**From:** Elissa Dennis
**To:** Stoffmacher, Bruce
**Cc:** Schaaf, Libby
**Subject:** RE: Condo Conversion
**Date:** Wednesday, February 19, 2014 4:57:22 PM

---

Hi Libby and Bruce,
Can you please let us know what's going on with the condo plan? We thought when we met a couple of months ago that we were moving forward, and we still would like to. What can we do to get this moving?
thanks
Elissa

---

**From:** Stoffmacher, Bruce [mailto:BStoffmacher@oaklandnet.com]
**Sent:** Monday, February 10, 2014 4:54 PM
**To:** Elissa Dennis
**Cc:** Schaaf, Libby
**Subject:** Re: Condo Conversion

Apologize need a bit
More time, too much work, gasp !

Sent from mobile phone

On Feb 10, 2014, at 2:30 PM, "Elissa Dennis" <elissa@communityeconomics.org> wrote:

> Hi Bruce and Libby,
> It's been a couple weeks and we'd love to know what you're working on.
> any progress you can share?
> thanks,
> Elissa
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Stoffmacher, Bruce [mailto:BStoffmacher@oaklandnet.com]
> **Sent:** Monday, January 27, 2014 11:44 AM
> **To:** Elissa Dennis
> **Cc:** Schaaf, Libby
> **Subject:** Re: Condo Conversion
>
> 2 to 3 weeks as a goal
>
> Sent from mobile phone
>
> On Jan 27, 2014, at 11:08 AM, "Elissa Dennis" <elissa@communityeconomics.org> wrote:
>
>> Thanks, Libby.
>> Bruce, when do you think you'll have something to share on this?
>> Simple sounds good, just want to make sure it has enough for everyone

to be successful.
thanks,
Elissa

---

**From:** Schaaf, Libby [mailto:LSchaaf@oaklandnet.com]
**Sent:** Friday, January 24, 2014 11:41 AM
**To:** Elissa Dennis
**Cc:** Stoffmacher, Bruce
**Subject:** RE: Condo Conversion

We had a good meeting. We are considering something a little more simple. Bruce and Ada will work out a new proposal and we'll share.

---

**From:** Elissa Dennis [mailto:elissa@communityeconomics.org]
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2014 9:08 AM
**To:** Schaaf, Libby
**Subject:** FW: Condo Conversion

Hi Libby,
Did you have your meeting w/ Richard Ilgen?
Not sure how this question from Bruce about Berkeley fits in, but what do you think is the status of things moving forward?
should we try to meet w/ Lynette?
thanks

Elissa

---

**From:** Elissa Dennis [mailto:elissa@communityeconomics.org]
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 21, 2014 1:46 PM
**To:** 'Stoffmacher, Bruce'; 'Jeffrey Levin'; 'Chan, Ada'
**Cc:** 'Gloria Bruce'
**Subject:** RE: Condo Conversion - correction/clarification

Bruce,
Here's the link to the couple-page explanation of Berkeley's fee.
http://www.ci.berkeley.ca.us/uploadedFiles/Planning_(new_site_map_walk-through)/Level_3_-_General/AHMFBrochureCCO20080522.pdf
-Elissa

---

**From:** Stoffmacher, Bruce [mailto:BStoffmacher@oaklandnet.com]
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 21, 2014 1:43 PM
**To:** Jeffrey Levin; Chan, Ada
**Cc:** Elissa Dennis; Gloria Bruce
**Subject:** RE: Condo Conversion - correction/clarification

Can you give me the Berkley in lieu conversion formula again? I think you gave it to me…also interested in what kind of nexus study they did to justify fees.

Thank you.
Bruce

**Bruce Stoffmacher**
Community Liaison / Policy Analyst
*Office of Councilmember Libby Schaaf,*
City of Oakland - District 4
o: (510) 238-7041
f: (510) 238-6129
bstoffmacher@oaklandnet.com

*To subscribe to our newsletter, click: here!*
***Safety Tips:***
*Safety Tips: http://www.safeoakland.com/safety-tips*
*D4 Crime Data: http://www.safeoakland.com/get-informed/crime-analysis*
*Cameras: http://safeoakland.com/safety-tips/security-cameras*

**From:** Jeffrey Levin [mailto:jeff@ebho.org]
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 10, 2013 4:38 PM
**To:** Schaaf, Libby; Kaplan, Rebecca; Chan, Ada; Stoffmacher, Bruce
**Cc:** Elissa Dennis; Gloria Bruce
**Subject:** Condo Conversion - correction/clarification

Councilmembers Schaaf and Kaplan:

In our haste to get you feedback on modifications to the condo conversion ordinance, we inadvertently placed an item in the wrong section, which may have caused some confusion.

We had included a comment about limiting the number of 2-4 unit buildings that can convert each year. That was not intended to be an annual limit on all 2-4 unit conversions, but a separate limit on the number of high income 2-4 unit buildings as part of the overall limit on the number of high income buildings that could exercise the in-lieu fee provision.

We apologize for any confusion this may have caused - corrected copies of both our principles outline and our responses to the staff proposal are attached. We removed that item from the section on 2-4 unit buildings and placed it where it belongs - in the section on the in-lieu fee option for high income buildings. Please use these revised documents instead of the documents I sent you last week.

Jeffrey P. Levin
Policy Director
East Bay Housing Organizations

538 9th Street, Suite 200 | Oakland, CA 94607
510-663-3830 x316
jeff@ebho.org

**NOTE: I am generally in the office only on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday, so I may not be able to reply to your e-mail right away.**

<image001.jpg>

**Visit us at www.EBHO.org and follow us on Facebook and Twitter!**

***JOIN US! Become a member or renew today at www.EBHO.org***