a letter to all Bargaining Groups providing them with an update on the amendment to the Oakland Municipal Code (OMC) Chapter 2.29, titled "City Agencies, Departments and Offices" stating that the amendment to OMC Chapter 2.29 will be brought forward for the City Council's review as part of the budget process. The City Administrator also reported to the City Council that it is estimated that we would file the required documents in June.

4) A few years back, City staff stated they were looking to promote reserved monthly parking at the Clay Street garage to nearby businesses to increase revenue. Was this done? What was the outcome? If not done, why not?

Public Works assumed oversight of the City's garages in mid-2012 and the Parking Manager position was eliminated in conjunction with the reorganization of Parking due to the budget impacts on the dissolution of redevelopment. As such, Public Works staff is not aware of any commitments by prior staff in Parking Division. Currently, Douglas Parking is contracted to manage the Clay Street Garage. Douglas Parking has indicated that they had not been in contact with nearby businesses, but would be willing to do so. Public Works staff will discuss marketing and outreach plan with Douglas Parking.

The contracts for several of the City's garages, including Clay Street, have expired and are currently operating on a month-to-month basis. Public Works staff is in the process of issuing a Request for Proposals (RFP) for new management and anticipates coming to Council in the fall with a recommendation for a new parking management firm to manage City garages.

5) What is the number of reserved monthly parking users at the Clay Street garage and how much revenue is generated? Are all the reserved parking stalls in the basement? If not, what other floors are used? How many parking stalls are in the basement? How many are

available? If all the reserved parking stalls were utilized, how much revenue would that generate?

There are 30 reserved parking spots (staff and non-staff) in the Clay Street Garage that total \$4,183 in revenue each month. All reserve parking is located in the basement of the Clay Street garage. Currently, there are a total of 80 spaces in the basement. If the City were to lease the other 50 spaces at the \$180 non-staff rate, this would generate an additional \$9,000 monthly. However, it is unlikely that the City can fill these spaces with reserved parking because demand for reserved monthly parking has declined. At this location, transient (i.e. daily) parking demand has historically been high.

6) What would be the cost of replacing the servers that handle the email for City employees?

Information Technology (IT) has been exploring comprehensive, scalable email solutions which can leverage current technologies and meet industry standards. As part of this endeavor, IT has been working with Microsoft to evaluate on-premise as well as cloud-based messaging solutions, which will alleviate many of the existing concerns and issues with the City's current email system. With certain assumptions made, Microsoft provided an initial high-level proposal for a cloud-based email system. Microsoft estimated the cost of such a migration to be roughly \$750,000 (one-time cost). A comparable on-premise or hybrid solution is estimated to cost an additional \$750,000 to \$950,000 (one-time cost). Staff is in the process of developing costs for on-going maintenance and licensing and will include this information in a supplemental report.

7) In the Services Impact Section of the Proposed Budget where it mentions freezing 1 FTE for IT (pg. X-4), is this a net increase in unfrozen IT positions based on positions frozen in past years?

The FY 2012-13 Midcycle Budget contained 62.00 FTE for the Information Technology division of Administrative Services. The FY 2013-15 proposed budget includes 67.00 FTE in both years. The increase is due to a combination of the following: unfreezing 2.00 FTE Spatial Data Analyst III, transferring in 1.00 FTE Systems Analyst III from Public Works, unfreezing 1.00 FTE Telecommunications System Engineers, unfreezing 1.00 FTE Telephone Services Specialist, transferring in 1.00 FTE Microcomputer Systems Specialist II from Public Works, while freezing 1.00 FTE Microcomputer Systems Specialist II that was funded by Measure Q.

Planning & Building

1) With respect to the Financial Summaries section of the Proposed Budget, what is the balance in the Development Services Fund (2415)? With the recent uptick we don't need any of these positions?

Below is a table detailing the balance in the Development Services Fund:

Development Service Fund (2415)

Project Fund Balance

Fund 2415

Project-to-Date Revenue

172,064,183
Project-to-Date Expenditure
174,101,399
Beginning Fund Balance (Deficit) - June 30, 2012
(2,037,216)

FY 2012-13 Projected Revenue
22,988,706
FY 2012-13 Projected Expenditure
22,865,250
Projected Surplus / (Deficit)
123,456

Projected Fund Balance (Deficit) - June 30, 2013
(1,913,761)

FY 2013-14 Proposed Budget, Revenue
23,309,000
FY 2013-14 Proposed Budget, Expenditure
23,276,233

32,767

Projected Fund Balance (Deficit) - June 30, 2014
(1,880,994)

To clarify, the nine vacant positions will be frozen and not eliminated from the budget. This will allow staff to review the status of revenue and service demand on a quarterly basis (or more frequently, if needed) to determine if the service demand and operational needs outweigh the need to pay back the negative fund balance. There will also be changes in workload as Accela is fully implemented that can be better evaluated as the year progresses.

2) How many blight complaints are received by Code Enforcement per month, on average?

The average number of blight complaints received per month between years 2011 – 2013 is 600.

3) What would be the cost per position of hiring more code inspectors?

The cost (burdened, excluding overhead) for 1.00 FTE Specialty Combination Inspector (Step 2) is \$116,176 in FY 13-14 and \$118,984 in FY 14-15.

4) It appears that the Administration's proposed budget reduces the number of FTEs assigned to code enforcement. Yet, the proposed budget projects a substantial increase in revenues due to code enforcement. Please explain this discrepancy.

For all properties, the City has a code enforcement program to enforce building codes related to code violation on illegal dumping/littering. The former Redevelopment Agency (ORA) previously subsidized funding to mitigate graffiti and illegal dumping on private property. Since

the dissolution of redevelopment, an alternative source of funding for this activity has not been identified. The ORA funds that PW had were for 7 FTE positions that conducted illegal dumping and graffiti removal on public property.

The current funding for the enforcement of this program is from the enterprise fund covered by the revenue derived from permit services. Due to retirements and resignations of Permit Plan Check staff, Front Counter staff, and New Construction Inspections staff – the City replaced them with Code Enforcement staff. Customer service at the front counter, timely issuance of permits and timely responses to new construction inspections are the department’s highest priorities – in order to promote economic development and job creation. In addition, these services are fully funded by permit fees. Code Enforcement (CE) is not fully funded. Demand for inspections is much higher than the City’s CE fees allow. For this reason, the Department is eliminating seven (7) vacant positions for FY 13-14 and FY 14-15. For the public properties, there is no change from the current operation related to illegal dumping removal /littering within PW. As noted earlier in this memo, the Proposed Budget does provide an illegal dumping mitigation proposal listed in the Policy Tradeoffs & Service Buybacks portion of the proposed budget that can be considered by the City Council, if they wish to do so (see page A-3 of the Proposed Budget).

Revenue

1) Provide a matrix of Budget Revenue Projections for the last 7 years and the actual revenues for each budget year.

Attached to this memo is a table depicting 7-year revenue projections vs. actuals (Attachment J). Please note that staff is unable to locate the explanation notes on the variance for FY 2006-07. From a review of the table, the major differences were in Property Tax (\$10.88 million or 11.51% variance), Real Estate Transfer Tax (\$19.22 million or 31.98% variance); and Fund Transfers (\$33.71 million or 167.46% variance). The City’s standard practice is to update the projections on a quarterly basis through the Revenue and Expenditure report that is presented to the City Council on a quarterly basis. Please note that the attached document only shows the revenue figures in the adopted budget vs. actual realization, quarterly revisions are not reflected.

2) Economic Factors and how are they projected throughout the 2 year budget and the 5 year plan? Example. Such as real estate property transfer growth at 5.2% per month 2012 over 2011? Did this get factored in to the revenue forecasts and if so what is the year by year projected expectations for the transfer tax growth rate?

The following Table provides the growth rates for tax revenues over the 5-Year plan. All economic data available through the second quarter of the 2012-13 fiscal year has been incorporated into this forecast. The forecast is inherently an estimate and thus is subject to revision as new economic data become available.

Revenue Type
FY 2012-13 to
FY 2013-14
Growth Rate
FY 2013-14 to
FY 2014-15
Growth Rate
FY 2014-15 to
FY 2015-16
Growth Rate

FY 2015-16 to
FY 2016-17
Growth Rate
FY 2016-17 to
FY 2017-18
Growth Rate
Property
Tax
3.4%
4%
2%
4%
5%
Sales
Tax
0% *
3%
4%
4%
4%
Business
License Tax
7.3%
3%
3%
4%
4%
Utility
Consumption
Tax
1.8%
0%
0%
0%
0%
Real Estate
Transfer Tax
-1.6% *
3%
4%
4%
4%
Transient
Occupancy Tax
6.9%
2%
3%
3%
3%
Parking
Tax
5.3%
2%
3%

3%
3%

*0 or negative growth is due to the recognition of non-recurring revenues in FY 2012-13 known as of the 2nd Quarter.

Note: FY 12-13 figures reflect the 2nd Quarter Revenue & Expenditure Report.

3) Revenues were revised upward for both FYs 13/14 and 14/15 yet they still lag our rate of expenditure growth. Will any of the revenue adjustments in the current FY 13/14 budget continue and are they already in the FY 14/15 budget projections?

Revenues were revised upward from the five year financial plan to the proposed budget, yet continue to lag growth in expenditures. Due to the rapid rate growth in health care and retirement expenditures, it is unlikely that even a very robust recovery will produce sufficient ongoing revenue to keep pace with anticipated expenditures. Further information on key economic indicators is provided in the Budget Highlights Section (which resides in the Letter of Transmittal) in the Proposed Budget.

4) The Proposed Budget has continuing reductions in City FTEs in both budget years; we need estimates of the average revenue per City FTE and estimated lost revenues per recommended reduced FTE's and those estimates need to show the on-going if any loss of revenues due to force reductions. In other words, all reductions need to be net reduction and not simply cost avoidance estimations.

The Proposed Budget does not propose reductions in any revenue generating positions within the City. Positions such as parking enforcement officers, tax enforcement officers, and tax auditors were preserved. Thus, there are no lost revenues associated with positions recommended for reduction in the Proposed Budget.

5) Further explain the revenue changes listed on Pg. D-7 of the Proposed Budget.

A comprehensive analysis on the GPF revenue by category will be presented in the 3rd Quarter Revenue & Expenditure Report in May. It is estimated that approximately \$4M in revenue will be realized in FY 2014/15 as a result of implementation of the Asset Management Program.

Below is an explanation of the detail listed in the Significant Revenue Changes for the General Purpose Fund in the Proposed Budget (pg. D-7):

One-time parking citation revenues from proposed booting intergovernmental partnership: Booting is a method the City currently utilizes for staff to collect parking citations from parking patrons with more than 5 outstanding tickets as provided by State Law. State law provides that a vehicle may be booted if it has 5 outstanding tickets in California; however currently our enforcement personnel can only proactively search for tickets issued in Oakland. The proposed intergovernmental partnership would allow the City to view tickets from other jurisdictions substantially increasing the number of boot eligible vehicles. Both jurisdictions would benefit from increased revenue and more efficient collection of outstanding parking citations.

Credit Card Convenience Fees: There will be a proposal in the forthcoming Master Fee Schedule to charge convenience fees for the use of credit cards to offset the City's credit card servicing costs.

RD Pass Through: The Treasury Division is in the process of restructuring a bond series of the former Redevelopment Agency. The \$400,000 represents the City's pro-rata share of reduced debt service to the Successor Agency, which will result in additional next tax increment dollars

that will be distributed to the City's "boomerang funds" as a result of this restructuring.

Increase Billboard Revenues: The City's Planning & Building Division believes that the City will receive \$950,000 in FY2013-14 and \$475,000 in FY2014-15 from billboard advertising. The negotiations underlying these revenues are ongoing.

6) Are there any entities that could be eligible to pay a business license tax or transient occupancy tax that are not currently paying those taxes? If so, please identify those entities and estimate how much additional revenue could be generated for the city.

The Administration does not exempt businesses from paying business license tax or transient occupancy tax who are required to pay such taxes under the City's tax ordinance. In addition, State Law prohibits taxing certain types of businesses (such as financial institutions and non-profit enterprises). The City's ordinances that establish these taxes do contain exemptions and changing these ordinances would require approval of the electorate. The City does have a "Business Tax and Sales Tax Incentive Program" which was created in October 2009 to attract medium and large employers to the City. As these attraction programs are design to attract business to the City that would otherwise not locate here, their elimination would reduce rather than augment City revenues. More information on these programs is available on the economic development website:

<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/Government/o/CityAdministration/d/EconomicDevelopment/index.htm>.

Public Safety—Police

1) Of the 47 positions identified in the 2008 Informational report on positions that could be civilianized in the Police Department:

a. How many of these positions still exist? Have or are any of these positions slated for civilianization? If so, what is the timeframe? What costs are involved with these transitions? Is there overlap here regarding the pending transition from Internal Affairs to the Civilian Police Review Board?

While all of the 47 positions still exist, none of the 47 sworn positions have been supplemented with civilian classifications. However, it should be noted that the additional Police Evidence Technicians identified in the policy tradeoffs in the Proposed Budget are among the 47 sworn positions that were identified for civilianization in the 2008 report.

As context, in the last 6 years, it had been the City's general practice to eliminate positions (not to add positions). For example, in this timeframe, 720 FTE positions were eliminated from the Budget. Specifically, sworn officers were reduced by 27% from 837 to 611 FTEs and civilian positions were reduced by 34% from 394 to 261 FTEs.

The City's methodology to improve OPD services requires that the sworn FTE total be maintained and that the City fund new civilian positions to address service demand. Given that the City has not had the funds to initiate this model of civilianization over the last 6 years, these efforts have been slowed. However, with the available funding, the City has been able to make investments.

Since 2012, the City has been moving toward adding civilians to the Police Department. For example, only recently, the City Council approved adding three civilian staff to the Office of Inspector General; 8 staff for intake complaints; 20 Police Services Technicians; and, 1 Latent Examiner. In FY 2013-15, 5 Dispatchers are proposed to be added to the Police

Department's budget; for a total increase to the civilian count at 37.

The remaining positions that are identified in the 2008 staff report would require the following:

- * Impact bargaining with the appropriate unions;
- * Additional appropriation for the civilian positions, because they are not included in the current budget; and,
- * Approval by the Compliance Director.

b. For any position not slated for civilianization, why hasn't the position been slated for civilianization?

During contract negotiations, the City agreed not to civilianize certain positions and to place a cap on the number of annuitants that OPD can hire. For other positions, the City would have to establish a meet and confer with the Oakland Police Officers Association (OPOA) and possibly other bargaining groups. Please note, this City has not had the appropriate funding in place to initiate this type of Civilianization effort because of a lack of funds to invest in new staff positions given the staffing reductions that have occurred over the last 6 years.

c. What is the current salary for each position/ what are the salary savings if civilianized? Staff will be seeking clarification on what this question is asking. As such, we will respond accordingly.

2) How many additional sworn police positions could feasibly be made available for direct policing work within the two-year budget period if additional civilians were hired? What would be the cost for such hiring?

In an environment where public safety service demand is relatively constant or increases (responding to calls for service, filing out crime reports, investigating crimes, work with respect to adhering to State Law), existing staff are left to absorb current workload with less staffing and capacity. For example, four Police Officers are currently performing the functions of Police Evidence Technicians (PET). To fill the four PET positions with civilian staff, it would cost \$104,997.14 per PET, which totals \$419,988.56. A complete department review is needed to determine what would be required and how civilization would relieve the resources of sworn staffing. Until a more complete study is performed, existing staff is trying to keep up with the increased level of demand with less resources.

3) With the proposed increases in the Police Department budget, what levels of accountability will be?

OPD has many levels of accountability. The current oversight structure includes a Federal Monitor, a federally appointed Compliance Director, and the City's organizational structure where the Police Department falls under the management purview of the City Administrator. In addition, the Administration has legislative accountability to the City Council. Furthermore, given this unique structure, OPD has a myriad of policies that direct action that staff need to take towards improving the Department's functions. Additionally, the City has engaged some of the best law enforcement minds to provide department recommendations to yield results in crime fighting and ensuring safe and secure communities.

The proposed additional resources in the Police Department budget is a result of the

Administration's commitment to reinvesting in public safety resources to be able to provide the basic level of service delivery for the Oakland community. It should be noted that by replenishing the staffing levels through the programming of two academies over the next two fiscal years, this will, in turn, hardly exceed the national standard of the amount of sworn resources that OPD needs—but rather stop the further reduction of sworn resources caused by monthly attrition. The investment in civilian staff is key in supporting and enhancing OPD's effectiveness in promoting public safety.

4) Beginning in September to the present please provide, by month, each sworn position that has been vacated due to retirement, resignation or disability. List out each separately.

Please refer to Attachment K.

5) In the Financial Summaries section of the Proposed Budget (pg. D-11 under Non-Departmental), why is the proposal to allocate \$2M each year for the Sheriff and CHP in the Proposed Budget despite the fact that there are two Academies each FY?

While the Proposed Budget includes the addition of two academies each FY, this not an immediate staffing solution given that it can take anywhere between 12-15 months from the time that the academy application period begins to when members of the academy actually graduate. In addition, proposed additional two academies per FY will only bring the sworn level to 697 FTEs—will just provide a basic level of service delivery to Oakland. The proposed budget earmarks \$2M for service agreements with outside law enforcement agencies to provide immediate supplemental patrol services, subject to their agreement to provide continued services. This earmark is to provide immediate high visibility in key areas throughout the City, in order to assist with crime suppression, investigation and Operation Ceasefire. As such, this earmark provides an immediate solution due to the shortage of staffing available in OPD. The MOU with the CHP for Crime Suppression Assistance was approved by the City Council on May 7, 2013. CHP will provide high visibility patrols in selected focused enforcement areas up to four nights per week for a period of 90 days from May 10, 2013 to August 8, 2013, with one option to renew for 90 days.

6) If current monthly police office attrition continues at 4.5 per month, how can the Proposed Budget achieve a sustained staffing level of 697? What other actions, incentives, and therefore costs will accrue to tackle attrition and recruitment and or lateral transfers of officers?

The Proposed Budget creates 697 budgeted sworn positions. Where salary savings exist through attrition, those funds can be used for future academies in the event that staffing levels fall well behind authorized strength during the budget cycle. Several options to bring staffing levels up to the authorized levels using salary savings (budget neutral) could include:

- * Holding a lateral academy;
- * Holding an additional police academy;
- * Holding an academy for post academy graduates; or
- * Moving up the start date of academies that have already been approved.

The Former Police Chief was conducting research in developing a retention plan for OPD. More information will be coming from the Department in the next months.

7) What are the fixed and variable costs of running another academy during the budget cycle? Are there economies of scale and possible reductions in spending if there are concurrent or nearly concurrent academies and is there an impact upon service delivery when or if an additional academy is ran? If so, what is the impact of overtime, less officers

on patrol; reduced investigations, and the like?

The City's costs related to police academies are documented in the March 2013 information memorandum on Police Officer Academy Costs. Please see below link:
(<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/oakca1/groups/cityadministrator/documents/memorandum/oak040265.pdf>)

The City's costs related to police academies are documented in the information memorandum on Police Officer Academy Costs. As the memorandum notes, there are few economies of scale in running multiple academies in close succession. The goal of running multiple academies would be to accelerate the hiring of police officers.

8) Police and emergency communications is itemized as an unfunded technological project in the Proposed Budget. Given the NSA and the new compliance officer role how and where will the Administration find funding for these needed enhancements? Are there Federal Grant, Justice Department and or Homeland Security funding sources or will this necessary outlay lead to further budget shortfalls? How do the City Administrator and staff propose funding this outlay in the 13/14 Budget?

It should be noted that the City does not maintain a "sinking" fund for these types of expenditures. In absence of a sinking fund, the funding for emerging public safety technology for needed enhancements will be based on a financing structure that depends on a repayment schedule based on the useful life of the procured item. Such technological enhancements include the i-Pas system (Personnel Assessment System), RMS (Records Management System), CAD (Computer Aided Dispatch) System, Public Safety Radio Systems and MDTs (Mobile Data Terminals). This financing structure mirrors the approach that was recently used to procure our City's Fleet resources. To the extent that grants are available, similar to past City practice, we will aggressively pursue them.

9) Per the second quarter FY 2012/2013 report Police overtime was \$7.7 million. What are the projections for Police overtime throughout the 13/14 and 14/15 budget? When does the Mayor and the Chief expect to gain control over overtime, is that detail in Proposed Budget and if so, when and how? Specifically, in the answering of the how, what are their associated costs and outlays and are those costs and outlays detailed and funded fully in the Proposed Budget?

The issue of OPD overtime (OT) is a long-standing topic that has required close management. The City allocates approximately \$15M for discretionary and non-discretion OT expenditures. However, the use of OT given the City's low staffing suggests that the number is lower than the amount needed.

The FY 2012-13 projections are based on current actual spending. The main drivers of police OT are backfill (mandatory OT) and reimbursed special events. OT also is used to maintain investigation capacity or patrol staff to ensure beats are covered. The current trend in spending will likely continue through FYs 2013-14 and 2014-15 unless policies to increase the OT allocation, increase staffing, or provide resources to change service delivery models. (e.g. limit response to 911 calls). As sworn staffing increases, it is anticipated that the need for funds spent on mandatory OT/backfilling will decrease. Below is a chart of the Oakland Police Department's Historical Overtime - FYs 2008 through 2012 Overtime Projection FY 2012-13:

Fiscal Year
Adjusted Budget
YE Actuals
51200 - Overtime

FY 07-08
16,504,412
\$27,563,239
FY 08-09
18,126,303
\$18,582,955
FY 09-10
15,357,775
\$15,395,378
FY 10-11
17,784,253
\$15,000,224
FY 11-12
15,137,155
\$21,793,253
FY 12-13*
14,835,148
\$24,118,064

*Projected based on the 2nd Quarter of FY 2012-13

10) Beyond staffing increases in OPD as proposed in the Mayor's Budget; what specific projects, technology enhancements, and management practices/training is in the budget to move non-critical 911 calls for service off the 911 dispatch system and process? Are these tactics, practices, and technologies funded or unfunded and at what levels in the proposed budget?

OPD is currently working with law enforcement experts who have produced a series of reports that provide assistance in improving performance of the Department in a variety of ways. First, the Compliance Director recently issued a Remedial Action plan that not only focuses on compliance with the NSA, but also focuses on areas broader than the NSA (e.g. improving performance), which will help to achieve modern police practices. Second, the Bratton Group recently completed its review of the OPD, and has provided recommendations with respect to short-term crime fighting strategies to address homicides, burglaries, robberies.

Lastly, OPD is currently finalizing a call reduction plan, which will reduce dispatched calls by 10%-15%. The Department expects to begin to implement the plan in late May or early June of this year. With respect to technology enhancements in general, please refer to question #8 above.

11) Is the addition of a Program Analyst for the Ethics Commission a permissible use of Measure Y funds? (Pg. D-13)

The added Program Analyst is funded by the General Purpose Fund (GPF), to support Public Ethics, Citizens Police Review Board (CPRB), and the Youth Commission. There is a .50 FTE currently funded by Measure Y, which supports the Measure Y evaluation program.

12) What is the cost of the 1 FTE Animal Control Officer?

The cost for filling the vacant Animal control Officer positions is \$73,777 for FY 2013-14 and \$75,569 for FY 2014-15.

13) What span of coverage for Shotspotter technology is covered by the proposed budget? What would be the financial cost to have a police team to respond consistently to Shotspotter alerts?

The Shotspotter technology currently covers about 80% of the City. To have the resources for a team that responds consistently to ShotSpotter alerts, this would consist of 2 FTE Sergeants and 16 FTE Police Officers. There are several high priority needs that would go unmet if OPD were to assign two squads to ShotSpotter. These needs include patrol, traffic enforcement, and the investigation of violent crimes. ShotSpotter is currently installed in every patrol car. As sworn strength increases, patrol officers will be able to respond to ShotSpotter calls, and high priority emergency calls in general, in a more timely manner.

14) What is the current cost per Police Officer for training and recruitment? Under the program police officers who receive city of Oakland funded academy training, and who then use that training to seek a police job elsewhere are required to refund part of their trainings costs. What is the current amount that is required to be repaid? By how much would this charge have to be increased in order to be fully cost-covering?

Excluding the salaries of the Police Officer Trainees, the actual cost to recruit and train an officer is approximately \$20,000. Any changes to the current reimbursement policy would require a change to the OPOA MOU. The OPOA MOU addresses academy reimbursement, as follows:

Police Office Trainee Training Costs: The parties recognize that in the past a substantial number of persons have accepted the benefit of training at the Oakland Police Academy and then have voluntarily separated from service to join other safety agencies or have decided for personal reasons that police work is not their preference. The purpose of this provision is to insure that the recruit either accept a commitment of service to the City or be responsible for costs associated with Academy training. Thus the parties agree that any member who, prior to completing five years of service, voluntarily separates from service with the department shall be responsible for reimbursing the City, on a full or prorated basis, for the \$8000 cost of his/her training at the Police Academy. A schedule of the member's reimbursement responsibility is set forth as follows:

Length of Service	% of Repayment Due
Separation prior to 1 year	100% repayment of the \$8,000
Separation after 1 year but before completing the second year	80% repayment of the \$8,000
Separation after 2 years but before completing the third year	60% repayment of the \$8,000
Separation after 3 years but before completing the fourth year	40% repayment of the \$8,000
Separation after 4 years but before completing the fifth year	20% repayment of the \$8,000
Separation after 5 years	0% repayment

- * Separation prior to 1 year. 100% repayment of the \$8,000
- * Separation after 1 year but before completing the second year 80% repayment of the \$8,000.
- * Separation after 2 years but before completing the third year 60% repayment of the \$8,000.
- * Separation after 3 years but before completing the fourth year 40% repayment of the \$8,000.
- * Separation after 4 years but before completing the fifth year 20% repayment of the \$8,000.
- * Separation after 5 years 0% repayment

15) What will the service impact be from the Proposed Budget on animal control services? What other options have been explored, including working together with other agencies, to provide effective animal control services?

The proposed budget does not change the current service level. The 1 Animal Control Officer is proposed to be frozen. Please note that this position has been vacant since March 2011, resulting in delayed service. As part of the budget balancing process, we explored many options. However, this is not part of the proposed budget.

16) Of the actions recently recommended by police Compliance Director Frazier, how many of them could be undertaken within the proposed budget? What budget changes does the Administration recommend, based on that report?

A supplemental report will be presented to the City Council to address the funding strategy.

17) Based on the chart from City Administrator's supplemental budget memo dated 4/25/13, what would be the cost of hiring 5 Police Evidence Technicians, 2 Criminalist IIs, 2 Criminalist IIIs, 1 Latent Fingerprint Examiner, and 2 additional Police Dispatchers (not including the five already budgeted for in the proposed budget) with a start date of January

1, 2014, and four Police Records Specialists, one Police Records Supervisor, four Police Dispatchers, one Police Communications Supervisor, and two Administrative Analysts (Crime Analysis) with a start date of July 1, 2014. Can the Administration provide a few options as to how would the City fund those positions?

The cost of hiring five Police Evidence Technicians, two Criminalist IIs, two Criminalist IIIs, One Latent Fingerprint Examiner, and two additional Police Dispatchers (not including the five already budgeted for in the proposed budget) with a start date of January 1, 2014 are detailed below:

- * FY13-14 cost (six months, salary and benefits only): \$626,356
- * FY14-15 (12 months, salary and benefits only, not accounting for possible cost escalation due to step increases and increased fringe and retirement benefit costs): \$1,253,072

Positions	
FTE	
s	
Salary & Benefits	
If filled on	
July 1, 2013	
If filled on Jan 1,	
2014	
Police Communications	
Dispatcher	
2	
115,102.08	
230,20	
4	
115,102	
Latent Print Examiner II	
1	
125,728.00	
125,72	
8	
62,864	
Criminalist II	
2	
135,472.46	
270,94	
5	
135,473	
Criminalist III	
2	
165,706.67	
331,41	
3	
165,707	
Police Evidence Technician	
5	
104,997.14	
524,98	
6	
262,493	

Total

1,253,072
626,536

The cost of hiring four Police Records Specialists, one Police Records Supervisor, four Police Dispatchers, one Police Communications Supervisor, and two Administrative Analysts (Crime Analysis) with a start date of July 1, 2014 are detailed below:

* FY14-15 (12 months, salary and benefits only, not accounting for possible cost escalation): \$802,976. 6 months' salary would amount to \$401,488.

Positions	
FTE	
s	
Salary & Benefits	
If Filled on	
July 1, 2014	
If filled on	
January 1,	
2015	
Police Records Specialist	
4	
80,082.76	
	320,331
	160,166
Police Records Supervisor	
1	
118,700.00	
	118,700
	59,350
Police Communications	
Supervisor	
1	
	139,621.25
	139,621
	69,811
Administrative Analyst II	
2	
112,161.85	
	224,324
	112,162
Total	

802,976
401,488

All known revenue sources that could be used to fund these positions have been identified in the Proposed Budget. Potential funding sources for these positions could include the seeking grant funds (depending on availability) and/or the prioritization of expenditures during the FY 13-15 budget process.

18) Many of the City's young adult re-entry population and at-risk youth are in need of a variety of services. In addition to the level of services and programs funded directly through Oakland Unite (Measure Y), how can the city find additional funds and work more closely with the County to maximize our impact on crime prevention?

The Department of Human Services (DHS) has been able to leverage the Measure Y tax dollars allocated for violence prevention services raising over \$15 million in federal and state funding over the past six years to augment existing Measure Y strategies, primarily juvenile and adult reentry funds. Having flexible local match dollars such as Measure Y and following best practices has made Oakland Unite very competitive for grant funding. All Oakland Unite grants were accomplished with various partners including community-based agencies, Alameda County Probation and Health Care, and the Oakland Unified School District (OUSD).

In addition to the \$6.6 million dollars that is budgeted for crime prevention services in Measure Y, the proposed budget includes approximately \$12 million for Kids First, in each fiscal year.

While DHS has staff capable of writing grants, they typically hire a grant writer for larger applications. Grant proposals, even with a grant writer, utilized much staff time. Over the last year, Oakland Unite has been limited in its fundraising due to staff vacancies. DHS does not believe funding specific City positions for grant writing to be an effective strategy. It is their experience that utilizing flexible funds to hire grant writers with specific expertise in a subject area or experience with a given funder will garner more success.

It should also be noted that grant funding given its time limited nature is best used and most likely attained when proposing to build on existing work rather than attempting to start something new. It is also not typically helpful for providing basic infrastructure support but rather for augmentation or expansion of successful work.

19) Where are Asset Forfeiture Funds in the Police Department's Budget?

Asset forfeiture budget requests are made through separate Council action, outside of the 2 year Budget Process. Budgeting asset forfeiture funds in advance is discouraged in the Federal Asset Forfeiture Guidelines. The guidelines state:

c. Anticipated shared property should not be budgeted-Agencies should not "spend it before you get it" or budget anticipated receipts. Receiving agencies may not commit to the spending of sharing monies for a certain purpose in advance. For example, if a local law enforcement agency files a Form DAG-71 to request a 50 percent share of \$100,000, the \$50,000 should not be obligated or budgeted for two reasons: (1) the completion of the forfeiture is uncertain; and (2) the amount of the sharing that will ultimately be approved is also uncertain.

Also, it should be noted that the revenues vary greatly from year to year. For example, during FYs 10-11 and 11-12, Asset Forfeiture revenues averaged approximately \$300,000, which accounted for less than 1% of the total OPD Budget.

If revenues fall short of estimates, the fund could go negative. OPD is preparing a request to accept and appropriate asset forfeiture funds, and will have it ready for the City

Administrator's review within the next few months. This link as an example of the asset forfeiture budget requests OPD has made in the past.

<http://clerkwebsvr1.oaklandnet.com/attachments/19905.pdf>

20) With respect to the item titled Additional Police Civilian Staffing under the Policy Tradeoffs & Service Buybacks section in the Proposed Budget, provide the costing on the Year 1 and Year 2 priorities.

This information was provided in a memorandum issued on April 25, 2013 addressing questions raised at the April 2, 2013 City Council meeting.

Public Safety—Fire

1) How much revenue is projected to be received annually from the fire inspections of business locations? How many inspections are projected to be done and what is the fee per inspection?

The Fire Department is projecting \$600,000.00 in revenue from commercial inspections. At a rate of \$158.00 per inspection, the City is projecting to complete 3800 commercial inspections.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

DONNA HOM
Budget Director

Attachments (11):

- (a) List of vacant positions/budgeted amount
- (b) Vacancy Rates Chart
- (c) Impacted Positions List
- (d) Historical Expenditures by Department
- (e) City Administrator's Office Organization Charts
- (f) List of Funds where GPF is a Source
- (g) Position Costs by Category: Neighborhood Investment, Housing & Community Development and Parks & Recreation
- (h) Negative Fund Position Transfers
- (i) Recreation Center Enrollment
- (j) 7-year Revenue Projections vs. Actuals
- (k) List of Sworn Vacancies

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2014 11:48 AM
To: Kalb, Dan; Luby, Oliver
Subject: information on shotspotter discussion at city council meetings.

Since July of 2013, I have been asking questions about the Shotspotter program. I was ultimately directed to David Downing for answers. I submitted him an email with four questions.

I am afraid we are wasting money on a non-effective technology that is also violating our rights. The people that support this system are persuaded by the companies promises of a "protective bubble" and a reduction in crime. After 8 years and 1 failed effort already under the cities belts, We are not using it as discussed, WE are making the same mistakes we made in the first place. There is no significant reduction in crime, and there is no data to support it's effectiveness this system has reducing crime, in ANY of the 90 cities. I am very curious as to why we have this system, and are considering expansion.

After months of nonproductive phone calls and emails, I had taken a night off from my kids to attend a public safety meeting at the Rockridge Library to see if I could get my questions answered in person. I asked Dan Kalb and Assistant Chief Paul Figueroa if there was anyone responsible for answering the many questions I had submitted. I've been calling and sending emails to city council and the company itself, since July with no response, I've asked many people at city hall, and I'm always funneled to the OPD. Invariably I was told to contact Capt. E. Joyner, who is the dedicated staff in charge of the Shotspotter program. Since I had failed at engaging in a meaningful discussion about data, and privacy rights with Captain Joyner, I went to the public safety meeting in hopes that an in-person inquiry would facilitate answers and show that I am serious about finding answers, and am not satisfied with the silence, esp from my councilman Dan Kalb. After asking a question at the meeting, I was told to talk to Assistant Chief Figuerora directly after the meeting, Assistant Chief Figueroa told me he could try and answer as best he could, if I would submit him just four questions and that he would get back to me in a week or so. At his request, I limited my questions to four although I had many more than this.

Assistant chief Figueroa then set up a meeting for me to meet with Asst chief David Downing, who also received the questions by email before the meeting.

This is Question 2 verbatim, which I emailed Assistant Chief Figueroa.

Why is this program in place without the staffing needed?

This system already failed one time as stated by Captain Joyner, because there was not adequate staff, and there were too many false alarms. Larry Reid, Rebecca Kaplan, Pat Kernighan, Jean Quan, Wayne Tucker, Capt. Joyner, and VP from SST all stated in city council meetings that this system would cost \$2-3 Million, Rebecca Kaplan stated as recently as 2012 in a discussion that she talked to chief of police and this would not work with at least \$1million worth of staffing. I was told, as of Dec. 2013, there is \$0 or staffing for this program by an OP officer.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zxSPrPa3rzE&feature=c4-overview&list=UU-UtfRkcbHpdr_oFQ7LrRQ

At the meeting with David Downing and Sgt. Holly Joshi, this was content of the question and answer session regarding Question 2:

A. "Because Oakland didn't pay Shotspotter, the contract was cancelled, Now we paid it and it's back "

Q. "Well, why is there no dedicated staff as suggested in city council meetings?"

A. "There is dedicated staff, You have been in contact with him, its Capt. E. Joyner."
Back in September 27th 2011, during a council meeting where city council asked E. Joyner about SST, (current)President Pat Kernighan asked Capt. Joyner for a statistical informational report detailing Shotspotter's effectiveness,"we want numbers of things, we want to be able to quantify how many we responded to. how many crimes were solved with shotspotter" To date, Capt. E. Joyner has not provided a report on data from SST.Captain Joyner told me that any information I needed could be obtained through an information request from the city. Other than evading data and privacy questions and promoting SST at city council meetings, what has Capt. Joyner done as the dedicated staff for Shotspotter?

Here are the quotes that supports the confusion I had, and why I had asked the question.

"What I remember is we were going to try this (Shotspotter) in a trial area and it spread maybe a little larger then expected, then we have the problem in how we're going to use it....If so I think the expectations should be really clear... I was willing to back the original pilot. But I also think we weren't really clearly told what the maximum cost would be to bring it into full scale...if we knew in the long term it was going to be a \$3 million project we would've thought twice about something that really will not be effective until we get over \$2 million and that would've been helpful to know. ----Jean Quan city council meeting 5/14/08

"One of the conversations, that I've had with the chief, and I think some others have as well, is about what it would take for police staffing, to be able to consistently respond, to, to all the shotspotter incidents, I know that's a discussion that will be continuing, as estimated it would be around a MILLION DOLLARS A YEAR to staff up something like that, so I'm glad we're taking this step, and people should know, you shoot a gun we're going to catch you" ----Rebecca Kaplan, city council meeting 9/25/2012

"I was involved with a number of you when looking into the Shotspotter... We have seen a lot of gunfire in Oakland and we haven't seen a lot of dispatching because of the amount of resources required." Senior VP@ Shotspotter, city council meeting 5/14/08

"One of the biggest problems we had last time was we didn't have the staff to monitor the system.... second problem was we had lots of false alarms" ----Capt E. Joyner city council 9.27.11

"At the time the council authorized, I made it very apparent that in its best iteration, to get the best possible use out of shotspotter we would have to have dedicated unit or units...There was no staff that was provided... again I submit to you sir we need staff... so we can have officer and public safety. " Oakland chief of police Wayne Tucker 5/14/08

"Let me just say this, I am totally disappointed in how we've used this system...we don't a have a dedicated person assigned to this, like some other jurisdictions as part of their best practices....we are not doing the things that we need to do, to utilize this system the way intended to use... I'm just really frustrated... evidently it's not making logical sense to have a system and not utilize it. In all due respect I am just totally frustrated... I was hoping you had a dedicated someone to oversee this system when we've invested so much money into it" - Larry Reid 5.14.08.

"It seems for the amount of money.. I think it is the staffing issue, it does concern me that we are spending a lot of money to expand it, and we're not even able to respond quickly where the shots have been fired...we want numbers of things, we want to be able to quantify how many we responded to. how many crimes were solved with shotspotter..if you are talking about a data driven department it mean you use the data to analyze the success of anything..I appreciate your dedication, there are some things you can measure, however, and that I'd like you to show."
Patricia Kernighan 9/27/2011

Despite the above, Capt, Joyner claims that he was never requested to prepare an statistical report,"No report was done or requested. From this point on anything you need needs to come via public record request. Please go to city of Oakland website on how to complete"
Now that you have reviewed the above facts and prior city council discussion, please understand

that as a citizen who has done extensive research on the topic , I am not satisfied with the answers I have been given, To that end, I am requesting additional information, to clarify the confusing answers, or further meetings with the appropriate people.

I know some of you think I'm probably being difficult, but this question was not answered. The future mayor, the ex police chief, and a senior VP of Shotspotter, said we need money and dedicated staff to implement this program effectively. I don't see one statement saying the city decided against these suggested practices.

Why are we using this system if we don't follow suggested practices?

Also , after providing the information and direct questions, why was I set up in a meeting where I am not being provided with direct answers. I'm not sure why I was sent to a meeting with Mr. Downing,, when OPD has a dedicated spokesperson for the system. I also feel like my meeting was rushed , cold, and my questions weren't thoroughly read or researched.

City council report on the shotspotter system specifically says "data will be transparent and available" to the public, and provided with "integrity and respect" I have yet to find the above statement true. I am not trying to be difficult , but to satisfy the answers to my Direct questions, I cannot help but keep asking. Can someone in this city answer a concerned residents questions?. Simply because I am the only one asking is no reason to ignore me. Thank you for your time, and look forward to your response. -Jim.

From: Joe Hawkins [jhawkins@shotspotter.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2014 5:04 PM
To: Kaplan, Rebecca; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel; Kalb, Dan; Brooks, Desley;
Overman, Jason; Figueroa, Paul
Subject: Letter to the Editor
Attachments: Letter to the Editor^4-30-2014 signed.pdf

Honorable Councilmembers, Assistant Chief Figueroa,

You may have seen yesterday's "story" in the East Bay Express. Attached is a letter to the editor from Ralph Clark, SST's President & CEO, that we sent today in response. The letter should speak for itself, but we wanted you to be aware of our position and our response to the baseless assertions made in the article.

Respectfully yours,

Joe Hawkins
Senior Vice President, Operations
Tel.510.794.3155 / Cel.415.254.4764 / Fax.650.887.2106
jhawkins@shotspotter.com

Corporate Headquarters
7979 Gateway Blvd, Suite 210
Newark, CA 94560

The Fight to Stop Gun Violence Starts with ShotSpotter

From: Watson, Johnna A.
Sent: Thursday, May 02, 2013 1:57 PM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Bolton, Christopher; Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: Man and Woman Firing Gun in Backyard Arrested
Attachments: OPD Arrest 2 May 13 ShotSpotter.pdf

Man and Woman Firing Gun in Backyard Arrested

Oakland, CA — One of our commitments this new year is to strengthen our communication within our community, and better share crime information, prevention, response and enforcement results.

On April 30, 2013, at 7:00 AM, Oakland Police officers were alerted by ShotSpotter Technology that multiple gun shots were heard in the 2400 block of 26th Avenue. Oakland's Dispatch Center also received multiple calls from area residents reporting shots heard.

Callers described a male adult and a female adult shooting at cans and bottles in the backyard of a residence. ShotSpotter was able to provide information to responding officers that assisted in the detention and arrest of the subjects responsible. During the officers' preliminary investigation, they learned that one of the discharged rounds penetrated a residence. Fortunately, no one was injured or killed.

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at (510) 238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, [@oaklandpoliceca](https://twitter.com/oaklandpoliceca).

Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Department
(510) 238-7230

"The mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the people of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play and thrive free from crime and the fear of crime."

From: Mayor Jean Quan [jean@mayorjeanquan.ccsend.com] on behalf of Mayor Jean Quan [jeanquan4@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 8:32 AM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Mayor Quan's Blog: Largest Police Academy Graduates, Bike Share Coming to Oakland, Foods Co Opens in Foothill Square, and More!

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#)

Oakland Mayor Jean Quan

Dear Dan,

We hope you are enjoying our new, easier-to-read format as we alternate the events calendar ("What's Happening in Oakland") with my blog on a biweekly basis. Also, be on the lookout for periodic special editions focusing on one key policy issue. Please encourage your friends and neighbors to subscribe to these newsletters, so they can keep up with the constantly changing affairs of our city.

Oakland's Largest Police Academy Graduation;
New Poll Shows Support for Public Safety
Measure Renewal

Graduation ceremony for Oakland's 168th Police Academy
Last week, a packed crowd at the Scottish Rite Temple warmly greeted the Oakland Police Department's 168th Academy. With 47 graduates, it is the largest in OPD history. Nearly two-thirds of the new officers are people of color and about half speak a second language. Almost 14% are women, which is about the national average. The new graduates are now on the street, undergoing field training and learning through real-life situations with experienced field officers.

The next academy (the 169th) has already started and another twenty-plus officers are training in a parallel Alameda Sheriff's Academy. Since becoming Mayor, rebuilding the police force has been a priority. In fact, this is the 4th consecutive Academy after 4 years without.

As we work on the mid-term budget adjustments to Measure Y, I am working to locate funding for one more Academy next year. We also plan to apply for one more round of federal police grants.

Meanwhile a new poll shows that over 80% of possible voters support a renewal--without a tax increase--of the current Public Safety initiative that funds Problem Solving Officers for beats and violence prevention programs. The program is up for renewal in the fall election.

Foods Co Opens in Foothill Square

Foods Co management presents a \$5,000 check to neighboring Castlemont High School

Last week, I was delighted to attend the opening of the new Foods Co supermarket at Foothill Square. This ends a years-long food desert in that area and continues the exciting growth of the brand new Foothill Square shopping center. I'm pleased to report that more than 80 percent of the store's 175 employees are Oakland residents. This makes Foods Co a great community partner that will help advance our work to ensure that as our economy grows Oakland residents will have access to the jobs we're creating.

Next week, Wells Fargo Bank will open a new branch in the Square, a result of many efforts to get more banks established in East Oakland.

The Foothill Square renovation provides jobs for local residents, like these at the new Foods Co.

The Foothill Square Foods Co store is 80,000 square feet of fresh and frozen meat, vegetables and groceries. The new store is part of a \$30 million renovation of the Foothill Square shopping center, a 14-acre site that now hosts more than 200,000 square feet of retail space. Ross Dress for Less and Anna's Linens also opened anchor stores in the center earlier this month.

I want to thank Foothill Square owner Alec Murray, developer John Jay Phares, Oakland Vice Mayor Larry Reid, and the Economic Development staff for their years of work and leadership on this project. As I said in the State of the City address, all of Oakland must share in our city's renaissance. Let's support East Oakland in this new opportunity. Residents deserve good shopping (and good jobs) in every part of our city. This is a great step forward.

Bike Share to Expand to Oakland

MTC Bike Share Program in San Francisco

Over the past year, I have worked with Mayor Tom Bates of Berkeley and other East Bay mayors to advocate for an expansion of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's Bike Share program. Yesterday I voted at the Program Committee for an \$8.5 million Bike Share expansion to Oakland, Berkeley, and Emeryville.

Approximately 60 stations with at least 750 bikes are now under consideration, with space for 450 bikes in Oakland and an additional 300 in Berkeley. The recommendation will be presented at the MTC meeting on April 23rd. Currently the Bike Share program includes 700 bikes in San Francisco and the Peninsula.
bayareabikeshare.com

Cities for Black Male Achievement

President Barack Obama signs the "My Brother's Keeper" Initiative at the White House, while young men watch
Deputy Mayor Swanson and I, along with mayors from other cities, were recently invited to the White House to witness the launch of President Obama's "My Brother's Keeper" Initiative.

From April 9-11, the National League of Cities' Institute for Youth Education & Families and the Open Society Foundation's Campaign for Black Male Achievement hosted the Cities for Black Male Achievement Technical Assistance Cross-Site Convening in Oakland. On hand were city representatives from eleven cities to participate in facilitated conversations about challenges and best practices and to identify, develop and target policies to improve outcomes for African American men and boys. This is an important issue for everyone, and Oakland nonprofits are leaders in results-based research on the subject.

Deputy Mayor Swanson and I with Cities United Technical Assistance participants, including Portland Mayor Charlie Hales, Angela Glover Blackwell of PolicyLink and others

In fact, Oakland has pioneered this work as part of Cities United, an effort of the National League of Cities and the Campaign for Black Male Achievement.

Let's Go Oakland!

You can tell from the banner that we are excited about the 2014 baseball season for the A's. My husband and I were thrilled to attend the sold-out season home opener against the Cleveland Indians on March 31.

We want our 2012 and 2013 American League West Champion Oakland Athletics to STAY in Oakland.

The Port of Oakland Board of Directors, just in time for Opening Day, voted unanimously to approve entering an exclusive negotiating agreement with the Oakland Waterfront Ballpark, LLC group, local business leaders who want to see a new Oakland Athletics stadium on our beautiful waterfront, on property twice the size of AT&T Park. Between this step and the ongoing negotiations on the Coliseum City project, we are "making good" on my promises to the team and Major League Baseball: we have two groups, with site control, preparing to negotiate new ballpark deals that could give the A's a new home of

their own--here in Oakland.

I hope to see you at the games this season! For the entire season schedule, click [here](#). GO A'S!

The Legacy of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

With J. Alfred Smith, Jr of Allen Temple Baptist Church, Pastor Carey of True Vine Baptist Church, Congresswoman Barbara Lee and Martin Luther King III

Last week, we had the privilege of hosting Martin Luther King III, son of the great civil rights leader, here in Oakland at Allen Temple Baptist Church. The occasion was the Elihu Harris & Barbara Lee Lecture on Civil Rights. Today's young people are learning what an inspiration Dr. King was to our generation. The packed house for the lecture included faith and community leaders as well as the general public. As he spoke, we were inspired once again and standing ovations were offered several times

"MLK III" urged audience members to vote, especially in this non-Presidential election cycle, because we need to keep moving the Equal Rights movement forward. Fifty years after the March on Washington, there are still many unmet goals that can be achieved by active participation at the ballot box.

In this issue of my blog, I will discuss several timely issues:

1. Resident Survey
2. Cellphone Kill Switch Legislation
3. Next Town Hall Meeting
4. Summer Jobs for Youth Program
5. Oakland Earth Expo
6. Earth Day 2014
7. Oakland in the News

(Click on the subjects above to navigate the newsletter.)

We hope you will find this information useful as we move Oakland forward---together!

Let's go, Oakland!

Jean Quan
Oakland Mayor

Resident Survey
Listening Tour

We'd like to know what residents believe are the most important issues for you right now. Please take just a few minutes to fill out this online neighborhood survey. Let us know how we're doing and share your feedback.

Your input will help us build a stronger Oakland!

Smartphone "Kill Switch" Bill Passes Out of Senate Committee

The organized theft of smartphones last year was responsible for a large jump in robberies across the country, including here in Oakland. That is why we have organized with other big city mayors, including San Francisco, Los Angeles and San Diego, to join us in standing up to the phone companies who have opposed this legislation.

While crime is trending down in the city--about one third down in robberies, home burglaries and shootings--this bill could bring robberies down dramatically. Eighty percent of Oakland robberies involve a cellphone, 75% in SF, and over a third nationally.

Last week the Smartphone "Kill Switch" Bill passed out of the State Senate Committee. In February San Francisco and Oakland announced state legislation sponsored by Senator Leno and Assemblywoman Skinner. The bill requires that all phones have the technology which would allow owners to make the phones useless when stolen.

Yesterday SB962 passed the Senate Energy, Utilities, and Communication Committee. Next stop is the Senate floor. I would like to thank District One Councilmember Dan Kalb for his help and advocacy with this legislation, which will help reduce the rate of cell phone robberies.

Public Safety breakout group at Castlemont High at recent Town Hall meeting

Next Town Hall Meeting: Public Safety (Area 3)

Saturday, May 10th, 10am-12:30pm

OPD Area 3 Residents: Mark your calendars! The next Town Hall meeting is scheduled for Saturday, May 10th, from 10am-12:30pm at Bret Harte Middle School (3700 Coolidge Ave). We want to thank the neighbors who have engaged in lively and frank discussions so far in West and East Oakland. We have gained valuable feedback on the Police Reorganization progress so far, ideas and volunteers to support area schools, and job opportunities for the unemployed. Join Chief Whent, Area 3 Captain Orozco, School Board Member Anne Campbell-Washington and City Department leaders for this special opportunity to engage with this key public safety issue.

Topics of Discussion:

- * strengthening community policing,
- * working with our schools, and
- * improving employment opportunities for Oakland residents.

To find out which OPD Area applies to your neighborhood, click [here](#):

Summer Jobs for Youth

This Summer, Our Goal is 2,000 Jobs and More Hope

It's that time of year again! As Spring approaches, it's time for Oakland businesses to consider hiring youth for the summer. The Mayor's Summer Jobs Program (MSJP) is kicking off now. Oakland Tribune writer Matt Artz wrote a good article about how 16- to 19-year-olds have experienced the largest drop in employment since World War II. Some neighborhoods fare worse than others in youth unemployment. This is where we need to target our efforts.

"The figures vary dramatically by neighborhood. In ZIP code 94603, which covers a sizable section of East Oakland, the unemployment rate for residents ages 20-24 was 34.6 percent. In ZIP code 94611, which spans a portion of the Oakland hills, the unemployment rate was 4 percent, census figures showed."

When I became Mayor, the federal government eliminated funding for this longstanding program. We know that summer jobs improve the chances of young people to succeed in school and find employment later. We also know that targeting these jobs to the areas of highest unemployment helps reduce violence during the summer. We have grown the program from providing about 500 jobs to almost 1,600 jobs last year.

Can you help us grow the program to include 2,000 youth in 2014? (That number is approximately the number of youth who applied in prior years.) Info: Carol Jones
cjones@oaklandnet.com

Oakland Earth Expo

Focus on Water Conservation

Yesterday's Annual EarthEXPO hosted by the City of Oakland Public Works Agency attracted a few thousand residents and downtown workers. The fair highlights transportation, environmental health, waste reduction, water, energy, urban design, nature and urban gardeners. This year the focus was on water conservation and protection - every drop counts with the new State goal to reduce water use by 20%. Info at ebmud.com/watersmart

One of the most popular exhibits was an opportunity to test drive seven electric vehicle models and car share options: the BMW Active E, Fiat 500E, Ford C MAX Energi, Ford Fusion Energi, Ford Focus Electric and Nissan Leaf.

East Bay cities are working to improve access to charging stations throughout the area. Electric vehicles are another important component in our plan to reduce greenhouse gasses and make Oakland a healthier place to live and work.

Have You Chosen Your Earth Day Project Yet? 100 Ways to Love Your City

The sustainability theme continues as we plan for the largest volunteer effort of the year.

Almost 100 projects are being planned by neighbors, schools, parks and other organizations.

Earth Day 2014 Featured Sites:

- * Arroyo Viejo Park
- * Cesar Chavez Park
- * DeFremery Park
- * Dimond Park
- * East Oakland Boxing Association
- * FROG Park/Rockridge-Temescal Greenbelt and Creek
- * Lake Merritt Sailboat House
- * Morcom Rose Garden

For more information on these and other Earth Day sites, check out the complete list of registered sites and map, updated weekly.

Let's Stay Connected!

Coming soon:

www.oaklandnews.org

Help Us Tell Oakland's Story,
Send This Email to a Friend

Oakland In The News

CITY NEWS

Oakland resumes police chief search
Oakland Tribune
Mar 28

Seeking tourists, Oakland unveils new logo and
billboards
Oakland Tribune
Apr 4

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Tech startup scene starting to flourish in
Oakland
San Francisco Chronicle
Mar 30
East Oakland welcomes new supermarket
Oakland Tribune
Mar 26

Greenlining Institute Moves to Oakland
Oakland Post
Mar 28
S.F.'s Sunrun, Oakland's Sungevity form solar
alliance
San Francisco Chronicle
Mar 25

U.S. Bank invests \$3 million in East Oakland
youth center
SF Business Times
Apr 9

GENERAL INTEREST

Oakland: Martin Luther King III speaks at Allen
Temple Baptist
Oakland Tribune
Mar 30
Capturing a century of Oakland Tech memories
Oakland Tribune
Mar 30

Oakland Metropolitan Chamber of Commerce
to be led by first woman president
BANG/The Montclarion
Mar 26

Three weeks left for free tax preparation in the
Bay Area
Oakland Local

Mar 25

Jefferson Award Winner Helps Restore,
Preserve Oakland
CBS SF Bay Area
Apr 2

Oakland senior's mark of success: top college
admissions
SF Chronicle
Apr 6

Gentrification transforming face of Oakland
SF Chronicle
Apr 9

Turning street kids into sailing kids
Scuttlebutt Sailing News
Apr 9

PUBLIC SAFETY

Oakland Police Seek Public's Help in Finding
Robbery Suspects
KRON
Mar 31
Oakland officials want ShotSpotter technology
to stay
SFGate Blog
Mar 28

Four OPD Officers Remembered on 5th
Anniversary of Slayings
Rafu Shimpo
Mar 26

Quick-acting Oakland cops save gunshot
victim's life
SFGate blog
Mar 25

Oakland: Temescal town hall held on public
safety issues, concerns
Contra Costa Times
Mar 26
Oakland Police Graduates Largest Class of
Recruits
NBC Bay Area
Apr 4

Public Safety Increased in Commercial Areas:
Oakland Ambassadors do their part to improve
attitudes about downtown and Lake Merritt by
being present and visible

Oakland Magazine
April 2014

Oakland police report drop in crime citywide
KTVU News
April 8
SPORTS

Kawakami: A's resetting their sights, embracing
their old site
San Jose Mercury News
Mar 31

Port of Oakland Approves Talks with Waterfront
Ballpark Group - and Opens Door for Possible
New Home for the Warriors
East Bay Express
Mar 28

Oakland Port approves Ballpark talks
Oakland Tribune
Mar 27

Quan: Dubai prince partnering on Coliseum City
Oakland Tribune
Apr 10

ARTS
Oakland native Sheila E. marks 40 years in
music biz
San Francisco Chronicle
Mar 26

The Raka Future
East Bay Express
Apr 2

Fruitvale's Favianna Rodriguez crowd-funds
buying her family home-by selling art
Oakland Local
Apr 3

FOOD

New Supermarket in East Oakland
Post Newspaper
Mar 27
Blue Bottle expanding to Tokyo
Inside Scoop SF
Mar 27
Many compelling stories, one vibrant city: This
is Oakland
New York Amsterdam News
Mar 27

The Official Unofficial Oakland Drinking Guide

The Thrillist

Mar 28

Oakland Eats: Deelish Cupcakes, Dirty Fries,
and Soup Delivered by Bike.

7x7

Mar 26

Oakland's Oldest Building Serves Vegan Soul

Food

NBC Bay Area

Apr 2

Raise a Glass to the Top 7 Breweries in
Oakland and Berkeley

7x7SF

Apr 1

Forward this email

This email was sent to dkalb@oaklandnet.com by jeanquan4@gmail.com |
Update Profile/Email Address | Instant removal with SafeUnsubscribe™ | Privacy Policy.
Oakland Mayor Jean Quan | 2181 Braemar Road | Oakland | CA | 94602

From: McDaniel, Sylvia
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2013 9:23 AM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Bolton, Christopher; Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: Media Availability 2:30 re Aya Nakano - 5800 block Market

Today, the Oakland Police Department and victim Aya Nakano's family will be holding a media availability at 2:30 PM in the 5800 block of Market Street. The family has increased the reward money to \$35,000 and flyered the neighborhood this past weekend with the assistance of victim Nakano's college friends.

Background:

On June 12, 2013, at approximately 10:57 PM, officers responded to a report of a shooting in the 5800 block of Market Street. Additionally, Shotspotter Technology confirmed one gunshot activation from the area of 58th Street and Market.

When officers arrived on scene, they discovered a male Asian victim, whom investigators have identified as Aya Nakano, suffering from a fatal gunshot wound. Nakano, who would have been 23 years old on June 13, 2013 (DOB 6-13-1990), was a resident of Emeryville.

Investigators have determined that prior to the shooting, the victim's vehicle was apparently rear-ended by another vehicle in the area of Stanford Avenue and Market Street. The victim pulled his vehicle over to the curb, facing southbound in the 5800 block of Market Street. The suspect vehicle, described as a newer, silver four-door sedan with two occupants, also pulled over to the curb facing southbound in the 5800 block of Market Street. A confrontation took place between the victim and the suspects. The victim was fatally shot.

Information obtained at the scene described the suspect vehicle fleeing southbound on Market Street after the shooting. The suspect vehicle is described as a newer, silver four-door sedan with possible front-end damage.

Sylvia McDaniel
Technical Communications Specialist
Public Information Unit, OCOP
Oakland Police Department
510-637-0441
smcdaniel@oaklandnet.com

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 04, 2014 10:53 AM
To: Kalb, Dan; Brooks, Desley; Kernighan, Pat; At Large; Reid, Larry; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel; District 3 Intern
Subject: NO on DAC

Vote NO on DAC. Vote no on private companies surveillance systems. SHOTSPOTTER>

I have not heard ONE word about my inquiries into the shotspotter program. I find this very disappointing and typical of politicians.

DO something. Answer my simple shotspotter questions, I have given you many time since JULY.

Stand up as a true politician. Talk to your people, LISTEN to what they are asking you. Ignoring me is pathetic and shows lack of integrity and honesty.

When you are up there tonight, thinking about people who couldn't show up at the meetings. (i work) think about what we would say if we had 2 mins to voice our opinions. Just because I am not there, don't forget my voice.

NO surveillance. NO police state. NO military or DHS in our city to control us.

Let's solve crimes, Let's use our programs that show effectiveness. Show me one piece of data that points to efficacy in the shotspotter program?

Or just ignore me as usual.

-Jim.

From: Watson, Johnna A.
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 8:28 AM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Joshi, Holly J.; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: OPD Fireworks and Celebratory Gunfire
Attachments: 2013 Fireworks Celebratory Gunfire 31Dec13.pdf

Holiday Safety Tips from the Oakland Police Department

Oakland, CA — Illegal use of fireworks and celebratory gunfire are two major complaints received by the Oakland Police Department at this time of year.

Ushering in the New Year is a joyous tradition. We want you to celebrate safely. Celebratory gun fire and the use of fireworks are illegal and extremely dangerous. Setting off fireworks and shooting guns pose a great risk for injury and even death and as such, they have no place in our City.

Celebratory Gunfire

As a part of our commitment to public safety, quality of life and vitality in our communities, the Police Department is focused on stopping illegal gun use. On New Year's Eve, we will be deploying patrol officers to specific areas of the City that have the highest amount of gunshot and firework activity as indicated by ShotSpotter activation.

Illegal Use of Fireworks

The Oakland Municipal Code makes ALL fireworks illegal. Violators who are sixteen years or older may be cited and face fines of \$1,000. Also, the ordinance states that "every parent, guardian, or other person, having legal care, custody or control of any person under the age of eighteen years, who knows or reasonably should have known that a minor is in violation of this ordinance, may be issued a citation."

The law can be viewed in its entirety at

<http://municipalcodes.lexisnexis.com/codes/Oakland/>. In brief, sale, transfer, possession or use of fireworks is prohibited. Specifically, the law makes each of the following activities a criminal offense:

1. Selling, or advertising for sale, any firework or pyrotechnical device.
2. Transferring any firework or pyrotechnical device to another.
3. Possessing any firework or pyrotechnical device.
4. Using or causing any firework or pyrotechnical device to be detonated.

You Can Help

Residents are encouraged help us:

- Report the illegal use of fireworks by calling our Fireworks Hot Line: (510) 238-2373.
- Report celebratory gunfire by calling 911 (by cell phone, 510-777-3211).

You can also turn in illegal fireworks at the following six Oakland Fire Stations:

1. Station 1: 1603 Martin Luther King Jr. Way
2. Station 3: 1445 14th Street
3. Station 4: 1235 International Boulevard

4. Station 5: 934 34th Street
5. Station 18: 1700 50th Avenue
6. Station 20: 1401 98th Avenue

View the Public Service Announcement by the Oakland Police Department and the Oakland Fire Department on our web site at www.oaklandpolice.com.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247)
3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. or Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, [@oaklandpoliceca](https://twitter.com/oaklandpoliceca).

Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Department
(510) 238-7230

"The mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the people of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play and thrive free from crime and the fear of crime."

From: McDaniel, Sylvia
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 11:52 AM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Bolton, Christopher; Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: OPD Requests Community Help in Homicide Case, 5800 Block of Market Street
Attachments: Homicide 5800 block Market.pdf; Aka Nakano.JPG

On June 12, 2013, at approximately 10:57 PM, officers responded to a report of a shooting in the 5800 block of Market Street. Additionally, Shotspotter Technology confirmed one gunshot activation from the area of 58th Street and Market.

When officers arrived on scene, they discovered a male Asian victim, whom investigators have identified as Aya Nakano, suffering from a fatal gunshot wound. Nakano, who would have been 23 years old today (DOB 6-13-1990), was a resident of Emeryville.

See attached photo of victim. Photo shows victim at his recent graduation from the University of Oregon.

Investigators have determined that prior to the shooting, the victim's vehicle was apparently rear-ended by another vehicle in the area of Stanford Avenue and Market Street. The victim pulled his vehicle over to the curb, facing southbound in the 5800 block of Market Street. The suspect vehicle, described as a newer, silver four-door sedan with two occupants, also pulled over to the curb facing southbound in the 5800 block of Market Street. A confrontation took place between the victim and the suspects. The victim was fatally shot.

Information obtained at the scene described the suspect vehicle fleeing southbound on Market Street after the shooting.

Investigators have provided a photo of the victim and are requesting help from anyone who may have:

- * Seen the victim in the area of Stanford Avenue and Market Street on the night of the shooting (June 12, 2013), prior to 11:00 PM;
- * Witnessed the vehicle accident;
- * Witnessed the confrontation between the victim and suspects;
- * Witnessed the shooting; or
- * Seen the suspect vehicle fleeing the scene after the shooting.

The suspect vehicle is described as a newer, silver four-door sedan with possible front-end damage.

Oakland Police and Crime Stoppers of Oakland are offering a reward of up to \$10,000 for information leading to an arrest in this case. Anyone with information is asked to contact the OPD Homicide Division, (510) 238-3821.

Call Crime Stoppers of Oakland at 510-777-8572 or the Tip Line at 773-2850.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247)

3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at (510) 238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, [@oaklandpoliceca](https://twitter.com/oaklandpoliceca).

Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Dept.
238-7230

From: OPD Media
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 8:50 AM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Joshi, Holly J.; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: Press Conference at ShotSpotter Headquarters Today
Attachments: 4th of July - July 2.pdf

When: Tuesday, July 2, 2013; 10:00 – 11:00 a.m.

Where: SST, Inc. Headquarters (ShotSpotter), 7979 Gateway Blvd., Suite 210, Newark, CA 94560

Google Map: <http://bit.ly/12lDkfu>

What: Celebratory gunfire remains a problem in many cities, particularly during the July 4th holiday season, and sadly, it often has tragic results when innocent people are hit by the falling bullets and injured or killed. The reality is that any bullet discharged from a gun, even into the sky, must land at some location, and the risks are significant for injury or death.

Numerous Bay Area law enforcement agencies are collaborating on a common effort to prevent celebratory gunfire from happening this July 4 holiday and potentially harming or injuring people. Press conference presenters include Captain Ersie Joyner of the Oakland Police Department, San Francisco Police, Richmond Police and East Palo Alto Police.

Press and media can also tour and film at the ShotSpotter 24/7/365 Incident Review Center, where gunfire alerts from across the country are reviewed and analyzed.

Why: Bay Area cities are committed to stopping this illegal gun use and also combating gun violence of any nature in our communities. Public safety, quality of life and vitality in our communities is paramount. The agencies participating in this press conference all use ShotSpotter, the gunfire location, alert and analysis solution. During the July 4th holiday, these police departments will be deploying patrol officers in specific areas of the city that have the highest amount of gunshot and firework activity recorded by ShotSpotter in recent months and last year's July 4th holiday. Officers will respond to all ShotSpotter activations in these areas and arrest anyone caught discharging a firearm in public. Patrol officers will also be deployed throughout the city to maintain the peace, prevent crime and violence, and arrest drunk drivers.

How: Agencies utilize ShotSpotter to detect and locate gunfire incidents and gather gunfire intelligence and data to fight crime and violence. The solution provides real-time and immediate gunshot location data, enabling informed decisions for faster and more accurate emergency response, while improving situational intelligence and increasing first responder safety. ShotSpotter gunfire data and intelligence also provides agencies with forensic evidence leading to more thorough investigations and increased prosecutions for gun-related crime, in addition to enhancing crime analysis and data-driven policing capabilities.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247) 510-535-GUNS or Crime Stoppers at 510-777-8572.
3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages

Media Contacts:

Lydia Barrett

Vice President, Communications

Cell: 650-833-9534

lbarrett@shotspotter.com

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. or Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter,

[@oaklandpoliceca](https://twitter.com/oaklandpoliceca).

#

From: Watson, Johnna A.
Sent: Monday, July 01, 2013 3:55 PM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Joshi, Holly J.; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: PRESS CONFERENCE INVITATION
Attachments: 4th of July 2013.pdf

Bay Area Police Departments Partner to Enforce
Reduction of Celebratory Gunfire During the 4th of
July Holidays

Oakland, CA —PRESS CONFERENCE INVITATION

When: Tuesday, July 2, 2013; 10:00 – 11:00 a.m.

Where: SST, Inc. Headquarters (ShotSpotter), 7979 Gateway Blvd., Suite 210,
Newark, CA 94560

Google Map: <http://bit.ly/12lDkfu>

Subject: The Oakland Police Department and Bay Area Police Departments
Partner to Enforce Reduction of Celebratory Gunfire During the July
4th Holidays

What: Celebratory gunfire remains a problem in many cities, particularly during the July 4th holiday season, and sadly, it often has tragic results when innocent people are hit by the falling bullets and injured or killed. The reality is that any bullet discharged from a gun, even into the sky, must land at some location, and the risks are significant for injury or death. Numerous Bay Area law enforcement agencies are collaborating on a common effort to prevent celebratory gunfire from happening this July 4 holiday and potentially harming or injuring people. Press conference presenters include, Captain Ersie Joyner of the Oakland Police Department, San Francisco Police, Richmond Police and East Palo Alto Police.

Press and media can also tour and film at the ShotSpotter 24/7/365 Incident Review Center, where gunfire alerts from across the country are reviewed and analyzed.

Why: Bay Area cities are committed to stopping this illegal gun use and also combating gun violence of any nature in our communities. Public safety, quality of life and vitality in our communities is paramount. The agencies participating in this press conference all use ShotSpotter, the gunfire location, alert and analysis solution. During the July 4th holiday, these police departments will be deploying patrol officers in specific areas of the city that have the highest amount of gunshot and firework activity recorded by ShotSpotter in recent months and last year's July 4th holiday. Officers will respond to all ShotSpotter activations in these areas and arrest anyone caught discharging a firearm in public. Patrol officers will

also be deployed throughout the city to maintain the peace, prevent crime and violence and arrest drunk drivers.

How: Agencies utilize ShotSpotter to detect and locate gunfire incidents and gather gunfire intelligence and data to fight crime and violence. The solution provides real-time and immediate gunshot location data, enabling informed decisions for faster and more accurate emergency response, while improving situational intelligence and increasing first responder safety. ShotSpotter gunfire data and intelligence also provides agencies with forensic evidence leading to more thorough investigations and increased prosecutions for gun-related crime, in addition to enhancing crime analysis and data-driven policing capabilities.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247) 510-535-GUNS or Crime Stoppers at 510-777-8572.
3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages

Lydia Barrett
Vice President, Communications
Cell: 650-833-9534
lbarrett@shotspotter.com

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. or Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, [@oaklandpoliceca](https://twitter.com/oaklandpoliceca).

Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Department
(510) 238-7230

"The mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the people of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play and thrive free from crime and the fear of crime."

From: Orozco, Ricardo
Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2014 11:20 PM
To: Aisthorpe, Felicia
Cc: DL - OPD Watch Supervisor; DL - OPD Executive Notifications; DL - OPD
Cease Fire Partners; Lyles, Reginald
Subject: Re: 245 Shooting - 2 victims

Shotspotter recorded shots fired @ 8th Street and Kirkham.

Sent from my iPad

On Feb 26, 2014, at 11:10 PM, "Aisthorpe, Felicia" <FAisthorpe@oaklandnet.com> wrote:

Good Evening,

Today, Wednesday February 26, 2014
at approximately 2248 hours the
Communications Section received a
phone call regarding a possible
shooting. OPD units responded to the
300 block of 23rd Ave and located two
adult victims suffering from GSW's.
OFD and medical are currently on
scene.

The victims advised that the shooting
occurred in West Oakland on 8th street
near the freeway. No other details
available at this time.

Incident #140226001094
RD# 14-0010292

Felicia R. Aisthorpe
Acting Sergeant of Police
Communications Section
510-777-8801

From: Bolton, Christopher
Sent: Wednesday, January 15, 2014 11:47 PM
To: DL - OPD Executive Notifications; DL - OPD Cease Fire Partners
Subject: RE: 245 Shooting in BFO1 (UPDATE)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

To all,

Preliminary investigation at both the hospital and scene has led to the conclusion that this victim is most probably related to a BFO2 shooting. Victim stated he was near 80th and International when gunfire was heard and his injuries were received. Additionally, two BFO2 ShotSpotter activations preceded his trip to the hospital: 9098 International and 1588 100th Ave. Officers have been sent to those locations to search for a scene. Refer to today's incidents 1105 and 1110.

Chris Bolton
Lieutenant of Police
Police Area 2 Special Resources
510-238-2144

Stay informed and connected –
- Register for Public safety Alerts through Nixle
- Connect with me and your neighbors on NextDoor

From: Price, Ghitonna
Sent: Wednesday, January 15, 2014 10:58 PM
To: DL - OPD Executive Notifications; DL - OPD Cease Fire Partners
Subject: 245 Shooting in BFO1

To all,

The Communications Section received numerous calls tonight; beginning at 2146 hours for Area 2/Bt 11X in the area of 55th St/Dover ST; Shattuck/Aileen and surrounding neighborhoods. Responding officers located a scene at 600 Aileen and also located casings at 5635 Shattuck St. There were no victim located at either scene. At approximately 2146 hours; ACH phoned to advise of a 245 Shooting victim that was self transported in a L90's Ford 4D black with chrome rims. The male victim; 20 years is suffering from a gunshot wound to the right thigh. There is no further information at this time. Reference CAD Incidents: 140115001115, 140115001118, 140115001120, 140115001124, 140115001133, and 140115001135.

Ghitonna Price
Police Communications Supervisor
Communications Section
510-777-8801

From: Joyner, Ersie
Sent: Thursday, May 15, 2014 10:43 AM
To: jim emkey
Cc: Joshi, Holly J.; Downing, David; Toribio, Anthony; Figueroa, Paul; Bolton, Christopher; District 3 Intern; Kalb, Dan; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel
Subject: Re: informational report shotspotter

Mr Emkey

Possible gunshots depict what is referred to as 50-50 calls. Those are incidents where Shotspotter staff has reviewed the alert & could not determine definitely that it was or was not a gunshot. Those are put out to OPD as possible. As for there never being a false alert that's impossible to say but with certainty all alerts are reviewed by trained experts before being pushed out decreasing the chance of OPD having to respond to false alerts.

Yes at times victims get shot & leave the shooting scene to escape the suspect, to go get help, or to deceive officers. One dot depicts where Shotspotter says the shooting occurred & the other dots show where the victim reported the crime happens. That's the most simplest explanation I can offer but keep in mind that nothing is absolute.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

> On May 15, 2014, at 10:30 AM, "jim emkey" <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> Shotspotter newest informational report lists 16 'possible gunshots' for march 2014,

>

> February listed 25. almost 10% .

>

> During my meeting requesting information on shotspotter I was told by Holly Joshi there are zero false alarms.

>

> What is the difference between possible gunshots and false alarms.?

>

> Thank you.

>

> Also can someone please explain to me the difference between the red and yellow markers on the map. yellow says shotspotter, red says shootings.

>

> A simple explanation please.

>

> Thank you. -Jim

From: Toribio, Anthony
Sent: Monday, February 24, 2014 12:06 PM
To: 'Angela Gennino'; Kalb, Dan
Cc: Ashley Reede; Ernee Jamerson; Beth Spitler; Candice & Julie Chang; Sylvia Young; Lauren; Maurice Hamilton; Fred Bekele; Marie Jenkins; karin betts; klucas111@gmail.com; Doris Holloway; Barrs Lang; Annie Chang; davidyi80@gmail.com; Sykes, Renee; larry benson; Lane, Donald
Subject: RE: More gunshots last night at 57th and Lowell -- please respond

Hello,
Shotspotter able to determine only that gunshots activated the sensors. Unable to determine the caliber. Unknown if the last two activations are related to the shooting on the 15th.

Anthony Toribio
Captain of Police
Oakland Police Department
510.238.3958

Stay informed and connected –
- Follow Area 2 on Twitter: @area2opd
- Register for Public Safety Alerts through Nixle
- Connect with OPD Area 2 (North Oakland) and your neighbors on NextDoor

From: Angela Gennino [mailto:agenni13@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 23, 2014 11:22 AM
To: Toribio, Anthony; Kalb, Dan
Cc: Ashley Reede; Ernee Jamerson; Beth Spitler; Candice & Julie Chang; Sylvia Young; Lauren; Maurice Hamilton; Fred Bekele; Marie Jenkins; karin betts; klucas111@gmail.com; Doris Holloway; Barrs Lang; Annie Chang; davidyi80@gmail.com; Sykes, Renee; larry benson; Lane, Donald
Subject: More gunshots last night at 57th and Lowell -- please respond

Captain Toribio,

More gunshots were reported earlier this morning at 1:30 am (Feb. 23) coming from the 57th St. & Lowell. I believe Shotspotter picked them up -- please confirm.

This makes three gun incidences at that same location in the last nine days, including the ones on Feb. 15 (that hit a victim) and Feb. 20 (at 2am).

Although we can't be certain that the second two are related to the first, it's difficult to believe otherwise. The target of the first shooting, Rachel DiPrinzio, is still living at 964 57th -- and if we know that, her assailant must also know it.

Whether we are on the street or sleeping in our beds, the residents on this block are at great risk would appreciate it if you could give us more information about what's going on without compromising an OPD investigation.

For example can you tell us:

- * If OPD is increasing its physical presence on Lowell @ 57th at night?
- * The type of gun used on Feb.15, based on bullet casings found at the scene and in the victim.

- * Whether Shotspotter reveals the type firearm used, based on sound.
- * What type of criminal activity the suspect, Dwight Carroll, has been linked to/arrested for in the past.
- * What type of criminal activity the victim, Rachel DiPrinzio, has been linked to/arrested for in the past.
- * Whether the same bullet casings were found the next two times.
- * If OPD is getting cooperation (on all three times) from Suprema and East Bay Fixture to share their surveillance footage
- * If there were gunshots elsewhere in the flats occurring just before or after those on 57th @ Lowell -- and if so where.
- * If you believe the shootings here are related to those elsewhere.

Looking forward to hearing back from you.

Angela Gennino,

Block lead, 980 of 57th St.

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2014 3:08 PM
To: Boyd, Karen; Heather Somerville; Darwin BG; Kalb, Dan; Lambert, Dannette; Maher, Sean
Subject: Re: Nuclear ordinance

A few more for you. Showing Shotspotter's work with government defense contractors and accepting money and working directly with the military.

This is from an article stating that SAIC was non compliant.

after the city asked for additional proof of compliance in August, Scott Handley, the firm's operation contracts manager, wrote it likely had served "U.S. Department of Defense customers that arguably may be categorized as supporting 'nuclear weapons work' under the exceedingly broad definition" in the city's disclosure form.

Here is an article stating how shotspotter is working with this company Arxceo Technology and how shotspotter makes military applications directly.

<http://www.thefreelibrary.com/Arxceo+Technology+to+Secure+Law+Enforcement+and+Military+Gunshot...-a0139753425>

HUNTSVILLE, Ala. -- Arxceo(TM) Corporation, a provider of anti-reconnaissance and anomaly-based intrusion prevention technology, today announced its first OEM licensing agreement with ShotSpotter, a developer of systems and technologies that can detect and locate gunfire for both law-enforcement and U.S. military operations. This agreement marks the first design application for Arxceo's patent-pending technology, further underscoring the market need for anomaly-based, anti-reconnaissance intrusion prevention technology for small embedded devices.

ShotSpotter offers three wireless military systems that provide a redundant, robust wireless communication infrastructure. These three systems are showcased through a small, walkie-talkie sized unit for soldiers to wear, a larger vehicle mounted unit and wireless fixed points for building top locations. Arxceo will integrate its patent-pending Tag-UR-IT(TM) anti-reconnaissance and anomaly behavior intrusion prevention technology into each of these hardware products to harden and secure the devices from network attackers. ShotSpotter's systems allow field personnel and command control teams to make smarter, faster decisions by alerting them to the type and location of weapons fired.

"We've helped law-enforcement departments across the U.S. cut down on illegal gunfire and confiscate weapons with our technology for nearly a decade," said James Beldock, president and CEO of ShotSpotter. "The Arxceo technology allows us to continue leadership in the area of military systems, preventing intrusions or attacks on our customers' servers and sensors. This assures their Gunshot Location Systems will continue to protect our citizens and military forces."

IN an article stating how the problems with Police consultants and private companies are a big concern.

<http://www.truth-out.org/news/item/20554-from-top-cop-to-the-corporate-boardroom-ex-police-chiefs-spin-through-revolving-doors-into-the-private-sector>

Bratton joined Shotspotter's board of directors in May 2013. Shotspotter did not respond to a request for information about Bratton's role or his compensation.

Shotspotter has been paid \$2.4 million by the military and Justice Department. (The company is developing gunshot detections systems for the military that would be used in combat zones.)

How is this not a violation?. Why didn't anyone bring this up?

The rest isn't really about nuclear ordinance. but look how the influence works. Motorola and

Shotspotter have bratton on their payroll. Both companies will not reveal what he is being paid for. Both companies have much influence on local police departments and increasing surveillance. Motorola Solutions paid Bratton \$100,000 cash and \$140,028 in stock awards in 2013. According to filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Bratton owns more than 10,000 shares in the company, worth more than \$600,000.

Motorola Solutions' total contracts with Bratton's other former employer, New York City, accounted for \$34 million in company revenue in the past two years, according to the New York City comptroller's public spending database, and Motorola employs several lobbyists who routinely meet with the city staff in the mayor's office, NYPD and other agencies.

A Motorola Solutions spokesperson declined to comment on Bratton's role on the company's board. And a spokesperson for Bratton's startup company Bratton Technologies - a LinkedIn-style social network tool for cops - said the former police chief is unavailable to comment on his public- and private-sector roles.

Motorola supplies much of the hardware for Oakland's existing police surveillance systems and has bid on the final \$2 million contract to complete Oakland's Domain Awareness Center.

More to come, but this makes my head hurt. :)

On Fri, Feb 14, 2014 at 1:09 AM, jim emkey <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi, I found this. This should be enough to show direct contact , shotspotter helps lockheed martin make military weapons.

Remember in the ordinance it says this.

Whereas the public morality is affronted by the expenditure of City funds for goods and services produced by nuclear weapons makers.....which may ultimately lead to widespread death and destruction."

Section 2 -d . Nuclear Weapons Maker means any person knowingly engage in nuclear weapons work. subsidiaries, affiliates, and divisions under operating control of such person; the parent entities that have operating control

Looking quickly I didn't see anything about technological partnership, but found this on strategic partnership, which we can extrapolate for this.(?)

A strategic partnership is a formal alliance between two commercial enterprises, usually formalized by one or more business contracts but falls short of forming a legal partnership or, agency, or corporate affiliate relationship.

This from their company description.

"Its flagship product, the ShotSpotter Gunshot Location System®, pioneered the use of wide-area acoustic surveillance coupled with audio analytics for public safety, homeland security, and military applications"

<http://www.shotspotter.com/partners/embedded-technology-partners>

"Through an embedded technology partnership with ShotSpotter, Lockheed Martin has developed "Shot Stalker" a small, hand-held, quiet unmanned aerial vehicle that can be used to detect and geo-locate gunshots and mortar fire. For more information, please contact our military solutions team."

Military solutions team?

<http://www.shotspotter.com/news/article/lockheed-martin-begins-production-of-shot-stalker-uav>

"Lockheed Martin's Skunkworks says it has begun production of Shot Stalker: a small, hand-held, quiet unmanned aerial vehicle that can be used to detect and geo-locate gunshots and mortar fire. Shot Stalker is being internally funded; while it has been heavily tested, it has not yet been deployed with the US military, according to Lockheed Martin officials.....The acoustic sensors are tiny microphones made by Lockheed Martin's partner on the project: a company called ShotSpotter.....in response to a question about which military requirement the Shot Stalker was designed to meet, Wisam would say only that the "Shot Stalker's operation and

the customer information are proprietary.""

http://defense-update.com/products/s/stalker_uav.htm

"Designed to be carried and operated by an individual soldier,"

I'm sure I'll find more. I think this is a good place to start.

On Thu, Feb 13, 2014 at 3:33 PM, Boyd, Karen <KBoyd@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Hi Jim,

Thank you for your email. I appreciate your patience. Until seeing your email I was not familiar with the term "embedded technological partnership," so I can't speak from my own expertise as to what the possible implications of that partnership could be with respect to the Nuclear Free Zone Ordinance. I am going to consult my colleagues in Information Technology, Contracting, and the City Attorney's Office to seek their professional review of your question, and I hope to have an answer for you as soon as possible.

All the best,

Karen

From: jim emkey [mailto:letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 3:20 PM
To: Boyd, Karen
Subject: Nuclear ordinance

Hi,

I was told to contact you , after sending a public request for information regarding the company shotspotter.

I am trying to find out information on this company shotspotter. I've asked everybody and every way I know how to ask a question since July. I've received very little in a way of a response.

I found out through my own research , according to the shotspotter website they are "embedded technological partners" with both Lockheed Martin, and Northrup Grumman.

I've asked this question through a public requests record, since I have failed to induce a response from city council or shotspotter company.

What exactly is an embedded technological partnership? If they have technological ties to these companies(that are both major nuclear manufacturers and facilitators of weapons) wouldn't that constitute a violation of this ordinance. The fact that a citizen found this out 8 years after first being implemented should be the reason for voiding their contract .

I have many, many questions regarding this company. Including having the city show me the reasoning for re-implementation, expansion, and renewal of the yearly contract last aug. The only stats I can be shown on this company is how many times they can record a gunshot. This does not promote safety. They do not provide any real data proving reduction in response time, false alarm reports, guns fired not recorded, public being safer, etc.

Please provide me with information about the nuclear ordinance and how being a partner with major defense contractors is not a violation

Please provide me with any other information or contacts for me to continue my research on this company

THank you for your time -Jim.

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:37 PM
To: Kernighan, Pat; At Large; Kalb, Dan; Luby, Oliver
Subject: Re: Oakland 41st and broadway, shotspotter.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Hi,

Thank you for your response, I wish some other council members would respond as well....

This has been extremely frustrating to deal with, as it just seems I'm asking the same type of questions you asked in city council.

I am going to start getting my questions together for OPD as you suggested, as soon as I'm done here.

In your response can you help explain some of the non technical questions, that are at the end of my email I sent you today. Concerning some of the responses from the company, and the questionable answers to direct questions from cpt Joyner.

One of these microphones is literally within 50 ft of my front door.

Maybe, also, you could comment on other things regarding this company. IF in fact the microphones are on 24/7(as cpt Joyner told me directly), and they can store even "non-events" for further evaluation, (as stated by company president James Bedlock) would it change your view on these ?

Would that also make you less inclined to extend the contract of a company that has been directly mis-leading the media,the public(and city council) about the capabilities of this system?

They, in my opinion, are making a profit by selling fear into crime ridden cities. They don't like to show actual data regarding arrests, response time, reduction of crime and violence in these areas.

They do get to be on the news in any city that has any sort of segment of crime reduction in even a small time frame, and take credit.

They should also have to answer to and respond to the fact that many cities have ended this program citing cost, ineffectiveness, system errors etc. (including Oakland, Chicago 2x, New Orleans and others)

I have tried unsuccessfully to follow up on some of the older reports from different cities that were touting the benefits of this system, to see if they actually are working. Every city's website is a little different and it is hard to decipher the different ways each one is laid out. IF info is on each site at all.

I think we both know about the recent uptick of crime in Oakland.

I had questions also about reading the shotspotter map provided in the crime report on the website. Cpt Joyner would not answer those. Including questions about what the 2 different shot icons meant. Why in some areas there are many more calls into 911 then there are shotspotter events.

It honestly seems that their PR dept is good at getting them on the news to repeat talking points, and avoid answering real questions. I am working on getting a video together showing this. I will make it available to all. I know it is a big endeavor but I also plan on making a handful of videos showing how they lie, misrepresent, have police spokesman(all over not just at OPD) and have the media repeat their propaganda.

It does seem that it may be effective in a handful of cities to a certain portion of crime(

celebratory gunfire) . IS this what we're really spending the money on?

Some others in city council are also already gung ho to putting a camera on these as well. Can we please have a debate on Privacy issues, and any safeguards put in place before giving any more money directly to or by grant to these companies that prey on our fear, and our willingness to spend money on technology no matter what the cost, financial or with our rights. I'm talking specifically about shot spotter and SAIC.

Please do more to insure these companies are made to be a transparent as needed to provide the public with information. Especially in light on how we are being warned by Edward Snowden and the likes. These type of companies take information illegally and then ask for "permission" after the fact by outlawyering most people who care enough to fight them.

I look forward to your response further dealing with this. As just about any information I receive about this program has been hard to get and responded to with a bit of an attitude, esp from the company itself. -Jim

On Mon, Sep 30, 2013 at 4:01 PM, Kernighan, Pat <PKernighan@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Mr. Emkey,

I confirmed with the City Attorney's Office that a City department should provide information requested by a member of the public without that person having to file a formal public records request. A Deputy City Attorney is going to advise Capt. Joiner of that.

Regarding your actual questions, I have a suggestion that would help eliminate confusion for everyone. Please write your specific questions down in an email and send that to Sgt. Holly Joshi, Chief of Staff to the Police Chief. hjoshi@oaklandnet.com Stating your questions clearly in writing will enable OPD to respond clearly to you.

In answer to your specific question to me, I don't recall if I ever got the report about Shotspotter that I asked for. If I did, it would probably have been an official staff report from OPD to the Public Safety Committee of the City Council. I can search the City Clerk's Legistar system to see if that was ever presented to that Committee.

I will respond more fully to you later. Thank you for your patience.

Pat Kernighan
President, Oakland City Council

-----Original Message-----

From: jim emkey [<mailto:letswakeupoakland@gmail.com>]

Sent: Thu 09/26/13 5:31 PM

To: Darwin BG; Robert Gammon; Kernighan, Pat; Toribio, Anthony; Kalb, Dan; Brooks, Desley; chroniclewatch@sfchronicle.com; jberton@sfchronicle.com

Subject: Fwd: Oakland 41st and broadway, shotspotter.

Here is my latest response from the person in charge of information on shotspotter. He has not tried to answer any of my questions. He can only tell me about company talking points. "shotspotter is a valuable tool in helping police, since it can pinpoint the shots " and therefore it works?

I've been stonewalled at every turn. I cannot get any answers from shotspotter directly or now from OPD. After calling public relations Lydia Barrett, for 2 1/2 months with no reply, she called OPD and wants to make me stop calling here, THreatening me with a restraining order. I have never threatened her in any way.

In the city council meeting of 9/27/12 Ms Kernighan asks Mr. Joyner for a report. He just responded to me saying he did not make one and wasn't asked, and he won't be answering any more of my questions.

I am very simply looking for any answers to my questions about shotspotter. Any answers to Ms.Kernighan's request for a report.

I've been shut out everywhere I turn. WHY is this topic so aggressively secretive.? '

In what way is an oakland resident, with a shotspotter 50 feet from my front door, able to get a response? I am increasingly frustrated by this nearly 5 month ordeal. I am increasingly becoming worried that there is a reason No one wants to talk to me about this.

I am here pleading with the OPD, with my city council, and some media. Please help me find some answers. I am not any type of activist,or protestor. I have no record. I have no reason to actually worry about being recorded in public. But it is debatable at best if this shotspotter is even legal. The few answers I've heard 1st hand were a direct attempt to misinform me.Just as with their media statement by Lydia Barrett and James Bedlock.

As a normal joesixpack, I just don't want to be bothered. This device going up made me curious. Now, I am as frustrated as I've been in my entire life.I am going to try my best to let as many people know what I've been through, and why I am being shut out.

Simple answers to my simple questions, wouldv'e let me move on to more enjoyable things in life. Now I cannot let this go without finding some answers. (ooh a threat?)

I feel at this point I'm being lied to , and I have been and nowI will be ignored. Any help will be appreciated. -Jim

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Joyner, Ersie <EJoyner@oaklandnet.com>

Date: Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 4:42 PM

Subject: Re: Oakland 41st and Broadway, shotspotter.

To: jim emkey <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com>

Sir

Please put your request in the form of a city public records request. I have tried to answer as

many questions as I can & I am not responding to any more of your emails. Thank you.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 26, 2013, at 4:39 PM, "jim emkey" <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:

this is directly from city council meeting sept 27 2011. I will remind you Patricia Kernighan said "It seems like for this amount of money....I think it is the staffing issue, it does concern me that we are spending a lot of money to expand it, and w're not even able to respond quickly where the shots have been fired.... we want numbers of things. we want to be able to quantify how many we responded to . how many crimes were solved from sst.....if you are talking about a data driven dept it means you use the data to analyze the success of anything.....I appreciate your dedication, there are some things you can measure however and that I'd like you to show"

If you are the one in OPD to answer for SST. Why aren't you answering my specific questions. ? Or specific questions from city council.? I now find it odd that you won't respond to my requests for information, and how in fact you are representing a company over your citizens.

Honestly , why is it so hard to ge answers here?

On Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 4:23 PM, Joyner, Ersie <EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:

No report was done or requested. From this point on anything you need needs to come via public record request. Please go to city of Oakland website on how to complete.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 26, 2013, at 4:05 PM, "jim emkey" <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:

did you make a report for ms. kernighnan. if yes, can i see it, if no why not?

On Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 3:31 PM, Joyner, Ersie <EJoyner@oaklandnet.com>
wrote:

The charge will be annoying phone calls & possible threats sir. Your voicemails are clear violation of the law. Best regards.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 26, 2013, at 3:10 PM, "jim emkey"
<letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:

I am going to contact my legal dept and find out if i can file a cease and desist order from them and you for violating my rights. Is there a crime for lying to a city council or a newspaper? As far as I can tell the only way this company is doing any business is by lying through a well-oiled PR campaign that completely snowed over our simpletons at City Council. Also thanks to you going in front of MY city council and repeating company talking points, that are not backed up with any facts. This is called Propaganda. You claim to not be the PR person for SST. But instead of legitimately answering my questions, you tell me there is nothing else for you to tell me. The companies rhetoric is not fact!

i am not a lawyer,. Does this means i cannot call them up to ask questions? This seems a bit extreme. Please explain to me what I could be prosecuted for? Exactly . Profanity? Is not illegal. Unreasonable demands? Asking the spokesperson for the company to call me back is unreasonable? I have not threatened her in any way.

It is absurd that it now has come to this. If someone would just answer the questions I put forth, I would not have to call the PR dept of this company. Looks like she has you, on her side. Weird, It's as if you were working for her and not me. She isn't even a resident of Oakland, yet you are protecting her, and doing nothing for me.

As I said the longer this type of BS is going on the more I am going

to expose it.

When can I expect a response to my last email. ? Have you prepared a report for Ms Kernighan concerning her request for facts? Can I please read it?

Again, This technology makes NO ONE safer. It is not a deterrent. Oakland is not properly staffed for this. This doesn't stop the many crimes that doesn't involve shooting a gun. This is giving CItY COUncil and anyone who believes this stuff works. a false sense of security.

WHY do you say elected officials and OPD must respond, but, yet Im still getting the run around? I'm still waiting.

On Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 1:48 PM, Joyner, Ersie
<EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:

Mr Emkey

This email will serve as official notification of a cease & desist in contacting the Shotspotter company. I have reviewed several of your voicemails left for their staff & I am concerned with your implied threats, use of profanity, & unreasonable demands. Currently their legal department is seeking possible criminal prosecution as well as a court order for restraint. Keep in mind they are a private company & not under any legality to answer any questions from you. Whereas OPD & your elected officials must respond given the correct protocol. I will follow up with a phone call to you advising the above. I am sure if you stop contacting them there will be no need to pursue this further.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On Sep 26, 2013, at 1:02 PM, "jim emkey"
<letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi,

Thank you very much for talking to me. You said we need to agree to disagree. I said I would love to agree with you but I cannot agree to something if I don't know the facts.

I would just like to touch on some things we talked about on the phone, then I will leave you with a few questions maybe one of you would be able to help me with.

Shotspotter is being sold to city council by saying such things as its a deterrent.

From the 3rd pdf july 2006

"Decreases in number of gunshots in cities that use the Gunshot Location System technology were often attributed to the deterrent effect;shooters become aware that law enforcement could accurately identify and pinpoint the location of gunfire and respond rapidly to the scene. "

"Whereas the deployment of shotspotter HAS BEEN PROVEN to reduce illegal weapons by UP TO 60% in SOME targeted areas"

can I please see where these 2 statements came from. ? and how has Oakland specifically.?

ANother example of the deterrent angle. from 9/25/13

Rebecca Kaplan " Fire a shot you will get caught." E. Joyner. "that statement you have a shot you will get caught you have that patented? I'm real big into marketing."

also on 9/25/12

Rebecca Kaplan " In some of the conversations I've had with the chief....what it would take by the way of police staffing to consistently respond to all the shotspotter incidents.... it's estimated to be about a MILLION \$ a year"

I find Ms kernighan has a few of the same questions I did.

She asks"what % of the SST incidents are being responded to?

MR. Joyner responds "the elephant in the room of course is staffing...." He doesn't directly answer.

PK " It seems like for this amount of money....I think it is the staffing issue, it does concern me that we are spending a lot of money to expand it, and w're not even able to respond quickly where the shots have been fired.... we want numbers of things. we want to be able to quantify how many we responded to . how many crimes were solved from sst.....if you are talking about a data driven dept it means you use the data to analyze the success of anything.....I appreciate your dedication, there are some things you can measure however and that I'd like you to show"

Has there ever been a report to respond to here request?

Ms Nadel. also asks " have we actually caught shooters do to this system?"

E. Joyner "Yes! I'm glad you asked me that..... (then later)I can't say specifically for that case that shotspotter led to this guy's arrest"

So in the 6 years(until that point) this is the ONE BEST example you use to sell expansion? Forgive me for not being as accepting as city council.

Lets look at the specific types of examples I think Ms. Kernighan was looking for. Since I couldn't find any other info, and Mr. Toribio sent me this, here is what I found. I would love to see this type of info for 2013.

July 2007 according to the report. 2800 gunshots and 4! arrests for "firearm related crimes" I'm not even sure what that means. Was it directly related to SST? So in that one year it cost \$366,000 to purchase. +\$103,000per year to maintain it . SO if I'm correct \$469,000 to arrest 4 people on 'firearm related crimes" That is almost laughable. and to think city council points to this not as a reason to expand this project. NO wonder we are where we are. so there was 2786 people who took a shot and didn't get caught?? I would hate to show you the % on that.

in august of 2012 there were 215 shotspotter incidents . in 8/13 438!

according to latest stats i can find The clearance rate in Oakland has gone down. 2009. 43%, 2010 39% 2011 29%.

and of course crime rate has gone up quite a bit in last 2 years, since expansion.

I asked Mr. TOribio for any stats that have to do with response time, apparently there isn't any. Although the biggest reason(it seems to me)I for having SST is to improve response time.

Although there are #'s suggesting the costs. I haven't found any total cost of the system. I saw the original cost was \$366,000, plus\$100.000 per year. That is without the mobile system according to the pdf. "this cost can increase if the mobile PSC software is used." I've also seen on the SST website the cost can be on a sq. mile basis. SO it is hard to determine the actual costs here. It was also mentioned about "trips"? to other cities to study the use? Was there a cost to the training/learning curve? Are we paying \$1,000,000 for staff as RK suggested it will cost?

So i cannot find the true cost of this system. Can someone tell me the total cost of this technology since 2006. ? including training, contracts, one time costs, staffing? Can you please include any system errors that cost \$ or time?

In conclusion < here is what I've found out about shotspotter.

It seems to have cost Oakland a minimum of \$1million up to this point.

When asked if this system is effective, Mr. Joyner can point to ONE incident, that he's not sure if SST was directly responsible.

The company's PR person Lydia Barrett refuses to return my calls. I've called her literally a min of 3x a week since July.

I've emailed James Bedlock. He also will not return my emails.

The people at shotspotter deliberately misinformed me about the capabilities of their product

Lydia and James have both been not truthful in statements to the media , saying these microphones are not always on, and cannot hear anything except for gunshots or loud bangs.

There is no discussion whether its against the my rights to have a microphone outside my apt that is ON 24/7.

There is no discussion of any safeguads being put in place. (how long are recordings stored, how much can it listen to, who can listen. who can request the recordings, Is it being used by the NSA to stop "Terrorists" and if so how)

Believe me I am quite sure NO one give a flying duck about this, but it doesn't mean I don't deserve answers to the questions I have been asking in vain, until this point. Just because those in city council believe everything they are told does not mean I do. Just because SST has been successful selling fear to cities , doesn't mean they aren't corrupt. Just because OPD likes having another tool to fight crime, does not mean it is cost effective or even effective at all. It seems to me that you gave SST the contract and then let them implement anything they wanted without question. I just found out OPD has no say into where SST puts these devices. Truly hard to believe.

I do expect to get answers to my questions. Like I told Mr. Toribio, I am not an activist in anyway, and have never engaged in contact with my city council or Police dept, until this. I've spent in extraordinary amount of time trying to get to the bottom of this. The amount of secrecy, compliance and deception are enough to get me really angry about this. THE fact that I've been inquiring about these devices in general since MAY, and in specific since JULY, is ridiculous

I do not appreciate being lied to and ignored!! -Jim

On Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 9:21 AM, Toribio, Anthony
<AToribio@oaklandnet.com> wrote:

FYI

Anthony Toribio

Captain of Police

Oakland Police Department

510.238.3958

Fax: 510. 986.2887 <tel:510.%20986.2887>

Twitter: @area2opd

From: jim emkey [mailto:letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 3:15 PM

To: Toribio, Anthony

Subject: Re: Oakland 41st and broadway, shotspotter.

Hi Anthony

Look forward to talking to you tomorrow. I have a lot of questions stacked up(since no one else talks) about shotspotter. I hope you can answer. Should I call you tomorrow or wait for you call?

On Wed, Sep 18, 2013 at 5:00 PM, Toribio, Anthony
<AToribio@oaklandnet.com> wrote:

It will have to be next week. How about Thurs the 26th at 9:30 to 10:00.

Anthony Toribio

Captain of Police

Oakland Police Department

510.238.3958

Fax: 510. 986.2887 <tel:510.%20986.2887>

Twitter: @area2opd

From: jim emkey [mailto:letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 2:02 PM

To: Toribio, Anthony

Subject: Re: Oakland 41st and broadway, shotspotter.

Thanks for your response. I would love to chat over the phone

510.710.2037. I'd really love if we can meet for coffee. I am in your district. If you give me a time between 9-330wed-fri. , I can meet wherever. otherwise you can call me, lets say a phone call between 10-330 wed-fri would work for me as well. If you can give me an idea when you might call me, I could be prepared with my notes. thanks in advance. Jim

On Tue, Sep 17, 2013 at 12:07 PM, Toribio, Anthony

<AToribio@oaklandnet.com> wrote:

Lets chat over the phone. I don't have the answers to all the questions, but perhaps you will find our conversation informative. Please send me some dates/times.

Anthony Toribio

Captain of Police

Oakland Police Department

510.238.3958

Fax: 510. 986.2887 <tel:510.%20986.2887>

Twitter: @area2opd

From: jim emkey [mailto:letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, September 16, 2013 6:46 PM

To: Toribio, Anthony
Subject: Oakland 41st and Broadway, shotspotter.

Hello sir,

I am glad to see this new localized approach of policing.(not the shotspotter) I hope it is successful in a reduction in crime in this area. I believe this localized approach is better. I hope the increased crime investigators will help. I look forward to eventually meeting you at one of these community meetings.

I live on 41st and Bway. I found this shotspotter device on my streetlight. This device has brought me great frustration. I've had questions about this device since I found it in May. I've been stonewalled at every turn. Shot spotter inc. , city council. and now it seems like OPD. First, it took 2 months to find out what it was. Now it's taken another 2 months to ask the questions, I have put forward in my letter to Captain Joyner. I was to assume he was the one to speak to about this device? He has just answered this letter I am forwarding, saying he isn't going to answer these questions. !!!!???

I am at a loss for where to turn. I am just looking for some solid facts on these. A few of the questions simply asked how to read the shotspotter reports correctly. I will forward you my questions, along with my commentary about the state of surveillance here in Oakland. I do believe in being safe. But as my emails express. I don't think the money is worth the perceived safety these new "toys" bring.

Hi, Mr. Joyner,

Sorry, it's taken me a while to get back to you. I'm sure you know how life gets.

I am writing this to explain my concerns with shotspotter, hopefully I can make it organized and easy to understand. I will number the questions that I hope you will be able to either answer, or send me somewhere to research myself. I am not adverse to doing my own research. Sometimes it's just hard to know what and who to look at.

First I'd like to state that I believe that casting a large net over the general public to sort out the good from the bad, is illegal under the constitution of the United States. I would love to hear a good argument on how I'm wrong on this.

With that being said, every single form of surveillance Oakland is either using now, or planning on using, is treating innocent people as though they were guilty. Saving license plate data, for perpetuity and using the information for who knows what, is just one Orwellian example.

Oakland is using money earmarked for "terrorism" and using it to "solve" Oakland's high crime problem.(1) Can you show me any studies or instances where city wide surveillance has reduced crime? (2) Can you show me how surveillance in Oakland has effected the rate of crime in the more heavily watched areas. (2a) can you show me that crime has gone down in the areas where shotspotter was originally implemented? (2b) can you tell me who's idea it was to expand this tool, and what they cited as reasons to believe expansion would be effective to reduce crime, and how much did the expansion cost exactly. ?

Let me share with you some stats that I found. on oakland's site.

murder rate is up, aggravated assault is up. rape , robbery, burglaries, motor vehicle theft, larceny, arson, and simple assaults are up. How does shotspotter help stop any of these?

Also trying to understand the shotspotter page works. It lists in yellow, shot spotter incidents, and in red, shooting incidents. (3)Can you explain to me the difference? Also trying to figure out the part where it lists "most active beats" In the aug 2013 pdf it lists beat 29y with 28 gunshot calls but only 37 combined calls, which means 28 911 calls and 9 shotspotter incidents? (3a)Why is that? it lists in may and june more calls to 911 then shotspotter incidents.

It also lists "possible gunshots". (4) Are those false positives? if so that is 908 shotspotter incidents and 102 'unknowns' that is 11%. (4a) Are these 11% also investigated? (4b)If so isn't this a waste of manpower? If we are to make informed decisions on what is worth our tax money , shouldn't we have a clear breakdown.

(5) Are shotspotter cost effective? (5a)How much do they cost exactly?! How much did it cost to acquire them, set it up, test it,work out the kinks(i think opd abandoned sst for a year or 2?) (5b)how much did it cost to expand it?

(6) How EXACTLY have the public benefited from this? (6a)Are your responses to calls to shotspotter incidents actually faster then previously? (6b)Has shotspotter specifically solved any cases? Where can we as the public read about this?

(7) How has the expansion into area 2 specifically beat 12y helped?

This brings me to the shotspotter company specifically. You know, as a citizen of Oakland, with questions about their product, I am shut out. They refuse to answer the phone, they refuse to answer my Vm and emails. What am I supposed to do? I read their website as we are referred to over and over. Their website is simply an infomercial to sell more SST to other cities. It is not citizen friendly.

I talked to 2 people exactly, one, called me back after I called Lydia Barrett the head of public relations at SST. HA!! This guy who called me back, was not in public relations, he was simply an installer of the devices. He mistakenly thought he would be able to assuage me by giving me more company line BS. " Well jim. the news has reported that the SST has heard gunfire in Oakland, and Oakland has lots of crime" This was how he started to talk to me. When I told him I wasn't brain-dead and actually wanted to talk about real issues, he told me he wasn't allowed to answer any questions and I had and to reach out to OPD. I asked him Specifically if Shot spotter can hear me if i talked, yelled, slammed my cardoor and argued with my g/f he said NO. I referred him to 2 articles that he never heard of, and that refuted his statements to me, that they could not hear or record me if I yelled on the street. He said he wasn't about to argue with me, and pretty much ended our conversation.

<http://www.southcoasttoday.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20120111/NEWS/201110339>

http://www.paloaltoonline.com/news/show_story.php?id=15796

The 2nd guy I talked to was even worse. I found him thru the 510 sst company # on their website. This guy didn't want to answer any questions.He referred me to the non police emergency # in oakland. Told me as the other guy, SST could NOT hear me yelling on the street and knew of No other incidents. I referred him to the 2 articles. I asked him his name and if it was ok to lie to me, He said he didn't need to give me his name, and then hung up on me in the middle of my speaking.

A couple other things I found out about this company. They are partnered up by defense contractors. They also lobbied for the passing of the NDAA bill. Is it such a stretch to think that this company would save these recordings with a secret 'understanding' of the govt, under the guise of catching terrorists? In the light of things the NSA, CIA, and projects like PRISM are doing. Shouldn't we, the public, be EXTRA paranoid about what we are being told. Shouldn't companies that spy on us like SAIC and SST be bending over backwards to show us they don't violate our rights!!! Not just brushing us off, and telling us its "good for us, for crime, for terrorism" I am tired of the company line. I don't want to be SOLD to believe i am safer. I want proof and facts. I for one do not fall for their BS. "shotspotter reduces crime just by installing it" They just say that, with NO facts behind it. This is like PTBarnum once said, "there's a sucker born every minute. "

Let me see if I have all this right. This questionable service according to the company selling them, doesn't violate our UNALEINABLE rights! Any person including you, just takes their word for it. There is absolutley NO debate on this, and we are just being TOLD its good for us and take it. The ONLY dialogue we hear is from a company trying to SELL us their product and the repeating of this 'propaganda' by anyone who benefits from this. OPD and politicians. WE the people who are supposed to be protected DO NOT benefit from this in ANY known way. In fact we may be more at risk. Since this device takes money from the OPD, and this device cannot catch someone who is mugging me, where a cop can. It does not make me safer walking home from my car after work past midnight. If I get shot and am lying on the street, I don't feel like the microphone will save me. The person that shot me isn't deterred because, NO ONE knows what they are or where they are. ON PURPOSE! (I've asked many people, including my councilman). It can't protect my car from being stolen(2x) or broken into(too numerous to count) It can't protect anyone getting mugged, unless the mugger shoots a gun, yells his name, and stands around and wait for cops. Muggers, if they were aware of sst, could simply use another weapon, or put a silencer on their gun. Again, I am not safer.

There is no discussion, whether its illegal.

There are no facts about the efficacy and cost.

There is no one that can tell me if someone can listen to these live?

There are no clear rules on if they can store our 'street conversations' who else can listen to them, where they are being stored, and if a govt agency/OPD can access these records with or without a warrant? The reason there are No clear rules is simply because the company LIES to us and tells us they cannot listen. I was told by you, Mr. Joyner that these microphone are always on. This leads to a follow up question that no one can answer, that i've asked above.

Why is this company so secretive and refuse to answer the general public's simple questions. I called just this minute, and left my 15th? message to Lydia Barrett? Who else can I call?

You want me to trust this secretive company, selling listening devices, directly in front of my apt. They won't answer my questions. The ones they do answer they lie about.

The company that takes money away from my cash poor police department. The opd that I have no other choice but to trust to protect me.

This company is making money by selling FEAR. Selling fear to a

city that has the highest rate of crime in the USA. Selling fear to a city that is cash strapped, and has no real solutions to solving crime.

This company is selling a product that hasn't reduced crime in anyway. Maybe I could make an argument that shotspotter has increased crime. I mean look at the stats!

This company is selling out the politicians, because if the politicians are given money to fix a problem, that is way over their head, they accept the money, and receive their praise for being so smart. Lip service, more govt contracts, more waste, more crime, less cops, less money. Who actually wins here? I can tell you who doesn't win!

I understand that there this is quite long. If you could please answer the questions I've numbered. If you could please consider this from a non-armed citizens point of view. This device I'm paying for , is not directly protecting me. This company is not informing me of the basic questions I have about privacy. They would rather not have the general public know anything about them. They and OPD would rather we not even know what or where they are.

Thank you for your time and in advance for your answers. --Jim

Joyner, Ersie

3:28 PM (3 hours ago)

to me

Sir

With all due respect I have already taken time to address all that I can with you. I am not a salesperson or PR person for ShotSpotter. I am simply a police officer & life long resident of this city trying to make a difference. I am not responding to any of these questions as they are out of the realm of my job. I crafted several council reports explaining the significance of ShotSpotter & how it would be utilized in this city to combat gun violence. At that point the elected officials made the determination to fund & install it in this city. I would advise you to read the council reports & further than that I can no longer be of assistance to you. I have more than tried to explain what we are doing with it & why we need it. Frankly my job is not to convince you of it's worth but just give you the simple facts. You make whatever determination you want from there. You have that right as a citizen & if you feel the city's money is not being wisely spent I suggest you take that up with the elected officials. As I stated the photos you sent me are not ShotSpotter & I don't know what they are so I'm sorry I couldn't get you more clarity on that. I wish you & your family well sir.

From: Maher, Sean
Sent: Tuesday, March 04, 2014 4:57 PM
To: Maher, Sean
Subject: RE: Open letter from Mayor Quan regarding the Domain Awareness Center

I've heard chatter of a possible misinterpretation, so please forgive the extra e-mail for a point of clarity: the Mayor is not proposing the dismantling or unplugging of equipment already in use, or equipment that functions independently of the Domain Awareness Center project. Existing traffic cameras, Shotspotter equipment and so forth should continue to operate as they always have.

Thanks,
Sean

From: Maher, Sean
Sent: Tuesday, March 04, 2014 2:08 PM
Subject: Open letter from Mayor Quan regarding the Domain Awareness Center

To members of the City Council and the media,

Attached please find an open letter from Mayor Quan regarding the Domain Awareness Center. Thank you for your consideration and your ongoing work serving the people of Oakland!

Yours,
Sean

--

Sean Maher
Communications Director
Office of the Mayor of Oakland
Desk: 510-238-7439
Cell: 510-473-2610
E-mail: smaher@oaklandnet.com

From: Kalb, Dan
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2014 1:20 PM
To: Patrick S.
Cc: Luby, Oliver
Subject: Re: Please don't scrap ShotSpotter

I support ShotSpotter.

The Council's Public Safety Committee will be discussing the issue and hearing a staff report (from OPD and the City Administrator's office) about ShotSpotter on Tuesday, May 13th.

No action will be taken before then. I hope to keep it going.

Thanks Patrick.

-Dan Kalb
City Councilmember
District One
Oakland, CA
510-238-7001

> On Mar 14, 2014, at 10:57 AM, "Patrick S." <psullivan66@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> Hey Dan: I just read that OPD is talking about scrapping ShotSpotter. This is a bad idea. We need every tool we can get to fight gun crime in our neighborhoods. I live over on 61st Street, and we've had multiple gun homicides in our neighborhood.

>

> Please tell me you agree that we need to save this system!

>

>

> Patrick Sullivan

> 510-290-1607

From: ron Oz [ronozz@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 01, 2013 3:31 PM
To: PSA3@yahoo.com; psa2group; psa4; psa5; PSA1messagepost
Cc: Office of the Mayor; City Administrator's Office; Kalb, Dan; Kernighan, Pat; McElhaney, Lynette; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel; dbrooks@oakland.net; Reid, Larry; At Large
Subject: Re: [PSA3] updated 2013 map of all Oakland shootings

Kudos to the volunteers who contribute to the site Len recommended. Keep in mind, as reported in a NYTimes article quoting an Oakland police official, "...only 22 percent of the verified gunfire the system detected over a three-month period was also reported by residents."

Hopefully Bratton will make some sense and reality to what we know about gunshots in Oakland. The problem is that there is no research arm at OPD to offer quantitative or qualitative analysis of Shotspotter. How extensive is the Shotspotter Program? How much of the City is covered? How many gunshots and gun shot incidents have been reported, where/when, in each geographical area [Beats]? How many of these were reported by citizens? How many [and how soon] were they responded to by police? How many were related to specific crimes? Are there time/area patterns? How many "on-view" incidents were there where officers actually got there timely?

I think that Bratton will come up with some eye-opening and frustrating conclusions? We have a potentially great technology but we are not utilizing it.

- 1) We don't capture and utilize the data for review, analysis, prediction, or use it to develop strategy or tactics.
- 2) We don't respond to gunfire rapidly, and in many cases not at all.
- 3) We have no command control center to connect the input of critical information with the allocation of resources.
- 4) There is no review process whereby effectiveness is reviewed or measured.

The most critical element is how we immediately use the information. I have long advocated a command/control center where by a command staff officer sits in a high-tech room at the Communications Dispatch Center. There is a Lieutenant there now, a good one, a smart one, but he will have to tell you his job is already overwhelmed with administrative tasks and paper work. We need a command officer who, in real time, can see on screens in front of him/her, where all incidents are occurring and where our officers are. He needs to be able to pull and push cops where they are needed in real time. He needs to make sure things are moving along as rapidly as priorities dictate. He needs to know what is going on... right now, no one does.

I once advocated a Code Blue status whereby all officers having radio reception [that's everybody], regardless of assignment, would be informed that the highest priority exists to respond to emergency calls. It is a critical situation. There is no excuse for critical citizen calls to sit for hours without a cop responding. There is no excuse for the Dispatcher to have to beg repeatedly for "any available unit." All cops are today in constant reception to the radio calls, regardless what they are doing. Code Blue would require all cops to end what they're doing, if not critical, and answer citizen emergency calls.

Btw... a burglary, contrary to the thinking of Tucker and Batts, and the Council Members who unwittingly bought in to it, is not a low priority call. It should be of the highest priority. When a citizen arrives home to see a door kicked in, a window broken, or to walk in and see evidence of intrusion --- this is tantamount to a rape of one's space, one's security, one's sensibility that one has been personally violated. A cop should in every instance arrive as quickly as if a heart attack

had been reported, to make sure the area is safe, to assure the well being of the victim, and to instill confidence in the community. Shortage of manpower is not an acceptable excuse because there are operational tactics and policy changes that can be implimented to offer enable burglary responses.

ronoz

On Thu, Jan 31, 2013 at 5:21 PM, len r <cpas@cparaa.com> wrote:

www.shineinpeace.com

Unlike OPD map, this one is updated frequently.

It is maintained by volunteers.

Please comment if you notice any errors.

_____,_____
Reply via web post

Reply to
sender

Reply to
group

Start a New
Topic

Messages in this topic
(1)

RECENT ACTIVITY:

* New Members 1

Visit Your Group

Switch to: Text-Only, Daily Digest • Unsubscribe • Terms of Use • Send us Feedback

.

_____,_____,_____

I hear this argument; I've made this argument myself. But think – and we all have to think, because Measure Y expires in 2015. Then what? The argument that we shouldn't increase taxes on ourselves to support a better police department because the city can't be trusted to spend the money responsibly leaves us: with an incredible shrinking police force.

And just to clarify: Measure Y didn't "pay for" 739 police officers. That was the number of police officers we had when Measure Y passed. Measure Y paid for 63 police officers, whose funding will go away if a replacement for Measure Y fails to pass.

From: RockridgeNeighborhoodWatchNetwork@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:RockridgeNeighborhoodWatchNetwork@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Deborah Eudaley
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2014 10:57 AM
To: RockridgeNeighborhoodWatchNetwork@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [RockridgeNeighborhoodWatchNetwork] RE: Data Acquisition Center (DAC) Council vote this Tuesday

They couldn't even properly implement the police radio system.

From: Mike Merroman <jmerjmer@yahoo.com>
To: "RockridgeNeighborhoodWatchNetwork@yahoogroups.com"
<RockridgeNeighborhoodWatchNetwork@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: "<RockridgeNeighborhoodWatchNetwork@yahoogroups.com>"

<RockridgeNeighborhoodWatchNetwork@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, February 21, 2014 10:46 AM

Subject: Re: [RockridgeNeighborhoodWatchNetwork] RE: Data Acquisition Center (DAC) Council vote this Tuesday

Comments on this topic:

Most of us agree that Oakland needs more police and leadership to make our home town safer.

Why not install cameras and scare the bad guys?

Privacy - really? Drive on the freeway or across a bridge and they have your license plate.

Stats don't prove this helps - is that why Piedmont and Washington DC have lots of cameras? I think they are another tool for police to use.

I hear both sides of this but still leave room that Oakland could benefit. Most companies will not move here because of crime. Look how many Oakland neighborhoods have hired private patrols, along with camera installs.

Let's get off the top city crime list and try this. It may be the cheaper option vs measure Y or our high priced police.

Why are so many against this? And what solution to you propose?

I respect everyone's opinion. Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 21, 2014, at 1:50 AM, <len@cparaa.com> wrote:

correction on who abstained: It was Kalb and Reid.

The primary reason the Council majority voted to postpone was they realized that they did not understand what they had previously authorized in 2010 and 2013 unanimous votes on several million worth of DAC grant authorizations.

Furthermore most of them now want to restrict the DAC to the original Port protection idea but they don't know if the Feds will let them dismantle what's already been installed. There is mass confusion about what costs are shared with the Port.

Libby Schaaf made the excellent point that over a million had already been spent in phase 1 and a couple of million already authorized for phase 2. From their questions, the other CM's didn't realize that the DAC was already partially installed.. We have no idea if we have to refund money to the Feds if we dismantle the non Port pieces of phase 1 surveillance already spent/installed.

It also seemed that Libby Schaaf preferred to keep what's been paid for and installed even though it extended the DAC outside the Port. But maybe she just didn't want to see the money wasted by giving it back to the Feds or abandoned.

Questions posed by Lynette M to staff then made it clear that the project already has connected some of the city's traffic cameras and the Shotspotter microphones in phase I. But no video storage has been implemented or maybe just "not turned on".

Lynette M incorrectly stated that the staff had "discovered" that the phase 1

contractor, SAIC, was "non-compliant" with our anti-nuclear weapon statute. That was not staff but anti-DAC activists googling SAIC who uncovered that.

Confusion about what's been done and what the legal commitments made was a common thread in the Council discussion. The staff seemed to know but either hadn't told the CM's or the CM's never asked previously.

Mayor Quan's comments were completely different from every other speaker and CM.. Only “an incident center” “All we’re doing is looking at the cameras” “Many other cities have this”

Brooks did a very credible job of summarizing the messed up legal and financial situation, and expressing the intent of majority of Council to roll back the DAC to just Port protection with possible addition of natural disaster geographical info.

Reply via web post
Reply to
sender
Reply to
group
Start a New
Topic
Messages in this topic
(16)
VISIT YOUR GROUP
* New Members 1

• Privacy • Unsubscribe • Terms of Use
.

From: Lambert, Dannette
Sent: Friday, December 13, 2013 12:40 PM
To: Toribio, Anthony
Cc: Kalb, Dan; Luby, Oliver; Bolotina, Olga
Subject: Re: Shotspotter coverage

Thanks Captain Toribio!

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 13, 2013, at 9:17 AM, "Toribio, Anthony" <AToribio@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Here is the map.

Anthony Toribio
Captain of Police
Oakland Police Department
510.238.3958
Fax: 510. 986.2887
Twitter: @area2opd

From: Joyner, Ersie
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2012 9:12 PM
To: Morris, Yolanda
Cc: Toribio, Anthony
Subject: Last one

<OaklandMapCoverage.pdf>

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 6:18 PM
To: Joyner, Ersie
Cc: District 3 Intern; Kalb, Dan; Gallo, Noel; Schaaf, Libby; Luby, Oliver; Stoffmacher, Bruce; Toribio, Anthony; Figueroa, Paul; Joshi, Holly J.
Subject: Re: shotspotter privacy policy

thanks again.

This should be a reflection on the city council not doing its homework.

On Fri, May 23, 2014 at 5:43 PM, Joyner, Ersie <EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Sir

Once again their policy is there policy. They have not made it public as of yet but said they would put it on their website in approximately 3 weeks. So whether you find it odd or not those are the facts. So again exercise some patience & see if they do it in 3 weeks.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On May 23, 2014, at 5:23 PM, "jim emkey" <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:
Thank you,

Has the city council seen it? It wasn't in any of the reports, and it wasn't on their website.

I just find this odd. Have they been following a privacy policy for the last 8 years?

On Fri, May 23, 2014 at 3:36 PM, Joyner, Ersie <EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Yes I have.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

On May 23, 2014, at 3:12 PM, "jim emkey" <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:

Thank you for clarification. Just wondering , because you DID refer to it in the meeting. Have you seen it?

On Fri, May 23, 2014 at 8:52 AM, Joyner, Ersie
<EJoyner@oaklandnet.com> wrote:
Sir

I have checked with Shotspotter & they told me their policy will be posted on their website within 3 weeks. As a city & agency we do not have control over this document. As soon as we get it, it will be made available to you. Until then you will just have to remain patient as we are trying to be as transparent as we can with you. Feel free to contact them if you have additional questions about their company policies.

EJ III

Ersie M. Joyner III
Oakland Police Department
Captain of Police
510-773-0411 cell
Ejoyner@oaklandnet.com

> On May 23, 2014, at 1:09 AM, "jim emkey"
<letswakeupoakland@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> Still waiting....
>
> Ten days ago captain Joyner referred to the privacy policy for
shostpotter and Ms. McElhanely asked him for it.
>
> This will be the 3rd email asking to show me where to find it.
>
>
> Please and thank you.
>
> I am tired of being ignored by you guys. Please respond.

From: Figueroa, Paul
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2014 9:30 AM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: RE: shotspotter

Thanks for letting me know the history. I will handle accordingly. We will answer what we can.

Thanks again,

Paul Figueroa
Interim Assistant Chief of Police
City of Oakland Police Department
(510) 238-7183

From: Kalb, Dan
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2014 9:02 AM
To: Figueroa, Paul
Subject: Fwd: shotspotter

Chief Figueroa,

As an FYI, two of my staff did attempt to answer some of his questions a number of months ago. After it became clear that we would not be able to answer most of his many questions, he got upset.

He is totally against Shotspotter and had dozens of in-depth questions--some of which would take extensive research to answer.

We told him that my office doesn't have answers to most of his questions, but he is persistent!

I'm not suggesting that all his questions are unreasonable, but he appears to have an unlimited number of them.

-Dan

-Dan Kalb
City Councilmember
District One
Oakland, CA
510-238-7001

Begin forwarded message:

From: jim emkey <letswakeupoakland@gmail.com>
Date: February 21, 2014 at 1:02:28 AM PST
To: pfigueroa@oaklandnet.com, "dkalb@oaklandnet.com"
<dkalb@oaklandnet.com>, "smaher@oaklandnet.com"
<smaher@oaklandnet.com>
Subject: shotspotter
Paul,

Hi, Thank you for your time, and for answering my questions.

I've never been to any meetings. I've never contacted any councilmembers. I've

never been an activist or protester in anyway.

Now, that I've said that. I saw a device on my street light in may. By July I found out it was shotspotter. I've asked everyone questions about this, and have mostly been ignored. city council. OPD. capt joyner has told me he won't answer anymore of my questions.(I will gladly share those emails) Yeah, and just try to call the company and ask them anything. I dare you . in a "civilian" way.

I have never been interested in local politicians, but now that they won't answer my questions I get frustrated and upset with them.

I have never been so involved in local policy to ask anyone in a local police force for answers to any questions about police programs put in place.

I've lived in Oakland for 22 years, and on 41st st for about 10, I've worked on piedmont and college ave for the last 20 years.

I am not an agitator. I am not a protester.

I try as a regular resident of Oakland to ask simple questions. I am ignored and shut out at every turn. THis doesn't seem normal. I've been asking since July. This company seems deceitful and misleading in the media and GP. Dan Kalb has not responded to one of my 11 emails or many more phone calls.

I've asked these questions to city council , CPt joyner. THE media, The company itself. NO one will respond in a satisfactory way.

I feel like I'm being annoying. One of my biggest pet peeves in life is annoying people. I am just asking simple questions to the people in my city and neighborhood that are supposed to answer my simple questions, simply. I have just been ignored.

I am not used to being ignored. I am not happy with being ignored. I don't like to be treated as a nuisance. This is how I now feel. To leave my teenage girls alone on my night to see them, and come to a meeting , just so I can try and get my simple questions answered.

I was forced to ask a simple question near the end of a meeting that I thought was more important then hanging out with my 2 daughters.

I am was not informed of a shotspotter going up directly in front of my building.

1. Why is this company allowed to have open microphones in front of my apartment?

This company misleads the public by stating their microphones 'only turn on when there is a bang or boom' I can prove this in articles where they've stated this over and over. .

Recording my conversation is, at anytime, illegal under the 4th amendment and even more specifically under ca state law, that states IT is illegal to record a conversation without a warrant. Even if it was during a 8 second time period where there actually was a gunshot. Read Katz vs US.

The companies VP James Bedlock also states he can record, store and review any sound that is loud or impulsive. This could include as stated by Richmond Police, birds chirping, traffic, cars starting up, and conversation. 1b Can someone tell me why this is possible?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vL5x0a5dRHY&feature=c4-overview&list=UU-UtfRkcbHpdfR_oFQ7LrRQ

2. Why is this program in place without the staffing needed?

This system already failed one time as stated by Captain Joyner, because there was not adequate staff, and there were too many false alarms. Larry Reid, Rebecca Kaplan, Pat Kernighan, Jean Quan, Wayne Tucker, Cpt Joyner, and VP from sst all stated in city council meetings that this system would cost \$2-3 Million , Rebecca Kaplan stated as recently as 2012 in a discussion that she talked to chief of police and this would not work with at least \$1million worth of staffing. I was told, as of dec 2013, there is \$0 or staffing for this program by an OP officer.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zxSPrPa3rzE&feature=c4-overview&list=UU-UtfRkcbHpDfR_oFQ7LrRQ

3. How does this company bypass Oakland Nuclear Ordinance. ? Seeing that the company SAIC's contract was terminated by Oakland, because of the Nuclear Ordinance. t Scott Handley, the firm's operation contracts manager, wrote it likely had served "U.S. Department of Defense customers that arguably may be categorized as supporting 'nuclear weapons work' under the exceedingly broad definition" in the city's disclosure form.

Because no one would answer my questions, I had to do my own research. I have sent this info to Karen Boyd recently. I found that this company has made devices directly for the military. I have also found that this company has an embedded technological partnership with Lockheed Martin and Northrup Grumman. Both of these companies surely can be considered to help further the war effort and the production and proliferation of nuclear weapons.

4. What data was used to a) reinstate the shotspotter program after failing .
b) to expand this to N and W Oakland. specifically at 41st and Broadway and On top of Oakland tech.
c) to renew the one year contract starting on Oct(?) 2013

I want data. Not a puff piece as stated by Pat Kernighan. she wants real stats and data supporting the efficacy of shotspotter. This report was asked directly to Capt. Joyner in 9.2012 . He refused to even acknowledge this request. Ms. Kernighan stated she doesn't believe she received one, and if so It'd be in the city council reports. Its not.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=995eTWbhmUM&feature=c4-overview&list=UU-UtfRkcbHpDfR_oFQ7LrRQ

I don't think I am off base here. The fact that I've been ignored when I ask these questions should be enough to make me frustrated. To have these simple questions ignored since JULY is unacceptable, and enough to make me get angry.

I would love to further this discussion. I am only asking questions. I have been treated like a nuisance. I've been unable to get anyone to adequately discuss this with me. I don't want to waste anyone's time, but I would love at least ONE person to have the decency to answer my questions directly and without a condescending attitude towards me. Is this really too much to ask? Please help me find that person, if you are not him.

Thank you for your time, and your service. -Jim

Ps I do have much more, so if you are not able to continue after this, please let me now who I can "bother"

From: Heather Ehmke [hehmke@att.net]
Sent: Saturday, February 15, 2014 8:17 AM
To: jim6pack@aol.com; dmbourge1@gmail.com;
gbannowsky@gmail.com; heidicusack78@aol.com;
justinglum@gmail.com; kschave@aol.com; mstquest2@yahoo.com;
tiff_j@att.net; emiliekramer@mac.com; Kalb, Dan; Lambert, Dannette
Subject: Re: time worthy

well said

From: "jim6pack@aol.com" <jim6pack@aol.com>
To: dmbourge1@gmail.com; gbannowsky@gmail.com; heidicusack78@aol.com;
justinglum@gmail.com; kschave@aol.com; mstquest2@yahoo.com; hehmke@att.net; tiff_j@att.net;
emiliekramer@mac.com; dkalb@oaklandnet.com; dlambert@oaklandnet.com
Sent: Friday, February 14, 2014 12:37 PM
Subject: time worthy

Hey all,

Just because, this is what is going on in the pretend fight against crime in this city. I'm guessing if it weren't for me, you wouldn't even have heard about this. Just hoping you all know about this stuff. if I didn't think this was important, I wouldn't have done all the research or talk about it.

<https://twitter.com/OaklandPrivacy/status/434414377643421696/photo/1>

Do you want to live in total surveillance, sponsored by the Dept of homeland security? Yes everything you do, all cameras, all license plate readers , all red light cameras, all shotspotters among other things. to be tracked and collected by BIG Brother, stored in a big data center, until they want to find you guilty of something. ? Or even if they didn't , Even if you don't do anything wrong. They are breaking the laws of the Constitution, in the guise of Terrorism and Crime. This shouldn't be ok , no matter what their excuse. Even if it worked, which there are no studies saying complete surveillance stops any violent crimes. Even if it did, this is not being created to stop crime(read below)

Please if you trust the over reaching and ever expanding government, that does what it wants and asks for permission later. Listen to what Ed Snowden is saying. Please respond and tell me how this will keep us safer, will not violate our 4th amendment UNALIENABLE right to privacy. Will not be Abused by the people involved. Will not be a total waste of money, designed to give profit to companies who sell fear.

Am I happy about the crime in Oakland?. No. Is this the excuse you want for them to Pretend to keep you safer. No. Go and listen to what all these people are saying about this. Make up your own minds. Do your own research. If you don't agree that this will be intrusive and misused, I would love to hear it.

Or you can read about what they plan on doing with this technology, does it sound like they are using it for crime, or for tracking. ?

<http://www.eastbayexpress.com/oakland/the-real-purpose-of-oaklands-surveillance-center/Content?oid=3789230>

"thousands of pages of emails, meeting minutes, and other public documents show that, behind closed doors, city staffers have not been focusing on how the DAC can lower Oakland's violent crime rate.... Linda Lye, a staff attorney at the American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California... "The fact that the focus so far has been on political protests, rather than the violent crime that's impacting Oakland residents, is troubling, and telling about how the city plans to use the DAC".....Among the hundreds of messages sent and received by Oakland staffers and the city's contractor team responsible for building the DAC,

there is no mention of robberies, shootings, or the 138 homicides that took place during the period of time covered by the records. City staffers do not discuss any studies pertaining to the use of surveillance cameras in combating crime, nor do they discuss how the Domain Awareness System could help OPD with its longstanding problems with solving violent crimes. In more than 3,000 pages of emails, the terms "murder," "homicide," "assault," "robbery," and "theft" are never mentioned."

Ok I will not keep sending these things to you nice people. If you'd like to keep in the loop, just let me know. -cheers Jim

From: Land, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, October 08, 2013 4:43 AM
To: DL - OPD Executive Notifications; DL - OPD Cease Fire Partners
Subject: RE: Update to 245 Shooting ACH walk in

Importance: High

To all,

Update to the 245 shooting:

The victim advised that the shooting occurred in the area of 85th Avenue and G Street. Area 5/Beat 33X OPD Communications Section received a SHOTSPOTTER activation of (4) rounds at 0233 hours in the area of 939 92nd Avenue. This is the only SHOTSPOTTER activation this morning in the area of the possible shooting.

Michael Land
Acting Sergeant of Police
Oakland Police Department
Communications Section
mland@oaklandnet.com
(510) 777-8801
Sat. thru Mon.
2100-0700 hours

Mission:

"The Mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the Community of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play, and thrive free from crime and fear of crime."

Motto:

"We will be there when you need us."

From: Land, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, October 08, 2013 4:30 AM
To: DL - OPD Executive Notifications; DL - OPD Cease Fire Partners
Subject: 245 Shooting ACH walk in
Importance: High

To All,

Good morning.

On 08 Oct 13, at about 0402 hours, the Communications Section received a call from Alameda County Hospital (ACH) Emergency Room regarding a victim (adult) walk in with a gunshot wound to the foot. The victim was transported to ACH by three (3) adult males who were operating a blue 1993 Chevrolet van with no plates. Alameda County Sheriff deputies were detaining the three (3) males until OPD officers arrived. OPD officers are responding to ACH. No further information is available at this time.

Reference:

RD#13-051528

CAD Incident #131008000092

Michael Land
Acting Sergeant of Police
Oakland Police Department
Communications Section
mland@oaklandnet.com
(510) 777-8801
Sat. thru Mon.
2100-0700 hours

Mission:

"The Mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the Community of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play, and thrive free from crime and fear of crime."

Motto:

"We will be there when you need us."

From: McDaniel, Sylvia on behalf of OPD Media
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 10:05 AM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Joshi, Holly J.; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: Reminder: Fireworks & Celebratory Gunfire
Attachments: 2013 Fireworks and Celebratory Gunfire 26Dec13.pdf

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
December 26, 2013

Illegal use of fireworks and celebratory gunfire are two major complaints received by the Oakland Police Department at this time of year.

Ushering in the New Year is a joyous tradition. We want you to celebrate safely. Celebratory gun fire and the use of fireworks are illegal and extremely dangerous. Setting off fireworks and shooting guns pose a great risk for injury and even death and as such, they have no place in our City.

Celebratory Gunfire

As a part of our commitment to public safety, quality of life and vitality in our communities, the Police Department is focused on stopping illegal gun use. On New Year's Eve, we will be deploying patrol officers to specific areas of the City that have the highest amount of gunshot and firework activity as indicated by ShotSpotter activation.

Illegal Use of Fireworks

The Oakland Municipal Code makes ALL fireworks illegal. Violators who are sixteen years or older may be cited and face fines of \$1,000. Also, the ordinance states that "every parent, guardian, or other person, having legal care, custody or control of any person under the age of eighteen years, who knows or reasonably should have known that a minor is in violation of this ordinance, may be issued a citation."

The law can be viewed in its entirety at

<http://municipalcodes.lexisnexis.com/codes/Oakland/>. In brief, sale, transfer, possession or use of fireworks is prohibited. Specifically, the law makes each of the following activities a criminal offense:

1. Selling, or advertising for sale, any firework or pyrotechnical device.
2. Transferring any firework or pyrotechnical device to another.
3. Possessing any firework or pyrotechnical device.
4. Using or causing any firework or pyrotechnical device to be detonated.

You Can Help

Residents are encouraged help us:

- Report the illegal use of fireworks by calling our Fireworks Hot Line: (510) 238-2373.
- Report celebratory gunfire by calling 911 (by cell phone, 510-777-3211).

You can also turn in illegal fireworks at the following six Oakland Fire Stations:

1. Station 1: 1603 Martin Luther King Jr. Way

2. Station 3: 1445 14th Street
3. Station 4: 1235 International Boulevard
4. Station 5: 934 34th Street
5. Station 18: 1700 50th Avenue
6. Station 20: 1401 98th Avenue

View the Public Service Announcement by the Oakland Police Department and the Oakland Fire Department on our web site at www.oaklandpolice.com.

Nixle Tip Watch allows tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone
 2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247)
 3. Respond to OPD's Nixle messages
- For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com. or Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, @oaklandpoliceca.

###

From: Joe Hawkins [jhawkins@shotspotter.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2014 7:12 PM
To: Kalb, Dan
Subject: Request for short meeting from ShotSpotter Oaklanders

Dear Dan,

My name is Joe Hawkins and I am a longtime resident of Montclair (Libby's district, I know), and for the past 18 months I have had the distinct privilege of running ShotSpotter operations for SST, Inc. I am also a longtime friend of John Christian, who recently told me that you and he had exchanged emails over residential crime in his Claremont Pines neighborhood and you had mentioned your support for ShotSpotter in Oakland. (John is a big fan as well, for all the right reasons, and for that I am grateful.) So, I first wanted to thank you for your support.

Secondly – and the primary purpose of my email – I would like to invite you to sit down with Ralph Clark, SST's CEO (and fellow Oakland resident) and me for a short, informal meeting where we can discuss all things ShotSpotter and answer any questions you may have. We are hoping you might have some time next Tuesday the 18th, any time after 11:00am. We can meet at your office or anywhere convenient for you. Please let me know what works for you, I am confident you will find it time well spent.

All of us at SST are proud to serve the Oakland Police Department in the fight against gun violence in Oakland, and none are more proud than those of us who live there. Thanks again for your support of ShotSpotter and for all you do for our fair city.

Sincerely,
Joe

Joe Hawkins
Senior Vice President, Operations
Tel.510.794.3155 / Cel.415.254.4764 / Fax.650.887.2106
jhawkins@shotspotter.com

Corporate Headquarters
7979 Gateway Blvd, Suite 210
Newark, CA 94560

The Fight to Stop Gun Violence Starts with ShotSpotter

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2014 12:04 PM
To: Kalb, Dan; Luby, Oliver
Subject: Research on shotspotter and their warrant less surveillance

Mr. Kalb and Mr. Luby,

As you know I've been trying and trying again and again, to get you to comment on the research I have done on this company.

This system is coming up to a vote soon, and you will also discuss expansion. After reading the article in the east bay express today. I am further concerned in this company's deceptive practices, and their unwillingness to be upfront in their dealings with the city council and the OPD . My repeated calls to them have been ignored as well. Maybe You are the one to bring up the matters that I am going to be bringing to you.

You are my representative to the city. I have been contacting you since July with my concerns. You cannot dispute the fact that you have this information. Why won't you act?

I would like to be present in these meeting with shotspotter officials, How can I do that? I will have a handful of emails to come, please read them carefully. I have very serious concerns. These concerns have been brushed off by the OPD and I've been lied to directly by them. I am not OK with being lied to. I am not ok with being ignored by you either. PLEASE RESPOND and stop hiding on this issue.

This first one will have to deal with WARRANT LESS SURVEILLANCE and the LAW. Congress passed this act in 1968, trying to control warrant less surveillance.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Omnibus_Crime_Control_and_Safe_Streets_Act_of_1968

If I am understanding this law correctly, installing a surveillance microphone directly in front of my apartment and recording my voice violates my constitutional protection against unreasonable "search and seizure" A search and seizure is illegal without a search warrant (7)

Recording, Storing, and Reviewing conversations on my own street in front of my own apartment(and all around this city and country) violates the "expectation of privacy."(8) 18 U.S. Code § 2518 - Procedure for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications provides that a citizen such as myself is entitled to an "expectation of privacy" in speaking with a friend with nobody around, and that any law enforcement officials(or even worse private companies) using recording devices that can pick up these conversations are required to obtain warrants

I believe, We the people, deserve. Total disclosure.Transparent and available data, Not anecdotes.

WE deserve to see data that shows effectiveness of this expensive, intrusive system.

We deserve conversation about the capabilities of these microphones so we can make informed decisions.

After learning the capabilities of surveillance microphones, we would like to put in privacy policies.

After learning the capabilities of surveillance microphones, the public would most likely like to choose a location that is High above any street level conversation.

When I ask questions like this, I shouldn't be treated as an agitator, I should be treated like a concerned citizen and father of 2 daughters . I am concerned about their safety. I don't think when asking questions to city employees, whose salaries I'm paying,that I should be treated the way I am. Disgraceful.!

My research into this company is to follow. It is quite lengthy . I want to make sure I put in here ALL of my concerns. This information makes me uncomfortable. It shows me that the city doesn't do it's research. Doesn't know the actual laws. Doesn't even make the public aware of any concerns, or think that there is any, are manipulated by lobbyists.

Best practices, when "legally spying" is to put up signs to warn us that we MAY be recorded.

This is the bulk of my research with footnotes provided.

What I learned was pretty amazing.

1. These microphones have picked up people's conversations(1) ,

(1) <http://www.southcoasttoday.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20120111/NEWS/201110339>

as well as birds chirping and freeway noise(2)

(2) <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/05/29/us/shots-heard-pinpointed-and-argued-over.html?pagewanted=all>

a) The company denies this (3)

2. These microphones are always on, and has been described to be similar to a red light camera

<http://records.oaklandnet.com/request/475>

"This system is very similar to the red camera technology"

a) the company denies this (4)

3. This company's VP claims he can listen, record, store and review ANY noise , even if it isn't given to the police as an alert.

" For forensic purposes, all loud, impulsive noises are logged by ShotSpotter systems, even if they do not trigger an automatic alert, in case those noises needed to be reviewed after-the-fact,"(5)

4. I was told by assistant Oakland Police chief David Downing that recording conversations is okay "because it's like a video camera," I was also told this in a information request #2577 stating

"There are no search warrants necessary as the equipment monitors areas that are in public space. It's the same as someone taking pictures in public". - Amber C Fuller (6)

5. the shotspotter reports have logged 6 incidents in my police district. (12x)since october. This includes the busiest night of the year NYEve----

area 5 where shotspotter has been for close to 8 years had 1532 incidents from april 2013-january 2014. IN the New expansion area, Area 2., in the same time period has 79!!!! 1532-79 ! why the expansion? and why are they considering another expansion. we have the biggest coverage area in the country. 13.3 sq miles (times \$40-\$60K per sq mile)

<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/oakca1/groups/police/documents/webcontent/oak045974.pdf>

I went to <http://www.justice.gov/> to do some research on the validity of these statements made about needing warrants. I came across some interesting things.

<http://www.justice.gov/criminal/foia/docs/elec-sur-manual.pdf>

28 Electronic Surveillance—Title III Applications

"A It must be prepared by an applicant identified as a law enforcement or investigative officer. The application must be in writing, signed by the United States Attorney, an Assistant United States Attorney, and made under oath

B. It must identify the type of communications to be intercepted.... "Oral communications" are communications between people who are together under circumstances where the parties enjoy a reasonable expectation of privacy.

C It must identify the specific Federal offenses for which there is probable cause to believe are being committed.

D It must provide a particular description of the nature and location of the facilities from which, or the place where, the interception is to occur.

E. It must identify, with specificity, those persons known to be committing the offenses and whose communications are to be intercepted.

F It must contain a statement affirming that normal investigative procedures have been tried and failed, are reasonably unlikely to succeed if tried, or are too dangerous to employ.

K. For original and spinoff applications, it should contain a request that the court's order authorize the requested interception until all relevant communications have been intercepted, not to exceed a period of thirty (30) days"

http://www.justice.gov/usao/eousa/foia_reading_room/usab5501.pdf

In light of the case law, the Department requires that every wiretap application seeking to tap a new facility or a new location meet a baseline standard of probable cause by showing both of the following:

- Criminal use of the facility or location within six months of the Department's approval.
- Circumstantial evidence, such as phone records or physical surveillance showing, respectively, that the facility or the location has been used for criminal purposes within three weeks (twenty-one days) of the Department's approval.

<http://massprivatei.blogspot.com/2012/06/new-police-gunshot-detection-system.html>

It is not generally legal for law enforcement (or anyone else) to make audio recordings of conversations in which they are not a participant without a warrant.

Reading from the California penal code I found this.

632. (a) Every person who, intentionally and without the consent of all parties to a confidential communication, by means of any electronic amplifying or recording device, eavesdrops upon or records the confidential communication, whether the communication is carried on among the parties in the presence of one another or by means of a telegraph, telephone, or other device, except a radio,

shall be
punished by a fine not exceeding two thousand five
hundred dollars
(\$2,500)

As of now.

*We don't know what these surveillance microphones are capable of, unless I went to court.

<http://records.oaklandnet.com/request/475>

As for locations of sensors, amount of sensors, and effective range we will not disclose any of this information unless compelled by a court order to release this information as it could hamper or hinder ongoing or future investigations.

ShotSpotter audio sensors -- small computers with microphones that record and time stamp a certain sound

*There is NO data supporting efficacy.

<http://records.oaklandnet.com/feedback/request/504>

"The record you asked for does not exist"

*There are no reports on data including false alarms (Sgt. Holly Joshi said there were 0 false alarms!)

<http://records.oaklandnet.com/feedback/request/2456>

"This is not being tracked as there is no mechanism through radio or our report management system to produce or gather this data". - Amber C Fuller

*After 8 years in E.Oakland There is nothing to show, safer neighborhoods, less crime, less gun play.

<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/oakca1/groups/police/documents/webcontent/oak044795.pdf>

* Shotspotter still promotes it's company by saying it's a deterrent, and claims a protective bubble of safety.

<http://www.shotspotter.com/press-releases/article/sst-inc.-introduces-breakthrough-gunfire-detection-technology-for-indoors>

ShotSpotter gunfire location and alert solutions to provide a complete indoor/outdoor “bubble” of protection around any facility.

ShotSpotter Flex is used by law enforcement around the world to combat gun violence and restore public safety to communities afflicted with gun violence.

The company’s deep domain experience, along with cumulative agency best practice experience, delivers measurable outcomes that contribute to reducing gun violence. SST is a proven solution provider with more than 90 installations across the United States and the world
(UNLIKE ME, SHOTSPOTTER DOESN'T SHOW THEIR WORK)

* Oakland already has the most area covered by SST 13.3 sq miles, and is considering another expansion.

* SST wants to add these inside our schools and certain businesses.

http://www.mercurynews.com/business/ci_24499230/shotspotter-offers-gunfire-detection-bay-area-schools-after

*SST sells fear to inner city neighborhoods, selling non-effective hi-tech tools, taking money from other more effective efforts such as "Cease Fire" and Foot/bike patrol.
"Sandy Hook was a bit of a wake-up call for the country," he said.(CEO Ralph Clark)

<http://oaklandlocal.com/2014/03/ceasefire-sweeps-neighborhood-champion-awards/>

-Jim

FOOTNOTES

(2)

<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/05/29/us/shots-heard-pinpointed-and-argued-over.html?pagewanted=all>

"Sgt. Eric Smith of the Richmond Police Department said that in ShotSpotter alerts, he has heard in the background "doors slamming, birds chirping, cars on the highway, horns honking."

(3)

<http://www.southcoasttoday.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20120111/NEWS/201110339>

The apparent ability of ShotSpotter to record voices on the street raises questions about privacy rights and highlights another example of how emerging technologies can pose challenges to enforcing the law while also protecting civil liberties.

"James G. Beldock, a vice president at ShotSpotter, said that the system was not intended to record anything except gunshots and that cases like New Bedford's were extremely rare. "There are people who perceive that these sensors are triggered by conversations, but that is just patently not true," he said. "They don't turn on unless they hear a gunshot."

"ShotSpotter officials say their acoustic sensors, set up to detect gunfire, are not designed to record conversations on the street."

"

"This is a very unusual circumstance if (the sensors) actually picked up any voices," Barrett said. "In particular, I can't ever remember in the history of our technology the sensors ever hearing a fight or some kind of argument going on." January 11, 2012

http://www.mercurynews.com/business/ci_24499230/shotspotter-offers-gunfire-detection-bay-area-schools-after

"There is no way it can record voices,"(Ralph) Clark(shotspotter CEO) said. "It is just not possible technically.

"ShotSpotter's outdoor gunshot detection system helped solve a 2007 Oakland murder when the technology captured a dying man's last words "

(4)

<http://www.southcoasttoday.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20120111/NEWS/201110339>

James G. Beldock, a vice president at ShotSpotter, said "They don't turn on unless they hear a gunshot." /

It's an acoustic sensor. It's not a microphone, and it's only activated when a loud boom or bang happens," said Barrett, who added: "It's not listening. There is no listening."

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/alexknapp/2013/06/28/shotspotter-lets-police-pinpoint-exactly-where-a-gun-was-fired/>

“We don’t record everything,” he continued. “We’re just listening to the ‘booms and bangs.’”

(5)

<http://www.paloaltoonline.com/news/2010/02/19/shotspotter-system-records-tragic-plane-crash>

For forensic purposes, all loud, impulsive noises are logged by ShotSpotter systems, even if they do not trigger an automatic alert, in case those noises needed to be reviewed after-the-fact, he said. (James Bedlock)....we assisted the East Palo Alto Police Department with the retrieval and storage of the audio captured by their system's ShotSpotter sensors for the seconds surrounding the impulsive noise

(6)

<http://records.oaklandnet.com/feedback/request/2577>

(7)

<http://www.uscourts.gov/educational-resources/get-involved/constitution-activities/fourth-amendment/wiretaps-cell-phone-surveillance/facts-case-summary.aspx>

"For the Fourth Amendment protects people, not places. What a person knowingly exposes to the public, even in his own home or office, is not a subject of Fourth Amendment protection But what he seeks to preserve as private even in an area accessible to the public, may be constitutionally protected," the Court stated. Building upon this reasoning, the Court held that it was the duty of the Judiciary to review petitions for warrants in instances in which persons may be engaging in conduct that they wish to keep secret, even if it were done in a public place"

(8)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Katz_v._United_States

The Court’s ruling refined previous interpretations of the unreasonable "search and seizure" clause of the Fourth Amendment to count immaterial intrusion with technology as a search

(9)

<http://www.uscourts.gov/educational-resources/get-involved/constitution-activities/fourth-amendment/wiretaps-cell-phone-surveillance/facts-case-summary.aspx>

Although he agreed with the majority opinion of the Court, Justice Harlan went further to provide a test for what is a constitutionally protected search. He said it was necessary to clarify when private actions, conducted in a public place, may be constitutionally protected. Expanding upon the general principles enunciated by the majority opinion, Justice Harlan proposed the following two-pronged test to address this issue: "My understanding of the rule that has emerged from prior judicial decisions is that there is a twofold requirement, first that a person have exhibited an actual (subjective) expectation of privacy; and second, that the expectation be one that society is prepared to recognize as 'reasonable.'"

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2014 12:33 PM
To: Kalb, Dan; Luby, Oliver; At Large; Schaaf, Libby; Stoffmacher, Bruce;
District 3 Intern; Gallo, Noel
Subject: safety meeting and shotspotter

I want to implore on you to be mindful of all my questions and emails to you.

I have made very thought out and referenced arguments asking why this company does not need a search warrant.

I have made many requests asking to see the privacy policies put in place to keep microphones up 24/7 that are so sensitive they have heard voices, birds chirping, and freeway noise.

I have asked to see any data showing how listening to gunshots improves the safety of the public.

I've asked to see data showing any reduction in gunfire, or perception of better safety.

I've asked to see data showing response time.

I've asked to see data talking about false alarms, and what this means in real time.

I've asked to see data showing support of the expansion into my neighborhood, Area 2, beat 12x

I've asked to see what data supports additional expansion.

I've asked what are the capabilities of the microphones that are put up directly in front of my apt.

I've asked why the SAIC contract was terminated for defense contracts, when Shotspotter not only has a embedded technological partnership with two companies on the Marin county list of nuclear weapons makers, Lockheed Martin and Northrup Grumman, SST is also a strategic partner of LM, but has a "military solutions team" and is helping to put devices on drones.

The nuclear weapons ordinance of Oakland states

"Whereas the public morality is affronted by the expenditure of city funds on goods and services produced by nuclear weapons makers.....which may ultimately lead to death and destruction and whereas the presence and work on nuclear weapons poses a threat to the residents of the City by increasing the likelihood the City will be a target of terrorism, violent protests or nuclear attack.

After asking Karen Boyd the definition of "embedded technological partnership" she had no idea and said she would get back to me,.... in February.

The newest report for March 2014 shows guns fire has increased 30% 227 in 3/13 to 296 in 3/14.

As they promote a "bubble of protection around any facility and deliver measurable outcomes"

Do you believe this still?

Shouldn't there be CLEAR indications of reduction. Not an increase!!!

The newest press release from shotspotter says the following.."

<http://www.shotspotter.com/press-releases/article/sst-inc.-introduces-breakthrough-gunfire-detection-technology-for-indoors>

ShotSpotter gunfire location and alert solutions to provide a complete indoor/outdoor "bubble" of protection around any facility.

ShotSpotter Flex is used by law enforcement around the world to combat gun violence and restore public safety to communities afflicted with gun violence.

The company's deep domain experience, along with cumulative agency best practice experience, delivers measurable outcomes that contribute to reducing gun violence. SST is a proven solution provider with more than 90 installations across the United States and the world

Do you call this rhetoric, propaganda, misrepresentation, or just plain lies.

Do you think it's ok to use this type of technique, used to commercially to sell soda and mcdonalds.

They should be held to a higher standard of honesty. They are using our valuable community resources to sell their ineffective product. That is supposed to make us safer. Does it?

They are quite clearly using FEAR to sell their product, promising results, and not providing any.
Please stop the nonsense, and ASK this company real questions.
I beg of you to send it to the Ad-hoc committee that is discussing privacy policies for the DAC.
Before renewing this contract, You need to fully vet them. Their statements. Their data, Their
propaganda.

THis company is receiving money from the DHS.

In light of Ed snowden and the every increasing surveillance state, This type of company
needs to be fully looked at, with a very clear privacy policy.

They are not even required to put up warnings like red light cameras.

Please keep this in mind tonight while asking questions . thank you. -Jim

From: Lydia Barrett [lbarrett@shotspotter.com]
Sent: Friday, March 07, 2014 2:44 PM
To: Gallo, Noel; Kalb, Dan; At Large; Kaplan, Rebecca
Subject: Scheduled Presentation at City Public Safety Committee Meeting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hello Councilmembers Kalb, Gallo and Kaplan. My name is Lydia Barrett, and I'm part of the management team at ShotSpotter. I appreciate the good work you're all doing there.

I'm reaching out to you to see if it would be possible to schedule a ShotSpotter presentation before the Public Safety Committee on Tuesday, March 25, 2014. With any current discussions on ShotSpotter information, we'd like to make sure we've got the opportunity to present accurate and timely information to the Council and committee.

Please let me know if this is something we can formally get on the Committee's schedule. I can be reached via email at lbarrett@shotspotter.com or at 650-833-9534.

Many thanks for your consideration.

Lydia

Lydia Barrett
Vice President, Account Management & Communications
Cel.650.833.9534 / Fax.650.887.2106
lbarrett@shotspotter.com
<http://www.linkedin.com/in/lydiabarrett>
Twitter: @lydbarrett and @shotspotter

Learn more about ShotSpotter Flex

Corporate Headquarters
7979 Gateway Blvd., Suite 210
Newark, CA 94560-1156

The Fight to Stop Gun Violence Starts with ShotSpotter

From: Watson, Johnna A.
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 9:22 PM
To: OPD Media
Cc: PAB Desk; Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Joshi, Holly J.; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: Shooting-1500 block of 78th Ave.

At approximately 8:09 PM, the Communications Sections received Shotspotter activation and several calls reporting a shooting in the 1500 block of 78th Avenue. Responding officers located two male victims' suffering from gunshot wounds. There are no further details at this time.

Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Department
(510) 238-7230

"The mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the people of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play and thrive free from crime and the fear of crime."

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 16, 2013 10:59 PM
To: Brooks, Desley; Kalb, Dan
Subject: shotspotter

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I am still looking for information concerning shotspotter. I've written Capt Joyner. He has said he is not answering these questions I've sent to him. How can I get answers?

This is a copy of my email to Cpt Joyner.

Hi, Mr. Joyner,

Sorry, it's taken me a while to get back to you. I'm sure you know how life gets.

I am writing this to explain my concerns with shotspotter, hopefully I can make it organized and easy to understand. I will number the questions that I hope you will be able to either answer, or send me somewhere to research myself. I am not adverse to doing my own research. Sometimes its just hard to know what and who to look at.

First I'd like to state that I believe that casting a large net over the general public to sort out the good from the bad, is illegal under the constitution of the United States. I would love to hear a good argument on how I'm wrong on this.

With that being said, every single form of surveillance Oakland is either using now, or planning on using, is treating innocent people as though they were guilty. Saving license plate data, for perpetuity and using the information for who knows what, is just one Orweillian example.

Oakland is using money earmarked for "terrorism" and using it to "solve" Oakland's high crime problem.(1)Can you show me any studies or instances where city wide surveillance has reduced crime? (2) Can you show me how surveillance in Oakland has effected the rate of crime in the more heavily watched areas. (2a) can you show me that crime has gone down in the areas were shotspotter was originally implemented? (2b) can you tell me who's idea it was to expand this tool, and what they cited as reason's to believe expansion would be effective to reduce crime, and how much did the expansion cost exactly. ?

Let me share with you some stats that I found. on oaklands site. murder rate is up, aggravated assault is up. rape , robbery, burglaries, motor vehicle theft, larceny, arson, and simple assaults are up. How does shotspotter help stop any of these?

Also trying to understand the shotspotter page works. It lists in yellow, shot spotter incidents, and in red, shooting incidents. (3)Can you explain to me the difference? Also trying to figure out the part where it lists "most active beats" In the aug 2013 pdf it lists beat 29y with 28 gunshot calls but only 37 combined calls, which means 28 911 calls and 9 shotspotter incidents? (3a)Why is that? it lists in may and june more calls to 911 then shotspotter incidents.

It also lists "possible gunshots". (4) Are those false positives? if so that is 908 shotspotter incidents and 102 'unknowns' that is 11%. (4a) Are these 11% also investigated? (4b)If so isn't this a waste of manpower? If we are to make informed decisions on what is worth our tax money , shouldn't we have a clear breakdown.

(5) Are shotspotter cost effective? (5a)How much do they cost exactly?! How much did it cost to acquire them, set it up, test it,work out the kinks(i think opd abandoned sst for a year or 2?) (5b)how much did it cost to expand it?

(6) How EXACTLY have the public benefited from this? (6a)Are your responses to calls to shotspotter incidents actually faster then previously? (6b)Has shotspotter specifically solved any cases? Where can we as the public read about this?

(7) How has the expansion into area 2 specifically beat 12y helped?

This brings me to the shotspotter company specifically. You know, as a citizen of Oakland, with questions about their product, I am shut out. They refuse to answer the phone, they refuse to answer my Vm and emails. What am I supposed to do? I read their website as we are referred to over and over. Their website is simply an infomercial to sell more SST to other cities. It is not citizen friendly.

I talked to 2 people exactly, one, called me back after I called Lydia Barrett the head of public relations at SST. HA!! This guy who called me back, was not in public relations, he was simply an installer of the devices. He mistakenly thought he would be able to assuage me by giving me more company line BS. " Well jim. the news has reported that the SST has heard gunfire in Oakland, and Oakland has lots of crime" This was how he started to talk to me. When I told him I wasn't brain-dead and actually wanted to talk about real issues, he told me he wasn't allowed to answer any questions and I had and to reach out to OPD. I asked him Specifically if Shot spotter can hear me if i talked, yelled, slammed my cardoor and argued with my g/f he said NO. I referred him to 2 articles that he never heard of, and that refuted his statements to me, that they could not hear or record me if I yelled on the street. He said he wasn't about to argue with me, and pretty much ended our conversation.

<http://www.southcoasttoday.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20120111/NEWS/201110339>

http://www.paloaltoonline.com/news/show_story.php?id=15796

The 2nd guy I talked to was even worse. I found him thru the 510 sst company # on their website. This guy didn't want to answer any questions. He referred me to the non police emergency # in oakland. Told me as the other guy, SST could NOT hear me yelling on the street and knew of No other incidents. I referred him to the 2 articles. I asked him his name and if it was ok to lie to me, He said he didn't need to give me his name, and then hung up on me in the middle of my speaking.

A couple other things I found out about this company. They are partnered up by defense contractors. They also lobbied for the passing of the NDAA bill. Is it such a stretch to think that this company would save these recordings with a secret 'understanding' of the govt, under the guise of catching terrorists? In the light of things the NSA, CIA, and projects like PRISM are doing. Shouldn't we ,the public, be EXTRA paranoid about what we are being told. Shouldn't companies that spy on us like SAIC and SST be bending over backwards to show us they don't violate our rights!!! Not just brushing us off, and telling us its "good for us, for crime, for terrorism" I am tired of the company line. I don't want to be SOLD to believe i am safer. I want proof and facts. I for one do not fall for their BS. "shotspotter reduces crime just by installing it" They just say that , with NO facts behind it. This is like PTBarnum once said, "there's a sucker born every minute. "

Let me see if I have all this right. This questionable service according to the company selling them, doesn't violate our UNALEINABLE rights! Any person including you, just takes their word for it. There is absolutley NO debate on this, and we are just being TOLD its good for us and take it. The ONLY dialogue we hear is from a company trying to SELL us their product and the repeating of this 'propaganda' by anyone who benefits from this. OPD and politicians. WE the people who are supposed to be protected DO NOT benefit from this in ANY known way. In fact we may be more at risk. Since this device takes money from the OPD, and this device cannot catch someone who is mugging me, where a cop can. It does not make me safer walking home from my car after work past midnight. If I get shot and am lying on the street, I don't feel like the microphone will save me. The person that shot me isn't deterred because, NO ONE knows what they are or where they are. ON PURPOSE! (I've asked many people, including my councilman). It can't protect my car from being stolen(2x) or broken into(too numerous to count) It can't protect anyone getting mugged, unless the mugger shoots a gun, yells his name, and stands around and wait for cops. Muggers, if they were aware of sst, could simply use another weapon, or put a silencer on their gun. Again, I am not safer.

There is no discussion, whether its illegal.

There are no facts about the efficacy and cost.

There is no one that can tell me if someone can listen to these live?

There are no clear rules on if they can store our 'street conversations" who else can listen to them, where they are being stored, and if a govt agency/OPD can access these records with or without a warrant? The reason there are No clear rules is simply because the company LIES to us and tells us they cannot listen. I was told by you, Mr. Joyner that these microphone are always on. This leads to a follow up question that no one can answer, that i've asked above.

Why is this company so secretive and refuse to answer the general public's simple questions. I called just this minute, and left my 15th? message to Lydia Barrett? Who else can I call?

You want me to trust this secretive company, selling listening devices, directly in front of my apt. They won't answer my questions. The ones they do answer they lie about.

The company that takes money away from my cash poor police department. The opd that I have no other choice but to trust to protect me.

This company is making money by selling FEAR. Selling fear to a city that has the highest rate of crime in the USA. Selling fear to a city that is cash strapped, and has no real solutions to solving crime.

This company is selling a product that hasn't reduced crime in anyway.Maybe I could make an argument that shotspotter has increased crime. I mean look at the stats!

This company is selling out the politicians, because if the politicians are given money to fix a problem, that is way over their head, they accept the money, and receive their praise for being so smart. Lip service, more govt contracts, more waste, more crime, less cops, less money. Who actually wins here? I can tell you who doesn't win!

I understand that there this is quite long. If you could please answer the questions I've numbered. If you could please consider this from a non-armed citizens point of view. This device I'm paying for , is not directly protecting me. This company is not informing me of the basic questions I have about privacy. They would rather not have the general public know anything about them. They and OPD would rather we not even know what or where they are.

Thank you for your time and in advance for your answers. --Jim

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:07 PM
To: Darwin BG; Kernighan, Pat; Kalb, Dan; Brooks, Desley; Luby, Oliver;
Robert Gammon
Subject: shotspotter

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Hi,

I've been talking to OPD about shotspotter and am still looking for answers. This is the email I've sent to them asking to receive some more info.

Ms Kernighan, have you ever received the info you wanted from Mr. Joyner, as referred to.?

I would love to pen the article " Has shotspotter technology increased crime in Oakland?" I would just point you to the money being spent on this, and the rate of crime. EZPZ

Hi,

Thank you very much for talking to me. You said we need to agree to disagree. I said I would love to agree with you but I cannot agree to something if I don't know the facts.

I would just like to touch on some things we talked about on the phone, then I will leave you with a few questions maybe one of you would be able to help me with.

Shotspotter is being sold to city council by saying such things as its a deterrent.
From the 3rd pdf july 2006

"Decreases in number of gunshots in cities that use the Gunshot Location System technology were often attributed to the deterrent effect;shooters become aware that law enforcement could accurately identify and pinpoint the location of gunfire and respond rapidly to the scene. "
"Whereas the deployment of shotspotter HAS BEEN PROVEN to reduce illegal weapons by UP TO 60% in SOME targeted areas"

can I please see where these 2 statements came from. ? and how has Oakland specifically.?

ANother example of the deterrent angle. from 9/25/13

Rebecca Kaplan " Fire a shot you will get caught." E. Joyner. "that statement you have a shot you will get caught you have that patented? I'm real big into marketing."

also on 9/25/12

Rebecca Kaplan " In some of the conversations I've had with the chief....what it would take by the way of police staffing to consistently respond to all the shotspotter incidents.... it's estimated to be about a MILLION \$ a year"

I find Ms kernighan has a few of the same questions I did.

She asks"what % of the SST incidents are being responded to?

MR. Joyner responds "the elephant in the room of course is staffing...." He doesn't directly answer.

PK " It seems like for this amount of money....I think it is the staffing issue, it does concern me that we are spending a lot of money to expand it, and w're not even able to respond quickly where the shots have been fired.... we want numbers of things. we want to be able to quantify how many we responded to . how many crimes were solved from sst.....if you are talking about a

data driven dept it means you use the data to analyze the success of anything.....I appreciate your dedication, there are some things you can measure however and that I'd like you to show"

Has there ever been a report to respond to her request?

Ms Nadel. also asks " have we actually caught shooters do to this system?"

E. Joyner "Yes! I'm glad you asked me that..... (then later)I can't say specifically for that case that shotspotter led to this guy's arrest"

So in the 6 years(until that point) this is the ONE BEST example you use to sell expansion? Forgive me for not being as accepting as city council.

Lets look at the specific types of examples I think Ms. Kernighan was looking for. Since I couldn't find any other info, and Mr. Toribio sent me this, here is what I found. I would love to see this type of info for 2013.

July 2007 according to the report. 2800 gunshots and 4! arrests for "firearm related crimes" I'm not even sure what that means. Was it directly related to SST? So in that one year it cost \$366,000 to purchase. +\$103,000per year to maintain it . SO if I'm correct \$469,000 to arrest 4 people on 'firearm related crimes" That is almost laughable. and to think city council points to this not as a reason to expand this project. NO wonder we are where we are. so there was 2786 people who took a shot and didn't get caught?? I would hate to show you the % on that.

in august of 2012 there were 215 shotspotter incidents . in 8/13 438!

according to latest stats i can find The clearance rate in Oakland has gone down. 2009. 43%, 2010 39% 2011 29%.

and of course crime rate has gone up quite a bit in last 2 years, since expansion.

I asked Mr. TORibio for any stats that have to do with response time, apparently there isn't any. Although the biggest reason(it seems to me)I for having SST is to improve response time.

Although there are #'s suggesting the costs. I haven't found any total cost of the system. I saw the original cost was \$366,000, plus\$100.000 per year. That is without the mobile system according to the pdf. "this cost can increase if the mobile PSC software is used." I've also seen on the SST website the cost can be on a sq. mile basis. SO it is hard to determine the actual costs here. It was also mentioned about "trips"? to other cities to study the use? Was there a cost to the training/learning curve? Are we paying \$1,000,000 for staff as RK suggested it will cost?

So i cannot find the true cost of this system. Can someone tell me the total cost of this technology since 2006. ? including training, contracts, one time costs, staffing? Can you please include any system errors that cost \$ or time?

In conclusion < here is what I've found out about shotspotter.

It seems to have cost Oakland a minimum of \$1million up to this point.

When asked if this system is effective, Mr. Joyner can point to ONE incident, that he's not sure if SST was directly responsible.

The company's PR person Lydia Barrett refuses to return my calls. I've called her literally a min of 3x a week since July.

I've emailed James Bedlock. He also will not return my emails.

The people at shotspotter deliberately misinformed me about the capabilities of their product

Lydia and James have both been not truthful in statements to the media , saying these microphones are not always on, and cannot hear anything except for gunshots or loud bangs.

There is no discussion whether its against the my rights to have a microphone outside my apt that is ON 24/7.

There is no discussion of any safeguads being put in place. (how long are recordings stored, how much can it listen to, who can listen. who can request the recordings, Is it being used by the NSA to stop "Terrorists" and if so how)

Believe me I am quite sure NO one give a flying duck about this, but it doesn't mean I don't deserve answers to the questions I have been asking in vain, until this point. Just because those in city council believe everything they are told does not mean I do. Just because SST has been successful selling fear to cities , doesn't mean they aren't corrupt. Just because OPD likes having another tool to fight crime, does not mean it is cost effective or even effective at all. It seems to me that you gave SST the contract and then let them implement anything they wanted without question. I just found out OPD has no say into where SST puts these devices. Truly hard to believe.

I do expect to get answers to my questions. Like I told Mr. Toribio, I am not an activist in anyway, and have never engaged in contact with my city council or Police dept, until this. I've spent in extraordinary amount of time trying to get to the bottom of this. The amount of secrecy, compliance and deception are enough to get me really angry about this. THE fact that I've been inquiring about these devices in general since MAY, and in specific since JULY, is ridiculous

I do not appreciate being lied to and ignored!! -Jim

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2013 1:54 PM
To: Kalb, Dan; Brooks, Desley; District 3 Intern; Luby, Oliver; Maher, Sean;
Toribio, Anthony; At Large; Joyner, Ersie; Eide, Cathey; Reid, Larry;
Schaaf, Libby; lwilliams@cironline.org; support@shotspotter.com;
Kernighan, Pat
Subject: shotspotter

Hi,

Most Everyone on this list has ignored all my pleas for assistance on this subject.
I'm sure most of you will ignore this email as well.

I made an 11min video that shows how Oakland and other cities are getting swindled by this secretive surveillance company.

I invite you to watch. I invite you to comment here or under the video and show how I misinterpreting the information I am presenting. PLEASE!!! show me I'm wrong about this.

I am daring you to watch this and explain to me, why I need to keep accepting total surveillance in order for the police to do their jobs.

You cannot keep telling me this gets to the opd to ss scenes faster if you can't show me stats before and after implementation. you cannot tell me it is a deterrent, because crime is up. You cannot tell me this is cost effective if I cannot see exactly how much is being spent on this technology, INCLUDING staffing, which in a meeting Ms. Kaplan said that the chief said this would need staffing costing \$1 MILLION .

How do you guys vote yes for this project?. Is anyone here actually familiar with california law.? I have admissions telling me this Microphone is on 24/7. Have you read this part of the law. ?

Penal code 632 PC, "..... it is a crime to use an electronic device to overhear or record a private conversation"

Or how about this " IN katz and Berger, the Supreme Court established that electronic eavesdropping constituted a Fourth Amendment Search. Because of the particular dangers of abusing electronic surveillance, the court required that agents who wanted to conduct it had to surmount several procedural hurdles significantly more demanding than the probable cause warrant needed to search a home...."

Shot Spotter Technology aka SST Secret Surveillance Tech?How SST uses Propaganda
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TxtqG4kRIwo>

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2014 9:51 AM
To: Kalb, Dan; Brooks, Desley; Reid, Larry; Luby, Oliver; Lambert, Dannette; At Large; Kernighan, Pat; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel
Subject: shotspotter

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

I've tried to talk to many of you, unsuccessfully.
I've tried to get my simple questions answered.
I've encountered, run arounds, ignorance, appeasing, silence, and a simple statement saying my questions will not be responded to.
I've been shut out by the lead shotspotter person on opd. CPt Ersie Joyner.

I've asked P.Kernighan numerous times, where is the report he promised you in late 2012, which i have documented before.

I've asked R. Kaplan, why is it that before the expansion she said that it would cost \$1,000,000 to staff properly. ? I've heard from a member of OPD that there is \$0 dedicated to staff at OPD.
I've asked why is it that the company says it can store and review ANY loud impulsive noise. ?
I've asked to show any proof of effectiveness. ?

I've asked why is ok for the company to simply lie over and over to you and the media, I have documented this.

I've asked all these questions to you the city council. I have received Extremely little in response, Mostly it's from overwhelmed people who answer the phone who assures me THIS time somebody will respond to me. HAH!!!!

Now I have more questions to you. WHO OKAY-ED A SHOTSPOTTER TO BE PUT ON OAKLAND TECH HS>?????!!

I am tired of being ignored!! You are MY city council. I've been asking the same questions since JULY AND I'M BEING SHUT OUT!!!

YOU HAVE NO EXCUSES THAT YOU HAVEN'T SEEN MY EMAILS OR HEARD PEOPLE AT YOUR OFFICE TALKING ABOUT THE "CRAZY SHOTSPOTTER GUY"

I DESERVE ANSWERS. I HAVE BEEN QUITE PATIENT AND I AM TIRED OF BEING PATIENT. I NEED SOMEONE TO TAKE MY QUESTIONS SERIOUSLY!!.

I AM BUT ONE PERSON , BUT I WILL BE PERSISTENT.

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 9:15 AM
To: Reid, Larry; At Large; Kalb, Dan; Kernighan, Pat; Gallo, Noel; Schaaf, Libby; Brooks, Desley; District 3 Intern; Luby, Oliver; Heather Somerville
Subject: shotspotter

Larry Reid and Rebecca Kaplan in the paper today. Cherry picked shotspotter data to help show its effectiveness. Saying" Kaplan and Reid wrote that in one section of town ShotSpotter recorded 400 incidents of gunfire in one year, while police received only 65 calls reporting gunfire in the same area."

well I might be the only one, but I actually looked at the shotspotter reports. I think it's misleading by saying this. \

So now I can say this. In some of the most dangerous sections of Oakland there were 266 calls to 911 and only 90 alerts by shotspotter.

266-90!!!!

If you look at the reports I found this, just from last 3 months.

Feb.2014. area 7x 14 calls to 911/ 3 shotspotter calls.
area 29x 9 calls/ 6sst

Jan 14
area 10y 25 911 calls 2 sst calls.
area 29x 18 911 calls. no reports on sst calls. More than 1/2 the total.

Dec 13 area 30x 38 911 calls/ 12 shotspotter alerts.
area 26y 30/4
24x 25/13
33x 25/8
27y 23/10
23x 22/6
30y 21/13
34x 18/7
35x 16/6

ITs been 8 years since shotspotter was introduced.

Lets see how much safer these sections sst are.

Let's see a report on response time.

Let's see a report about privacy concerns.

Let's see a report on false alarms.

Lets see a report showing how city council decided NOT to dedicated staff to shotspotter as was recommended by Larry Reid, Jean Quan, Wayne Tucker, THE VP of shotspotter among others.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zxSPrPa3rzE>

Lets see a report showing Oakland exactly what a embedded technological partnership is, and why Shotspotter has these relationships with Lockheed Martin and Northrup Grumman. Shotspotter also has a strategic partnership with Lockheed Martin.

Lockheed Martin and Northrup Grumman are on Marin County's list of nuclear weapons makers, and we are not supposed to do business with them.

Please stop ignoring my questions and respond please. -Jim.

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2014 3:10 PM
To: District 3 Intern; Kalb, Dan; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel; Luby, Oliver; Stoffmacher, Bruce
Subject: shotspotter

Ms. McElhaney, Thank you for asking to see the privacy policy. Your questions were in line with what I've been asking. When do you expect that, we the public, will be able to see it.

Mr. Kalb, How many emails, and vmails do you need to receive from me, to ask some questions I've been asking about this program?. If you already understand the questions I have put forth, then PLEASE respond as I've been asking you since July 2013. If you are unsure of the answers to my questions you need to ask them during the public safety meeting and not be completely silent. !!!

Some clarification, 80% of the city is NOT covered by sst. Capt. Joyner keeps referring to this. You should all know that that Oakland is 78 sq miles. IF you were only talking about land area, it would be 55 sq miles. $13.3/55 = 24\%$ The fact that we can't even get that correct is worrisome.

Also the flex system costs \$40-\$60,000 per sq mile. $X13.3 = \$532,000 - \$780,000$

Capt Joyner admitted the microphones are always on, "of course there are audio sensors that are constantly recording" and can record voices."if a person is close enough to the sensor, then yes it can pick up voices."

Are we all suspending the 4th amendment, court rulings , and the California penal code for 2 mins a day now. ? There are reasons why we are not allowed to audio record people in public. Can you please refer to this important point at the next meeting. Can you provide me with an explanation as to WHY we don't require a warrant for audio surveillance. Can you provide me with a reason we don't at least put up a warning we might be recorded.?

Also this is only what shotspotter tells us they can do.
How did they go back and listen to the plane crash in palo alto without having it stored? It was not sent as an alert to the police yet they saved it anyway.

Who is allowed to save it? Why?

Who is allowed to listen to it,?FOr what reasons? How long do they keep it?

I've asked to better understand what the microphones can do in a public request. I am not allowed to know without a court order.

VP James Bedlock admits he can review any loud impulsive noise for any reason. Can we have a more specific policy as to what James can listen to.?

<http://www.paloaltoonline.com/news/2010/02/19/shotspotter-system-records-tragic-plane-crash>

Let's review. Audio microphones that are always on and CAN record voices. NO privacy policy has been seen by anyone in city council.

A constant misrepresentation of the area covered by shotspotter as being 80%, simple math here.

A discrepancy in money as stated by reports, and by using the flex system stated formula. We need an exact amount and not things that get added on and not discussed.

A misuse of the system as stated by city council meetings. Dedicated staff is needed.

A company that has been recently caught lobbying illegally. What is being said about this?

IF you aren't serious about asking these types of questions, PLEASE pass it over to the ad hoc committee that are setting guidelines to the DAC. This is the same type of thing. We should be having these serious types of discussion and not to keep rushing to put it up.

Shotspotter should have been fully vetted 8 years ago. Not AFTER. How can this system be up without having a privacy policy? where is it? WHY does Capt Joyner keep referring to it? Shouldn't this be public?. Again, i Urge you to send this over to ADhoc, They are asking the right type of questions.

We still don't see anything to show the exact effect of this program. What are the goals.? If it's to reduce gunfire, it is failing miserably, note the recent shotspotter report, gunfire has INCREASED 30% since last March. Isn't this the least it should do, if it were at ALL effective.

/

I'm tired of hearing how fast they get the data, and how close they can get. How does this work in real time.? How long does it really take to respond,? How much faster is this than 911 calls? How has pinpointing the area closer help stop crime?

Where is the dedicated staff that is needed according to city council and many others? Why aren't we spending \$1-\$3 million a year on this as discussed at city council meetings in 2008, 2011,2012.

You , except Ms. McElhaney, have all dropped the ball here. Please understand why I am concerned. All you have to do is ASK a question, if you don't understand. If you do understand, Someone should explain it to me, so I can stop this nonsense. -Jim

From: Morris, Yolanda
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2014 10:06 AM
To: Gallo, Noel; Kalb, Dan
Cc: Sanchez, Arturo M; Figueroa, Paul; Joyner, Ersie
Subject: ShotSpotter Informational Report (Public Safety Committee 5/13/14)

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Good Morning Councilmembers Gallo & Kalb,

OPD anticipates presenting an Informational Report for ShotSpotter at the Public Safety Committee on Tuesday, May 13, 2014. This is the first available committee date for OPD, as this date will allow us time to write, review and forward the report to all necessary recipients.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Thank you.

Yolanda Morris
Executive Assistant to
Interim Chief Sean Whent
Oakland Police Department
Office of Chief of Police
(510) 238-3365
E-mail: ymorris@oaklandnet.com

Mission:

"The Mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the Community of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play, and thrive free from crime and fear of crime"

Motto:

"We will be there when you need us"

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 15, 2014 10:44 AM
To: District 3 Intern; Kalb, Dan; Schaaf, Libby; Gallo, Noel; Darwin BG;
editor@eastbayexpress.com; Robert Gammon;
martz@bayareanewsgroup.com; Heather Somerville
Subject: shotspotter privacy policy

"ShotSpotter has reviewed its privacy policy and taken steps to assure that no audio other than the incident itself is retained. Incident audio records 2 seconds prior to and 4 seconds after the incident. ShotSpotter policies and the system design are intentionally designed to alleviate privacy concerns."

<http://www.shotspotter.com/privacy-policy>

This is the closest thing I found to any sort of privacy policy dedicated to this system. Where does it talk about the original policy? The updated policy with assured steps. I've been asking for 10 months for some policies that would alleviate my privacy concerns, and I cannot get an answer.

Did the city of Oakland put up Illegal surveillance microphones up throughout the city without a warrant or a Privacy policy?

Ms. McElhaney asked to see this on tues night. Surely this shouldn't be too hard to retrieve. ?
Can someone show this to me. ?

From: Watson, Johnna A.
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 12:49 PM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Bolton, Christopher; Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: ShotSpotter Test
Attachments: ShotSpotter Test.pdf

ShotSpotter Technologies to Conduct Detection
Quality Test of System

Oakland, CA — On Thursday, April 18, 2013, at 9:00 PM, the Oakland Police Department will be facilitating ShotSpotter Technologies as they conduct a detection quality validation of their system.

In the interest of public safety, Oakland Police will be onsite at all test locations.

The controlled tests will consist of a sequence of gunshot sounds followed by validation of quality detection by ShotSpotter Technologies. During the tests, a bullet trap will be used to ensure public safety. No bullets will be fired into the air.

Background: ShotSpotter uses a network of sensors to detect when a gun is fired and pinpoint the location. In less than a minute, officers are able respond to a potential shooting scene, even if no one calls 911 – although the community is always encouraged to report sounds of gunfire. By quickly notifying police, ShotSpotter helps speed police response, has the potential to save lives, increases weapons-related arrests, and enhances the safety of our neighborhoods.

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com.

Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, @oaklandpoliceca.

Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Department
(510) 238-7230

"The mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the people of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play and thrive free from crime and the fear of crime."

From: Watson, Johnna A.
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2013 12:09 PM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Bolton, Christopher; Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: ShotSpotter Tests Continue April 22
Attachments: shotspotter rerelease 2013.pdf

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
April 22, 2013

ShotSpotter Technologies to Conduct Detection Quality Test of System

Oakland, CA — On Monday, April 22, 2013, at 7:30 PM, the Oakland Police Department will be facilitating ShotSpotter Technologies as they conduct a detection quality validation of their system.

In the interest of public safety, Oakland Police will be onsite at all test locations. The controlled tests will consist of a sequence of gunshot sounds followed by validation of quality detection by ShotSpotter Technologies. During the tests, a bullet trap will be used to ensure public safety. No bullets will be fired into the air.

Background: ShotSpotter uses a network of sensors to detect when a gun is fired and pinpoint the location. In less than a minute, officers are able respond to a potential shooting scene, even if no one calls 911 – although the community is always encouraged to report sounds of gunfire. By quickly notifying police, ShotSpotter helps speed police response, has the potential to save lives, increases weapons-related arrests, and enhances the safety of our neighborhoods.

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com.

Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, [@oaklandpoliceca](https://twitter.com/oaklandpoliceca).

#

Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Department
(510) 238-7230

"The mission of the Oakland Police Department is to provide the people of Oakland an environment where they can live, work, play and thrive free from crime and the fear of crime."

From: jim emkey [letswakeupoakland@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:40 PM
To: Luby, Oliver; Kalb, Dan
Subject: shotspotter

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I hope everyone had a great thanksgiving.
Can you PLEASE respond to my email. ?
hi, oliver,

I do appreciate the fact that you got back to me. But I am not interested in those links. As I said, I probably already read them anyway. I was asking you if there is a similar link to nuclear programs as SAIC . I showed you how they are partners with Lockheed Martin and how sst is providing the military with there products.

SST=shotspotter

I have provided to you and dan plenty of information about this company. I'm still looking for someone to tell me how this company can record people in the street or in their own homes without a warrant.

Can you or Dan please explain that part to me. Please don't come back and show me more reports. These reports as I've shown in my videos are filled with statistics which aren't verified. You told me to have a lengthy search of their website. But I have. It's scary. I'm trying to show YOU how its propaganda. They sure know how to sell it to city council's all over the country. I do believe that this is their actual business plan.

They promote safety and reduction in gun violence. The cops are ok with a new toy to play with. THE city council gets roped in by the propaganda and the view that they are working on crime with new technology. WIN WIN!

Only I don't think anyone actually did any research on this company. They promote their product as a deterrent. (to this day) I show how this is totally not true! Is crime down in this city? Are shootings down? in this or any other city with this product? Does anyone know, besides me, what these shotspotters are? Besides, " a good way to stop gun violence"

There site says there has been a 60-80% reduction in violent crime in 30 cities!! So you guys just believed it? Does anyone actually believe that stat? Where can I find out what it is based on. NOWHERE!

I try and look, I try and ask, I am shut out!!! Honest. Not one person at sst will answer. Cpt joyner won't answer anymore, city council , well, sadly, I'm pretty much being ignored. The people like you who do respond. Aren't actually providing me with anything. If you had seen my emails, You would know I don't need more reports. I need answers by actual people.
I've been asking since JULY!!

Can you please help me here. ?

Question #1 . How is SST able to operate without a warrant?

#2 Is sst associated in any way with nuclear programs like SAIC was?

#3 Rebecca kaplan states one million \$ worth of staff needs to be hired to properly implement sst. Is this the case?

#4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,

15..... still to come

From: Watson, Johnna A.
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 8:35 PM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Maher, Sean; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Sanchez, Arturo M; Harmon, Reygan; Bolton, Christopher; Boyd, Karen; Campbell-Washington, Anne
Subject: ShotSpotter-Update Test at approx. 9:30 PM, tonight

Test will commence at approximately 9:30 PM.

ShotSpotter Technologies to Conduct Detection Quality Test of System

Oakland, CA — On Thursday, April 18, 2013, at 9:00 PM, the Oakland Police Department will be facilitating ShotSpotter Technologies as they conduct a detection quality validation of their system. In the interest of public safety, Oakland Police will be onsite at all test locations.

The controlled tests will consist of a sequence of gunshot sounds followed by validation of quality detection by ShotSpotter Technologies. During the tests, a bullet trap will be used to ensure public safety. No bullets will be fired into the air.

Background: ShotSpotter uses a network of sensors to detect when a gun is fired and pinpoint the location. In less than a minute, officers are able respond to a potential shooting scene, even if no one calls 911 – although the community is always encouraged to report sounds of gunfire. By quickly notifying police, ShotSpotter helps speed police response, has the potential to save lives, increases weapons-related arrests, and enhances the safety of our neighborhoods.

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com.

Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, [@oaklandpoliceca](https://twitter.com/oaklandpoliceca).

Officer Johnna Watson
Public Information Officer
Oakland Police Officer

From: McDaniel, Sylvia on behalf of OPD Media
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2014 12:30 PM
To: OPD Media
Cc: Boyd, Karen; Cowan, Richard; Garcia, Mai-Ling; Hamilton, Harry; Harmon, Reygan; Hoppenhauer, Donna C; Joshi, Holly J.; Lyles, Reginald; Mayor's Media; McDaniel, Sylvia; Sanchez, Arturo M; Maher, Sean
Subject: Suspects Charged in Judy Salamon Case
Attachments: Charges Judy Salamon Case.pdf; Stephon LEE.jpg; Mario FLOYD.jpg; S011004045_1404240953000.pdf

OPD Homicide Investigators Work with DA's Office: Suspects Charged in Judy Salamon Case

Oakland, CA – On April 23, 2014, the Alameda County District Attorney's Office charged homicide suspects Stephon Lee and Mario Floyd with the murder of Judy Salamon, which occurred on July 24, 2013, in the 2400 block of Fern Street.

Lee is a 22-year-old male resident of Richmond, CA. Floyd is a 23-year-old male resident of Oakland.

Background: On July 24, 2013 at 1:24 PM, the Oakland Police Department received a report of a ShotSpotter activation and calls from residents regarding a shooting on the 2400 block of Fern Street. When officers arrived on scene, they located Judy Salamon, who had suffered a fatal gunshot wound. Salamon was shot while driving her vehicle, causing the vehicle to collide with an unoccupied parked car.

The arrests and filed charges came after investigators worked extensively with officers assigned to the area and members of the community.

Nixle Tip Watch allows ANONYMOUS tipsters to send OPD a tip three ways:

1. Text TIP OAKLANDPD to 888777 from your cell phone followed by your tip
2. Call our toll-free tip hotline at 855-TIPS-247 (855-847-7247)
3. Submit a tip by web form - see the option at the bottom of OPD's Nixle messages or visit <http://nixle.us/tip/oakland-police-department-ca/> to complete and send.

Anonymous Tipping allows residents to proactively connect with the Oakland Police Department by submitting anonymous tips via web form and text message. Additionally, with the issuance of a tipping passcode to tipsters, we can initiate a two-way, anonymous communication to help gather more information.

For more information, please contact the Media Relations Office at 510-238-7230 or opdmedia@oaklandnet.com.

Visit Nixle.com to receive Oakland Police Department alerts, advisories and community messages, or follow OPD on Twitter, @oaklandpoliceca.

#