

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

Latham Square Traffic Analysis and Truck Turn Analysis for Permanent Design Options

| Date: | August 29, 2013 | Project #: 13867 |
|-------|---|------------------|
| To: | Nick Cartagena and Jamie Parks, City of Oakland | |
| From: | Amy Lopez, Zachary Bugg, and Erin Ferguson, Kittelson & Associates, Inc | • |

In February 2013, Kittelson & Associates Inc. (KAI) conducted a traffic analysis to evaluate two alternative temporary roadway configurations around Latham Square in downtown Oakland to support the implementation of the Latham Square Pilot Pedestrian Plaza project. The City of Oakland (City) is exploring the feasibility of permanently converting Latham Square to a pedestrian plaza and in doing so, is considering altering the adjacent roadway network and circulation patterns. At the request of the City, KAI conducted a traffic analysis to evaluate three additional alternative roadway configurations around Latham Square. This technical memorandum documents the traffic analysis findings for the three alternatives, presents truck turning analysis for the permanent installation options the City is considering, and summarizes observations and suggestions regarding the geometry reflected in the design concepts the City provided for the alternatives.

Building on the earlier analysis, KAI determined intersection LOS and intersection volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratios for eight (8) signalized intersections near Latham Square during the AM and PM peak hour for the three alternative configurations. Existing conditions, full closer of Telegraph Avenue between 16^{th} Street and Broadway, and an offset intersection configuration were analyzed as part of the earlier analysis.

- Alternative C1 (Left In / Right Out) assumes Telegraph Avenue would extend to the intersection of Broadway and 15th Street and would not permit left turns from Telegraph Avenue onto Broadway at that intersection.
- Alternative C2 (Left In / Right Out, Left Out) assumes Telegraph Avenue would extend to the intersection of Broadway and 15th Street and would permit left turns from Telegraph Avenue to northbound Broadway at that intersection.
- Alternative D (One-Way Southbound) assumes Telegraph Avenue would extend to the intersection of Broadway and 15th Street, and it assumes the block between 15th and 16th Streets would be one-way in the southbound direction.
- Each alternative above assumes 16th Street west of Telegraph Avenue would be two-way.

The following sections summarize the traffic operations analysis findings, discuss the results of the truck turning analysis, and geometric review of the improvement concepts.

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Existing traffic volumes for this study were available from a previous study (1800 San Pablo EIR) for three of the eight intersections analyzed. The traffic volumes for the other five intersections were collected by a traffic count data vendor working for KAI. Exhibit 1 documents the list of the intersections analyzed for this study, the source of the traffic volume information, and the month/year the traffic counts were collected. The traffic volume data sheets for the intersections collected were provided to the City of Oakland as part of the analysis conducted in February 2013.

| # | Intersection | Source | Month/Year |
|---|--|--------------------|--------------|
| 1 | Telegraph Ave./Broadway/15 th St. | KAI | January 2013 |
| 2 | Telegraph Ave./16 th St. | KAI | January 2013 |
| 3 | Telegraph Ave./17 th St. | 1800 San Pablo EIR | May 2011 |
| 4 | Telegraph Ave./18 th St. | 1800 San Pablo EIR | May 2011 |
| 5 | Telegraph Ave./19 th St. | 1800 San Pablo EIR | May 2011 |
| 6 | Broadway Ave./19 th St. | KAI | January 2013 |
| 7 | Broadway Ave./17 th St. | KAI | January 2013 |
| 8 | Broadway Ave./16 th St. | KAI | January 2013 |

Exhibit 1 - Analysis Intersections, Traffic Volume Source, and Month/Year Collected

Traffic volume data sheets for the five intersections counted in January 2013 include the peak hour factor (PHF), pedestrian volumes, bicycle volumes, heavy vehicle percentages and auto volumes. These values were used in the traffic operations analysis, which was conducted using Synchro software. The remaining three intersections were counted in May 2011 and only included auto volumes. Therefore, KAI made the following assumptions in the analysis conducted in February 2013 and as well the additional analysis summarized in this memorandum:

- Existing auto volumes from the May 2011 counts were transferred as reported in the 1800 San Pablo EIR;
- Pedestrian and bicycle volumes were copied from the nearest intersection where bicycle and pedestrian counts were available; and
- PHF and heavy vehicle percentages were left at the Synchro default values of 0.92 and 2%, respectively. These values are consistent with the data collected in January 2013 for the intersection of Telegraph Avenue and 16th Street

ALTERNATIVE C1 – FINDINGS

The primary purpose of this analysis was to determine the impact of realigning Telegraph Avenue to intersect Broadway at 15th Street as well as the impact of converting 16th Street to a two-way street. The intersection of Telegraph/15th/Broadway was analyzed with a two-phase signal timing plan, allowing northbound and southbound traffic along Broadway to pass through the intersection, and then permitting eastbound traffic on Telegraph Avenue and westbound traffic on 15th Street to pass. To eliminate the potential conflict between through and opposite direction left-turning vehicles, a protected left-turn phasing could be implemented at the intersection.

The intersection at 16th Street and Telegraph Avenue was tested for sensitivity to eastbound traffic. The existing pedestrian phase was replaced by a phase permitting pedestrian east/west pedestrian crossing during the eastbound movement. The signal was found to operate at LOS A for the eastbound 16th Street movement for up to 70 vehicles making a left turn and 70 vehicles making a right turn. Although the intersection can accommodate 70 vehicles while maintaining a LOS A, a more realistic volume of 20 vehicles for each eastbound movement at that intersection was assumed for the analysis. These trips were taken from the eastbound left and right turns at 17th Street and Telegraph and were carried through the network.

As summarized in Exhibit 2, none of the study intersections experience a change in level of service (LOS). The study intersections remain at LOS A or LOS B. The City of Oakland's LOS standard for downtown intersections is LOS E or better. Therefore, these intersections are projected to meet the City's LOS standard for Alternative C1 (Left In / Right Out) during the AM peak hour.

| | Study Intersection Name | Existin | g AM Peak Ho | our | Alternative C1 AM Peak Hour | | | | |
|---|--|---------|--------------|------|-----------------------------|-----|------|--|--|
| | Study intersection Name | Delay | LOS | v/c | Delay | LOS | v/c | | |
| 1 | Telegraph Ave./Broadway/15 th St. | 17.8 | В | 0.24 | 13.3 | В | 0.27 | | |
| 2 | Telegraph Ave. & 16 th St. | 7.2 | А | 0.17 | 7.4 | А | 0.21 | | |
| 3 | Telegraph Ave. & 17 th St. | 10.8 | В | 0.32 | 12.0 | В | 0.31 | | |
| 4 | Telegraph Ave. & 18 th St. | 10.7 | В | 0.23 | 10.9 | В | 0.23 | | |
| 5 | Telegraph Ave. & 19 th St. | 10.6 | В | 0.34 | 10.6 | В | 0.34 | | |
| 6 | Broadway & 19 th St. | 8.8 | А | 0.22 | 8.9 | А | 0.22 | | |
| 7 | Broadway & 17 th St. | 15.0 | В | 0.39 | 14.8 | В | 0.39 | | |
| 8 | Broadway & 16 th St. | 12.4 | В | 0.12 | 12.9 | В | 0.21 | | |

| Exhibit 2 – | Alternative C1 | Intersection L | OS and V/C | Ratio Comp | arison for tl | ne AM Peak Hour |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------|------------|---------------|------------------|
| | | Intersection E | | | | ic Amir cuk nour |

The intersection of Telegraph/15th/Broadway was analyzed for the PM peak hour with a two-phase timing plan like that of the AM peak hour. The intersection at 16th Street and Telegraph Avenue was tested again for sensitivity to eastbound traffic, and the existing pedestrian phase was converted to a joint eastbound traffic and east/west crossing phase. The intersection was found to operate at LOS A

for the eastbound 16th Street movement for up to 80 left and 80 right turns. Again, the analysis reported reflects a realistic value of 20 vehicles for both left and right eastbound turns. These trips were taken from the eastbound left and right turns at 17th Street and Telegraph and were included along their respective paths through the network.

The LOS and V/C ratios for the PM peak hour are shown in Exhibit 3. The study intersections operate at LOS A or LOS B during the PM peak hour, and none of the study intersections experience a change in LOS. Therefore, these intersections are projected to meet the City's LOS standard for Alternative C1 (Left In / Right Out) during the PM peak hour.

| | Study Intersection Name | Existin | g PM Peak Ho | our | Alternative C1 PM Peak Hour | | | |
|---|--|---------|--------------|------|-----------------------------|-----|------|--|
| | study intersection nume | Delay | LOS | V/C | Delay | LOS | V/C | |
| 1 | Telegraph Ave./Broadway/15 th St. | 14.1 | В | 0.33 | 15.0 | В | 0.44 | |
| 2 | Telegraph Ave. & 16 th St. | 9.8 | А | 0.18 | 6.9 | А | 0.31 | |
| 3 | Telegraph Ave. & 17 th St. | 12.8 | В | 0.27 | 10.8 | В | 0.26 | |
| 4 | Telegraph Ave. & 18 th St. | 5.4 | А | 0.18 | 7.0 | А | 0.18 | |
| 5 | Telegraph Ave. & 19 th St. | 15.8 | В | 0.52 | 15.8 | В | 0.52 | |
| 6 | Broadway & 19 th St. | 11.0 | В | 0.45 | 11.0 | В | 0.45 | |
| 7 | Broadway & 17 th St. | 15.3 | В | 0.36 | 15.4 | В | 0.36 | |
| 8 | Broadway & 16 th St. | 9.9 | А | 0.19 | 9.1 | А | 0.35 | |

Exhibit 3 – Alternative C1 Intersection LOS and V/C Ratio Comparison for the PM Peak Hour

ALTERNATIVE C2 – FINDINGS

The primary purpose of this analysis was to determine the impact of realigning Telegraph Avenue to intersect Broadway at 15th Street, permitting a left turn from Telegraph Avenue to northbound Broadway, and converting 16th Street to a two-way street. The intersection of Telegraph/15th/Broadway was analyzed with a two-phase signal timing plan, allowing northbound and southbound traffic along Broadway to pass through the intersection, and then permitting eastbound traffic on Telegraph Avenue and westbound traffic on 15th Street to pass. The intersection was tested for sensitivity to changes in volumes for left turns from Telegraph Avenue to Broadway. Currently, this movement does not exist, so counts were not available. The intersection was found to continue to operate at LOS B with an eastbound left turn volume as high as 150 vehicles. Although the intersection can accommodate 150 vehicles while maintaining a LOS B, a more realistic volume of 10 eastbound left turns to northbound Broadway were assumed. These trips were taken from the southbound left turn at 17th Street and Telegraph Avenue and from the eastbound right turn at 17th Street and Broadway and were carried through the network.

The intersection at 16th Street and Telegraph Avenue was tested for sensitivity to eastbound traffic. The existing pedestrian phase was replaced by a phase permitting pedestrian east/west pedestrian crossing during the eastbound movement. The signal was found to operate at LOS A for the eastbound 16th Street movement for up to 70 vehicles making a left turn and 70 vehicles making a right turn. Although the intersection can accommodate 70 vehicles while maintaining a LOS A, a more realistic volume of 20 vehicles for each eastbound movement at that intersection was assumed for the analysis. These trips were taken from the eastbound left and right turns at 17th Street and Telegraph and were carried through the network.

As Exhibit 4 shows, none of the study intersections experience a change in level of service (LOS). The study intersections remain at LOS A or LOS B. These intersections are projected to meet the City's LOS standard for Alternative C2 (Left In / Right Out, Left Out) during the AM peak hour.

| | Study Intersection Name | Existin | g AM Peak Ho | our | Alternative C2 AM Peak Hour | | | | |
|---|--|---------|--------------|------|-----------------------------|-----|------|--|--|
| | Study Intersection Name | Delay | LOS | v/c | Delay | LOS | v/c | | |
| 1 | Telegraph Ave./Broadway/15 th St. | 17.8 | В | 0.24 | 15.4 | В | 0.30 | | |
| 2 | Telegraph Ave. & 16 th St. | 7.2 | А | 0.17 | 7.4 | А | 0.21 | | |
| 3 | Telegraph Ave. & 17 th St. | 10.8 | В | 0.32 | 12.0 | В | 0.31 | | |
| 4 | Telegraph Ave. & 18 th St. | 10.7 | В | 0.23 | 10.9 | В | 0.23 | | |
| 5 | Telegraph Ave. & 19 th St. | 10.6 | В | 0.34 | 10.6 | В | 0.34 | | |
| 6 | Broadway & 19 th St. | 8.8 | А | 0.22 | 8.8 | А | 0.22 | | |
| 7 | Broadway & 17 th St. | 15.0 | В | 0.39 | 15.1 | В | 0.39 | | |
| 8 | Broadway & 16 th St. | 12.4 | В | 0.12 | 13.5 | В | 0.22 | | |

Exhibit 4 – Alternative C2 Intersection LOS and V/C Ratio Comparison for the AM Peak Hour

The intersection of Telegraph/15th/Broadway was analyzed for the PM peak hour with a two-phase timing plan like that of the AM peak hour. This intersection was tested again for sensitivity to eastbound left turns, a movement that currently does not exist. During the PM peak hour, the intersection was found to remain at LOS B for eastbound Telegraph Avenue for up to 220 left turns to northbound Broadway. The analysis reflects a realistic valued of 10 eastbound left turns at this intersection. These trips were taken from the eastbound left and right turns at 17th Street and Telegraph and were carried through the network.

The intersection at 16th Street and Telegraph Avenue was tested again for sensitivity to eastbound traffic, and the existing pedestrian phase was again converted to a joint eastbound traffic and east/west crossing phase. The intersection was found to operate at LOS A for the eastbound 16th Street movement for up to 80 left and 80 right turns. As in the AM analysis, the analysis reported reflects the realistic value of 20 vehicles for both left and right eastbound turns, and like the AM

analysis, these trips were taken from the eastbound left and right turns at 17th Street and Telegraph and were included along their respective paths through the network.

The LOS and V/C ratios for the PM peak hour are shown in Exhibit 5. The study intersections operate at LOS A or LOS B during the PM peak hour, and no intersections experience a change in LOS. Therefore, these intersections are projected to meet the City's LOS standard for Alternative C2 (Left In / Right Out, Left Out) during the PM peak hour.

| | Study Intersection Name | Existin | g PM Peak Ho | our | Alternative C2 PM Peak Hour | | | |
|---|--|---------|--------------|------|-----------------------------|-----|------|--|
| | | Delay | LOS | V/C | Delay | LOS | V/C | |
| 1 | Telegraph Ave./Broadway/15 th St. | 14.1 | В | 0.33 | 14.9 | В | 0.44 | |
| 2 | Telegraph Ave. & 16 th St. | 9.8 | А | 0.18 | 6.9 | А | 0.31 | |
| 3 | Telegraph Ave. & 17 th St. | 12.8 | В | 0.27 | 10.8 | В | 0.26 | |
| 4 | Telegraph Ave. & 18 th St. | 5.4 | А | 0.18 | 7.0 | А | 0.18 | |
| 5 | Telegraph Ave. & 19 th St. | 15.8 | В | 0.52 | 15.8 | В | 0.52 | |
| 6 | Broadway & 19 th St. | 11.0 | В | 0.45 | 10.9 | В | 0.45 | |
| 7 | Broadway & 17 th St. | 15.3 | В | 0.36 | 15.5 | В | 0.36 | |
| 8 | Broadway & 16 th St. | 9.9 | A | 0.19 | 9.2 | A | 0.34 | |

Exhibit 5 – Alternative C2 Intersection LOS and V/C Ratio Comparison for the PM Peak Hour

ALTERNATIVE D – FINDINGS

The primary purpose of this analysis was to determine the impact of realigning Telegraph Avenue to intersect Broadway at 15th Street, limiting traffic along Telegraph Avenue between 15th and 16th Streets to southbound only, and converting 16th Street to a two-way street. The intersection of Telegraph/15th/Broadway was analyzed with a two-phase signal timing plan, allowing northbound and southbound traffic along Broadway to pass through the intersection, and then permitting eastbound right turns from Telegraph Avenue and westbound right turns from 15th Street to pass. The prohibition of northbound traffic along the segment of Telegraph Avenue between 15th and 16th Streets required rerouting 165 vehicles previously making northbound left turns from Broadway and 66 westbound through vehicles at the intersection. These vehicles were carried north along Broadway, west on 19th Street and either north or south on Telegraph Avenue. Sixty of those vehicles would have made a northbound left from Telegraph Avenue to 16th Street, so they were routed south from 19th Street and turned right at 16th Street. The remaining rerouted vehicles continued north on Telegraph Avenue.

The intersection at 16th Street and Telegraph Avenue was tested for sensitivity to eastbound traffic. The existing pedestrian phase was replaced by a phase permitting pedestrian east/west pedestrian crossing during the eastbound movement. The signal was found to operate at LOS A for the eastbound 16th Street movement for up to 40 vehicles making a left turn and 40 vehicles making a right turn. Although the intersection can accommodate 40 vehicles while maintaining a LOS A, a more realistic volume of 20 vehicles for each eastbound movement at that intersection was assumed for the analysis. These trips were taken from the eastbound left and right turns at 17th Street and Telegraph and were carried through the network.

As Exhibit 6 shows, none of the intersections experience a change in level of service (LOS). The study intersections remain at LOS A or LOS B. These intersections are projected to meet the City's LOS standard for Alternative D (One-Way Southbound) during the AM peak hour.

| | Study Intersection Name | Existin | g AM Peak Ho | our | Alternative 1 AM Peak Hour | | | | |
|---|--|---------|--------------|------|----------------------------|-----|------|--|--|
| | Study Intersection Name | Delay | LOS | v/c | Delay | LOS | v/c | | |
| 1 | Telegraph Ave./Broadway/15 th St. | 17.8 | В | 0.24 | 15.1 | В | 0.23 | | |
| 2 | Telegraph Ave. & 16 th St. | 7.2 | А | 0.17 | 7.7 | А | 0.18 | | |
| 3 | Telegraph Ave. & 17 th St. | 10.8 | В | 0.32 | 12.3 | В | 0.34 | | |
| 4 | Telegraph Ave. & 18 th St. | 10.7 | В | 0.23 | 10.6 | В | 0.25 | | |
| 5 | Telegraph Ave. & 19 th St. | 10.6 | В | 0.34 | 12.1 | В | 0.40 | | |
| 6 | Broadway & 19 th St. | 8.8 | А | 0.22 | 10.3 | В | 0.40 | | |
| 7 | Broadway & 17 th St. | 15.0 | В | 0.39 | 16.4 | В | 0.44 | | |
| 8 | Broadway & 16 th St. | 12.4 | В | 0.12 | 14.8 | В | 0.37 | | |

Exhibit 6 – Alternative D Intersection LOS and V/C Ratio Comparison for the AM Peak Hour

The intersection of Telegraph/15th/Broadway was analyzed for the PM peak hour with a two-phase timing plan like that of the AM peak hour. The prohibition of northbound traffic along the segment of Telegraph Avenue between 15th and 16th Streets required rerouting 224 vehicles previously making northbound left turns from Broadway and 146 westbound through vehicles at the intersection. These vehicles were carried north along Broadway, west on 19th Street and either north or south on Telegraph Avenue. Eighty of those vehicles would have made a northbound left from Telegraph Avenue to 16th Street, so they were routed south from 19th Street and turned right at 16th Street. The remaining rerouted vehicles continued north on Telegraph Avenue.

The intersection at 16th Street and Telegraph Avenue was tested again for sensitivity to eastbound traffic, and the existing pedestrian phase was again converted to a joint eastbound traffic and east/west crossing phase. The intersection was found to operate at LOS A for the eastbound 16th Street movement for up to 40 left and 40 right turns. Although the intersection can accommodate 40 vehicles while maintaining a LOS A, a more realistic volume of 20 vehicles for each eastbound movement at that intersection was assumed for the analysis. These trips were taken from the eastbound left and right turns at 17th Street and Telegraph and were included along their respective paths through the network.

The LOS and V/C ratios for the PM peak hour are shown in Exhibit 7. Most intersections operate at LOS A or LOS B during the PM peak hour. The intersection at Broadway and 16th Street changes from LOS A to LOS B as a result of an approximate 5 second increase in delay for the northbound movement due to the increase in northbound volume along Broadway. The intersection at Broadway and 19th Street changes from LOS B to LOS C as a result of the rerouted traffic making a northbound left turn at this intersection. The northbound left volume increases from 24 to 394 vehicles due rerouted traffic; this is a conservative assumption given some vehicles will reroute to 20th Street. The northbound movement is projected to experience approximately 60 seconds of delay during the PM peak hour, which increases the overall average delay of the intersection. However, these intersections are projected to meet the City's LOS standard for Alternative D (One-Way Southbound) during the PM peak hour. The City could consider implementing a protected left-turn phasing at the intersection which would eliminate the conflict between through and opposite direction left-turning vehicles.

| | Study Intersection Name | Existin | g PM Peak Ho | our | Alternative 1 PM Peak Hour | | | | |
|---|--|---------|--------------|------|----------------------------|-----|------|--|--|
| | Study intersection Name | Delay | LOS | V/C | Delay | LOS | V/C | | |
| 1 | Telegraph Ave./Broadway/15 th St. | 14.1 | В | 0.33 | 13.7 | В | 0.33 | | |
| 2 | Telegraph Ave. & 16 th St. | 9.8 | А | 0.18 | 6.7 | А | 0.23 | | |
| 3 | Telegraph Ave. & 17 th St. | 12.8 | В | 0.27 | 10.7 | В | 0.30 | | |
| 4 | Telegraph Ave. & 18 th St. | 5.4 | А | 0.18 | 7.1 | А | 0.20 | | |
| 5 | Telegraph Ave. & 19 th St. | 15.8 | В | 0.52 | 16.9 | В | 0.59 | | |
| 6 | Broadway & 19 th St. | 11.0 | В | 0.45 | 32.2 | С | 0.77 | | |
| 7 | Broadway & 17 th St. | 15.3 | В | 0.36 | 18.8 | В | 0.41 | | |
| 8 | Broadway & 16 th St. | 9.9 | А | 0.19 | 10.7 | В | 0.52 | | |

Exhibit 7 – Alternative D Intersection LOS and V/C Ratio Comparison for the PM Peak Hour

TRAFFIC OPERATIONS ANALYSIS SUMMARY

This traffic analysis analyzed eight signalized intersections near Latham Square and compared existing conditions to three proposed reconfigurations. Alternative C1 evaluated the traffic operations analysis impact of realigning Telegraph Avenue to intersect Broadway at 15th Street as well as the impact of converting 16th Street to a two-way street. Alternative C2 evaluated the traffic operations of realigning Telegraph Avenue to intersect Broadway at 15th Street, permitting a left turn from Telegraph Avenue to Broadway, and converting 16th Street to a two-way street. Alternative D evaluated the traffic operations of realigning Telegraph Avenue to intersect Broadway at 15th Street. Alternative D evaluated the traffic operations of realigning Telegraph Avenue to intersect Broadway at 15th Street, at 15th Street, and 16th Street. Alternative D evaluated the traffic operations of realigning Telegraph Avenue to intersect Broadway at 15th Street, at 15th Street, and 16th Street. Alternative D evaluated the traffic operations of realigning Telegraph Avenue to intersect Broadway at 15th Street, and 16th Street to a two-way street.

Key findings from the traffic operations analysis were:

- An overarching observation from the traffic operations analysis is there are several study intersections currently without protected left-turn phasing that could be converted to protected left-turn phasing. This would eliminate the conflict between through and opposite direction left-turn movements. Given the study intersections operate acceptably across each alternative considered in this memorandum and in the February 2013 memorandum, the additional intersection delay incurred due to the protected left-turn phasing is unlikely to increase delay such that an intersection no longer meets the City's LOS standard.
- Alternative C1 (Left In / Right Out) resulted in no change of level-of-service at any study intersection during the AM and PM peak hours. The study intersections would continue to operate at LOS B or better in the AM and PM peak hours. Therefore, the study intersections meet City of Oakland LOS standards in the AM and PM peak hour with the Alternative C1 option.
- Alternative C2 (Left In / Right Out, Left Out) resulted in no change of level-of-service at any study intersection during the AM and PM peak hours. The study intersections would continue to operate at LOS B or better in the AM and PM peak hours. Therefore, the study intersections meet City of Oakland LOS standards in the AM and PM peak hour with the Alternative C2 option.
- Alternative D (One-Way Southbound) resulted in a change in LOS at two locations during the PM peak hour: from LOS A to LOS B at Broadway & 16th Street and from LOS B to LOS C at Broadway and 19th Street. The other locations during the PM peak hour and study intersections during the AM peak hour remained at the same LOS found under existing conditions. These LOS results meet the City's LOS standards.
- Alternative E (Closure of Telegraph Avenue between 16th Street and Broadway) resulted in a change of level-of-service at the Broadway/19th Street intersection during the AM peak hour from LOS A to LOS B and during the PM peak hour from LOS B to LOS C (this finding was documented in the February 2013 memorandum prepared by KAI for the City). The other study intersections are estimated to continue to operate at LOS B or better in the AM and PM peak hours. This alternative also eliminates the most vehicle-vehicle and pedestrian-vehicle conflicts compared to the other alternatives.

The Synchro output sheets for the three alternatives are attached in *Appendix A*.

TRUCK TURNING ANALYSIS

KAI conducted truck turning analysis using AutoCAD AutoTURN software to evaluate the feasibility of large vehicles being able to navigate the alternative design options. The AutoTURN analysis considered alternatives A, B, C and D currently under consideration by the City. A WB-67 truck was used as the primary design vehicle for each movement. If it was not possible for a WB-67 truck to navigate a movement within one of the alternatives, KAI determined the largest design vehicle that

could navigate that movement. The hierarchy of design vehicles used for this analysis is listed below from largest to smallest vehicle.

- WB-67 Truck
- WB-50 Truck
- City Bus
- Single Unit Truck
- Passenger Car

A summary of the turning analysis findings is below.

- Alternative A A WB-50 truck can be accommodated for the southbound through movement along Broadway and the right-turn movement from Telegraph Avenue onto Broadway. A city bus can be accommodated for the left-turn movement from Broadway onto Telegraph Avenue as well as the northbound through movement from 15th Street onto Telegraph Avenue. See figures A1, A2, and A3 in *Appendix B* for the AutoTURN illustrations.
- Alternative B A WB-50 truck can be accommodated for the right-turn movement from Telegraph Avenue onto Broadway. A city bus can be accommodated for the left-turn movement from Telegraph Avenue onto Broadway. The largest design vehicle able to turn-right from Broadway onto Telegraph Avenue is a passenger car. A city bus can be accommodated for the through movement from 15th Street onto Telegraph Avenue. See figures B1 through B4 in *Appendix B* for the AutoTURN illustrations.
- Alternative C A WB-50 truck can be accommodated for the right-turn movement from Telegraph Avenue onto Broadway. A single unit truck can be accommodated for the leftturn movement from Telegraph Avenue onto Broadway. Similarly, a single unit truck can be accommodated for the left-turn movement from Broadway onto Telegraph Avenue. A city bus can be accommodated for the through movement from 15th Street onto Telegraph Avenue. See figures C1 through C4 in *Appendix B* for the AutoTURN illustrations.
- Alternative D A WB-50 truck can be accommodated for the right-turn movement from Telegraph Avenue onto Broadway. See Figure D1 in *Appendix B* for the AutoTURN illustration.

GEOMETRY REVIEW

KAI reviewed the basic geometric characteristics of the design concepts provided by the City for each alternative. The purpose of the review comments are to highlight opportunities for the City to modify the concepts to improve operations and/or safety (i.e., reduce crossing distances, reduce conflicts) with each alternative. This review should not be considered comprehensive given the conceptual

nature of the current design concepts. Below is a summary of findings from reviewing the design concepts.

- General comments applicable to each alternative:
 - Add a notation to the figures to indicate the intersection is controlled by a traffic signal.
 - Add stop bars in advance of the crosswalks.
- Alternative A observations and suggestions:
 - Clarify whether or not on-street parking is permitted on Broadway.
- Alternative B observations and suggestions:
 - On-street parking on Telegraph Avenue must be removed to serve the city bus turning movements.
 - Maintain the pedestrian refuge island on Broadway (a shorter version than the existing island) to provide for a two-stage crossing for pedestrians.
 - Tighten (i.e., reduce) the radius of the curb in the northwest quadrant of the intersection to slow vehicle speeds and reduce the pedestrian crossing distance.
 - Add lane extension lines to guide left turning-vehicles from Telegraph Avenue onto Broadway.
 - Clarify whether or not on-street parking is permitted on Broadway.
- Alternative C observations and suggestions:
 - Due to skewed angle that Telegraph Avenue intersects Broadway, operate southbound right-turn movement as no right-turn on red.
 - Tighten (i.e., reduce) the radius of the curb in the northwest quadrant of the intersection to slow vehicle speeds and reduce the pedestrian crossing distance.
 - Shorten the length of the median on the eastbound Broadway approach to avoid inhibiting vehicles turning left onto Telegraph Avenue.
 - Narrow the northbound approach (15th Street) to one lane. As shown, vehicle paths of through and right-turn movement will overlap creating vehicle-vehicle conflicts and degrading operations. A narrower street cross-section will also reduce the crossing distance for pedestrians.
- Alternative D observations and suggestions:
 - Due to skewed angle that Telegraph Avenue intersects Broadway, operate southbound right-turn movement as no right-turn on red.
 - The geometry of the northbound double right-turn is too tight (i.e., too small of radius) to operate as a double right-turn. Drivers will likely use it as a single right-

turn lane (i.e., drivers will not turn right simultaneously and instead will be staggered). As a result, narrow the approach to a single right-turn lane. This will also reduce the crossing distance for pedestrians.

- Consider a northbound only alternative for Telegraph Avenue. This would eliminate traffic entering the intersection simplifying and improving operations.
- Alternative E observations and suggestions:
 - Provide a painted buffer to facilitate and physically separate opposite direction vehicles navigating the turn at the southern end of Telegraph Avenue.
 - The geometry of the northbound double right-turn is too tight (i.e., too small of radius) to operate as a double right-turn. Drivers will likely use it as a single right-turn lane (i.e., drivers will not turn right simultaneously and instead will be staggered). As a result, narrow the approach to a single right-turn lane. This will also reduce the crossing distance for pedestrians.

Appendix C contains mark-ups highlighting and illustrating the considerations above for each alternative. KAI suggests additional peer reviews of the geometry occur as the design concepts progress further in their development to help identify continued opportunities to reduce the likelihood of conflicts between the different road users that will be using the intersection.

SUMMARY

The traffic operations analysis indicates Alternative C1, C2, D, and E each result in acceptable LOS at the study intersections. The AutoTURN analysis indicates the largest design vehicle that can be accommodated is a WB-50 truck for the through movements on Broadway and the right-turn movement from Telegraph Avenue onto Broadway. The other right-turn and left-turn movements onto and from Telegraph Avenue and Broadway as well as the through movement from 15th Street to Telegraph Avenue do not appear feasible for WB 67 trucks or WB 50 trucks. These movements appear feasible for smaller design vehicles such as city buses, single unit trucks or passenger cars. Among the altneratives, Alternative E provides the most space for pedestrians, results in acceptable traffic operations at the surrounding study intersections, and has the fewest vehicle-vehicle and vehicle-pedestrian conflict points while providing pedestrian access to each quadrant of the current Telegraph Avenue/Broadway intersection. Based on the traffic operations analysis, the surrounding downtown roadway network provides sufficient alternative routes and capacity on those routes to maintain acceptable traffic operations to support the closer of Telegraph Avenue from 16th Street to Broadway.

Appendix A Traffic Operations Analysis Output Sheets

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Telegraph Ave &

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{r} | • | + | • | • | t | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------|------|-----------|---------------|------|-------|------|------|------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | 1 | | † | 1 | | | | | † † | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 245 | 2 | 66 | 42 | 165 | 259 | 0 | 1 | 300 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 4.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | 0.90 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | 0.86 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 1450 | | 1861 | 1553 | | 3189 | | | 3282 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.72 | | | 0.95 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 1450 | | 1861 | 1553 | | 2342 | | | 3132 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 266 | 2 | 72 | 45 | 179 | 278 | 0 | 1 | 323 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 74 | 9 | 0 | 457 | 0 | 0 | 324 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 60 | | | 60 | 60 | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 0% | 2% | 4% | 2% | 12% | 2% | 2% | 10% | 2% |
| Turn Type | | | custom | Perm | | custom | Perm | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 8 | 4 | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 4 | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | 12.0 | | 12.0 | 12.0 | | 40.0 | | | 38.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | 12.0 | | 12.0 | 12.0 | | 40.0 | | | 38.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.20 | | 0.20 | 0.20 | | 0.67 | | | 0.63 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 4.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | 290 | | 372 | 311 | | 1561 | | | 1984 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | 0.01 | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | 0.04 | | 0.04 | | | c0.20 | | | 0.10 | |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.18 | | 0.20 | 0.03 | | 0.29 | | | 0.16 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 19.9 | | 20.0 | 19.3 | | 4.1 | | | 4.5 | |
| Progression Factor | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 3.92 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 0.3 | | 0.3 | 0.0 | | 0.1 | | | 0.2 | |
| Delay (s) | | | 20.2 | | 20.3 | 19.3 | | 4.2 | | | 17.8 | |
| Level of Service | | 00.0 | C | | C 40.0 | В | | A | | | B | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 20.2 | | | 19.9 | | | 4.2 | | | 17.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | C | | | В | | | A | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 13.3 | Н | CM Leve | el of Service |) | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.27 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of los | st time (s) | | | 8.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 51.7% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٦ | \rightarrow | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | < | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | ¥ | | | સુ | • | 1 | | |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 20 | 60 | 177 | 246 | 110 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.75 | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 0.93 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.98 | | | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1695 | | | 1725 | 1845 | 1161 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.98 | | | 0.87 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1695 | | | 1526 | 1845 | 1161 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 22 | 66 | 195 | 270 | 121 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 28 | 0 | 0 | 261 | 270 | 78 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 60 | | | 60 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 19 | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 7% | 4% | 3% | 4% | | |
| Turn Type | | | Perm | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | 4 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 21.0 | | | 51.5 | 51.5 | 51.5 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 21.0 | | | 51.5 | 51.5 | 51.5 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.26 | | | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 445 | | | 982 | 1188 | 747 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.02 | | | | 0.15 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.17 | | 0.07 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | | | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.10 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 22.1 | | | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.4 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.3 | | | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | | |
| Delay (s) | 22.4 | | | 6.8 | 6.4 | 5.7 | | |
| Level of Service | С | | | А | А | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 22.4 | | | 6.8 | 6.2 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | А | А | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Dela | ay | | 7.4 | H | CM Level | of Service | A | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity r | atio | | 0.21 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 80.0 | Su | um of lost | t time (s) | 7.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | ation | | 38.9% | IC | U Level o | of Service | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: 17th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | × | 1 | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 441 | | | | | | ** | | - | 41 | - |
| Volume (vph) | 51 | 540 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 2 | 60 | 272 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.91 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Frt | | 0.98 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 4926 | | | | | | 3530 | | | 3450 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.86 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 4926 | | | | | | 3530 | | | 3002 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 55 | 587 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 2 | 65 | 296 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 699 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | | 67 | | | | | | 152 | 152 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 8 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 21 |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 28.0 | | | | | | 22.0 | | | 22.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 28.0 | | | | | | 22.0 | | | 22.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.47 | | | | | | 0.37 | | | 0.37 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 2299 | | | | | | 1294 | | | 1101 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | 0.06 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.14 | | | | | | | | | c0.12 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.30 | | | | | | 0.17 | | | 0.33 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 9.9 | | | | | | 12.8 | | | 13.7 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.02 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.3 | | | | | | 0.3 | | | 0.8 | |
| Delay (s) | | 10.3 | | | | | | 13.1 | | | 14.8 | |
| Level of Service | | В | | | | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 10.3 | | | 0.0 | | | 13.1 | | | 14.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | А | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 12.0 | Н | CM Level | of Servic | e | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.31 | _ | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | ۱ | | 63.0% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٦ | \mathbf{r} | 1 | † | Ŧ | < | | |
|------------------------------|--------|--------------|-------|----------|------------|------------|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | ۲ | | | ^ | ^ | | | |
| Volume (vph) | 145 | 81 | 0 | 251 | 250 | 0 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 0.95 | 0.95 | | | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 158 | 88 | 0 | 273 | 272 | 0 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 212 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 272 | 0 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | 55 | | | | | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Turn Type | | | | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 19.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 19.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.32 | | | 0.57 | 0.57 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 546 | | | 2005 | 2005 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.13 | | | c0.08 | 0.08 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.39 | | | 0.14 | 0.14 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 15.6 | | | 6.1 | 6.1 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.49 | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.1 | | | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 17.7 | | | 9.2 | 6.2 | | | |
| Level of Service | В | | | А | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 17.7 | | | 9.2 | 6.2 | | | |
| Approach LOS | В | | | А | А | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Del | ay | | 10.9 | H | CM Level | of Service | В | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.23 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | Si | um of lost | time (s) | 6.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | zation | | 29.9% | IC | U Level o | of Service | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 5: 19th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | ∢ | ← | • | 1 | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|-------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | र्स कि | | | -4 † | | | ↑ ĵ≽ | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 85 | 50 | 132 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 56 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.97 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3315 | | | 3424 | | | 3365 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.75 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3315 | | | 2601 | | | 3365 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 92 | 54 | 143 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 61 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 429 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | | 55 | | | 69 | | | | | 69 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 34 |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 10.0 | | | 16.5 | | | 16.5 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 10.0 | | | 16.5 | | | 16.5 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.23 | | | 0.38 | | | 0.38 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 757 | | | 980 | | | 1268 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | | | | 0.08 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.04 | | | c0.16 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.17 | | | 0.44 | | | 0.22 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 13.6 | | | 10.2 | | | 9.3 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 0.0 | | | 0.1 | | | 0.0 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 13.6 | | | 10.3 | | | 9.3 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | В | | | В | | | А | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 13.6 | | | 10.3 | | | 9.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | | В | | | Α | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 10.6 | H | CM Level | of Service | е | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.34 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 43.8 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 17.3 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 39.3% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: 19th Street & Broadway

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | * | ٠ | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | đ þ | | | -۠ | | | A | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 127 | 36 | 12 | 294 | 0 | 1 | 348 | 33 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3309 | | | 3109 | | | 3135 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.94 | | | 0.95 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3309 | | | 2915 | | | 2993 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 140 | 40 | 13 | 323 | 0 | 1 | 382 | 36 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 336 | 0 | 0 | 407 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 39 | | 55 | 55 | | 39 | 69 | | 171 | 171 | | 69 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 2 | | | 34 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 4% | 5% | 0% | 8% | 16% | 2% | 2% | 13% | 9% |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 2 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.42 | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 1379 | | | 1263 | | | 1297 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.06 | | | 0.12 | | | c0.14 | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.13 | | | 0.27 | | | 0.31 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 10.8 | | | 10.9 | | | 11.1 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.31 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 0.2 | | | 0.5 | | | 0.6 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 11.0 | | | 3.9 | | | 11.8 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | В | | | А | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 11.0 | | | 3.9 | | | 11.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | | А | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 8.9 | Н | CM Level | of Service | е | | А | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.22 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 45.2% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | Α | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 7: 17th Street & Broadway

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | ← | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|----------------------------------|------|-------|--------------------|------|------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|--------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | A | | | | | | ≜1 } | | | - € † | |
| Volume (vph) | 53 | 586 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 49 | 73 | 277 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 0.98 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1693 | 3538 | | | | | | 2903 | | | 3076 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.82 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1693 | 3538 | | | | | | 2903 | | | 2554 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 58 | 637 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 262 | 53 | 79 | 301 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 58 | 652 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 34 | | 58 | 58 | | 34 | 70 | | 152 | 152 | | 70 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 8 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 21 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 4% | 1% | 18% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 20% | 4% | 3% | 16% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | | | | | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | | | | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 705 | 1474 | | | | | | 1258 | | | 1107 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.18 | | | | | | 0.10 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 | | | | | | | | | | c0.15 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.08 | 0.44 | | | | | | 0.23 | | | 0.34 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 10.6 | 12.5 | | | | | | 10.7 | | | 11.3 | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.10 | | | | | | 2.38 | | | 0.50 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | | | | | | 0.4 | | | 0.8 | |
| Delay (s) | 10.8 | 14.7 | | | | | | 25.9 | | | 6.5 | |
| Level of Service | В | В | | | | | | С | | | А | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 14.4 | | | 0.0 | | | 25.9 | | | 6.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | А | | | С | | | А | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delav | | | 14.8 | Н | CM Level | of Servic | е | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio |) | | 0.39 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizatio | n | | 57.5% | IC | U Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | € | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | | | ** | | | ** | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 303 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 1000 | 1000 | 5.0 | 1000 | 1000 | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util, Factor | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frt | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 3085 | | | 3059 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 3085 | | | 3059 | |
| Peak-hour factor PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 321 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 319 | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ũ | 0 | 0 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | Õ | Ũ | 321 | Ũ | 0 | 319 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 17% | 2% | 2% | 18% | |
| Turn Type | | | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | - | | | - | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.50 | | | 0.50 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | 1543 | | | 1530 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | 0.10 | | | c0.10 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.21 | | | 0.21 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 8.4 | | | 8.4 | |
| Progression Factor | | | 1.40 | | | 1.61 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 0.3 | | | 0.3 | |
| Delay (s) | | | 12.0 | | | 13.8 | |
| Level of Service | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 12.0 | | | 13.8 | |
| Approach LOS | А | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 12.9 | Н | CM Level | of Service | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.21 | | 2010 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | 30.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 12.6% | | CU Level o | of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Telegraph Ave &

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | • | • | t | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|-----------|--------------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | 1 | | • | 1 | | | | | <u></u> | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 146 | 55 | 224 | 322 | 0 | 0 | 465 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | 0.94 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | 0.86 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 1521 | | 1863 | 1615 | | 3267 | | | 3312 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.65 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 1521 | | 1863 | 1615 | | 2169 | | | 3312 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 277 | 0 | 159 | 61 | 243 | 358 | 0 | 0 | 517 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 159 | 24 | 0 | 601 | 0 | 0 | 517 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 60 | | | 60 | 60 | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 0% | 2% | 8% | 2% | 2% | 9% | 2% |
| Turn Type | | | custom | | | custom | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 8 | 4 | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 4 | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | 24.0 | | 24.0 | 24.0 | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | 24.0 | | 24.0 | 24.0 | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.40 | | 0.40 | 0.40 | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 2.0 | | 3.0 | 2.0 | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | 608 | | 745 | 646 | | 940 | | | 1435 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | 0.09 | 0.02 | | | | | 0.16 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | c0.09 | | | | | c0.28 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.23 | | 0.21 | 0.04 | | 0.64 | | | 0.36 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 11.9 | | 11.8 | 11.0 | | 13.3 | | | 11.4 | |
| Progression Factor | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.36 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 0.0 | | 3.3 | | | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | | | 12.0 | | 12.0 | 11.0 | | 16.7 | | | 16.2 | |
| Level of Service | | | В | | В | В | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 12.0 | | | 11.7 | | | 16.7 | | | 16.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 15.0 | Н | CM Leve | l of Service |) | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.44 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 54.2% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ≯ | \mathbf{r} | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | < | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|------|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | ¥ | | | र्स | • | 1 | | |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 20 | 80 | 292 | 253 | 116 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.48 | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 0.93 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.98 | | | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1695 | | | 1731 | 1863 | 770 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.98 | | | 0.88 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1695 | | | 1537 | 1863 | 770 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 22 | 88 | 321 | 278 | 127 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 27 | 0 | 0 | 409 | 278 | 87 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 138 | | | 138 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 12 | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 2% | 1% | | |
| Turn Type | | | Perm | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | 4 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 18.0 | | | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 18.0 | | | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.22 | | | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 381 | | | 1047 | 1269 | 525 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.02 | | | | 0.15 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.27 | | 0.11 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.07 | | | 0.39 | 0.22 | 0.16 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 24.4 | | | 5.5 | 4.8 | 4.6 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.4 | | | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | | |
| Delay (s) | 24.8 | | | 6.6 | 5.2 | 5.3 | | |
| Level of Service | С | | | А | А | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 24.8 | | | 6.6 | 5.2 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | А | А | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Dela | iy | | 6.9 | H | CM Level | of Service | A | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ra | atio | | 0.31 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 80.0 | Sı | um of lost | time (s) | 7.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 46.4% | IC | U Level o | of Service | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: 17th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | * | • | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|------------|------------|------|----------|------|------|--------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 441 | | | | | | ^ | | | - € † | |
| Volume (vph) | 55 | 346 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 4 | 73 | 252 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.91 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Frt | | 0.98 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 4881 | | | | | | 3525 | | | 3425 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.82 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 4881 | | | | | | 3525 | | | 2840 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 60 | 376 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 308 | 4 | 79 | 274 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 468 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | | 67 | | | | | | 181 | 181 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 12 | | | 1 | | | 24 | | | 7 |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 23.0 | | | | | | 27.0 | | | 27.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 23.0 | | | | | | 27.0 | | | 27.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.38 | | | | | | 0.45 | | | 0.45 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1871 | | | | | | 1586 | | | 1278 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | 0.09 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.10 | | | | | | | | | c0.12 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.25 | | | | | | 0.20 | | | 0.28 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 12.6 | | | | | | 10.0 | | | 10.4 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.76 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.3 | | | | | | 0.3 | | | 0.5 | |
| Delay (s) | | 12.9 | | | | | | 10.2 | | | 8.4 | |
| Level of Service | | В | | | | | | В | | | А | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 12.9 | | | 0.0 | | | 10.2 | | | 8.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | А | | | В | | | А | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 10.8 | Н | CM Level | of Servic | е | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.26 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | I | | 58.7% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ∕ | \mathbf{r} | 1 | † | .↓ | < | |
|------------------------------|--------|--------------|-------|----------|------------|------------|-----|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ¥ | | | ** | * * | | |
| Volume (vph) | 77 | 30 | 0 | 349 | 309 | 0 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 0.95 | 0.95 | | |
| Frpb. ped/bikes | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 0.96 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd, Flow (prot) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd, Flow (perm) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | |
| Peak-hour factor PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | |
| Adi Flow (vph) | 84 | 33 | 0.02 | 379 | 336 | 0.02 | |
| RTOR Reduction (vnh) | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 000 | 0 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 95 | 0 | 0 | 379 | 336 | 0 | |
| Confl Peds (#/hr) | 30 | 101 | U | 515 | 550 | U | |
| Confl Bikes (#/hr) | | 101 | | | | | |
| | | · · · | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Protected Phases | Z | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Actuated Groop G (c) | 10.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | |
| Effective Creen, G (S) | 19.0 | | | 24.0 | 24.0 | | |
| Actuated a/C Datio | 19.0 | | | 0.57 | 0.57 | | |
| Clearanae Time (a) | 0.52 | | | 0.07 | 2.0 | | |
| | 5.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vpn) | 546 | | | 2005 | 2005 | | |
| v/s katio Prot | CU.Ub | | | CU.11 | 0.09 | | |
| V/S Ratio Perm | 0.47 | | | 0.40 | 0.47 | | |
| | 0.17 | | | 0.19 | 0.17 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d'i | 14.5 | | | 0.3 | 6.2 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 0.77 | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.7 | | | 0.2 | 0.2 | | |
| Delay (s) | 15.2 | | | 5.1 | 6.4 | | |
| Level of Service | B AF O | | | A | A | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 15.2 | | | 5.1 | b.4 | | |
| Approach LOS | В | | | A | A | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Dela | ау | | 7.0 | H | CM Level | of Service | А |
| HCM Volume to Capacity r | ratio | | 0.18 | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | Sı | um of lost | time (s) | 6.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 32.6% | IC | U Level o | f Service | А |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 5: 19th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | ∢ | ← | • | • | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|-------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | र्स कि | | | -4 † | | | ↑ ĵ≽ | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 341 | 134 | 90 | 332 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 46 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.97 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.96 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3358 | | | 3422 | | | 3375 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.78 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3358 | | | 2708 | | | 3375 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 371 | 146 | 98 | 361 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 50 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 499 | 0 | 0 | 459 | 0 | 0 | 346 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | | 101 | | | 128 | | | | | 128 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 10 | | | | | | 12 |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 16.7 | | | 16.9 | | | 16.9 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 16.7 | | | 16.9 | | | 16.9 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.30 | | | 0.31 | | | 0.31 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 1018 | | | 831 | | | 1035 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | | | | 0.10 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.15 | | | c0.17 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.49 | | | 0.55 | | | 0.33 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 15.7 | | | 15.9 | | | 14.8 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 0.1 | | | 0.5 | | | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 15.9 | | | 16.4 | | | 14.8 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 15.9 | | | 16.4 | | | 14.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 15.8 | H | CM Level | of Service | • | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.52 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 55.1 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 21.5 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 50.2% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: 19th Street & Broadway

| | ≯ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | * | ٠ | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | đ þ | | | 41 | | | A | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 449 | 113 | 24 | 386 | 0 | 0 | 495 | 53 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3381 | | | 3248 | | | 3269 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.90 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3381 | | | 2940 | | | 3269 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 504 | 127 | 27 | 434 | 0 | 0 | 556 | 60 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 670 | 0 | 0 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 602 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | | 101 | 101 | | 75 | 128 | | 187 | 187 | | 128 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 1 | | | 12 | | | 28 | | | 12 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 11% | 2% | 0% | 8% | 0% |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.42 | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 1409 | | | 1274 | | | 1417 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | | | | c0.18 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.20 | | | 0.16 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.48 | | | 0.36 | | | 0.43 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 12.7 | | | 11.4 | | | 11.8 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.30 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 1.2 | | | 0.8 | | | 0.9 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 13.9 | | | 4.2 | | | 12.7 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | В | | | А | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 13.9 | | | 4.2 | | | 12.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | | А | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 11.0 | Н | CM Level | of Service | е | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.45 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 58.3% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 7: 17th Street & Broadway

| Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations 116 297 28 0 0 0 320 64 83 437 0 Ideal Flow (vph) 116 297 28 0 0 0 320 64 83 437 0 Ideal Flow (vph) 1900 100 1.00 1.00 |
|--|
| Lane Configurations 1 |
| Volume (vph) 116 297 28 0 0 0 320 64 83 437 0 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 19 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) 4.0 5.0 5.0 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 0.95 Frpb, ped/bikes 1.00 0.99 0.96 1.00 Flpb, ped/bikes 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 Frt 1.00 0.99 0.97 1.00 Flt Protected 0.95 1.00 0.99 0.97 Satd. Flow (prot) 1674 3537 3029 3230 Flt Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.82 3029 2663 Satd. Flow (perm) 1674 3537 3029 2663 2663 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.93 < |
| Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 0.95 Frpb, ped/bikes 1.00 0.99 0.96 1.00 Flpb, ped/bikes 0.95 1.00 0.98 Frt 1.00 0.99 0.97 1.00 Flt Protected 0.95 1.00 0.99 0.97 Satd. Flow (prot) 1674 3537 3029 3230 Flt Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.82 3029 2663 Satd. Flow (perm) 1674 3537 3029 2663 0.93 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes 1.00 0.99 0.96 1.00 Flpb, ped/bikes 0.95 1.00 0.98 Frt 1.00 0.99 0.97 1.00 Flt Protected 0.95 1.00 0.99 0.97 1.00 Flt Protected 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.99 3230 Satd. Flow (prot) 1674 3537 3029 3230 Flt Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.82 Satd. Flow (perm) 1674 3537 3029 2663 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.93 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes 0.95 1.00 0.98 Frt 1.00 0.99 0.97 1.00 Flt Protected 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.99 Satd. Flow (prot) 1674 3537 3029 3230 Flt Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.82 Satd. Flow (perm) 1674 3537 3029 2663 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.93 |
| Frt 1.00 0.99 0.97 1.00 Flt Protected 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.99 Satd. Flow (prot) 1674 3537 3029 3230 Flt Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.82 Satd. Flow (perm) 1674 3537 3029 2663 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.93 |
| Fit Protected 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.99 Satd. Flow (prot) 1674 3537 3029 3230 Fit Permitted 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.82 Satd. Flow (perm) 1674 3537 3029 2663 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.93 <t< td=""></t<> |
| Satd. Flow (prot) 1674 3537 3029 3230 Flt Permitted 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.82 Satd. Flow (perm) 1674 3537 3029 2663 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.93 |
| Fit Permitted 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.82 Satd. Flow (perm) 1674 3537 3029 2663 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.93 <t< td=""></t<> |
| Satd. Flow (perm) 1674 3537 3029 2663 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.93 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF0.93 <t< td=""></t<> |
| Adj. Flow (vph)1253193000034469894700RTOR Reduction (vph)012000028000Lane Group Flow (vph)1253370000385005590 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 12 0 0 0 0 0 28 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) 125 337 0 0 0 0 0 385 0 0 559 0 |
| |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 75 67 67 75 98 181 181 98 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) 12 1 24 7 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 0% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 13% 5% 2% 10% 2% |
| Turn Type Perm Perm |
| Protected Phases 4 2 6 |
| Permitted Phases 4 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) 25.0 25.0 26.0 26.0 26.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) 25.0 25.0 26.0 26.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio 0.42 0.42 0.43 0.43 |
| Clearance Time (s) 4.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) 698 1474 1313 1154 |
| v/s Ratio Prot c0.10 0.13 |
| v/s Ratio Perm 0.07 c0.21 |
| v/c Ratio 0.18 0.23 0.29 0.48 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 11.0 11.3 11.0 12.2 |
| Progression Factor 1.22 1.24 2.60 0.39 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 0.6 0.4 0.6 1.3 |
| Delay (s) 14.0 14.4 29.3 6.1 |
| Level of Service B B C A |
| Approach Delay (s) 14.3 0.0 29.3 6.1 |
| Approach LOS B A C A |
| Intersection Summary |
| HCM Average Control Delay 15.4 HCM Level of Capitas D |
| HOW Average Control Delay 15.4 HOW Level OF Service D |
| Actuated Cycle Length (a) 60.0 Cum of lent time (a) 0.0 |
| Interspection Capacity I Itilization 50,5% ICLU avail of Sanvice P |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |

| | € | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|-------------|-------------|--|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | | | ** | | | ** | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 374 | 0 | 1 | 490 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frt | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd, Flow (prot) | | | 3223 | | | 3312 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | | 0.95 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 3223 | | | 3162 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 420 | 0 | 1 | 551 | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 420 | 0 | 0 | 552 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 12% | 2% | 2% | 9% | |
| Turn Type | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | | 2 | | i onn | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | - | | 2 | - | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | 30.0 | | - | 30.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.50 | | | 0.50 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Gro Cap (vph) | | | 1612 | | | 1581 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | 0.13 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | 0.10 | | | c0.17 | |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.26 | | | 0.35 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 8.6 | | | 9.1 | |
| Progression Factor | | | 0.42 | | | 1.38 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 0.3 | | | 0.6 | |
| Delay (s) | | | 3.9 | | | 13.1 | |
| Level of Service | | | A | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 3.9 | | | 13.1 | |
| Approach LOS | A | | A | | | В | |
| Intersection Summarv | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 91 | F | ICM Level | of Service | Α |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.35 | | | 01 001 1100 | , A la |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | ç | Sum of lost | t time (s) | 30.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 18.4% | 10 | CU Level o | of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Telegraph Ave &

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | • | • | Ť | ۲ | 5 | ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------|--------------|------|-----------|---------------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | \$ | | | † | 1 | | {î† | | | <u></u> | |
| Volume (vph) | 10 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 66 | 42 | 165 | 259 | 0 | 0 | 290 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.0 | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | 0.92 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 0.87 | | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1497 | | | 1863 | 1553 | | 3188 | | | 3282 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.71 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1485 | | | 1863 | 1553 | | 2317 | | | 3282 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 11 | 0 | 266 | 0 | 72 | 45 | 179 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 312 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 12 | 0 | 457 | 0 | 0 | 312 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 60 | | | 60 | 60 | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 0% | 2% | 4% | 2% | 12% | 2% | 2% | 10% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | custom | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | 4 | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 16.0 | | | 16.0 | 16.0 | | 34.0 | | | 34.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 16.0 | | | 16.0 | 16.0 | | 34.0 | | | 34.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.27 | | | 0.27 | 0.27 | | 0.57 | | | 0.57 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.0 | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 396 | | | 497 | 414 | | 1313 | | | 1860 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | 0.04 | 0.01 | | | | | 0.10 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | c0.06 | | | | | | c0.20 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.21 | | | 0.14 | 0.03 | | 0.35 | | | 0.17 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 17.1 | | | 16.8 | 16.3 | | 7.0 | | | 6.2 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 3.92 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.3 | | | 0.1 | 0.0 | | 0.7 | | | 0.2 | |
| Delay (s) | | 17.3 | | | 16.9 | 16.3 | | 7.7 | | | 24.6 | |
| Level of Service | | В | | | В | В | | А | | | С | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 17.3 | | | 16.7 | | | 7.7 | | | 24.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | В | | | А | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 15.4 | Н | CM Leve | el of Service | e | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.30 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of los | st time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 1 | | 60.0% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ≯ | \rightarrow | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | < | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | ¥ | | | र्स | • | 1 | | |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 20 | 60 | 177 | 256 | 110 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.75 | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 0.93 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.98 | | | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1695 | | | 1727 | 1845 | 1161 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.98 | | | 0.87 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1695 | | | 1523 | 1845 | 1161 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 22 | 66 | 195 | 281 | 121 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 28 | 0 | 0 | 261 | 281 | 78 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 60 | | | 60 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 19 | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 7% | 4% | 3% | 4% | | |
| Turn Type | | | Perm | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | 4 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 21.0 | | | 51.5 | 51.5 | 51.5 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 21.0 | | | 51.5 | 51.5 | 51.5 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.26 | | | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 445 | | | 980 | 1188 | 747 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.02 | | | | 0.15 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.17 | | 0.07 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | | | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.10 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 22.1 | | | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.4 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.3 | | | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | | |
| Delay (s) | 22.4 | | | 6.8 | 6.5 | 5.7 | | |
| Level of Service | С | | | А | А | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 22.4 | | | 6.8 | 6.2 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | А | А | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Dela | iy | | 7.4 | H | CM Level | of Service | А | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ra | atio | | 0.21 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 80.0 | Sı | um of lost | time (s) | 7.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 39.4% | IC | U Level o | of Service | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: 17th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | × | 1 | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 441 | | | | | | ** | | - | 41 | - |
| Volume (vph) | 51 | 540 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 2 | 50 | 282 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.91 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Frt | | 0.98 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 4926 | | | | | | 3530 | | | 3465 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.88 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 4926 | | | | | | 3530 | | | 3074 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 55 | 587 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 2 | 54 | 307 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 699 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | | 67 | | | | | | 152 | 152 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 8 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 21 |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 28.0 | | | | | | 22.0 | | | 22.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 28.0 | | | | | | 22.0 | | | 22.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.47 | | | | | | 0.37 | | | 0.37 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 2299 | | | | | | 1294 | | | 1127 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | 0.06 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.14 | | | | | | | | | c0.12 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.30 | | | | | | 0.17 | | | 0.32 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 9.9 | | | | | | 12.8 | | | 13.6 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.02 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.3 | | | | | | 0.3 | | | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | | 10.3 | | | | | | 13.1 | | | 14.7 | |
| Level of Service | | В | | | | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 10.3 | | | 0.0 | | | 13.1 | | | 14.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | A | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 12.0 | Н | CM Level | of Servic | e | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.31 | _ | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | ۱ | | 63.0% | IC | CU Level o | ot Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ∕ | \mathbf{r} | 1 | † | Ŧ | < | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|----------|------------|------------|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | ¥ | | | ^ | ^ | | | |
| Volume (vph) | 145 | 81 | 0 | 251 | 250 | 0 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 0.95 | 0.95 | | | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor. PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 158 | 88 | 0 | 273 | 272 | 0 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 212 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 272 | 0 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | 55 | - | | | - | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Turn Type | | | | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | _ | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 19.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 19.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.32 | | | 0.57 | 0.57 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grn Cap (vph) | 546 | | | 2005 | 2005 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0 13 | | | c0 08 | 0.08 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 00.10 | | | 00.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.39 | | | 0 14 | 0 14 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 15.6 | | | 6.1 | 6.1 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.49 | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.1 | | | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 17.7 | | | 9.2 | 6.2 | | | |
| Level of Service | В | | | A | A | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 17.7 | | | 9.2 | 6.2 | | | |
| Approach LOS | В | | | A | A | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Dela | ay | | 10.9 | H | CM Level | of Service | В | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ra | atio | | 0.23 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | 6.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 29.9% | IC | U Level o | f Service | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 5: 19th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | ∢ | ← | • | • | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | र्स कि | | | -4 † | | | ≜ ⊅ | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 85 | 50 | 132 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 56 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.97 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3315 | | | 3424 | | | 3365 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.75 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3315 | | | 2601 | | | 3365 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 92 | 54 | 143 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 61 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 429 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | | 55 | | | 69 | | | | | 69 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 34 |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 10.0 | | | 16.5 | | | 16.5 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 10.0 | | | 16.5 | | | 16.5 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.23 | | | 0.38 | | | 0.38 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 757 | | | 980 | | | 1268 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | | | | 0.08 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.04 | | | c0.16 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.17 | | | 0.44 | | | 0.22 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 13.6 | | | 10.2 | | | 9.3 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 0.0 | | | 0.1 | | | 0.0 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 13.6 | | | 10.3 | | | 9.3 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | В | | | В | | | Α | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 13.6 | | | 10.3 | | | 9.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | | В | | | А | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 10.6 | H | CM Level | of Service | • | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.34 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 43.8 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 17.3 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 39.3% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: 19th Street & Broadway

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | * | ٠ | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|--------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | đ þ | | | -a† | | | ≜ 15- | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 127 | 36 | 12 | 304 | 0 | 1 | 348 | 33 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3309 | | | 3110 | | | 3135 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.94 | | | 0.95 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3309 | | | 2917 | | | 2993 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 140 | 40 | 13 | 334 | 0 | 1 | 382 | 36 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 347 | 0 | 0 | 407 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 39 | | 55 | 55 | | 39 | 69 | | 171 | 171 | | 69 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 2 | | | 34 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 4% | 5% | 0% | 8% | 16% | 2% | 2% | 13% | 9% |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 2 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.42 | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 1379 | | | 1264 | | | 1297 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | 1010 | | | 1201 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.06 | | | 0 12 | | | c0 14 | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.00 | | | 0.27 | | | 0.31 | |
| Uniform Delay d1 | | | | | 10.8 | | | 10.9 | | | 11 1 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1 00 | | | 0.30 | | | 1 00 | |
| Incremental Delay d2 | | | | | 0.2 | | | 0.5 | | | 0.6 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 11.0 | | | 3.8 | | | 11.8 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | B | | | A | | | B | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 11 0 | | | 38 | | | 11.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | B | | | A | | | B | |
| | | 7. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | 0.0 | | 011 | | | | • | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 8.8 | Н | CM Level | of Service | 9 | | A | | | |
| HUNI VOIUME to Capacity ratio | | | 0.22 | ~ | | £ | | | 0.0 | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 45.5% | IC | U Level o | or Service | | | A | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 7: 17th Street & Broadway

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | ← | * | 1 | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------------|--------------------|------|------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ሻ | ≜ t≽ | | | | | | ≜ 15 | | | -a† | |
| Volume (vph) | 53 | 586 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 49 | 73 | 277 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 0.98 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1693 | 3558 | | | | | | 2907 | | | 3077 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.82 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1693 | 3558 | | | | | | 2907 | | | 2546 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 58 | 637 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 53 | 79 | 301 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 58 | 644 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 299 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 34 | | 58 | 58 | | 34 | 70 | | 152 | 152 | | 70 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 8 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 21 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 4% | 1% | 18% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 20% | 4% | 3% | 16% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | | | | | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, a (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | | | | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 705 | 1483 | | | | | | 1260 | | | 1103 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.18 | | | | | | 0.10 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 | | | | | | | | | | c0.15 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.08 | 0.43 | | | | | | 0.24 | | | 0.34 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 10.6 | 12.5 | | | | | | 10.7 | | | 11.3 | |
| Progression Factor | 1.02 | 1.11 | | | | | | 2.40 | | | 0.50 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | | | | | | 0.4 | | | 0.8 | |
| Delay (s) | 11.0 | 14.8 | | | | | | 26.2 | | | 6.5 | |
| Level of Service | В | В | | | | | | С | | | A | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 14.5 | | | 0.0 | | | 26.2 | | | 6.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | А | | | С | | | А | |
| Internetien Orienter | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | 45.4 | | | -(0) | | | | | | |
| HCIVI Average Control Delay | / / | | 15.1 | Н | CIVI Level | of Service | e | | В | | | |
| HUN Volume to Capacity ra | lio | | 0.39 | ~ | | £ | | | 0.0 | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (S) | tion | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | tume (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Analysis Daried (min) | uon | | 57.5% | IC | O Level (| DI SERVICE | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| | € | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | |
|-----------------------------------|------|--------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | | | ** | | 002 | ** | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 293 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 1000 | 1000 | 5.0 | 1000 | 1000 | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util, Factor | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frt | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 3085 | | | 3059 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 3085 | | | 3059 | |
| Peak-hour factor PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 332 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 308 | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | Õ | 0 0 | 332 | 0 | 0 | 308 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 17% | 2% | 2% | 18% | |
| Turn Type | | | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | - | | | - | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.50 | | | 0.50 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | 1543 | | | 1530 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | c0.11 | | | 0.10 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.22 | | | 0.20 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 8.4 | | | 8.3 | |
| Progression Factor | | | 1.49 | | | 1.67 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 0.3 | | | 0.3 | |
| Delay (s) | | | 12.8 | | | 14.2 | |
| Level of Service | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 12.8 | | | 14.2 | |
| Approach LOS | А | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summarv | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 13.5 | Н | ICM Level | of Service | e B |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.22 | | 2010 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | 30.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 12.9% | 10 | CU Level o | of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Telegraph Ave &

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | • | ٠ | t | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------|--------------|------|-----------|---------------|------|-------|------|------|----------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 4 | | | • | 1 | | -۠ | | | ^ | |
| Volume (vph) | 10 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 146 | 55 | 224 | 322 | 0 | 0 | 455 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.0 | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 0.87 | | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1531 | | | 1863 | 1615 | | 3265 | | | 3312 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.65 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1518 | | | 1863 | 1615 | | 2180 | | | 3312 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 11 | 0 | 266 | 0 | 159 | 61 | 243 | 358 | 0 | 0 | 506 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 24 | 0 | 601 | 0 | 0 | 506 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 60 | | | 60 | 60 | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 0% | 2% | 8% | 2% | 2% | 9% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | custom | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | 4 | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 24.0 | | | 24.0 | 24.0 | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 24.0 | | | 24.0 | 24.0 | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.40 | | | 0.40 | 0.40 | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.0 | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 2.0 | | | 3.0 | 2.0 | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 607 | | | 745 | 646 | | 945 | | | 1435 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | 0.09 | 0.02 | | | | | 0.15 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | c0.09 | | | | | | c0.28 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.22 | | | 0.21 | 0.04 | | 0.64 | | | 0.35 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 11.8 | | | 11.8 | 11.0 | | 13.3 | | | 11.4 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.34 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.1 | | | 0.1 | 0.0 | | 3.3 | | | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | | 11.9 | | | 12.0 | 11.0 | | 16.6 | | | 15.9 | |
| Level of Service | | В | | | В | В | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 11.9 | | | 11.7 | | | 16.6 | | | 15.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 14.9 | H | ICM Leve | el of Service |) | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.44 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of los | st time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 70.2% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | | C | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | - | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٦ | \rightarrow | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | < | |
|------------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|-----|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ¥ | | | ជ | • | 1 | |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 20 | 80 | 292 | 283 | 116 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.48 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 0.93 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.98 | | | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1695 | | | 1741 | 1863 | 770 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.98 | | | 0.87 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1695 | | | 1532 | 1863 | 770 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 22 | 88 | 321 | 311 | 127 | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 27 | 0 | 0 | 409 | 311 | 87 | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 138 | | | 138 | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 12 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 2% | 1% | |
| Turn Type | | | Perm | | | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 4 | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 18.0 | | | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 18.0 | | | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.22 | | | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 381 | | | 1044 | 1269 | 525 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.02 | | | | 0.17 | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.27 | | 0.11 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.07 | | | 0.39 | 0.25 | 0.16 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 24.4 | | | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.6 | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.4 | | | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | 24.8 | | | 6.6 | 5.3 | 5.3 | |
| Level of Service | С | | | A | A | A | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 24.8 | | | 6.6 | 5.3 | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | A | A | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Dela | ау | | 6.9 | H | CM Level | of Service | Α |
| HCM Volume to Capacity r | atio | | 0.31 | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 80.0 | Sı | um of lost | time (s) | 7.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 48.0% | IC | U Level o | of Service | А |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: 17th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$ | 4 | + | * | • | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|------------|------------|------|----------|------|------|--------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 441 | | | | | | ^ | | | - € † | |
| Volume (vph) | 55 | 346 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 4 | 63 | 252 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.91 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Frt | | 0.98 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 4881 | | | | | | 3525 | | | 3437 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.84 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 4881 | | | | | | 3525 | | | 2908 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 60 | 376 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 308 | 4 | 68 | 274 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 468 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 342 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | | 67 | | | | | | 181 | 181 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 12 | | | 1 | | | 24 | | | 7 |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 23.0 | | | | | | 27.0 | | | 27.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 23.0 | | | | | | 27.0 | | | 27.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.38 | | | | | | 0.45 | | | 0.45 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1871 | | | | | | 1586 | | | 1309 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | 0.09 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.10 | | | | | | | | | c0.12 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.25 | | | | | | 0.20 | | | 0.26 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 12.6 | | | | | | 10.0 | | | 10.3 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.75 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.3 | | | | | | 0.3 | | | 0.5 | |
| Delay (s) | | 12.9 | | | | | | 10.2 | | | 8.2 | |
| Level of Service | | В | | | | | | В | | | А | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 12.9 | | | 0.0 | | | 10.2 | | | 8.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | A | | | В | | | A | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 10.8 | Н | CM Level | of Servic | е | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.26 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | I | | 58.4% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ≯ | \rightarrow | 1 | † | Ŧ | < | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|----------|------------|------------|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | Υ | | | ^ | ^ | | | |
| Volume (vph) | 77 | 30 | 0 | 349 | 309 | 0 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 0.95 | 0.95 | | | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 0.96 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 84 | 33 | 0 | 379 | 336 | 0 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 95 | 0 | 0 | 379 | 336 | 0 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | 101 | | | | | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Turn Type | | | | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 19.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 19.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.32 | | | 0.57 | 0.57 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 546 | | | 2005 | 2005 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.06 | | | c0.11 | 0.09 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | | | 0.19 | 0.17 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 14.5 | | | 6.3 | 6.2 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 0.77 | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.7 | | | 0.2 | 0.2 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 15.2 | | | 5.1 | 6.4 | | | |
| Level of Service | В | | | А | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 15.2 | | | 5.1 | 6.4 | | | |
| Approach LOS | В | | | А | А | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Dela | У | | 7.0 | H | CM Level | of Service | А | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ra | atio | | 0.18 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | Si | um of lost | time (s) | 6.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | ation | | 32.6% | IC | U Level c | f Service | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 5: 19th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | ∢ | ← | * | ٠ | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|-------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | eî îr | | | -4 ↑ | | | A12 | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 341 | 134 | 90 | 332 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 46 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.97 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.96 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3358 | | | 3422 | | | 3375 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.78 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3358 | | | 2708 | | | 3375 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 371 | 146 | 98 | 361 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 50 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 499 | 0 | 0 | 459 | 0 | 0 | 346 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | | 101 | | | 128 | | | | | 128 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 10 | | | | | | 12 |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 16.7 | | | 16.9 | | | 16.9 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 16.7 | | | 16.9 | | | 16.9 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.30 | | | 0.31 | | | 0.31 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 1018 | | | 831 | | | 1035 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | | | | 0.10 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.15 | | | c0.17 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.49 | | | 0.55 | | | 0.33 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 15.7 | | | 15.9 | | | 14.8 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 0.1 | | | 0.5 | | | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 15.9 | | | 16.4 | | | 14.8 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 15.9 | | | 16.4 | | | 14.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 15.8 | Н | CM Level | of Service | 9 | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.52 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 55.1 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 21.5 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 50.2% | IC | CU Level of | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: 19th Street & Broadway

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{r} | 4 | + | * | ٠ | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | đþ. | | | 41 | | | ¢β | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 449 | 113 | 24 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 495 | 53 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3381 | | | 3248 | | | 3269 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.90 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3381 | | | 2943 | | | 3269 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 504 | 127 | 27 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 556 | 60 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 670 | 0 | 0 | 472 | 0 | 0 | 602 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | | 101 | 101 | | 75 | 128 | | 187 | 187 | | 128 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 1 | | | 12 | | | 28 | | | 12 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 11% | 2% | 0% | 8% | 0% |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.42 | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 1409 | | | 1275 | | | 1417 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | | | | c0.18 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.20 | | | 0.16 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.48 | | | 0.37 | | | 0.43 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 12.7 | | | 11.5 | | | 11.8 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.29 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 1.2 | | | 0.8 | | | 0.9 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 13.9 | | | 4.1 | | | 12.7 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | В | | | А | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 13.9 | | | 4.1 | | | 12.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | | А | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 10.9 | Н | CM Level | of Service | е | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.45 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 58.5% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 7: 17th Street & Broadway

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{r} | 4 | ← | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|--------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ۲ | A | | | | | | ≜1 } | | | - € † | |
| Volume (vph) | 116 | 297 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 64 | 83 | 437 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | | 0.96 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | | | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1674 | 3562 | | | | | | 3034 | | | 3231 | |
| FIt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.82 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1674 | 3562 | | | | | | 3034 | | | 2656 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 125 | 319 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 355 | 69 | 89 | 470 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 125 | 331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 0 | 559 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | | 67 | 67 | | 75 | 98 | | 181 | 181 | | 98 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 12 | | | 1 | | | 24 | | | 7 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 0% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 13% | 5% | 2% | 10% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | | | | | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | | | | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 698 | 1484 | | | | | | 1315 | | | 1151 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.09 | | | | | | 0.13 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.07 | | | | | | | | | | c0.21 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.22 | | | | | | 0.30 | | | 0.49 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 11.0 | 11.3 | | | | | | 11.1 | | | 12.2 | |
| Progression Factor | 1.25 | 1.26 | | | | | | 2.57 | | | 0.39 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | | | | | | 0.6 | | | 1.3 | |
| Delay (s) | 14.3 | 14.5 | | | | | | 29.1 | | | 6.2 | |
| Level of Service | В | В | | | | | | С | | | А | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 14.5 | | | 0.0 | | | 29.1 | | | 6.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | А | | | С | | | А | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 15.5 | Н | CM Level | of Servic | e | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio |) | | 0.36 | | | | | | _ | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | n | | 59.5% | IC | U Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | € | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | .↓ | |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|------------|--------------|------|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | | | ** | | | ** | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 384 | 0 | 1 | 480 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frt | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 3223 | | | 3312 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | | 0.95 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 3223 | | | 3162 | |
| Peak-hour factor PHF | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 431 | 0 | 1 | 539 | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 431 | 0 | 0 | 540 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 12% | 2% | 2% | 9% | |
| Turn Type | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | _ | | 2 | - | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.50 | | | 0.50 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | 1612 | | | 1581 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | 0.13 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | c0.17 | |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.27 | | | 0.34 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 8.7 | | | 9.0 | |
| Progression Factor | | | 0.44 | | | 1.41 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 0.3 | | | 0.5 | |
| Delay (s) | | | 4.1 | | | 13.3 | |
| Level of Service | | | А | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 4.1 | | | 13.3 | |
| Approach LOS | А | | А | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 9.2 | Н | CM Leve | l of Service | A A |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.34 | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of los | t time (s) | 30.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 18.1% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | А |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Telegraph Ave &

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | - | • | ٩. | Ť | ۲ | 5 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|-------------|------------|------|----------|------|------|----------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | 1 | | | 11 | | ^ | | | ^ | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 424 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | 4.0 | | | 4.0 | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | 1.00 | | | 0.88 | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | 0.92 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | 0.86 | | | 0.85 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 1485 | | | 2733 | | 3223 | | | 3282 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 1485 | | | 2733 | | 3223 | | | 3282 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 456 | 0 | 0 | 323 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 456 | 0 | 0 | 323 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 60 | | | 60 | 60 | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 0% | 2% | 4% | 2% | 12% | 2% | 2% | 10% | 2% |
| Turn Type | | | custom | | | custom | | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | | 4 | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | 16.0 | | | 16.0 | | 34.0 | | | 34.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | 16.0 | | | 16.0 | | 34.0 | | | 34.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.27 | | | 0.27 | | 0.57 | | | 0.57 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 4.0 | | | 4.0 | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | 396 | | | 729 | | 1826 | | | 1860 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | 0.01 | | c0.14 | | | 0.10 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | c0.05 | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.18 | | | 0.04 | | 0.25 | | | 0.17 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 16.9 | | | 16.3 | | 6.6 | | | 6.2 | |
| Progression Factor | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 3.87 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 0.2 | | | 0.0 | | 0.3 | | | 0.2 | |
| Delay (s) | | | 17.2 | | | 16.3 | | 6.9 | | | 24.4 | |
| Level of Service | | | В | | | В | | Α | | | С | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 17.2 | | | 16.3 | | | 6.9 | | | 24.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | В | | | А | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 15.1 | H | CM Level | of Service | | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.23 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 36.3% | IC | CU Level of | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ≯ | \mathbf{r} | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|------|-------------|------------|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | ¥ | | | | • | 1 | | |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 170 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | 0.75 | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 0.93 | | | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.98 | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1695 | | | | 1845 | 1161 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.98 | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1695 | | | | 1845 | 1161 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 187 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 120 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 60 | | | 60 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 19 | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 7% | 4% | 3% | 4% | | |
| Turn Type | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | 4 | | | | 1 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 21.0 | | | | 51.5 | 51.5 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 21.0 | | | | 51.5 | 51.5 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.26 | | | | 0.64 | 0.64 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 445 | | | | 1188 | 747 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.02 | | | | c0.15 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | 0.10 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | | | | 0.23 | 0.16 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 22.1 | | | | 5.9 | 5.7 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.3 | | | | 0.4 | 0.5 | | |
| Delay (s) | 22.4 | | | | 6.4 | 6.1 | | |
| Level of Service | С | | | | А | A | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 22.4 | | | 0.0 | 6.3 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | A | A | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Dela | iy | | 7.7 | Н | CM Level | of Service | А | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ra | atio | | 0.18 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 80.0 | Si | um of lost | t time (s) | 7.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 22.9% | IC | CU Level of | of Service | A | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: 17th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$ | 4 | + | • | ٠ | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|------------|------------|------|----------|------|------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 441 | | | | | | ^ | | | 412 | |
| Volume (vph) | 51 | 540 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 2 | 60 | 332 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.91 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Frt | | 0.98 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 4926 | | | | | | 3530 | | | 3463 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.87 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 4926 | | | | | | 3530 | | | 3049 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 55 | 587 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 2 | 65 | 361 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 699 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 426 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | | 67 | | | | | | 152 | 152 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 8 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 21 |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 28.0 | | | | | | 22.0 | | | 22.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 28.0 | | | | | | 22.0 | | | 22.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.47 | | | | | | 0.37 | | | 0.37 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 2299 | | | | | | 1294 | | | 1118 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | 0.06 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.14 | | | | | | | | | c0.14 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.30 | | | | | | 0.17 | | | 0.38 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 9.9 | | | | | | 12.8 | | | 14.0 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.02 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.3 | | | | | | 0.3 | | | 1.0 | |
| Delay (s) | | 10.3 | | | | | | 13.1 | | | 15.2 | |
| Level of Service | | В | | | | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 10.3 | | | 0.0 | | | 13.1 | | | 15.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | A | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 12.3 | Н | CM Level | of Servic | е | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.34 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | ۱ | | 64.7% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | С | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٦ | \mathbf{r} | 1 | † | ŧ | < | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------|----------|------------|------------|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | Y | | | ^ | ^ | | | |
| Volume (vph) | 145 | 81 | 0 | 251 | 310 | 0 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 0.95 | 0.95 | | | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 167 <u>9</u> | | | 3539 | 3539 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 158 | 88 | 0 | 273 | 337 | 0 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 212 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 337 | 0 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | 55 | | | | | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Turn Type | | | | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 19.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 19.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.32 | | | 0.57 | 0.57 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 546 | | | 2005 | 2005 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.13 | | | 0.08 | c0.10 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.39 | | | 0.14 | 0.17 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 15.6 | | | 6.1 | 6.2 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.49 | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.1 | | | 0.1 | 0.2 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 17.7 | | | 9.2 | 6.4 | | | |
| Level of Service | В | | | А | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 17.7 | | | 9.2 | 6.4 | | | |
| Approach LOS | В | | | А | А | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Dela | iy | | 10.6 | Н | CM Level | of Service | В | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ra | atio | | 0.25 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | 6.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 31.5% | IC | CU Level o | f Service | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 5: 19th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | ∢ | ← | • | ٠ | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|------------|------------|------|--------------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | đ þ | | | - 4 ↑ | | | A12 | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 85 | 221 | 132 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 56 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.91 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.97 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3151 | | | 3422 | | | 3362 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.75 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3151 | | | 2606 | | | 3362 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 92 | 240 | 143 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 61 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 429 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | | 55 | | | 69 | | | | | 69 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 34 |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 12.7 | | | 15.1 | | | 15.1 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 12.7 | | | 15.1 | | | 15.1 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.28 | | | 0.33 | | | 0.33 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 883 | | | 869 | | | 1121 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | | | | 0.08 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.08 | | | c0.16 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.28 | | | 0.49 | | | 0.25 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 12.7 | | | 12.0 | | | 11.0 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 0.1 | | | 0.2 | | | 0.0 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 12.8 | | | 12.2 | | | 11.0 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 12.8 | | | 12.2 | | | 11.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 12.1 | Н | CM Level | of Service | e | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.40 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 45.3 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 17.5 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 46.6% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: 19th Street & Broadway

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | * | • | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|------------|------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | đ þ | | | 41 | | | ¢β | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 127 | 36 | 243 | 294 | 0 | 1 | 348 | 33 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3309 | | | 3083 | | | 3136 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.67 | | | 0.95 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3309 | | | 2098 | | | 2992 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 140 | 40 | 267 | 323 | 0 | 1 | 382 | 36 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 407 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 39 | | 55 | 55 | | 39 | 69 | | 171 | 171 | | 69 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 2 | | | 34 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 4% | 5% | 0% | 8% | 16% | 2% | 2% | 13% | 9% |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 2 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.42 | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 1379 | | | 909 | | | 1297 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.06 | | | c0.28 | | | 0.14 | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.13 | | | 0.65 | | | 0.31 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 10.8 | | | 13.4 | | | 11.1 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.43 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 0.2 | | | 3.4 | | | 0.6 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 11.0 | | | 9.1 | | | 11.8 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | В | | | А | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 11.0 | | | 9.1 | | | 11.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | | А | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delav | | | 10.3 | Н | CM Level | of Service | e | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.40 | | | | | | _ | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 61.9% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 7: 17th Street & Broadway

| | ≯ | - | \mathbf{r} | 4 | - | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|----------------------------------|------|-------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ۲ | A1⊅ | | | | | | ∱1 } | | | 4¢ | |
| Volume (vph) | 53 | 586 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 472 | 49 | 73 | 277 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 0.98 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1693 | 3538 | | | | | | 2950 | | | 3102 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.77 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1693 | 3538 | | | | | | 2950 | | | 2398 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 58 | 637 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 513 | 53 | 79 | 301 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 58 | 652 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 553 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 34 | | 58 | 58 | | 34 | 70 | | 152 | 152 | | 70 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 8 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 21 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 4% | 1% | 18% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 20% | 4% | 3% | 16% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | | | | | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | | | | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 705 | 1474 | | | | | | 1278 | | | 1039 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.18 | | | | | | c0.19 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 | | | | | | | | | | 0.16 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.08 | 0.44 | | | | | | 0.43 | | | 0.37 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 10.6 | 12.5 | | | | | | 11.9 | | | 11.4 | |
| Progression Factor | 1.01 | 1.11 | | | | | | 2.06 | | | 0.51 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | | | | | | 1.0 | | | 1.0 | |
| Delay (s) | 10.9 | 14.8 | | | | | | 25.4 | | | 6.8 | |
| Level of Service | В | В | | | | | | С | | | А | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 14.5 | | | 0.0 | | | 25.4 | | | 6.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | А | | | С | | | А | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delav | | | 16.4 | Н | CM Level | of Servic | е | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio |) | | 0.44 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizatio | n | | 60.3% | IC | U Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | € | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | | | ** | | | ** | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 536 | 0 | 0 | 303 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 1000 | 1000 | 5.0 | 1000 | 1000 | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util, Factor | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frt | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 3085 | | | 3059 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 3085 | | | 3059 | |
| Peak-hour factor PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 564 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 319 | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| I ane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 564 | 0 | Ő | 319 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 17% | 2% | 2% | 18% | |
| | 270 | 270 | 11 /0 | 270 | 270 | 1070 | |
| Protected Phases | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Actuated Green G (s) | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Effective Green g (s) | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.50 | | | 0.50 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grn Can (vnh) | | | 1543 | | | 1530 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | c0 18 | | | 0.10 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | 00.10 | | | 0.10 | |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.37 | | | 0.21 | |
| Uniform Delay d1 | | | 9.2 | | | 84 | |
| Progression Factor | | | 1.61 | | | 1.60 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 0.7 | | | 0.3 | |
| Delay (s) | | | 15.4 | | | 13.7 | |
| Level of Service | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 15.4 | | | 13.7 | |
| Approach LOS | A | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 14.8 | Н | ICM Level | of Service | B B |
| HCM Volume to Canacity ratio | | | 0.37 | | | 0.0014100 | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | 30.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 19.0% | 10 | CU Level o | of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Telegraph Ave &

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | - | • | ٩. | Ť | ۲ | 5 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|-------------|------------|------|----------|------|------|----------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | 1 | | | 11 | | ^ | | | ^ | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 546 | 0 | 0 | 465 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | 4.0 | | | 4.0 | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | 1.00 | | | 0.88 | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | 0.94 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | 0.86 | | | 0.85 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 1521 | | | 2842 | | 3343 | | | 3312 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 1521 | | | 2842 | | 3343 | | | 3312 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 277 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 607 | 0 | 0 | 517 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 607 | 0 | 0 | 517 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 60 | | | 60 | 60 | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 0% | 2% | 8% | 2% | 2% | 9% | 2% |
| Turn Type | | | custom | | | custom | | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | 24.0 | | | 24.0 | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | 24.0 | | | 24.0 | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.40 | | | 0.40 | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 4.0 | | | 4.0 | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 2.0 | | | 3.0 | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | 608 | | | 1137 | | 1449 | | | 1435 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | 0.01 | | c0.18 | | | 0.16 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | c0.09 | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.23 | | | 0.02 | | 0.42 | | | 0.36 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 11.9 | | | 10.9 | | 11.8 | | | 11.4 | |
| Progression Factor | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.36 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 0.1 | | | 0.0 | | 0.9 | | | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | | | 12.0 | | | 10.9 | | 12.7 | | | 16.2 | |
| Level of Service | | 10.0 | В | | 10.0 | В | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 12.0 | | | 10.9 | | | 12.7 | | | 16.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 13.7 | Н | CM Level | of Service | | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.33 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 41.5% | IC | CU Level of | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ≯ | \mathbf{r} | 1 | † | Ŧ | - | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|----------|------------|------------|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | W. | | | | • | 1 | | |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 196 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | 0.48 | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 0.93 | | | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.98 | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1695 | | | | 1863 | 770 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.98 | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1695 | | | | 1863 | 770 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 215 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 152 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 138 | | | 138 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 12 | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 2% | 1% | | |
| Turn Type | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | 4 | | | | 1 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 16.0 | | | | 56.5 | 56.5 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 16.0 | | | | 56.5 | 56.5 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.20 | | | | 0.71 | 0.71 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 339 | | | | 1316 | 544 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.02 | | | | 0.16 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | c0.20 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.08 | | | | 0.23 | 0.28 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 26.0 | | | | 4.1 | 4.3 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.4 | | | | 0.4 | 1.3 | | |
| Delay (s) | 26.5 | | | | 4.5 | 5.6 | | |
| Level of Service | С | | | | Α | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 26.5 | | | 0.0 | 5.0 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | А | Α | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Dela | ау | | 6.7 | H | CM Level | of Service | А | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ra | atio | | 0.23 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 80.0 | Sı | um of lost | t time (s) | 7.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | ation | | 24.4% | IC | U Level o | of Service | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: 17th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ≯ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | • | ٠ | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------|------------|------------|------|----------|------|------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 441 | | | | | | ^ | | | -{î† | |
| Volume (vph) | 55 | 346 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 4 | 73 | 332 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.91 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Frt | | 0.98 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 4881 | | | | | | 3525 | | | 3447 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.99 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.84 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 4881 | | | | | | 3525 | | | 2919 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 60 | 376 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 308 | 4 | 79 | 361 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 468 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 440 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | | 67 | | | | | | 181 | 181 | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 12 | | | 1 | | | 24 | | | 7 |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 23.0 | | | | | | 27.0 | | | 27.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 23.0 | | | | | | 27.0 | | | 27.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.38 | | | | | | 0.45 | | | 0.45 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 5.5 | | | | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1871 | | | | | | 1586 | | | 1314 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | 0.09 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.10 | | | | | | | | | c0.15 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.25 | | | | | | 0.20 | | | 0.33 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 12.6 | | | | | | 10.0 | | | 10.7 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.74 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.3 | | | | | | 0.3 | | | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | | 12.9 | | | | | | 10.2 | | | 8.6 | |
| Level of Service | | В | | | | | | В | | | A | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 12.9 | | | 0.0 | | | 10.2 | | | 8.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | A | | | В | | | А | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 10.7 | Н | CM Level | of Servic | е | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.30 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 10.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | ٦ | | 60.9% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ≯ | \rightarrow | 1 | † | Ŧ | ∢ | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|----------|------------|------------|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | ۲ | | | ^ | ^ | | | |
| Volume (vph) | 77 | 30 | 0 | 349 | 389 | 0 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 0.95 | 0.95 | | | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 0.96 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1679 | | | 3539 | 3539 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor. PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 84 | 33 | 0 | 379 | 423 | 0 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 95 | 0 | 0 | 379 | 423 | 0 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | 101 | - | | | - | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Turn Type | | | | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 19.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | | |
| Effective Green, a (s) | 19.5 | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.32 | | | 0.57 | 0.57 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.5 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 546 | | | 2005 | 2005 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.06 | | | 0.11 | c0.12 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | | | 0.19 | 0.21 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 14.5 | | | 6.3 | 6.4 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 0.77 | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.7 | | | 0.2 | 0.2 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 15.2 | | | 5.1 | 6.6 | | | |
| Level of Service | В | | | A | A | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 15.2 | | | 5.1 | 6.6 | | | |
| Approach LOS | В | | | А | A | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | у | | 7.1 | Н | CM Level | of Service | A | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ra | atio | | 0.20 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | 6.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion | | 33.7% | IC | CU Level o | f Service | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 5: 19th Street & Telegraph Ave

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | ∢ | ← | • | • | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | đ þ | | | -4 ↑ | | | A1⊅ | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 341 | 424 | 90 | 332 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 46 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.97 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.92 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | | | 3207 | | | 3420 | | | 3372 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.78 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | | | 3207 | | | 2705 | | | 3372 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 371 | 461 | 98 | 361 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 50 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 636 | 0 | 0 | 459 | 0 | 0 | 346 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | | 101 | | | 128 | | | | | 128 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 10 | | | | | | 12 |
| Turn Type | | | | Perm | | | Perm | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | | | 18.1 | | | 17.1 | | | 17.1 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | | | 18.1 | | | 17.1 | | | 17.1 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.32 | | | 0.30 | | | 0.30 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.5 | | | 6.0 | | | 6.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | | | 1022 | | | 814 | | | 1015 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | | | | 0.10 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | 0.20 | | | c0.17 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.62 | | | 0.56 | | | 0.34 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 16.4 | | | 16.7 | | | 15.5 | |
| Progression Factor | | | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 0.9 | | | 0.5 | | | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 17.3 | | | 17.2 | | | 15.5 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 17.3 | | | 17.2 | | | 15.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 16.9 | Н | CM Level | of Service | ; | | В | | | |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.59 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 56.8 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 21.6 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 59.5% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: 19th Street & Broadway

| | ≯ | → | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | * | ٠ | Ť | 1 | 5 | ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------|-----------|------|------|-------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | đ þ | | | -at+ | | | ≜ 16 | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 449 | 113 | 394 | 386 | 0 | 0 | 495 | 53 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb. ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | | | 0.99 | | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | | | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd, Flow (prot) | | | | | 3381 | | | 3218 | | | 3269 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.58 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd, Flow (perm) | | | | | 3381 | | | 1927 | | | 3269 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 504 | 127 | 443 | 434 | 0 | 0 | 556 | 60 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 670 | 0 | 0 | 877 | 0 | 0 | 602 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | - | 101 | 101 | | 75 | 128 | | 187 | 187 | | 128 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 1 | | | 12 | | | 28 | | | 12 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 11% | 2% | 0% | 8% | 0% |
| | _ / • | _/* | _/* | Perm | . , 0 | _ / 0 | Perm | | _// | 0,0 | 0,10 | |
| Protected Phases | | | | 1 Onn | 8 | | 1 Onn | 2 | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 8 | Ŭ | | 2 | - | | | - | |
| Actuated Green G (s) | | | | Ū | 25.0 | | - | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green a (s) | | | | | 25.0 | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated q/C Ratio | | | | | 0.42 | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grn Can (ynh) | | | | | 1/00 | | | 835 | | | 1/17 | |
| v/s Patio Prot | | | | | 1403 | | | 000 | | | 0.18 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | 0.20 | | | c0 /6 | | | 0.10 | |
| v/s Ratio Ferri | | | | | 0.20 | | | 1 /0/1 | | | 0 / 3 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | | | 12 7 | | | 17.0 | | | 11.8 | |
| Progression Eactor | | | | | 1 00 | | | 1 02 | | | 1 00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | | | 1.00 | | | 13.0 | | | 1.00 | |
| Delay (s) | | | | | 13.0 | | | 40.0 | | | 12.7 | |
| Level of Service | | | | | 13.9 R | | | 00.4 E | | | 12.7 R | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 0.0 | | | 13.0 | | | 60.4 | | | 12 7 | |
| Approach LOS | | 0.0 A | | | 13.9 B | | | 00.4 E | | | 12.7 B | |
| | | | | | _ | | | _ | | | _ | |
| Intersection Summary | | | 20.0 | | | of Comila | _ | | 0 | | | |
| HCM Volume to Conscitu ratio | | | 32.Z | П | | OI SEIVIC | e | | U | | | |
| | | | 0.77 | 0 | | time (=) | | | 0.0 | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (S) | | | 00.0 71.00/ | SI | | ume (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | /1.9% | IC | U Level (| or Service | | | U | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | (la a 1 - 1 | 15 | 6 1 | | | | | | | | |
| u Defacto Left Lane. Recode | e with 1 | mougn la | ne as a le | en lane. | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 7: 17th Street & Broadway

| | ٦ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | * | 1 | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|-------------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ሻ | A | | | | | | 4 12 | | | -4 ↑ | |
| Volume (vph) | 116 | 297 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 690 | 64 | 83 | 437 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | | | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.99 | | | | | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | | | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1674 | 3537 | | | | | | 3110 | | | 3264 | |
| FIt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | | | 0.71 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1674 | 3537 | | | | | | 3110 | | | 2325 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 125 | 319 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 742 | 69 | 89 | 470 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 125 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 800 | 0 | 0 | 559 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 75 | | 67 | 67 | | 75 | 98 | | 181 | 181 | | 98 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 12 | | | 1 | | | 24 | | | 7 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 0% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 13% | 5% | 2% | 10% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | | | | | | | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | | | | | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 26.0 | | | 26.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | | | | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 698 | 1474 | | | | | | 1348 | | | 1008 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.10 | | | | | | c0.26 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.07 | | | | | | | | | | 0.24 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.23 | | | | | | 0.59 | | | 0.55 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 11.0 | 11.3 | | | | | | 13.0 | | | 12.7 | |
| Progression Factor | 1.22 | 1.24 | | | | | | 2.14 | | | 0.41 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | | | | | 1.7 | | | 2.0 | |
| Delay (s) | 14.0 | 14.3 | | | | | | 29.4 | | | 7.2 | |
| Level of Service | В | В | | | | | | С | | | А | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 14.3 | | | 0.0 | | | 29.4 | | | 7.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | А | | | С | | | А | |
| Interpretion Summory | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | 10.0 | | | of Comila | _ | | | | | |
| HCM Volume to Conceiture | tio | | 10.0 | Н | | OI SEIVICE | 5 | | В | | | |
| | ແບ | | 0.41 | 0 | um of loca | time (a) | | | 0.0 | | | |
| Intersection Consoity Utilize | tion | | 68 70/ | 5 | | f Sonvice | | | 9.0 | | | |
| | uUII | | 15 | IC. | | | | | U | | | |
| Analysis Penou (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | € | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|--------|------------|------------|------|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | | | ** | | | ** | |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 744 | 0 | 1 | 490 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | |
| Frt | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 3223 | | | 3312 | |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | | 0.95 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 3223 | | | 3160 | |
| Peak-hour factor PHF | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 836 | 0.00 | 1 | 551 | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | Õ | 0 | 836 | 0 0 | 0 | 552 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 12% | 2% | 2% | 9% | |
| | 270 | 270 | 1270 | 270 | Perm | 0,0 | |
| Protected Phases | | | 2 | | i onn | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | L | | 2 | 2 | |
| Actuated Green G (s) | | | 30.0 | | 2 | 30.0 | |
| Effective Green g (s) | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.50 | | | 0.50 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Lane Grn Can (vnh) | | | 1612 | | | 1580 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | c0 26 | | | 1000 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | 00.20 | | | 0 17 | |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.52 | | | 0.35 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 10.1 | | | 9.1 | |
| Progression Factor | | | 0.81 | | | 1.34 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 1.2 | | | 0.5 | |
| Delay (s) | | | 9.4 | | | 12.8 | |
| Level of Service | | | A | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 9.4 | | | 12.8 | |
| Approach LOS | A | | А | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| HCM Average Control Delay | | | 10.7 | F | ICM Leve | of Service | B |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.52 | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.0 | ç | Sum of los | t time (s) | 30.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 24.7% | l | CU Level | of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | |

Appendix B AutoTURN Analysis

Latham Square Initial Design Options



August 2013

KITTELSON & ASSOCIATES, INC. TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING / PLANNING CÁ A1

FIGURE



Layout Tab: Figure A2

bbnqz

Aug 27, 2013 - 5:40pm -

H:\projfile\13235oak\Latham Geometry.dwg







Layout Tab: Figure B2

ggudz

Aug 27, 2013 - 5:16pm -



Layout Tab: Figure B3

zbugg

Aug 27, 2013 - 5:17pm -

H:\projfile\13235oak\Latham Geometry.dwg












Layout Tab: Figure D1

bbngz

Aug 27, 2013 - 8:33am -



Appendix C Geometry Review



A - Existing Condition



B - Current Plan





C - Left In / Right Out



* Consider NB only for Telegraph Avenue. This would D - One-Way Southbound 🧚 eliminate traffic entering the intersection simplifying and improving operations. 40' 100' 20'



E - Full Closure

