

From: [Natasha Mader](#)
To: [Hackett, Maurice](#)
Cc: [Hathaway, Kristin](#); [Pon, Craig](#); [Arash Firouzjaei](#); [john johnson](#)
Subject: RE: 4123 St Andrews
Date: Friday, January 10, 2014 2:11:47 PM

Great thanks Moe. We will work on getting the new design plan to you and revising the creek protection plan excluding the cistern and perforated pipe. But yes, we will keep the jute netting, straw bales and erosion control planting for the soil below the deck.

Thank you
Natasha

Sent from my Windows Phone

From: [Hackett, Maurice](#)
Sent: 1/10/2014 1:37 PM
To: [Natasha Mader](#)
Cc: [Hathaway, Kristin](#); [Pon, Craig](#)
Subject: RE: 4123 St Andrews

1. Are we able to use the current creek permit application in light of this alteration?
[We will use the current creek permit. Zoning staff believes this to be a reduction in the scope of work and not an expansion.](#)

2. Is this still a category 4 creek permit? and therefore do we still need to get a soils report?
[The reduction of the permit from a Category IV to a lesser permit will have to be discussed with water Shed Staff based on the plans.](#)
[The project is still located within the creek banks, but the distance of the work from the center of creek and the intensity of that work should be less. The project would still represent \(I assume\) a restoration of the "un-compacted soil" below the "proposed deck".](#)

2. considering all we have submitted so far, are there any additional drawings that need to be revised by us because of this change, or are you able to mark on the drawings you have indicating this deck revision? I imagine the erosion control will remain the same with the plants etc. The creek protection plan - are you able to make changes that satisfy the process or should we revise and resubmit. Is there any other document that would need to be revised?
[Staff can not alter or create plans for the project applicant. Revisions must be presented to staff. The project plans should show the proposed scope of work and the method of construction. It should also include all available technical data \(soils reports, flow rats, drainage plans etc.\) at a minimum, and should be complete in so far as the provious request for Cat IV informational items. \(note: staff has previously concluded that a hydrology report was not needed in the case of this particular creek.\)](#)

Craig and Kristin, if you have anything to add please feel free to do so. If you feel my

responses are not accurate please feel free to correct them and me.

Thank you.

Moe

From: Natasha Mader [mailto:nmader40@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 10, 2014 11:54 AM
To: Pon, Craig; Hackett, Maurice; john johnson
Subject: FW: 4123 St Andrews

I forgot to copy Craig.

Sent from my Windows Phone

From: [Natasha Mader](#)
Sent: 1/10/2014 7:42 AM
To: [Hackett, Maurice](#)
Subject: 4123 St Andrews

Hi Moe,

After the discussions yesterday, we have decided to change the patio to an elevated deck. We are hiring an engineer to take us forward with this process. I need to know via email what else is needed in the creek permit process. We will submit a revised landscape plan showing a deck instead of a poured patio.

1. Are we able to use the current creek permit application in light of this alteration?
2. Is this still a category 4 creek permit? and therefore do we still need to get a soils report?
3. considering all we have submitted so far, are there any additional drawings that need to be revised by us because of this change, or are you able to mark on the drawings you have indicating this deck revision? I imagine the erosion control will remain the same with the plants etc. The creek protection plan - are you able to make changes that satisfy the process or should we revise and resubmit. Is there any other document that would need to be revised?

As you can understand, I need to know precisely what to get the engineer's estimate on so that we can decide if we can move forward financially.

Thanks very much for you response.

-- Natasha